# AICS Lesson 9: AI for User Behavior Analytics (UBA) - Code Demonstration Guide

# Technical Implementation and Hands-On Practice

Course: Al in Cybersecurity - Class 09

Focus: Understanding UBA through practical implementation

# **@** Learning Objectives

By working through this code demonstration, you will:

- **Understand** how to generate and preprocess user behavior data
- Implement baseline behavior modeling using statistical methods
- **Apply** multiple anomaly detection algorithms (statistical and ML-based)
- W Build insider threat and account takeover detection systems
- **Create** comprehensive risk scoring mechanisms
- Interpret UBA dashboard visualizations and results

# Technical Prerequisites

# Required Python Libraries:

### **Environment Setup:**

- **Python Version:** 3.8 or higher
- Jupyter Notebook or Google Colab recommended
- **Memory:** At least 4GB RAM for larger datasets
- Storage: 100MB for code and generated data

# Section 1: Data Generation and Understanding

### 1.1 Synthetic User Behavior Data

What the Code Does: The generate\_user\_behavior\_data() function creates realistic user behavior patterns that simulate:

#### **User Types and Their Behaviors:**

- **Developer:** Heavy application usage, irregular hours, high data access
- **Analyst:** Regular business hours, moderate data access, reporting tools
- Manager: Light application usage, standard hours, executive system access
- Admin: System administration tools, off-hours maintenance, high privileges
- Contractor: Limited access patterns, restricted system usage

#### **Key Features Generated:**

# Behavioral Features in the Dataset

'login\_hour' # Time of day user logs in (0-23)
'logout\_hour' # Time of day user logs out (0-23)

'session\_duration' # How long user stays logged in (hours)
'files\_accessed' # Number of files accessed per session

'failed\_logins' # Number of failed login attempts

'sensitive\_files\_accessed' # Access to classified/sensitive data 'applications\_used' # Number of different applications used 'unique\_ips' # Number of different IP addresses used

'location' # Geographic location of access

'is weekend' # Boolean - whether activity occurred on weekend

#### Normal vs. Anomalous Behavior Simulation:

- 95% Normal Behavior: Follows user's established patterns
- 5% Anomalous Behavior: Introduces suspicious activities:
  - Unusual login times (2 AM, 11 PM)
  - Excessive data access (100+ files instead of usual 20)

- Suspicious locations (Moscow, Beijing, Unknown)
- High failed login rates (5+ instead of usual 0.5)

# 1.2 Understanding the Data

#### **Practice Exercise 1:**

```
# Explore the generated dataset
print("Dataset Shape:", df.shape)
print("\nColumn Information:")
print(df.info())
print("\nSample Records:")
print(df.head())
print("\nStatistical Summary:")
print(df.describe())
```

#### **Questions to Consider:**

- 1. How many users and total records were generated?
- 2. What's the range of login hours across all users?
- 3. Which user type shows the highest variance in file access?
- 4. How does weekend activity compare to weekday activity?

# Section 2: Baseline Behavior Modeling

#### 2.1 Individual User Baselines

**Concept:** Each user has unique behavioral patterns that Al learns automatically.

#### **Key Statistical Measures:**

- **Mean (Average):** Central tendency of user's behavior
- **Standard Deviation:** Variability in user's patterns
- **Mode:** Most frequent behavior (for categorical data like locations)

### Implementation Details:

```
def create_user_baselines(df):
    # For each user, calculate:
```

#### **Practice Exercise 2:**

```
# Analyze a specific user's baseline
user_id = 'user_001'
user_data = df[df['user_id'] == user_id]
print(f"User {user_id} Baseline Analysis:")
print(f"Average login hour: {user_data['login_hour'].mean():.2f}")
print(f"Login hour std dev: {user_data['login_hour'].std():.2f}")
print(f"Typical session duration:
{user_data['session_duration'].mean():.2f} hours")
print(f"Common locations: {user_data['location'].mode().tolist()}")
```

# 2.2 Peer Group Analysis

**Concept:** Compare individual behavior to others in similar roles to identify outliers.

#### Why It Matters:

- Identifies users behaving differently from their peers
- Accounts for role-based behavioral differences
- Helps distinguish legitimate role changes from suspicious activity

