

# Heuristic Analysis

## AIND

M.Shokry

---

**Custom Score** -  $2 * \text{My\_moves} - \text{Opp\_moves} + \text{abs}(\text{my center})/4$

The idea behind this scoring is to rank the move that gives my player a more moves and leads to decrease my opponent's moves without pushing my player to the corner, As the corner leads to lose a lot of advantage and leads to final loss, It's a weighted defending attacking strategy.

### Implementation

```
# Evaluating the the move will reduce the Opp player and twords the center
if game.is_loser(player):
    return float("-inf")

if game.is_winner(player):
    return float("inf")
My_moves = float(len(game.get_legal_moves(player)))
Opp_moves = float(len(game.get_legal_moves(game.get_opponent(player))))
diff = float(My_moves - Opp_moves)
w, h = game.width / 2., game.height / 2.
y, x = game.get_player_location(player)
center = float((h - y)**2 + (w - x)**2)
return float(2*My_moves - Opp_moves + abs(center)/4)
```

---

## Results

***** Playing Matches *****					
Match #	Opponent	AB_Improved		AB_Custom	
		Won	Lost	Won	Lost
1	Random	20	0	20	0
2	MM_Open	17	3	19	1
3	MM_Center	20	0	18	2
4	MM_Improved	17	3	15	5
5	AB_Open	8	12	13	7
6	AB_Center	11	9	14	6
7	AB_Improved	9	11	9	11
-----					
Win Rate:		72.9%		77.1%	

This Custom score gives a better results than the Improved score,

- What to consider next to improve the results :
  - Testing more weights to get the optimal values.
  - Maybe subtracting the opponent's moves weight from the center will give higher results.

---

## Custom Score 2 - (Total movies available + Next available moves )/Blank\*100

The Idea Behind this scoring it to increase the score of the move that increases the dominating factor for my player - the move that gives the player more moves to be in relative to the free points to move to.

### Implementation

```
# The Move leads to the next biggest moves
if game.is_loser(player):
    return Float("-inf")

if game.is_winner(player):
    return Float("inf")

My_moves = np.array(game.get_legal_moves())
Blank = np.array(game.get_blank_spaces())
next_moves = My_moves
for move in My_moves:
    next_moves = np.vstack((next_moves, np.array(get_moves(move))))
Total = len(np.array([x for x in set(tuple(x) for x in next_moves) & set(tuple(x) for x in Blank)]))
return (Float(Total)/len(Blank)*100)
```

### Results

***** Playing Matches *****					
Match #	Opponent	AB_Improved		AB_Custom_2	
		Won	Lost	Won	Lost
1	Random	19	1	20	0
2	MM_Open	17	3	13	7
3	MM_Center	19	1	19	1
4	MM_Improved	14	6	12	8
5	AB_Open	11	9	7	13
6	AB_Center	13	7	7	13
7	AB_Improved	11	9	9	11
-----					
Win Rate:		74.3%		62.1%	

It's intensive computing score to be used, I think this is the worst thing to do in scoring as I lose the power of discovering a better solutions and waste the power to calculate the score, and I think the score will be worse if I have a lower computational power.

- What to consider to improve the results :

- May be subtracting the opponent's moves in the current moves and the next move will give higher results - but It will increase the time for score computing.

## Custom Score 3 - $\text{My\_moves} - \text{Opp\_moves} \mid \mid \text{My\_moves} - 3 * \text{Opp\_moves}$

The Idea Behind this scoring it to branch my score for the board with less than 10 moves consider the score where i can increase my moves and decrease opp. Moves 1:1 for higher than 10 search for the moves increases my moves and decrease opp. Moves with the ratio of 1:3.

## Implementation

```
# Branching values
if game.is_loser(player):
    return float("-inf")

if game.is_winner(player):
    return float("inf")
My_moves = float(len(game.get_legal_moves(player)))
Opp_moves = float(len(game.get_legal_moves(game.get_opponent(player))))
Blank = np.array(game.get_blank_spaces())
if len(Blank) < 10 :
    return float(My_moves - Opp_moves)
else:
    return float(My_moves - 3 * Opp_moves)
```

## Results

***** Playing Matches *****					
Match #	Opponent	AB_Improved		AB_Custom_3	
		Won	Lost	Won	Lost
1	Random	20	0	20	0
2	MM_Open	17	3	17	3
3	MM_Center	19	1	20	0
4	MM_Improved	16	4	14	6
5	AB_Open	11	9	12	8
6	AB_Center	12	8	12	8
7	AB_Improved	11	9	12	8
-----					
Win Rate:		75.7%		76.4%	

- What to do to improve the results
  - Testing more weights to get the optimal values.

## Conclusion

***** Playing Matches *****									
Match #	Opponent	AB_Improved		AB_Custom		AB_Custom_2		AB_Custom_3	
		Won	Lost	Won	Lost	Won	Lost	Won	Lost
1	Random	50	0	49	1	48	2	49	1
2	MM_Open	41	9	40	10	38	12	35	15
3	MM_Center	44	6	47	3	44	6	48	2
4	MM_Improved	42	8	42	8	35	15	40	10
5	AB_Open	28	22	27	23	18	32	27	23
6	AB_Center	30	20	29	21	22	28	27	23
7	AB_Improved	22	28	22	28	19	31	28	22
8	AB_Custom	24	26	23	27	16	34	22	28
9	AB_Custom_2	31	19	37	13	25	25	33	17
10	AB_Custom_3	26	24	28	22	22	28	26	24
-----									
Win Rate:		67.6%		68.8%		57.4%		67.0%	

All the implemented playing with each other result..

As a result I recommend to use the first **heuristic function** as it performs better than other functions with different techniques.