Array and Hashing

1. Two Sum

Pattern: Arrays & Hashing

Problem Statement

Given an array of integers nums and an integer target, return indices of the two numbers such that they add up to target.

You may assume that each input would have exactly one solution, and you may not use the same element twice.

You can return the answer in any order.

Sample Input & Output

```
Input: nums = [2,7,11,15], target = 9
Output: [0,1]
Explanation: nums[0] + nums[1] == 9, so we return [0, 1].
```

```
Input: nums = [3,3], target = 6
Output: [0,1]
Explanation: Two identical elements at different indices are valid.
```

```
Input: nums = [1,2,3], target = 7
Output: [] (or raises; but problem guarantees one solution)
```

```
from typing import List
class Solution:
   def twoSum(self, nums: List[int], target: int) -> List[int]:
       # STEP 1: Initialize hash map to store value → index
       # - Why? To check in O(1) if complement (target - num) exists
       seen = {}
       # STEP 2: Iterate through array with index
       # - Why index? We need to return positions, not values
       for i, num in enumerate(nums):
           complement = target - num
           # STEP 3: Check if complement already seen
           # - If yes, we found our pair: current index + stored index
           if complement in seen:
               return [seen[complement], i]
               # return [complement, num] -> returns the actual number
           # STEP 4: Store current number and index for future lookup
           # - Why here? To avoid using same element twice
           seen[num] = i
       # STEP 5: Return empty if no solution (per constraints, won't happen)
       # - Included for safety / clarity
       return []
# ----- INLINE TESTS -----
if __name__ == "__main__":
   sol = Solution()
   # Test 1: Normal case
   result1 = sol.twoSum([2, 7, 11, 15], 9)
```

```
print(f"Test 1: {result1} \rightarrow Expected: [0, 1]")
assert result1 == [0, 1], "Test 1 Failed"

# Test 2: Edge case - duplicate values
result2 = sol.twoSum([3, 3], 6)
print(f"Test 2: {result2} \rightarrow Expected: [0, 1]")
assert result2 == [0, 1], "Test 2 Failed"

# Test 3: Tricky - negative numbers
result3 = sol.twoSum([-1, -2, -3, -4, -5], -8)
print(f"Test 3: {result3} \rightarrow Expected: [2, 4]")
assert result3 == [2, 4], "Test 3 Failed"

print(" All inline tests passed!")
```

Example Walkthrough

Let's walk through nums = [2, 7, 11, 15], target = 9.

Initial state:

seen = {} — empty hash map.

We'll iterate with index i and value num.

Step 1 - i=0, num=2:

- complement = 9 2 = 7
- Is 7 in seen? No \rightarrow skip return
- Store seen[2] = $0 \rightarrow \text{seen} = \{2: 0\}$
- \rightarrow Why store? So if later we see 7, we know 2 was at index 0.

Step 2 - i=1, num=7:

- -complement = 9 7 = 2
- Is 2 in seen? Yes \rightarrow at index 0
- Return [seen[2], 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]
- \rightarrow Why not store 7 first?

Because we check before storing — this ensures we never use same index twice.

 \rightarrow Pattern insight:

We trade space (hash map) for time — instead of nested loops $O(n^2)$, we do one pass O(n). Hashing lets us "remember" what we've seen and instantly find complements.

Complexity Analysis

• Time Complexity: O(n)

We traverse the list once. Each hash map lookup and insertion is $\mathrm{O}(1)$ average case.

• Space Complexity: O(n)

In worst case, we store n-1 elements in the hash map before finding the solution.

2. Contains Duplicate

Pattern: Arrays & Hashing

Problem Statement

Given an integer array nums, return true if any value appears at least twice in the array, and return false if every element is distinct.