#### Implementation:

```
# Compare user to peer group
user_type = 'developer'
peer_data = df[df['user_type'] == user_type]
individual_data = df[df['user_id'] == 'user_001']
print("Peer Group vs. Individual Analysis:")
print(f"Peer avg files accessed: {peer_data['files_accessed'].mean():.2f}")
print(f"Individual avg files:
{individual_data['files_accessed'].mean():.2f}")
```



# Section 3: Anomaly Detection Techniques

# 3.1 Statistical Anomaly Detection (Z-Score Method)

**Concept:** Identify activities that deviate significantly from established baselines.

#### **Z-Score Formula:**

```
Z = (X - \mu) / \sigma
```

Where:

X = observed value

 $\mu$  = mean of baseline

 $\sigma$  = standard deviation of baseline

#### Interpretation:

- **Z < 2**: Normal behavior
- 2 ≤ Z < 3: Moderately unusual (investigate)
- **Z ≥ 3**: Highly anomalous (alert)

#### **Code Implementation:**

```
def detect statistical anomalies(df, user baselines, z threshold=2.5):
    z_login = abs((row['login_hour'] - baseline['avg_login_hour']) /
baseline['std_login_hour'])
    z_files = abs((row['files_accessed'] - baseline['avg_files_accessed'])
/ baseline['std_files_accessed'])
    is_anomaly = max(z_login, z_files) > z_threshold
```

#### **Practice Exercise 3:**

```
# Calculate Z-scores for a specific activity
user_baseline = user_baselines['user_001']
suspicious_activity = {
```

### 3.2 Machine Learning Anomaly Detection (Isolation Forest)

**Concept:** ML algorithm that isolates anomalies by randomly selecting features and split values.

#### **How Isolation Forest Works:**

- 1. Random Sampling: Select random subset of data
- 2. Random Splits: Create binary trees with random feature splits
- 3. **Isolation Measure:** Anomalies require fewer splits to isolate
- 4. **Scoring:** Calculate anomaly score based on path length

#### Advantages:

- No need for labeled training data (unsupervised)
- Effective with high-dimensional data
- Scales well to large datasets
- Handles multiple features simultaneously

#### **Code Implementation:**

#### **Practice Exercise 4:**

```
# Analyze ML anomaly detection results
ml_anomalies = df_with_ml[df_with_ml['is_anomaly_ml']]
print(f"Total anomalies detected: {len(ml_anomalies)}")
print(f"Percentage of data flagged: {len(ml_anomalies)/len(df)*100:.2f}%")

# Look at most anomalous activities
most_anomalous = df_with_ml.nsmallest(5, 'anomaly_score_ml')
print("\nMost anomalous activities:")
print(most_anomalous[['user_id', 'login_hour', 'files_accessed', 'location', 'anomaly_score_ml']])
```

# Section 4: Insider Threat Detection

#### 4.1 Threat Indicators

**Key Behavioral Indicators the Code Detects:** 

1. Excessive Sensitive File Access

```
recent_sensitive = user_data.tail(7)['sensitive_files_accessed'].mean()
if recent_sensitive > baseline['avg_sensitive_access'] + 3 *
baseline['std_sensitive_access']:

# Flag as potential data exfiltration
```

2. Unusual Working Hours Pattern

```
after_hours = user_data[(user_data['login_hour'] < 6) |
(user_data['login_hour'] > 20)]
if len(after_hours) > len(user_data) * 0.3: # More than 30% after hours
# Flag as suspicious schedule change
```

#### 3. Data Exfiltration Pattern

```
# High file access combined with failed logins suggests credential attacks
high_access_days = user_data[user_data['files_accessed'] > threshold]
if high_access_days['failed_logins'].mean() > baseline['avg_failed_logins']
* 2:

# Flag as potential data theft attempt
```

# 4.2 Risk Scoring Algorithm

#### **Threat Score Calculation:**

```
# Calculate overall insider threat score
threat_score = sum(risk_indicators.values()) / len(risk_indicators)

# Risk Levels:
# 0-1: Low Risk
# 1-2: Medium Risk
# 2-3: High Risk
# 3+: Critical Risk
```

