Lesson 6 AI for Vulnerability Assessment -Student Code Exercise Guide

Prerequisites

Required Software

```
# Install required Python packages
pip install pandas numpy scikit-learn matplotlib seaborn requests
beautifulsoup4 jupyter
# Optional: For advanced exercises
pip install plotly dash streamlit
```

Knowledge Requirements

- Basic Python programming
- Understanding of machine learning concepts (from Classes 2-3)
- Familiarity with cybersecurity terminology

@ Learning Objectives

By completing these exercises, you will:

- 1. Apply ML to real vulnerability data
- 2. Build practical vulnerability prioritization systems
- 3. Implement anomaly detection for security
- 4. Understand the challenges of Al in cybersecurity

The Exercise 1: Vulnerability Risk Prioritization

Objective: Build an AI system to prioritize vulnerability remediation

Task Overview

- 1. Run the vulnerability prioritization code
- Experiment with different features

3. Compare ML predictions to traditional CVSS scoring

Step-by-Step Instructions

Step 1: Generate and Explore Data

```
# Run the vulnerability dataset creation
vuln df = create vulnerability dataset()
# YOUR TASK: Analyze the data distribution
print("Data Summary:")
print(vuln df.describe())
# Create visualizations
import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
import seaborn as sns
# Plot CVSS score distribution
plt.figure(figsize=(12, 4))
plt.subplot(1, 3, 1)
plt.hist(vuln_df['cvss_score'], bins=20, alpha=0.7)
plt.title('CVSS Score Distribution')
plt.xlabel('CVSS Score')
plt.subplot(1, 3, 2)
sns.countplot(data=vuln_df, x='asset_criticality')
plt.title('Asset Criticality Distribution')
plt.xticks(rotation=45)
plt.subplot(1, 3, 3)
sns.boxplot(data=vuln_df, x='high_priority', y='cvss_score')
plt.title('CVSS vs Priority Classification')
plt.tight_layout()
plt.show()
```

Step 2: Feature Engineering Challenge

```
# YOUR CHALLENGE: Create new features that might improve prediction
def engineer_new_features(df):
    """
    Add your own feature engineering here!
    Ideas:
        - Risk velocity: vulnerability_age_days / system_uptime_days
        - Attack surface: internet_facing * affected_systems
        - Patch urgency: exploit_available * (1 - patch_available)
    """

    df_new = df.copy()
```

```
# Example new features (you can add more!)
   df_new['risk_velocity'] = df['vulnerability_age_days'] /
(df['system uptime days'] + 1)
    df_new['attack_surface'] = df['internet_facing'] *
df['affected_systems']
   df_new['patch_urgency'] = df['exploit_available'] * (1 -
df['patch available'])
   # YOUR TURN: Add 2-3 more features here
   # Feature 1:
   # Feature 2:
   # Feature 3:
   return df_new
# Test your feature engineering
vuln_enhanced = engineer_new_features(vuln_df)
print("New features added:", set(vuln_enhanced.columns) -
set(vuln df.columns))
```

Step 3: Model Comparison

```
# YOUR TASK: Compare different ML algorithms

from sklearn.linear_model import LogisticRegression
from sklearn.svm import SVC
from sklearn.ensemble import GradientBoostingClassifier
from sklearn.metrics import accuracy_score, precision_score, recall_score

# Prepare your enhanced features
X_enhanced, y = prepare_vulnerability_features(vuln_enhanced)
X_train, X_test, y_train, y_test = train_test_split(X_enhanced, y, test_size=0.2, random_state=42)

# Test multiple algorithms

models = {
    'Random Forest': RandomForestClassifier(n_estimators=100, random_state=42),
    'Logistic Regression': LogisticRegression(random_state=42),
    'Gradient Boosting': GradientBoostingClassifier(random_state=42),
    'SVM': SVC(random_state=42, probability=True)
```

```
results = {}
for name, model in models.items():
   model.fit(X_train, y_train)
   y_pred = model.predict(X_test)
   results[name] = {
        'accuracy': accuracy_score(y_test, y_pred),
        'precision': precision_score(y_test, y_pred),
        'recall': recall_score(y_test, y_pred)
    }
results df = pd.DataFrame(results).T
print("Model Comparison:")
print(results_df.round(3))
```

Questions for Reflection

- 1. Which features are most important for vulnerability prioritization?
- 2. How does your enhanced model compare to the baseline?
- 3. What would happen if you only used CVSS scores?
- 4. How might false positives impact security teams?