Sample Input & Output

```
Input: nums = [1,2,3,1]
Output: true
Explanation: The number 1 appears twice.

Input: nums = [1,2,3,4]
Output: false
Explanation: All elements are unique.

Input: nums = [1]
Output: false
Explanation: Single element cannot have duplicates.
```

```
from typing import List
class Solution:
    def containsDuplicate(self, nums: List[int]) -> bool:
        # STEP 1: Initialize hash set to track seen numbers
        # - Set gives O(1) lookup; tracks uniqueness efficiently
        seen = set()
        # STEP 2: Iterate through each number
        # - If num already in set → duplicate found → return True
        for num in nums:
           if num in seen:
                return True
            seen.add(num) # Add to set for future checks
        # STEP 3: No duplicates found during iteration
        # - Return False only after full traversal
        return False
        # -> below mentioned code also do the trick
        # return True if len(set(nums)) != len(num) else False
```

Example Walkthrough

Let's walk through nums = [1, 2, 3, 1] step by step:

Initial State:

```
- seen = set() — empty
- nums = [1, 2, 3, 1]
```

Step 1: Process num = 1

- Check: Is 1 in seen? \rightarrow No
- Action: Add 1 to seen \rightarrow seen = {1}
- Why? We record that we've seen 1 so future 1s will trigger duplicate.

Step 2: Process num = 2

- Check: Is 2 in seen? \rightarrow No
- Action: Add $2 \rightarrow \text{seen} = \{1, 2\}$
- Why? Still building our uniqueness tracker.

Step 3: Process num = 3

- Check: Is 3 in seen? \rightarrow No
- Action: Add $3 \rightarrow \text{seen} = \{1, 2, 3\}$
- Why? No duplicate yet continue.

Step 4: Process num = 1 (again)

- Check: Is 1 in seen? \rightarrow Yes!
- Action: Immediately return True
- Why? We found a duplicate no need to process further.
- Pattern Insight: Hashing lets us detect reoccurrence in O(1), breaking early saves time.

Final Output: True

This exemplifies the **Arrays & Hashing** pattern:

- \rightarrow Use a hash set to track what we've seen.
- \rightarrow Leverage O(1) average lookup to detect duplicates on the fly.
- \rightarrow Early termination optimizes best-case performance.

Complexity Analysis

• Time Complexity: O(n)

We iterate through the array once. Each in check and add operation on the set is O(1) average case. Worst-case total = O(n).

• Space Complexity: O(n)

In the worst case (all elements unique), we store all n elements in the set. Space scales linearly with input size.

3. Majority Element

Pattern: Arrays & Hashing

Problem Statement

Given an array nums of size n, return the majority element.

The majority element is the element that appears more than $\,n$ / 2 times. You may assume that the majority element always exists in the array.

Sample Input & Output

```
Input: nums = [3,2,3]
Output: 3
Explanation: 3 appears 2 times > 3/2 = 1 → majority.

Input: nums = [2,2,1,1,1,2,2]
Output: 2
Explanation: 2 appears 4 times > 7/2 = 3 → majority.

Input: nums = [1]
Output: 1
Explanation: Single element is always majority by definition.
```

```
from typing import List
class Solution:
    def majorityElement(self, nums: List[int]) -> int:
        # STEP 1: Initialize hash map to count occurrences
        # - Tracks frequency of each element for quick lookup
       count = {}
       # STEP 2: Iterate and count each element
       # - Invariant: after each step, count[x] = freq of x so far
       for num in nums:
           count[num] = count.get(num, 0) + 1
           # Early exit: if any count exceeds n//2, return immediately
           # - Optimization: avoids full scan if found early
           if count[num] > len(nums) // 2:
               return num
       # STEP 3: Return fallback (problem guarantees existence)
        # - Should never reach here per problem constraint
       return nums[0]
# ----- INLINE TESTS -----
if __name__ == "__main__":
   sol = Solution()
   # Test 1: Normal case
   result1 = sol.majorityElement([3, 2, 3])
   print(f"Test 1 - Input: [3,2,3] → Output: {result1} (Expected: 3)")
    assert result1 == 3, "Test 1 Failed"
    # Test 2: Edge case - single element
   result2 = sol.majorityElement([1])
   print(f"Test 2 - Input: [1] → Output: {result2} (Expected: 1)")
   assert result2 == 1, "Test 2 Failed"
    # Test 3: Tricky case - long sequence, majority late
   result3 = sol.majorityElement([1, 1, 1, 2, 2, 2, 2])
   print(f"Test 3 - Input: [1,1,1,2,2,2,2] → Output: {result3} "
         f"(Expected: 2)")
    assert result3 == 2, "Test 3 Failed"
```

```
print(" All tests passed")
```

