STA 360/602L: Module 5.4

HIERARCHICAL NORMAL MODELING OF MEANS AND VARIANCES

DR. OLANREWAJU MICHAEL AKANDE



HIERARCHICAL MODELING OF MEANS RECAP

We've looked at the hierarchical normal model of the form

$$egin{aligned} y_{ij} | heta_j, \sigma^2 &\sim \mathcal{N}\left(heta_j, \sigma^2
ight); & i = 1, \dots, n_j \ heta_j | \mu, au^2 &\sim \mathcal{N}\left(\mu, au^2
ight); & j = 1, \dots, J. \end{aligned}$$

- The model gives us an extra hierarchy through the prior on the means, leading to sharing of information across the groups, when estimating the group-specific means.
- We set the variance, σ^2 , as the same for all groups, to simplify posterior inference.
- We will relax that assumption in this module.

HIERARCHICAL MODELING OF MEANS AND VARIANCES

- Often researchers emphasize differences in means. However, variances can be very important.
- If we think means vary across groups, why shouldn't we worry about variances also varying across groups?
- In that case, we have the model

$$egin{aligned} y_{ij}| heta_j, \sigma^2 &\sim \mathcal{N}\left(heta_j, \sigma_j^2
ight); & i=1,\ldots,n_j \ heta_j|\mu, au^2 &\sim \mathcal{N}\left(\mu, au^2
ight); & j=1,\ldots,J, \end{aligned}$$

 \blacksquare However, now we also need a model on all the σ_j^2 's that lets us borrow information about across groups.

Posterior inference

■ Now we need to find a semi-conjugate distribution for the σ_j^2 's. Before, with one σ^2 , we had

$$\pi(\sigma^2) = \mathcal{IG}\left(rac{
u_0}{2},rac{
u_0\sigma_0^2}{2}
ight),$$

which was nicely semi-conjugate.

■ That suggests that maybe we should start with.

$$\sigma_1^2,\dots,\sigma_J^2|
u_0,\sigma_0^2\sim\mathcal{IG}\left(rac{
u_0}{2},rac{
u_0\sigma_0^2}{2}
ight)$$

- However, if we just fix the hyperparameters ν_0 and σ_0^2 in advance, the prior on the σ_j^2 's does not allow borrowing of information across other values of σ_j^2 , to aid in estimation.
- Thus, we actually need to treat ν_0 and σ_0^2 as parameters in a hierarchical model for both means and variances.



Posterior inference

Therefore, the full posterior is now:

$$\pi(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_J, \sigma_1^2, \dots, \sigma_J^2, \mu, \tau^2, \nu_0, \sigma_0^2 | Y) \propto p(y|\theta_1, \dots, \theta_J, \sigma_1^2, \dots, \sigma_J^2, \mu, \tau^2, \nu_0, \sigma_0^2)$$

$$\times p(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_J | \sigma_1^2, \dots, \sigma_J^2, \mu, \tau^2, \nu_0, \sigma_0^2)$$

$$\times p(\sigma_1^2, \dots, \sigma_J^2 | \mu, \tau^2, \nu_0, \sigma_0^2)$$

$$\times \pi(\mu, \tau^2, \nu_0, \sigma_0^2)$$

$$= p(y|\theta_1, \dots, \theta_J, \sigma_1^2, \dots, \sigma_J^2)$$

$$\times p(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_J | \mu, \tau^2)$$

$$\times p(\sigma_1^2, \dots, \sigma_J^2 | \nu_0, \sigma_0^2)$$

$$\times \pi(\mu) \cdot \pi(\tau^2) \cdot \pi(\nu_0) \cdot \pi(\sigma_0^2)$$

$$= \left\{ \prod_{j=1}^J \prod_{i=1}^{n_j} p(y_{ij}|\theta_j, \sigma_j^2) \right\}$$

$$\times \left\{ \prod_{j=1}^J p(\theta_j | \mu, \tau^2) \right\}$$

$$\times \left\{ \prod_{j=1}^J p(\sigma_j^2 | \nu_0, \sigma_0^2) \right\}$$

$$\times \pi(\mu) \cdot \pi(\tau^2) \cdot \pi(\nu_0) \cdot \pi(\sigma_0^2)$$

Full conditionals

- Notice that this new factorization won't affect the full conditionals for μ and τ^2 from before, since those have nothing to do with all the new σ_j^2 's.
- That is,