Exercise 2: Vulnerability Prediction

Objective: Predict vulnerability likelihood in software projects

Extended Challenge

```
# YOUR CHALLENGE: Improve the vulnerability prediction model
def create_enhanced_software_dataset():
    Enhance the software dataset with additional realistic features
    df = create_software_vulnerability_dataset()
```

```
# Add more realistic features
    df['git_commits_per_month'] = np.random.poisson(50, len(df))
    df['test_coverage'] = np.random.beta(2, 3, len(df)) # Typically low
    df['static_analysis_violations'] = np.random.poisson(10, len(df))
    df['previous_vulnerabilities'] = np.random.poisson(2, len(df))

# YOUR TASK: Add domain-specific features

# Consider: team size, deployment frequency, update cadence, etc.

return df

# Test your enhanced dataset

enhanced_software = create_enhanced_software_dataset()

# Train and evaluate your improved model

# Compare against the baseline model
```

Real-World Data Integration

```
# ADVANCED: Try with real vulnerability data

# You can download CVE data from NIST NVD or use vulnerability databases

def load_real_cve_data():
    """
    Example function to work with real CVE data
    Note: You'll need to download actual CVE data for this to work
    """
    try:
        # Example URL - replace with actual data source
        # df = pd.read_json('path_to_cve_data.json')
        print("Real CVE data integration - implement based on available

data sources")
        print("Suggested sources:")
        print("- NIST NVD: https://nvd.nist.gov/vuln/data-feeds")
        print("- CVE Details: https://www.cvedetails.com/")
        print("- Vulnerability databases from security vendors")
```

```
except Exception as e:
        print(f"Using synthetic data. For real data: {e}")
load real cve data()
```

Exercise 3: Security Anomaly Detection

Objective: Detect unusual patterns that might indicate security incidents

Advanced Anomaly Detection Challenge

```
def create realistic network data():
   Create more realistic network traffic with time-based patterns
    np.random.seed(42)
    n records = 5000
    # Generate timestamps (24 hours of data)
    timestamps = pd.date_range('2024-01-01', periods=n_records, freq='30S')
   # Create time-based patterns (higher traffic during business hours)
    hours = timestamps.hour
   business hour multiplier = np.where((hours >= 9) & (hours <= 17), 1.5,
0.5)
   # YOUR TASK: Create more sophisticated traffic patterns
    normal_traffic = {
        'timestamp': timestamps,
        'bytes transferred': np.random.lognormal(10, 1, n records) *
business_hour_multiplier,
        'connection_count': np.random.poisson(20, n_records) *
business hour multiplier,
        'unique_ips': np.random.poisson(10, n_records),
        'port_variety': np.random.poisson(5, n_records),
        'protocol_diversity': np.random.gamma(2, 2, n_records),
```

```
'avg_packet_size': np.random.normal(1500, 200, n_records),
    'connection_duration': np.random.exponential(60, n_records)
}

df = pd.DataFrame(normal_traffic)

# Inject sophisticated anomalies
    anomaly_indices = np.random.choice(len(df), size=int(len(df) * 0.05),
replace=False)

# YOUR TASK: Create different types of anomalies

# 1. DDoS-like patterns

# 2. Data exfiltration patterns

# 3. Port scanning patterns

# 4. Insider threat patterns

return df, anomaly_indices

# Implement and test your enhanced anomaly detection
enhanced_traffic, anomaly_indices = create_realistic_network_data()
```

Multi-Algorithm Comparison

```
# YOUR TASK: Compare different anomaly detection algorithms

from sklearn.ensemble import IsolationForest
from sklearn.cluster import DBSCAN
from sklearn.covariance import EllipticEnvelope
from sklearn.neighbors import LocalOutlierFactor

# Implement and compare:
# 1. Isolation Forest

# 2. DBSCAN clustering

# 3. Elliptic Envelope
```

```
# 4. Local Outlier Factor
def compare_anomaly_algorithms(X, true_anomalies):
    Compare different anomaly detection algorithms
    algorithms = {
        'Isolation Forest': IsolationForest(contamination=0.05,
random_state=42),
        'DBSCAN': DBSCAN(eps=0.3, min_samples=10),
        'Elliptic Envelope': EllipticEnvelope(contamination=0.05,
random_state=42),
        'LOF': LocalOutlierFactor(n neighbors=20, contamination=0.05)
    }
    results = {}
    # YOUR IMPLEMENTATION HERE
    return results
```



