Relational Algebra

CS 186 Fall 2012

R & G, Chapter 4

By relieving the brain of all unnecessary work, a good notation sets it free to concentrate on more advanced problems, and, in effect, *increases the mental power of the race.*

-- Alfred North Whitehead (1861 - 1947)

Relational Query Languages

- ***Query languages:*** **Allow manipulation and retrieval of data from a database.**
- **Relational model supports simple, powerful QLs:**
  - Strong formal foundation based on logic.
  - Allows for much optimization.
- **Query Languages != programming languages!**
  - QLs not expected to be "Turing complete".
  - QLs not intended to be used for complex calculations.
  - QLs support easy, efficient access to large data sets.

Formal Relational Query Languages

Two mathematical Query Languages form the basis for "real" languages (e.g. SQL), and for implementation:

***Relational Algebra*: More operational, very useful for representing execution plans.**

***Relational Calculus*: Lets users describe what they want, rather than how to compute it. (Non-procedural, *declarative*.)**

- *Understanding Algebra (and Calculus) is key to understanding SQL, query processing!*

Preliminaries

- **A query is applied to *relation instances*, and the result of a query is also a relation instance.**
  - *Schemas* of input relations for a query are fixed (but query will run over any legal instance)
  - The schema for the *result* of a given query is fixed.
    - It is determined by the definitions of the query language constructs.

- **Positional vs. named-field notation:**
  - Positional notation easier for formal definitions, named-field notation more readable.
  - Both used in SQL

Relational Algebra: 5 Basic Operations

- *Selection* (σ) Selects a subset of ***rows*** from relation (horizontal).
- *Projection* (π) Retains only wanted ***columns*** from relation (vertical).
- *Cross-product* (x) Allows us to combine two relations.
- *Set-difference* (–) Tuples in r1, but not in r2.
- *Union* ($\cup$) Tuples in r1 and/or in r2.

Since each operation returns a relation, operations can be *composed!* (Algebra is “closed”.)

Example Instances

R1

sid	bid	day
22	101	10/10/96
58	103	11/12/96

S1

sid	sname	rating	age
22	dustin	7	45.0
31	lubber	8	55.5
58	rusty	10	35.0

bid	bname	color	
101	Interlake	blue	
102	Interlake	red	
103	Clipper	green	
104	Marine	red	

Boats

S2

sid	sname	rating	age
28	yuppy	9	35.0
31	lubber	8	55.5
44	guppy	5	35.0
58	rusty	10	35.0

Selection (σ) – Horizontal Restriction

- Selects rows that satisfy *selection condition*.
- Result is a relation.

  Schema of result is same as that of the input relation.

sid	sname	rating	age
28	yuppy	9	35.0
31	lubber	8	55.5
44	guppy	5	35.0
58	rusty	10	35.0

$(S2)$

sid	sname	rating	age
28	yuppy	9	35.0
58	rusty	10	35.0

$$\sigma_{rating>8}(S2)$$

Projection – Vertical Restriction

- Examples: $\pi_{age}(S2)$; $\pi_{sname,rating}(S2)$

- Retains only attributes that are in the "*projection list*".
- *Schema* of result:
  - exactly the fields in the projection list, with the same names that they had in the input relation.
- Projection operator has to *eliminate duplicates* (How do they arise? Why remove them?)
  - Note: real systems typically don't do duplicate elimination unless the user explicitly asks for it. (Why not?)

Projection

sname	rating
yuppy	9
lubber	8
guppy	5
rusty	10

$$\pi_{sname,rating}(S2)$$

sid	sname	rating	age
28	yuppy	9	35.0
31	lubber	8	55.5
44	guppy	5	35.0
58	rusty	10	35.0

S2

age
35.0
55.5

$$\pi_{age}(S2)$$

Nesting Operators

- Result of a Relational Algebra Operator is a Relation, so…
- Can use as input to another Relational Algebra Operator

~~sid~~	sname	rating	~~age~~
~~28~~	yuppy	9	~~35.0~~
~~31~~	~~lubber~~	~~8~~	~~55.5~~
~~44~~	~~guppy~~	~~5~~	~~35.0~~
~~58~~	rusty	10	~~35.0~~

sname	rating
yuppy	9
rusty	10

$$\pi_{sname,rating}(\sigma_{rating>8}(S2))$$

Union and Set-Difference

- All of these operations take two input relations, which must be ***union-compatible***:
  - Same number of fields.
  - `Corresponding' fields have the same type.
- For which, if any, is duplicate elimination required?