$$\pi(\mu|\cdots) = \mathcal{N}\left(\mu_n,\gamma_n^2
ight) \quad ext{where}$$

$$\gamma_n^2=rac{1}{\dfrac{J}{ au^2}+\dfrac{1}{\gamma_0^2}}; \qquad \mu_n=\gamma_n^2\left[\dfrac{J}{ au^2}ar{ heta}+\dfrac{1}{\gamma_0^2}\mu_0
ight],$$

and

$$\pi(au^2|\cdots\cdots)=\mathcal{IG}\left(rac{\eta_n}{2},rac{\eta_n au_n^2}{2}
ight) \quad ext{where}$$

$$\eta_n = \eta_0 + J; \qquad au_n^2 = rac{1}{\eta_n} igg[\eta_0 au_0^2 + \sum_{j=1}^J (heta_j - \mu)^2 igg] \, .$$

Full conditionals

■ The full conditional for each θ_j , we have

$$\pi(heta_j| heta_{-j},\mu,\sigma_1^2,\dots,\sigma_J^2, au^2,Y) \propto \left\{\prod_{i=1}^{n_j} p(y_{ij}| heta_j,\sigma_j^2)
ight\} \cdot p(heta_j|\mu, au^2)$$

with the only change from before being σ_i^2 .

■ That is, those terms still include a normal density for θ_j multiplied by a product of normals in which θ_j is the mean, again mirroring the previous case, so you can show that

$$\pi(heta_j| heta_{-j},\mu,\sigma_1^2,\dots,\sigma_J^2, au^2,Y) = \mathcal{N}\left(\mu_j^\star, au_j^\star
ight) \quad ext{where}$$
 $au_j^\star = rac{1}{rac{n_j}{\sigma_j^2} + rac{1}{ au^2}}; \qquad \mu_j^\star = au_j^\star \left[rac{n_j}{\sigma_j^2}ar{y}_j + rac{1}{ au^2}\mu
ight]$

How about within-group variances?

■ Before we get to the choice of the priors for ν_0 and σ_0^2 , we have enough to derive the full conditional for each σ_j^2 . This actually takes a similar form to what we had before we indexed by j, that is,

$$\pi(\sigma_j^2|\sigma_{-j}^2, heta_1,\ldots, heta_J,\mu, au^2,
u_0,\sigma_0^2,Y) \propto \left\{\prod_{i=1}^{n_j}p(y_{ij}| heta_j,\sigma_j^2)
ight\}\cdot\pi(\sigma_j^2|
u_0,\sigma_0^2)$$

 This still looks like what we had before, that is, products of normals and one inverse-gamma, so that

$$\pi(\sigma_j^2|\sigma_{-j}^2, heta_1,\dots, heta_J,\mu, au^2,
u_0,\sigma_0^2,Y) = \mathcal{IG}\left(rac{
u_j^\star}{2},rac{
u_j^\star\sigma_j^{2(\star)}}{2}
ight) \quad ext{where}$$

$$u_j^\star =
u_0 + n_j; \qquad \sigma_j^{2(\star)} = rac{1}{
u_j^\star} \Bigg[
u_0 \sigma_0^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{n_j} (y_{ij} - heta_j)^2 \Bigg] \,.$$

■ Now we can get back to priors for ν_0 and σ_0^2 . Turns out that a semiconjugate prior for σ_0^2 (you have seen this on the homework) is a gamma distribution. That is, if we set

$$\pi(\sigma_0^2) = \mathcal{G}a\left(a,b
ight),$$

then,

$$\pi(\sigma_0^2|\theta_1,\ldots,\theta_J,\sigma_1^2,\ldots,\sigma_J^2,\mu,\tau^2,\nu_0,Y) \propto \left\{ \prod_{j=1}^J p(\sigma_j^2|\nu_0,\sigma_0^2) \right\} \cdot \pi(\sigma_0^2)$$

$$\propto \mathcal{IG}\left(\sigma_j^2;\frac{\nu_0}{2},\frac{\nu_0\sigma_0^2}{2}\right) \cdot \mathcal{G}a\left(\sigma_0^2;a,b\right)$$