Exercise 4: Smart Fuzzing Simulation

Objective: Simulate intelligent vulnerability discovery

Advanced Fuzzing Challenge

```
class SmartFuzzer:
   def __init__(self):
        self.vulnerability_patterns = {
            'buffer_overflow': {'complexity': 3, 'detection_rate': 0.15},
            'sql_injection': {'complexity': 2, 'detection_rate': 0.08},
            'xss': {'complexity': 2, 'detection_rate': 0.12},
            'path_traversal': {'complexity': 1, 'detection_rate': 0.10},
```

```
'command injection': {'complexity': 3, 'detection rate': 0.06}
       }
   def adaptive fuzzing(self, target type, learning rounds=10):
       Simulate adaptive fuzzing that learns from previous attempts
        success rate =
self.vulnerability_patterns[target_type]['detection_rate']
       results = []
       for round_num in range(learning_rounds):
           # Simulate learning - success rate improves over time
           adaptive rate = success rate * (1 + round num * 0.1)
           adaptive_rate = min(adaptive_rate, 0.5) # Cap at 50%
           tests this round = np.random.randint(50, 200)
           successes = np.random.binomial(tests_this_round, adaptive_rate)
           results.append({
                'round': round num + 1,
                'tests': tests_this_round,
                'successes': successes,
                'success rate': successes / tests_this_round,
                'cumulative rate': adaptive rate
            })
       return pd.DataFrame(results)
   # YOUR TASK: Implement additional methods
   # - mutation_strategy()
   # - coverage guided fuzzing()
# Test your smart fuzzer
fuzzer = SmartFuzzer()
fuzzing_results = fuzzer.adaptive_fuzzing('buffer_overflow')
```

```
print(fuzzing results)
# Visualize learning curve
plt.figure(figsize=(10, 6))
plt.plot(fuzzing_results['round'], fuzzing_results['success_rate'],
marker='o', label='Actual Success Rate')
plt.plot(fuzzing_results['round'], fuzzing_results['cumulative_rate'],
marker='s', label='Expected Rate')
plt.xlabel('Fuzzing Round')
plt.ylabel('Success Rate')
plt.title('Smart Fuzzing Learning Curve')
plt.legend()
plt.grid(True, alpha=0.3)
plt.show()
```

Exercise 5: Comprehensive Security Dashboard

Objective: Build an integrated vulnerability management dashboard

Final Integration Challenge

```
# YOUR FINAL CHALLENGE: Create a comprehensive security dashboard
def create_security_dashboard():
    Integrate all components into a unified security assessment system
    # 1. Load and process vulnerability data
    vuln data = create vulnerability dataset()
    # 2. Run ML models for prioritization
    X, y = prepare vulnerability features(vuln data)
    priority_model = RandomForestClassifier(n_estimators=100,
random state=42)
    priority model.fit(X, y)
    # 3. Generate risk scores
    risk_scores = priority_model.predict_proba(X)[:, 1]
```

```
vuln data['ml risk score'] = risk scores
   # 4. Create summary metrics
   dashboard metrics = {
        'total_vulnerabilities': len(vuln_data),
        'high_priority_count': (risk_scores > 0.7).sum(),
        'critical assets affected':
vuln data[vuln data['asset criticality'] == 'Critical'].shape[0],
        'internet facing vulns': vuln data['internet facing'].sum(),
        'avg_cvss_score': vuln_data['cvss_score'].mean(),
        'avg_ml_risk_score': risk_scores.mean()
   }
   # 5. YOUR TASK: Add more sophisticated analytics
   # - Trend analysis
   # - Remediation recommendations
   return vuln_data, dashboard_metrics
# Implement your dashboard
dashboard data, metrics = create security dashboard()
print("Security Dashboard Metrics:")
for key, value in metrics.items():
   print(f"{key}: {value:.2f}")
```