#### **Practice Exercise 5:**

```
# Analyze insider threat detection results
for threat in insider_threats[:3]:
    print(f"User: {threat['user_id']}")
    print(f"Threat Score: {threat['threat_score']:.2f}")
    print(f"Risk Indicators: {threat['risk_indicators']}")
    print("---")
```

# Rection 5: Account Takeover Detection

# 5.1 ATO Detection Algorithms

### **Key Detection Methods:**

### 1. Geographic Anomalies

```
# Detect logins from unusual locations
recent_locations = recent_data['location'].unique()
unusual_locations = [loc for loc in recent_locations if loc not in
baseline_locations]
```

### 2. Temporal Anomalies

```
# Detect significant changes in login time patterns
recent_avg_login = recent_data['login_hour'].mean()
baseline_avg_login = baseline['avg_login_hour']
time_shift = abs(recent_avg_login - baseline_avg_login)
```

#### 3. Failed Login Spikes

```
# Multiple failed logins followed by successful access
high_failed_login_days = recent_data[recent_data['failed_logins'] >
threshold]
```

#### 5.2 Risk Assessment

#### **ATO Risk Score Components:**

- New Locations: +2 points per unusual location
- **Login Time Shift:** +0.5 points per hour difference
- Failed Login Spikes: +0.2 points per failed attempt above baseline
- Multiple IPs: +0.2 points per unique IP above threshold

#### **Practice Exercise 6:**

```
# Analyze ATO detection results
for alert in ato_alerts[:3]:
    print(f"User: {alert['user_id']}")
    print(f"ATO Risk Score: {alert['ato_risk_score']:.2f}")
    print(f"Indicators: {list(alert['indicators'].keys())}")
    print(f"Last Activity: {alert['last_activity']}")
    print("---")
```

# Section 6: Comprehensive Risk Scoring

#### 6.1 Multi-Factor Risk Assessment

#### Combined Risk Score Formula:

```
total_score = (
    statistical_anomaly_score * 0.3 +  # 30% weight
    insider_threat_score * 0.4 +  # 40% weight
    ato_risk_score * 0.3  # 30% weight
)
```

#### **Risk Level Classification:**

- **0-2:** LOW (Green) Normal activity
- **2-5**: MEDIUM (Yellow) Monitor closely
- **5-8:** HIGH (Orange) Investigate immediately
- 8+: CRITICAL (Red) Immediate response required

### 6.2 Risk Score Interpretation

#### **Practice Exercise 7:**

```
high_risk_users = [(user, scores) for user, scores in risk_scores.items()
                  if scores['total_risk_score'] >= 5]
print(f"High-risk users identified: {len(high_risk_users)}")
for user_id, scores in high_risk_users:
   user_type = df[df['user_id'] == user_id]['user_type'].iloc[0]
   print(f"{user_id} ({user_type}): {scores['total_risk_score']:.2f} -
{scores['risk level']}")
```

# Section 7: Dashboard Visualization Interpretation

# 7.1 Understanding the Charts

#### **Chart 1: Risk Score Distribution**

- Purpose: Shows how risk scores are spread across the user population
- Good Pattern: Most users should have low scores (left-skewed distribution)
- Warning Signs: Too many high scores or flat distribution

#### **Chart 2: Risk Level Pie Chart**

- **Healthy Organization:** >60% Low risk, <5% Critical risk
- Investigation Needed: >20% High/Critical risk users

#### **Chart 3: Detection Method Comparison**

- **Analysis:** Compare effectiveness of different detection algorithms
- Tuning Guidance: High ML anomalies with low insider threats may indicate over-sensitivity

### 7.2 Dashboard Analysis Skills

#### **Practice Exercise 8:**

```
# Create your own analysis
risk_distribution = {}
for scores in risk_scores.values():
    level = scores['risk_level']
    risk_distribution[level] = risk_distribution.get(level, 0) + 1
print("Risk Distribution Analysis:")
for level in ['LOW', 'MEDIUM', 'HIGH', 'CRITICAL']:
    count = risk_distribution.get(level, 0)
    percentage = (count / len(risk_scores)) * 100
    print(f"{level}: {count} users ({percentage:.1f}%)")
```