Recall that

$$lacksquare \mathcal{G}a(y;a,b)\equiv rac{b^a}{\Gamma(a)}y^{a-1}e^{-by}$$
, and

$$ullet \, \mathcal{IG}(y;a,b) \equiv rac{b^a}{\Gamma(a)} y^{-(a+1)} e^{-rac{b}{y}} \, .$$

lacksquare So $\pi(\sigma_0^2| heta_1,\ldots, heta_J,\sigma_1^2,\ldots,\sigma_J^2,\mu, au^2,
u_0,Y)$

$$\propto \left\{ \prod_{j=1}^{J} p(\sigma_{j}^{2} | \nu_{0}, \sigma_{0}^{2}) \right\} \cdot \pi(\sigma_{0}^{2})$$

$$\propto \prod_{j=1}^{J} \mathcal{IG}\left(\sigma_{j}^{2}; \frac{\nu_{0}}{2}, \frac{\nu_{0}\sigma_{0}^{2}}{2}\right) \cdot \mathcal{G}a\left(\sigma_{0}^{2}; a, b\right)$$

$$= \left[\prod_{j=1}^{J} \frac{\left(\frac{\nu_{0}\sigma_{0}^{2}}{2}\right)^{\left(\frac{\nu_{0}}{2}\right)}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{\nu_{0}}{2}\right)} (\sigma_{j}^{2})^{-\left(\frac{\nu_{0}}{2}+1\right)} e^{-\frac{\nu_{0}\sigma_{0}^{2}}{2(\sigma_{j}^{2})}} \right] \cdot \left[\frac{b^{a}}{\Gamma(a)} (\sigma_{0}^{2})^{a-1} e^{-b\sigma_{0}^{2}}\right]$$

$$\propto \left[\prod_{j=1}^{J} \left(\sigma_{0}^{2}\right)^{\left(\frac{\nu_{0}}{2}\right)} e^{-\frac{\nu_{0}\sigma_{0}^{2}}{2(\sigma_{j}^{2})}} \cdot \left[\left(\sigma_{0}^{2}\right)^{a-1} e^{-b\sigma_{0}^{2}}\right] \right]$$

$$\propto \left[\left(\sigma_{0}^{2}\right)^{\left(\frac{J\nu_{0}}{2}\right)} e^{-\sigma_{0}^{2}\left[\frac{\nu_{0}}{2}\sum_{j=1}^{J} \frac{1}{\sigma_{j}^{2}}\right]} \cdot \left[\left(\sigma_{0}^{2}\right)^{a-1} e^{-b\sigma_{0}^{2}}\right]$$

That is, the full conditional is

$$egin{aligned} \pi(\sigma_0^2|\cdots) & \propto \left[\left(\sigma_0^2
ight)^{\left(rac{J
u_0}{2}
ight)}_e^{-\sigma_0^2\left[rac{
u_0}{2}\int\limits_{j=1}^Jrac{1}{\sigma_j^2}
ight]}
ight] \cdot \left[\left(\sigma_0^2
ight)^{a-1}e^{-b\sigma_0^2}
ight] \ & \propto \left[\left(\sigma_0^2
ight)^{\left(a+rac{J
u_0}{2}-1
ight)}_e^{-\sigma_0^2\left[b+rac{
u_0}{2}\int\limits_{j=1}^Jrac{1}{\sigma_j^2}
ight]}
ight] \ & \equiv \mathcal{G}a\left(\sigma_0^2;a_n,b_n
ight), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$a_n = a + rac{J
u_0}{2}; \quad b_n = b + rac{
u_0}{2} \sum_{j=1}^J rac{1}{\sigma_j^2}.$$

- Ok that leaves us with one parameter to go, i.e., ν_0 . Turns out there is no simple conjugate/semi-conjugate prior for ν_0 .
- Common practice is to restrict ν_0 to be an integer (which makes sense when we think of it as being degrees of freedom, which also means it cannot be zero). With the restriction, we need a discrete distribution as the prior with support on $\nu_0=1,2,3,\ldots$
- ullet Question: Can we use either a binomial or a Poisson prior on for u_0 ?
- A popular choice is the geometric distribution with pmf $p(\nu_0) = (1-p)^{\nu_0-1}p$.
- However, we will rewrite the kernel as $\pi(\nu_0) \propto e^{-\alpha\nu_0}$. How did we get here from the geometric pmf and what is α ?

