

# Self-Assessment Quiz

## Lecture 4: Mathematical Logic & Reasoning

**Instructions:** Answer all questions. For multiple-choice questions circle the correct option.  
For short answers, write concise responses.

**Q1.** (MCQ ) Which of the following is a *tautology*?

- A.  $p \wedge \neg p$
- B.  $p \vee \neg p$
- C.  $(p \wedge q) \wedge \neg q$
- D.  $p \rightarrow (q \wedge \neg q)$

**Q2.** (MCQ) Which statement is a *contradiction*?

- A.  $p \vee q$
- B.  $p \wedge q$
- C.  $p \wedge \neg p$
- D.  $p \leftrightarrow q$

**Q3.** The formula  $(p \vee q) \wedge \neg(p \wedge q)$  is equivalent to the exclusive-or  $p \oplus q$ . (True / False)

**Q4.** (Short answer ) Rewrite the implication  $p \rightarrow q$  using only  $\neg$  and  $\vee$  (i.e., without  $\rightarrow$ ). Provide the equivalent formula.

**Q5.** (MCQ ) Which of the following is the correct translation of the English sentence: “If it is raining and cold, then I take an umbrella” into propositional logic (let  $r$  = “it is raining”,  $c$  = “it is cold”,  $u$  = “I take an umbrella”)?

- A.  $(r \wedge c) \rightarrow u$
- B.  $r \wedge (c \rightarrow u)$
- C.  $(r \rightarrow c) \wedge u$
- D.  $r \rightarrow (c \wedge u)$

**Q6.** (Short answer / Laws of logic) Using De Morgan’s laws, transform the formula  $\neg(p \wedge (q \vee \neg r))$  into an equivalent expression that uses only  $\neg$ ,  $\vee$ , and  $\wedge$  (show steps or final form).

**Q7.** (MCQ) The biconditional  $p \leftrightarrow q$  is logically equivalent to:

- A.  $(p \rightarrow q) \wedge (q \rightarrow p)$
- B.  $(p \wedge q) \vee (\neg p \wedge \neg q)$
- C. Both A and B
- D. None of the above

**Q8.** (Short proof idea) Show concisely (no full truth table required) why the formula  $\neg(p \rightarrow q) \rightarrow p$  is a tautology. (Hint: replace  $p \rightarrow q$  by an equivalent expression and simplify.)

- Q9.** (Construct ) Write a short truth table (list rows) for  $p, q$  and compute the truth value of  $(p \wedge \neg q) \wedge (\neg p \vee q)$ . Based on the table, is the formula a tautology, contradiction, or contingent?
- Q10.** (Application ) Rewrite the statement  $(p \wedge \neg q) \rightarrow r$  using only  $\neg$  and  $\wedge$  (i.e., eliminate  $\rightarrow$  and  $\vee$ ). Provide the equivalent formula.

## Answer Key (Do not show to students until grading)

- Q1. B.**  $p \vee \neg p$  is a tautology (law of excluded middle).
- Q2. C.**  $p \wedge \neg p$  is a contradiction (negation law).
- Q3. True.**  $(p \vee q) \wedge \neg(p \wedge q)$  is true exactly when exactly one of  $p, q$  is true, i.e. exclusive-or.
- Q4. Answer:**  $p \rightarrow q \equiv \neg p \vee q$ .
- Q5. A.**  $(r \wedge c) \rightarrow u$  is the direct translation.
- Q6. Solution (De Morgan):**

$$\neg(p \wedge (q \vee \neg r)) \equiv \neg p \vee \neg(q \vee \neg r) \equiv \neg p \vee (\neg q \wedge r).$$

(One may also distribute if desired.)

- Q7. C.** Both representations are equivalent:

$$p \leftrightarrow q \equiv (p \rightarrow q) \wedge (q \rightarrow p) \equiv (p \wedge q) \vee (\neg p \wedge \neg q).$$

- Q8. Sketch:** Start with  $\neg(p \rightarrow q) \rightarrow p$ .

$$p \rightarrow q \equiv \neg p \vee q \quad \Rightarrow \quad \neg(p \rightarrow q) \equiv \neg(\neg p \vee q) \equiv p \wedge \neg q.$$

Thus  $\neg(p \rightarrow q) \rightarrow p \equiv (p \wedge \neg q) \rightarrow p$ . But  $(p \wedge \neg q) \rightarrow p$  is always true because whenever  $(p \wedge \neg q)$  holds then  $p$  holds; otherwise the implication is true vacuously. Hence the formula is a tautology.

- Q9. Truth table rows and result:**

$p$	$q$	$p \wedge \neg q$	$\neg p \vee q$	$(p \wedge \neg q) \wedge (\neg p \vee q)$
T	T	F	T	F
T	F	T	F	F
F	T	F	T	F
F	F	F	T	F

All rows evaluate to **F**  $\Rightarrow$  the formula is a **contradiction**.

- Q10. Rewrite:**  $(p \wedge \neg q) \rightarrow r \equiv \neg(p \wedge \neg q) \vee r$ . To use only  $\neg$  and  $\wedge$  (eliminate  $\vee$ ) apply  $a \vee b \equiv \neg(\neg a \wedge \neg b)$ :

$$\neg(p \wedge \neg q) \vee r \equiv \neg(\neg\neg(p \wedge \neg q) \wedge \neg r) \equiv \neg((p \wedge \neg q) \wedge \neg r).$$

So an equivalent using only  $\neg$  and  $\wedge$  is  $\neg((p \wedge \neg q) \wedge \neg r)$ .

**Notes for instructor:** Questions cover tautology/contradiction, truth tables, logical equivalence, De Morgan, implication elimination, biconditional, and short reasoning — matching Lecture 4 learning outcomes.