

**AKADEMIA GÓRNICZO-HUTNICZA**

WYDZIAŁ ELEKTROTECHNIKI, AUTOMATYKI, INFORMATYKI I ELEKTRONIKI  
KIERUNEK INFORMATYKA I SYSTEMY INTELIGENTNE



ADVANCED DATA MINING

---

## Project

Topic Modelling on Scientific Article Summaries

---

Paweł Magnuszewski, Michał Strzeżoń, Tomasz Turek

Kraków, 23 grudnia 2024

# 1 Topic Modelling

The goal of data analytics is to extract meaningful insights from data. Traditionally, this data was structured and organized in a standardized format for easy access and analysis. However, with the increasing digitalization of the world, a significant portion of the data being generated is now unstructured, lacking a predefined format or data model. Topic modeling has emerged as a powerful approach to address this challenge by uncovering hidden semantic patterns within a text corpus and automatically identifying its underlying topics.

Essentially, topic modeling is a form of statistical modeling that utilizes unsupervised machine learning to analyze and group similar words into clusters, revealing the main themes within a body of text. A topic model captures this intuition in a mathematical framework, which allows examining a set of documents and discovering, based on the statistics of the words in each, what the topics might be and what each document's balance of topics is. For example, a topic modeling algorithm can be applied to determine whether the content of a document suggests that it is an invoice, a complaint, or a contract.

## 2 Dataset

Our implemented solution was primarily designed for the analysis of articles from the archive of arxiv free distribution service that gives access to nearly 2.4 million scholarly articles in the fields of physics, mathematics, computer science, quantitative biology, quantitative finance, statistics, electrical engineering and systems science, economics, etc.

For the purposes of this study, we retrieved articles from the *Computer Science* and *Artificial Intelligence* categories. The data acquisition process was achieved with a script that queried the arXiv API to obtain metadata and content associated with articles assigned to these categories, as designated by their authors. The resulting dataset consisted of roughly 38000 articles.

From the collected responses in *XML* format, consisting of records, we extracted several fields for further processing:

- **ID** - identifier of record,
- **Title** - informative heading,
- **Summary** - short description of the article,
- **Published** - date of article publication,
- **PDF Link** - links referencing to the full article.

The collected data was stored in *csv* file and used in further steps.

## 3 Data Preprocessing

Data processing consisted of two main steps. In the first step, we performed basic data cleaning, which we then reviewed to generate initial features from the abstracts. While analyzing the results, we identified a few issues with our data and expanded our preprocessing pipeline, resulting in the final dataset used for training.

In the initial approach, our data preprocessing pipeline included the following steps:

1. **Lowercasing** - All text was converted to lowercase for uniformity.
2. **Remove Punctuation** - Unnecessary punctuation marks were eliminated.
3. **Remove Numbers** - As we were modeling topics based on the text, numbers were deemed irrelevant and removed.

4. **Remove Stopwords** - Common English stopwords were removed.
5. **Lemmatization** - We extracted the semantic root (lemma) of words.

After generating initial features, we noticed that our dataset contained summaries with mixed languages, including English and other languages. To extract only the English summaries, we used the **langdetect** library for language detection. Furthermore, we observed that some clusters of articles were heavily influenced by words like *model*, *approach*, and *methodologies*. We classified these terms as '**scientific stopwords**' and decided to remove them from our dataset.

## 4 Exploratory Data Analysis

The main focus of our EDA was word frequency analysis, where the entire corpus was split into individual words, and their occurrences were counted using Python's counter module. The distribution of word frequencies was visualized using a histogram that could be observed in Figure 1, and a cumulative distribution function plot that could be observed in Figure 2.

### 4.1 Word count distribution histogram

The histogram presented in Figure 1 revealed a highly skewed distribution, where a small number of words occurred with a very high frequency, while the majority of words had low occurrence rates. This pattern is typical in natural language data, as common words/terms dominate the corpus, while many other words appear only a few times.