Union

sid	sname	rating	age
22	dustin	7	45.0
31	lubber	8	55.5
58	rusty	10	35.0

S1

sid	sname	rating	age
28	yuppy	9	35.0
31	lubber	8	55.5
44	guppy	5	35.0
58	rusty	10	35.0

S2

sid	sname	rating	age
22	dustin	7	45.0
31	lubber	8	55.5
58	rusty	10	35.0
44	guppy	5	35.0
28	yuppy	9	35.0

$S1 \cup S2$

Set Difference

sid	sname	rating	age
22	dustin	7	45.0
31	lubber	8	55.5
58	rusty	10	35.0

S1

sid	sname	rating	age
28	yuppy	9	35.0
31	lubber	8	55.5
44	guppy	5	35.0
58	rusty	10	35.0

S2

sid	sname	rating	age
22	dustin	7	45.0

$S1 - S2$

sid	sname	rating	age
28	yuppy	9	35.0
44	guppy	5	35.0

$S2 - S1$

Cross-Product

- S1 x R1: Each row of S1 paired with each row of R1.

  Q: How many rows in the result?

- *Result schema* has one field per field of S1 and R1, with field names `inherited' if possible.
  – *May have a naming conflict*: Both S1 and R1 have a field with the same name.
  – In this case, can use the *renaming operator*:

$$\rho\,(C(1 \to sid1, 5 \to sid2),\ S1 \times R1)$$

Cross Product Example

S1

sid	sname	rating	age
22	dustin	7	45.0
31	lubber	8	55.5
58	rusty	10	35.0

R1

sid	bid	day
22	101	10/10/96
58	103	11/12/96

$$\rho\,(C(1 \rightarrow sid1, 5 \rightarrow sid2), S1 \times R1) =$$

sid1	sname	rating	age	sid2	bid	day
22	dustin	7	45.0	22	101	10/10/96
22	dustin	7	45.0	58	103	11/12/96
31	lubber	8	55.5	22	101	10/10/96
31	lubber	8	55.5	58	103	11/12/96
58	rusty	10	35.0	22	101	10/10/96
58	rusty	10	35.0	58	103	11/12/96

Compound Operator: Intersection

- In addition to the 5 basic operators, there are several additional “Compound Operators”
  - These add no computational power to the language, but are useful shorthands.
  - Can be expressed solely with the basic ops.

Intersection takes two input relations, which must be ***union-compatible***.

- Q: How to express it using basic operators?

$$R \cap S = R - (R - S)$$

Intersection

sid	sname	rating	age
22	dustin	7	45.0
31	lubber	8	55.5
58	rusty	10	35.0

S1

sid	sname	rating	age
28	yuppy	9	35.0
31	lubber	8	55.5
44	guppy	5	35.0
58	rusty	10	35.0

S2

sid	sname	rating	age
31	lubber	8	55.5
58	rusty	10	35.0

$$S1 \cap S2$$

Compound Operator: Join ($\bowtie$)

- Joins are compound operators involving cross product, selection, and (sometimes) projection.

- Most common type of join is a "*natural join*" (often just called "join"). R$\bowtie$S conceptually is:
  - Compute R X S
  - Select rows where attributes that appear in both relations have equal values
  - Project all unique attributes and one copy of each of the common ones.

- Note: Usually done much more efficiently than this.
- Useful for putting "normalized" relations back together.

Natural Join Example

sid	bid	day
22	101	10/10/96
58	103	11/12/96

R1

sid	sname	rating	age
22	dustin	7	45.0
31	lubber	8	55.5
58	rusty	10	35.0

S1

$R1 \bowtie S1 =$

sid	sname	rating	age	bid	day
22	dustin	7	45.0	101	10/10/96
58	rusty	10	35.0	103	11/12/96

Other Types of Joins

- ***Condition Join (or "theta-join")***:

$$R \bowtie_c S = \sigma_c (R \times S)$$

- *Result schema* same as that of cross-product.
- May have fewer tuples than cross-product.