# **X** Hands-On Exercises

# Exercise 1: Modify User Behavior Patterns

**Task:** Create a new user type with specific behavioral characteristics.

```
# Add your code here to create a "Security Analyst" user type
# Consider: What would their normal patterns look like?
# - Login times?
# - Applications used?
# - File access patterns?
```

# Exercise 2: Adjust Detection Sensitivity

**Task:** Experiment with different threshold values and observe changes in detection rates.

```
# Try different Z-score thresholds
for threshold in [2.0, 2.5, 3.0]:
    anomalies = detect_statistical_anomalies(df, user_baselines, threshold)
    print(f"Threshold {threshold}: {len(anomalies)} anomalies detected")
```

#### Exercise 3: Custom Risk Indicator

**Task:** Add a new risk indicator for insider threat detection.

```
# Example: Detect users accessing systems outside their department
def detect cross department_access(user_data, user_type):
    # Your implementation here
    pass
```

### Exercise 4: Build a Simple Alert System

**Task:** Create a function that generates prioritized alerts based on risk scores.

```
def generate_security_alerts(risk_scores, threshold=5.0):
   alerts = []
   return sorted(alerts, key=lambda x: x['priority'], reverse=True)
```

# Advanced Analysis Questions

# Technical Understanding:

- 1. Why does the code use both statistical and ML-based anomaly detection?
  - Hint: Consider the strengths and weaknesses of each approach
- 2. How does the Isolation Forest algorithm identify anomalies?
  - Research: What makes it different from clustering-based methods?
- 3. What role does feature scaling play in the ML anomaly detection?
  - Experiment: Try running without StandardScaler and observe results

#### Practical Application:

- 4. How would you handle seasonal patterns in user behavior?
  - Consider: Year-end activities, holiday schedules, business cycles
- 5. What additional features would improve detection accuracy?

- Think: Email patterns, document types, network traffic

#### 6. How would you reduce false positives in a real deployment?

- Consider: Whitelisting, contextual factors, analyst feedback

# System Design:

#### 7. How would you scale this system for 10,000+ users?

- Think: Data storage, processing speed, memory requirements

#### 8. What privacy protections would you implement?

- Consider: Data anonymization, access controls, audit trails

# Code Enhancement Projects

# Project 1: Real-Time Processing Simulation

Modify the code to simulate real-time behavioral analysis:

- Process data in streaming fashion
- Update baselines continuously
- Generate alerts in real-time

# Project 2: Advanced Visualization Dashboard

Create interactive visualizations:

- User behavior timelines
- Geographic access maps
- Risk score trends over time

# Project 3: Multi-Modal Behavioral Analysis

Expand the feature set:

- Email communication patterns
- File type access preferences
- Application usage sequences

# Project 4: Automated Response System

Build automated response capabilities:

- Account suspension for high-risk activities
- MFA challenges for suspicious logins
- Escalation workflows for critical alerts

# Knowledge Check

After working through this code demonstration, you should be able to:

Generate realistic user behavior datasets for UBA analysis
Calculate statistical baselines for individual users and peer groups
Implement both statistical and ML-based anomaly detection
<b>Build</b> insider threat detection algorithms with multiple indicators
Create account takeover detection systems
<b>Design</b> comprehensive risk scoring mechanisms
Interpret UBA dashboard visualizations and results
Modify detection algorithms to reduce false positives
Explain how different AI techniques complement each other in UBA

# **Next Steps:**

- 1. **Experiment** with different parameter values and thresholds
- 2. **Research** real-world UBA implementations and compare approaches
- 3. **Practice** with your own behavioral data (email patterns, web usage)
- 4. **Explore** advanced ML techniques for behavioral analysis

# Integration with Lesson Concepts

This code demonstration directly implements the concepts from the lesson:

- Section 1-2 → Data sources and normalization from UBA architecture
- Section 3 → Normal vs. anomalous behavior detection
- **Section 4** → Insider threat detection techniques
- **Section 5** → Account takeover detection methods
- **Section 6** → Risk scoring and alerting systems
- Section 7 → Investigation and response dashboards

Understanding both the theoretical concepts AND the practical implementation gives you comprehensive UBA knowledge for real-world cybersecurity applications.

Remember: UBA is both an art and a science. The algorithms provide the foundation, but successful implementation requires understanding business context, user privacy, and organizational culture.