Figure 1: Word count distribution histogram

### 4.2 Cumulative distribution function of word count

The CDF plot presented in Figure 2 showed that a significant portion of the word occurrences was concentrated within a relatively small subset of words. This means that a limited vocabulary accounts for the

majority of word usage in the dataset, highlighting a power-law-like distribution commonly found in text data.




Figure 2: Cumulative distribution function of word count

### 4.3 Word count for numbers

A focused analysis of words representing numbers was performed to identify their frequency within the dataset. This was visualized with a line plot presented in Figure 3 to show the distribution of these numbers-related words. The analysis indicated that some numbers were mentioned far more frequently than others. For example, numbers like "one," "two," and "ten" tended to occur more often compared to numbers like "four" or "five."




Figure 3: Word count for numbers

In addition, a temporal analysis examined how the frequency of these number-related words varied between different years in the dataset. The multiline graphs presented in Figure 4 were created to show trends over time, each line representing a specific number. Some numbers showed consistent usage over years, while others fluctuated in frequency, potentially reflecting changing themes or topics in the dataset.




Figure 4: Word count for numbers over the years

## 5 Topic Modelling metrics

There is no single universally accepted metric for evaluating topic modeling algorithms, and research, such as Meaney et al. (2023), indicates that different metrics tend to favor models with varying numbers of topics. To account for this, we assessed our models using a range of metrics across multiple metrics categories. The names and corresponding codes for their computation were sourced from OCTIS package.

1. Topic coherence metrics - how similar are the top-k-words in each topic, in a sense this measures how interpretable the topics are. In our project, we decided to use: UMass Coherence, NPMI Coherence, C\_V Coherence
2. Topic diversity metrics - in a sense measures how comprehensively do topic represents the corpus. In our project, we used InvertedRBO and Topic Diversity
3. Topic similarity metrics - high similarity may suggest the model overfits to the corpus. For this purpose, we used the Pairwise Jaccard similarity.
4. Topic significance metrics may be used to judge how meaningful and informative are the topics which can help to differentiate which models produced broader and less specific topics, and which models created very distinct and less obvious topics. In our case, we used the following: KL Uniform, KL Vacuous, KL Background.

## 6 Topic modeling methods

### 6.1 LDA

The first of the topic modeling methods that were tested was **LDA** - *Latent Dirichlet Allocation*. LDA operates on the assumption that documents are created through a random sampling process from a set of predefined topics, and its goal is to reverse engineer this process to reveal the underlying structure of the data. The imagined generative process in LDA begins with predefined topics, where each topic is represented as a fixed set of words, and each word is assigned a probability of belonging to that topic; this allows words to belong to multiple topics. To generate a document, LDA envisions a process in which a random distribution is created on topics, for example, 85% politics, 10% finance, and 5% history. For each word in the document, a topic is randomly selected based on this distribution, and a word is randomly chosen from the selected topic. Although LDA itself does not generate documents in this way, it uses this generative process as its conceptual foundation.

Our experiments with LDA began by converting each document in the corpus into a bag-of-words (BoW) representation. Our initial LDA model assumed 70 topics and was trained using Gensim.

To refine our approach, another LDA model was trained using scikit-learn with a grid search to optimize parameters. The search varied the number of clusters with *n\_components* set to  $[55, 60, 65, 70, 75]$  and adjusted the *learning\_decay* values to  $[0.5, 0.7, 0.9]$ , aiming to identify the optimal number of topics and learning rate for coherence. *t-SNE* was utilized to effectively visualize topic clusters in a two-dimensional space.

Multiple models were trained with varying parameters: *num\_topics\_list* set to  $[70, 90, 110]$ , *alpha\_values* adjusted to  $[0.01, 0.1, 0.5]$ , and *decay\_values* modified to  $[0.6, 0.7, 0.8]$ . This comprehensive exploration aimed to find configurations that produced the most coherent and interpretable topics.

Finally, an analysis of topic distributions over time examined how the prominence of different topics evolved, revealing temporal trends and patterns relevant to the data.