- ***Equi-Join*: Special case: condition *c* contains only conjunction of *equalities*.**

"Theta" Join Example

sid	bid	day
22	101	10/10/96
58	103	11/12/96

R1

sid	sname	rating	age
22	dustin	7	45.0
31	lubber	8	55.5
58	rusty	10	35.0

S1

$$S1 \bowtie_{S1.sid<R1.sid} R1 =$$

(sid)	sname	rating	age	(sid)	bid	day
22	dustin	7	45.0	58	103	11/12/96
31	lubber	8	55.5	58	103	11/12/96

Compound Operator: Division

- Useful for expressing "for all" queries like: *Find sids of sailors who have reserved all boats*.
- For A/B attributes of B are subset of attrs of A.
  – May need to "project" to make this happen.
- E.g., let *A* have 2 fields, *x* and *y*; *B* have only field *y*:

$$A/B = \left\{ \langle x \rangle \middle| \forall \langle y \rangle \in B (\exists \langle x, y \rangle \in A) \right\}$$

***A/B* contains all *x* tuples such that for *every* *y* tuple in *B*, there is an *xy* tuple in *A*.**

Examples of Division A/B

A

sno	pno
s1	p1
s1	p2
s1	p3
s1	p4
s2	p1
s2	p2
s3	p2
s4	p2
s4	p4

B1

pno
p2

B2

pno
p2
p4

B3

pno
p1
p2
p4

A/B1

sno
s1
s2
s3
s4

A/B2

sno
s1
s4

A/B3

sno
s1

Note: For relation instances A and B, A/B is the largest relation instance Q such that B x Q $\subseteq$ A

Expressing A/B Using Basic Operators

- **Division is not essential op; just a useful shorthand.**
  - (Also true of joins, but joins are so common that systems implement joins specially.)
- ***Idea*: For *A/B*, compute all *x* values that are not `disqualified' by some *y* value in *B*.**
  - *x* value is *disqualified* if by attaching *y* value from *B*, we obtain an *xy* tuple that is not in *A*.

Disqualified x values: $\pi_{x}((\pi_{x}(A) \times B) - A)$

A/B: $\pi_{x}(A) -$ Disqualified x values

Examples

Reserves

sid	bid	day
22	101	10/10/96
58	103	11/12/96

Sailors

sid	sname	rating	age
22	dustin	7	45.0
31	lubber	8	55.5
58	rusty	10	35.0

Boats

bid	bname	color
101	Interlake	Blue
102	Interlake	Red
103	Clipper	Green
104	Marine	Red

Find names of sailors who've reserved boat #103

- **Solution 1:** $\pi_{sname}((\sigma_{bid=103}\text{Reserves}) \bowtie Sailors)$
- **Solution 2:** $\pi_{sname}(\sigma_{bid=103}(\text{Reserves} \bowtie Sailors))$

Berkeley cs186

Find names of sailors who've reserved a red boat

- **Information about boat color only available in Boats; so need an extra join:**

$$\pi_{sname}((\sigma_{color='red'} Boats) \bowtie \text{Reserves} \bowtie Sailors)$$

❖ A more efficient (???) solution:

$$\rho_{sname}(\rho_{sid}((\rho_{bid}(s_{color='red'} Boats)) \bowtie \text{Res}) \bowtie Sailors)$$

☒ *A query optimizer can find this given the first solution!*

Find names of sailors who've reserved a red or a green boat

- **Can identify all red or green boats, then find sailors who've reserved one of these boats:**

$$\rho\ (Tempboats, (\sigma_{color='red' \vee color='green'}\ Boats))$$

$$\pi_{sname}(Tempboats \bowtie Reserves \bowtie Sailors)$$

Find sailors who've reserved a red <u>and</u> a green boat

- **Previous approach won't work! Must identify sailors who've reserved red boats, sailors who've reserved green boats, then find the intersection (note that *sid* is a key for Sailors):**

$$\rho\ (Tempred, \pi_{sid}((\sigma_{color='red'} Boats) \bowtie Reserves))$$

$$\rho\ (Tempgreen, \pi_{sid}((\sigma_{color='green'} Boats) \bowtie Reserves))$$

$$\pi_{sname}((Tempred \cap Tempgreen) \bowtie Sailors)$$

Find the names of sailors who've reserved all boats

- **Uses division; schemas of the input relations to / must be carefully chosen:**

$$\rho\ (Tempsids, (\pi_{sid,bid} Reserves) / (\pi_{bid} Boats))$$

$$\pi_{sname} (Tempsids \bowtie Sailors)$$

❖ To find sailors who've reserved all 'Interlake' boats:

$$\ldots\ldots \quad / \ \pi_{bid} (\sigma_{bname='Interlake'} Boats)$$