Optional: Interactive Dashboard

BONUS: Create an interactive dashboard with Streamlit or Dash

```
# This is for advanced students interested in web development
"""

Example Streamlit app structure:
import streamlit as st
st.title(" AI-Powered Vulnerability Assessment Dashboard")
```

```
# Sidebar controls
st.sidebar.header("Dashboard Controls")
risk_threshold = st.sidebar.slider("Risk Threshold", 0.0, 1.0, 0.7)
asset filter = st.sidebar.multiselect("Asset Types", ['Low', 'Medium',
'High', 'Critical'])
# Main dashboard
col1, col2, col3 = st.columns(3)
with col1:
   st.metric("Total Vulnerabilities", total_vulns)
with col2:
    st.metric("High Priority", high_priority_count)
with col3:
    st.metric("Avg Risk Score", avg_risk_score)
# Charts and visualizations
st.plotly_chart(create_risk_distribution_chart())
st.plotly_chart(create_priority_matrix())
# Run with: streamlit run dashboard.py
.....
```

Assessment Questions

Knowledge Check Questions

1. Conceptual Understanding

- What are the key differences between vulnerability scanning and penetration testina?
- How does Al improve traditional vulnerability assessment methods?
- What are the main challenges in implementing AI for cybersecurity?

2. Technical Implementation

- Explain why Random Forest works well for vulnerability prioritization
- How would you handle imbalanced datasets in security applications?
- What metrics are most important for evaluating anomaly detection systems?

3. Real-World Application

- How would you convince a security team to adopt Al-powered vulnerability management?
- What are the risks of over-relying on automated vulnerability assessment?
- How should AI complement human expertise in cybersecurity?

Practical Assignments

1. Feature Engineering Project

- Create 5 new features for vulnerability prioritization
- Justify your choices with domain knowledge
- Measure their impact on model performance

2. Anomaly Detection Evaluation

- Implement 3 different anomaly detection algorithms
- Compare their performance on network security data
- Analyze trade-offs between false positives and detection rate

3. Real-World Integration

- Research existing vulnerability management tools
- Propose how AI could enhance one specific tool
- Create a simple proof-of-concept implementation

X Additional Resources

Datasets for Further Practice

- NVD (National Vulnerability Database): https://nvd.nist.gov/
- CVE Details: https://www.cvedetails.com/
- OWASP WebGoat: https://owasp.org/www-project-webgoat/
- **KDD Cup 1999**: Network intrusion detection dataset
- NSL-KDD: Updated version of KDD Cup 1999

Tools to Explore

- Vulnerability Scanners: OpenVAS, Nessus, Qualys
- Penetration Testing: Metasploit, Burp Suite, OWASP ZAP
- Al/ML Libraries: scikit-learn, TensorFlow, PyTorch
- **Security Analytics**: Splunk, ELK Stack, Suricata

Research Papers

- "Machine Learning for Vulnerability Assessment" (IEEE Security & Privacy)
- "AI-Powered Penetration Testing" (ACM CCS)
- "Anomaly Detection in Cybersecurity" (USENIX Security)

Industry Reports

- Gartner Magic Quadrant for Vulnerability Assessment
- SANS Vulnerability Management Survey
- Verizon Data Breach Investigations Report

Success Criteria

By completing these exercises, you should be able to:

- Build ML models for vulnerability prioritization
- Implement anomaly detection for security monitoring
- Evaluate and compare different AI approaches
- Understand trade-offs between accuracy and practicality
- Apply domain knowledge to improve model performance
- Communicate results to non-technical stakeholders

Tips for Success

- 1. **Start Simple**: Begin with basic models before adding complexity
- 2. Validate Assumptions: Use domain knowledge to sanity-check results
- 3. Focus on Practicality: Consider how models would work in real environments
- 4. **Iterate Rapidly**: Try many approaches guickly rather than perfecting one
- 5. Document Everything: Keep notes on what works and what doesn't
- 6. Seek Feedback: Discuss results with peers and instructors

Good luck with your vulnerability assessment Al journey! Remember: the goal is not just to build models, but to understand how Al can make cybersecurity more effective and efficient.