### 6.2 Doc2Vec

In this step, our goal was to generate clusters of article summaries using vector representations of the text. To achieve this, we utilized the **Doc2Vec** model, a popular technique for generating fixed-size vector representations of variable-length texts, such as sentences, paragraphs, and entire documents.

**Doc2Vec** extends the Word2Vec model by adding a paragraph or document vector, which helps capture the semantics of entire documents, as opposed to just individual words. This model generates a dense vector for each document that encapsulates its semantic meaning. After training the Doc2Vec model on our dataset of article abstracts, we obtained vectors for each abstract.

Having the document vectors, we then reduced their dimensionality using *UMAP* (Uniform Manifold Approximation and Projection), a technique known for its ability to preserve both local and global structures in high-dimensional data while reducing the number of dimensions. Although this helped make the data more manageable, the clustering results obtained with HDBSCAN were not satisfactory due to the presence of a large number of outliers (greater than 20,000).

Subsequently, we shifted our approach and used *Doc2Vec* in conjunction with the *KMeans* clustering algorithm. The advantage of KMeans over UMAP-based clustering is that it assigns every abstract to a cluster, providing a more comprehensive clustering solution. With KMeans, we could ensure that no abstracts were left unassigned, which was a crucial requirement for our analysis.

In summary, this process involved the following steps:

1. Train the Doc2Vec model to generate vector representations for each abstract.
2. Apply UMAP for dimensionality reduction, followed by HDBSCAN clustering, but with unsatisfactory results due to outliers.
3. Switch to the KMeans algorithm for clustering, ensuring that all abstracts were assigned to clusters.

### 6.3 Top2Vec

After conducting experiments with the Doc2Vec model, we decided to switch to the **Top2Vec** model for topic modeling. Top2Vec is specifically designed for topic modeling tasks and has the advantage of using pre-trained models like Doc2Vec to generate high-quality embeddings. This allows Top2Vec to discover topics directly from the document vectors without the need for prior manual labeling.

We configured the Top2Vec model for the following setups:

1. **Doc2Vec + Unprocessed Data** - The model was trained on the raw, unprocessed text data using Doc2Vec for embeddings.
2. **Doc2Vec + Processed Data** - The model was trained on data that underwent the preprocessing pipeline we previously described (lowercasing, stopword removal, etc.), again using Doc2Vec for embeddings.
3. **allenai-specter + Unprocessed Data** - Instead of Doc2Vec, we used the *allenai-specter* model, a pre-trained transformer model fine-tuned for scientific text embeddings, applied to the raw unprocessed data.
4. **allenai-specter + Processed Data** - The *allenai-specter* model was applied to the preprocessed data.

Given that the AI field often includes phrases made up of multiple words, such as "Neural Network", "Machine Learning" and "Reinforcement Learning", we incorporated *n-grams* into our models. These n-grams capture multi-word expressions that represent specific concepts, improving the model's ability to understand and group related topics more effectively.

By using Top2Vec, we were able to generate clusters that more accurately represented the underlying topics in the dataset, taking advantage of both the quality of Doc2Vec embeddings and the advanced capabilities of the Top2Vec framework for topic modeling.

In summary, the process involved:

1. Experimenting with multiple configurations using Doc2Vec and allenai-specter for embeddings.
2. Incorporating n-grams to handle multi-word expressions common in the AI field.
3. Using Top2Vec for topic modeling to discover meaningful clusters from the document vectors.

## 6.4 BertTopic

BERTopic is a topic modeling method that utilizes vector embeddings generated using the BERT model with a unique strength of incorporating methods that ensure inherent topic interpretability.