FINAL FULL CONDITIONAL

• With this prior, $\pi(\nu_0|\theta_1,\ldots,\theta_J,\sigma_1^2,\ldots,\sigma_J^2,\mu,\tau^2,\sigma_0^2,Y)$

$$\propto \left\{ \prod_{j=1}^{J} p(\sigma_{j}^{2} | \nu_{0}, \sigma_{0}^{2}) \right\} \cdot \pi(\nu_{0})$$

$$\propto \prod_{j=1}^{J} \mathcal{IG}\left(\sigma_{j}^{2}; \frac{\nu_{0}}{2}, \frac{\nu_{0}\sigma_{0}^{2}}{2}\right) \cdot e^{-\alpha\nu_{0}}$$

$$= \left[\prod_{j=1}^{J} \frac{\left(\frac{\nu_{0}\sigma_{0}^{2}}{2}\right)^{\left(\frac{\nu_{0}}{2}\right)}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{\nu_{0}}{2}\right)} \left(\sigma_{j}^{2}\right)^{-\left(\frac{\nu_{0}}{2}+1\right)} e^{-\frac{\nu_{0}\sigma_{0}^{2}}{2(\sigma_{j}^{2})}} \right] \cdot e^{-\alpha\nu_{0}}$$

$$\propto \left[\left(\frac{\left(\frac{\nu_{0}\sigma_{0}^{2}}{2}\right)^{\left(\frac{\nu_{0}}{2}\right)}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{\nu_{0}}{2}\right)}\right)^{J} \cdot \left(\prod_{j=1}^{J} \frac{1}{\sigma_{j}^{2}}\right)^{\left(\frac{\nu_{0}}{2}+1\right)} \cdot e^{-\nu_{0}} \left[\frac{\sigma_{0}^{2}}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{J} \frac{1}{\sigma_{j}^{2}}\right] \right] \cdot e^{-\alpha\nu_{0}}$$

FINAL FULL CONDITIONAL

That is, the full conditional is

$$\pi(
u_0|\cdots) \propto \left[\left(rac{\left(rac{
u_0\sigma_0^2}{2}
ight)^{\left(rac{
u_0}{2}
ight)}}{\Gamma\left(rac{
u_0}{2}
ight)}
ight)^J \cdot \left(\prod_{j=1}^J rac{1}{\sigma_j^2}
ight)^{\left(rac{
u_0}{2}+1
ight)} \cdot e^{-
u_0\left[lpha + rac{\sigma_0^2}{2}\sum\limits_{j=1}^J rac{1}{\sigma_j^2}
ight]}
ight],$$

which is not a known kernel and is thus unnormalized (i.e., does not integrate to 1 in its current form).

- This sure looks like a lot, but it will be relatively easy to compute in R.
- Now, technically, the support is $\nu_0=1,2,3,\ldots$, however, we can compute this to compute the unnormalized distribution across a grid of ν_0 values, say, $\nu_0=1,2,3,\ldots,K$ for some large K, and then sample.

FINAL FULL CONDITIONAL

- One more thing, computing these probabilities on the raw scale can be problematic particularly because of the product inside. Good idea to transform to the log scale instead.
- That is,

$$\pi(
u_0|\cdots) \propto \left[\left(rac{\left(rac{
u_0\sigma_0^2}{2}
ight)^{\left(rac{
u_0}{2}
ight)}}{\Gamma\left(rac{
u_0}{2}
ight)}
ight)^J \cdot \left(\prod_{j=1}^J rac{1}{\sigma_j^2}
ight)^{\left(rac{
u_0}{2}-1
ight)} \cdot e^{-
u_0\left[lpha + rac{\sigma_0^2}{2}\sum\limits_{j=1}^J rac{1}{\sigma_j^2}
ight]}
ight]$$

$$\Rightarrow \ln \pi(\nu_0|\cdots) \propto \left(\frac{J\nu_0}{2}\right) \ln \left(\frac{\nu_0 \sigma_0^2}{2}\right) - J \ln \left[\Gamma\left(\frac{\nu_0}{2}\right)\right] \\ + \left(\frac{\nu_0}{2} + 1\right) \left(\sum_{j=1}^{J} \ln \left[\frac{1}{\sigma_j^2}\right]\right) \\ - \nu_0 \left[\alpha + \frac{\sigma_0^2}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{J} \frac{1}{\sigma_j^2}\right]$$

Full Model

As a recap, the final model is therefore:

WHAT'S NEXT?

MOVE ON TO THE READINGS FOR THE NEXT MODULE!