Example Walkthrough

 \rightarrow count[2] = 2 + 1 = 3

Let's walk through nums = [2,2,1,1,1,2,2] step by step:

Initial State:

- count = {} (empty dictionary)
- len(nums) = 7, so majority threshold = 7//2 = 3

```
Step 1: num = 2
\rightarrow count.get(2, 0) + 1 = 0 + 1 = 1
\rightarrow count = {2: 1}
\rightarrow 1 > 3? \rightarrow No \rightarrow continue
Step 2: num = 2
\rightarrow count[2] = 1 + 1 = 2
\rightarrow count = {2: 2}
\rightarrow 2 > 3? \rightarrow No \rightarrow continue
Step 3: num = 1
\rightarrow count[1] = 0 + 1 = 1
\rightarrow count = {2:2, 1:1}
\rightarrow 1 > 3? \rightarrow No \rightarrow continue
Step 4: num = 1
\rightarrow count[1] = 1 + 1 = 2
\rightarrow count = {2:2, 1:2}
\rightarrow 2 > 3? \rightarrow No \rightarrow continue
Step 5: num = 1
\rightarrow count[1] = 2 + 1 = 3
\rightarrow count = {2:2, 1:3}
\rightarrow 3 > 3? \rightarrow No \rightarrow continue (note: must be >, not )
Step 6: num = 2
```

```
\rightarrow count = {2:3, 1:3}

\rightarrow 3 > 3? \rightarrow No \rightarrow continue

Step 7: num = 2

\rightarrow count[2] = 3 + 1 = 4

\rightarrow count = {2:4, 1:3}

\rightarrow 4 > 3? \rightarrow YES \rightarrow return 2
```

Why each step matters: - Counting with dict: Lets us track exact frequencies — core of hashing pattern. - Early return: Minor optimization — not required, but good practice. - No fallback needed: Problem guarantees majority exists, so we will hit return in loop.

Pattern Insight:

This is classic **Arrays & Hashing** — use a hash map to trade space for time, avoiding nested loops. Instead of $O(n^2)$, we get O(n) by storing what we've seen.

Complexity Analysis

• Time Complexity: O(n)

Single pass through array. Each dictionary get and assignment is O(1) average case.

• Space Complexity: O(n)

In worst case, all elements are distinct until majority is found — hash map stores up to n keys.

(Note: Boyer-Moore algorithm can solve this in O(1) space — but that's a different pattern.) -> stick with hashing for cleaner implementation.

4. Valid Anagram

Pattern: Arrays & Hashing

Problem Statement

Given two strings s and t, return true if t is an anagram of s, and false otherwise.

An **anagram** is a word or phrase formed by rearranging the letters of a different word or phrase, typically using all the original letters exactly once.

Sample Input & Output

```
Input: s = "anagram", t = "nagaram"
Output: true
Explanation: All characters in 't' are a rearrangement of 's'.

Input: s = "rat", t = "car"
Output: false
Explanation: 't' has different characters than 's'.

Input: s = "", t = ""
Output: true
Explanation: Two empty strings are trivially anagrams.
```

```
from typing import List

class Solution:
    def isAnagram(self, s: str, t: str) -> bool:
        # STEP 1: Initialize structures
        # - Use two hash maps (dicts) to count char frequencies in s and t.
        # - More flexible than array - handles any Unicode char.
        if len(s) != len(t):
            return False

        count_s, count_t = {}, {}
```

```
# STEP 2: Main loop - populate both hash maps
       # - Traverse by index since strings are same length.
       # - Use .get() to safely handle missing keys.
       for i in range(len(s)):
           count s[s[i]] = 1 + count s.get(s[i], 0)
           count_t[t[i]] = 1 + count_t.get(t[i], 0)
       # STEP 3: Update state / bookkeeping
          - No incremental update needed - we build full maps first.
           - Final comparison validates anagram property.
       # STEP 4: Return result
       # - Direct dict equality check - Python compares keys & values.
       return count_s == count_t
# ----- INLINE TESTS -----
if __name__ == "__main__":
   sol = Solution()
   # Test 1: Normal case
   assert sol.isAnagram("anagram", "nagaram") == True, "Normal case failed"
   print(" Test 1 passed: 'anagram' vs 'nagaram'")
   # Test 2: Edge case - empty strings
   assert sol.isAnagram("", "") == True, "Empty strings should be anagrams"
   print(" Test 2 passed: empty strings")
   # Test 3: Tricky/negative - different letters
   assert sol.isAnagram("rat", "car") == False, "Mismatched letters"
   print(" Test 3 passed: 'rat' vs 'car'")
```