The BERTopic process comprises six sequential steps:

1. **Generating Text Embeddings:** In this step, BERT is employed to transform textual data into high-dimensional vector embeddings.
2. **Dimensionality Reduction:** To facilitate clustering, high-dimensional embeddings are reduced to a lower-dimensional space. For this, the Uniform Manifold Approximation and Projection (UMAP) is used.
3. **Clustering:** The reduced embeddings are then clustered to identify groups of semantically similar text segments. This method used Hierarchical DBSCAN (HDBSCAN).
4. **Tokenization and Weighting:** This step involves breaking text into tokens and assigning weights to these tokens to interpret the underlying topics. To achieve this, BERTopic utilizes CountVectorizer combined with class-based TF-IDF (c-TF-IDF).
5. **Topic Representation Fine-Tuning:** An optional but valuable step, fine-tuning refines topic representations to better align with the research objectives.

Initially, we manually implemented the BERTopic framework to better understand the process and its intricacies. However, as our analysis progressed, we opted to use the BERTopic Python package. This package offers a highly customizable implementation of the BERTopic methodology. Beyond simplifying our workflow, it includes advanced features such as automatic outlier detection and reduction, which proved invaluable in enhancing the quality of our topics.

## 7 Automatic Topic Modeling

Automatic topic modeling plays a critical role in the problem of finding the most optimal solution for an analyzed dataset. Collectively, the implemented module enables a way to explore how various topic modeling techniques perform on a given dataset.

### 7.1 Model interface and its implementations

The *Model* submodule consists mainly of an abstract base model, which provides a consistent framework for training and evaluating topic models. The model defines one method presented in the listing 1.

Listing 1: Model interface method

```
train_with_metrics_calculation(self, dataset) -> pd.DataFrame
```

The method takes *dataset* as argument and returns *pd.DataFrame* with calculated metrics.

Moreover, all the methods described in Section 6 were implemented and extend popular algorithms, namely *Top2Vec*, *BERTopic*, and *Latent Dirichlet Allocation*.

Each of the above-presented implementations of the *Module interface* are wrappers on the corresponding methods implemented in Python libraries to adjust them for a standardized interface. They also incorporate helper methods specific to each type of topic modeling.

### 7.2 Scheduler

The *Scheduler* module in its constructor, presented in the listing 2, defines all models to be trained and evaluated using defined in section 5 metrics. In the shown example, the configuration is:



- **Bert topic** with default configuration
- **Bert topic** with disabled outliers reduction
- **Latent Dirichlet allocation** with expected clusters set to 25
- **Latent Dirichlet allocation** with expected clusters set to 50
- **Doc2Vec** with default configuration
- **Top2Vec** with embedding model set to *AllenAI SPECTER model* and phrases in topics set to *false* (*ngram\_vocab* attribute).

Listing 2: Scheduler constructor

```
def __init__(self):
    self.models = [
        CustomBERTopic(),
        CustomBERTopic(reduce_outliers=False),
        CustomLDA(25),
        CustomLDA(50),
        CustomDoc2Vec(),
        CustomTop2Vec(
            {
                'embedding_model': embedding_model,
                'ngram_vocab': False
            }
        ),
    ]
```

The second method presented in the listing 3 is an executor that schedules training and metrics calculation for each of the models defined in the constructor, shown in the listing 2 models. Then concatenates all results into an aggregated *pandas dataframe*. It also generates logs that inform about the training time and the calculation of metrics.

Listing 3: Scheduler training method

```
def train_all_models_with_metrics_calculation(self, dataset):
    results = []
    for model in self.models:
        print(f"Training_model_{model}")
        start_time = time.time()
        result = model.train_with_metrics_calculation(dataset)
        end_time = time.time()
        results.append(result)
        print(f"Training_took_{end_time-start_time}_seconds")
        print("_____\\n")
    return pd.concat(results)
```

## 7.3 ModelRanker

The last part of the *AutoML* module is *ModelRanker*. The service is responsible for providing the user with the method presented in the listing 4, which calculates the score for each model based on the metrics calculated beforehand by the scheduler described in Subsection 7.2, provided in the method. The method takes one more parameter that specifies how many top models should be returned. The method ranks each model by assigning values from 1 to  $n$  (where  $n$  is the number of models, and 1 is the best possible score

and  $n$  is the worst possible score). Then calculates the sum of each ranking and orders models ascending by score.

Listing 4: ModelRanker ranking method

```
@staticmethod
def rank_models(models_metrics: pd.DataFrame, top_n_numbers=None)
    -> pd.DataFrame:
    result = pd.DataFrame({'model_name': models_metrics['model_name']})

    for col in models_metrics.columns[1:]:
        result[col] = models_metrics[col]
            .rank(ascending=False, method='min', na_option='bottom')
            .astype(int)

    result['score'] = result.iloc[:, 1:].sum(axis=1)

    result = result.sort_values(by='score', ascending=True)
        .reset_index(drop=True)

    if top_n_numbers:
        result = result.head(top_n_numbers)

    return result
```

## 8 Results and Conclusions

The comparative evaluation of topic modeling approaches, including LDA, BERTopic, Doc2Vec, and Top2Vec, highlights distinct strengths and optimal use cases for each model. In the following, we outline their clustering performance, thematic insights, and practical applications.

Table 1 summarizes the number of clusters identified by each model and their rankings based on previously defined metrics. The models are sorted by the ranking score ascending.

### 8.1 Model-Specific Insights

#### LDA: Broad Topic Analysis and Temporal Trends

LDA proved to be particularly effective in uncovering the overarching themes within the dataset. By analyzing the temporal trends in topics, we could identify the evolution of key themes over time. To illustrate these trends dynamically we created a bar race visualization (available here).

#### BERTopic: Niche and Cross-Referential Clusters

BERTopic excelled in identifying niche clusters, making it highly suitable for systematic literature reviews. One notable discovery was a group of articles focused on generative AI techniques for traditional Chinese poetry generation. This insight demonstrates its potential for uncovering specific subfields or interdisciplinary research connections.

#### Doc2Vec and Top2Vec

While both Doc2Vec and Top2Vec performed reasonably well in capturing semantic relationships, they lacked the niche-detection granularity of BERTopic and the thematic robustness of LDA. However, the best-performing model was Doc2Vec which suggests that after further hyper-parameter tuning, this method might be on par with LDA for broad topic analysis.

Model Name	Clusters	UMass	NPMI	C_V	Topic Diversity	Inverted RBO	Pairwise Jaccard	KL Uni-form	KL Vacu-ous	KL Back-ground
Doc2Vec+KMeans	35	3	1	1	9	12	3	8	1	6
Doc2Vec+HDBSCAN [Processed data]	329	5	2	2	14	4	9	4	2	7
Lda	100	1	8	9	6	9	6	1	10	1
Lda	25	2	6	6	7	14	1	3	12	5
Doc2Vec+HDBSCAN [Raw data]	288	6	5	3	11	3	12	6	3	9
Lda	50	4	7	7	8	13	2	2	11	4
Doc2Vec+HDBSCAN [Raw data + ngrams]	271	11	11	11	1	1	14	7	4	8
Bertopic without outliers	390	7	3	4	13	7	8	13	13	2
Doc2Vec+HDBSCAN [Processed data + ngrams]	326	11	11	11	4	1	13	5	5	10
Bertopic with outliers	390	8	4	5	12	6	9	13	13	2
Allenai+Top2Vec [Raw data]	50	9	9	8	10	11	5	9	6	11
Allenai+Top2Vec [Raw data + ngrams]	47	11	11	11	3	4	11	10	7	11
Allenai+Top2Vec [Processed data + ngrams]	22	11	11	11	2	7	7	11	9	13
Allenai+Top2Vec [Processed data]	20	10	10	10	5	10	4	12	8	14

Table 1: Performance Metrics(ranks) and Number of Clusters for Topic Modeling Approaches

## 8.2 Conclusion

In conclusion, the choice of topic modeling method should align with the specific goals of the analysis. Based on our findings, we recommend using LDA for projects focused on broad thematic analysis or tracking temporal trends. For systematic reviews or niche topic identification, BERTopic provides a more nuanced understanding of the dataset. Top2Vec and Doc2Vec are better suited for exploratory analyses. All of these models complement each other and can be combined for a comprehensive analysis.