Example Walkthrough

```
Let's walk through s = "anagram", t = "nagaram":

Initial State: count_s = {}, count_t = {}, and len(s) == len(t) → proceed.
```

```
After i=0 (s[0]='a', t[0]='n'):
\rightarrow count_s['a'] = 1 + 0 \rightarrow {'a': 1}
\rightarrow count_t['n'] = 1 + 0 \rightarrow {'n': 1}
 After i=1 (s[1]='n', t[1]='a'):
\rightarrow count s['n'] = 1 + 0 \rightarrow {'a':1, 'n':1}
\rightarrow count_t['a'] = 1 + 0 \rightarrow {'n':1, 'a':1}
 Continue to end:
\rightarrow 'a' appears 3x in both \rightarrow count_s['a']=3, count_t['a']=3
\rightarrow 'g', 'r', 'm', 'n' each appear 1x \rightarrow all match
 Final Comparison:
\rightarrow count_s == {'a':3, 'n':1, 'g':1, 'r':1, 'm':1}
\rightarrow count_t == {'n':1, 'a':3, 'g':1, 'r':1, 'm':1}
\rightarrow Dictionaries are equal \rightarrow return True
```

Why necessary?

- \rightarrow Length check avoids unnecessary work and index errors.
- → .get(key, 0) prevents KeyError critical for robust hashing.
- → Direct dict1 == dict2 leverages Python's deep equality clean and readable.
- → This exemplifies frequency counting with hash maps a foundational Arrays & Hashing technique.

Complexity Analysis

• Time Complexity: O(n)

Single pass over both strings (n steps), then O(1) dict comparison (since alphabet size is bounded — even for Unicode, in practice it's limited per input).

Space Complexity: O(k)

Where k = number of unique characters. In worst case, k = n (all chars distinct). For lowercase English, k $26 \rightarrow$ effectively O(1). For general Unicode, O(k) where k scales with input diversity.

5. Group Anagrams

Pattern: Arrays & Hashing

Problem Statement

Given an array of strings strs, group the anagrams together. You can return the answer in any order.

An Anagram is a word or phrase formed by rearranging the letters of a different word or phrase, typically using all the original letters exactly once.

Constraints: - 1 <= strs.length <= 10^4 - 0 <= strs[i].length <= 100 - strs[i] consists of lowercase English letters.

Sample Input & Output

```
Input: strs = ["eat","tea","tan","ate","nat","bat"]
Output: [["eat","tea","ate"],["tan","nat"],["bat"]]
Explanation: Words with same frequency signature
grouped together.

Input: strs = [""]
Output: [[""]]
Explanation: Single empty string forms its own group.

Input: strs = ["a"]
Output: [["a"]]
Explanation: Single letter trivially grouped.
```

```
from typing import List
from collections import defaultdict

class Solution:
    def groupAnagrams(self, strs: List[str]) -> List[List[str]]:
        # STEP 1: Create hashmap to group by freq signature
        anagram_map = defaultdict(list) # -> defaultdict(list, {})
```

```
for word in strs:
           # STEP 2: Count frequency of 26 letters
           freq = [0] * 26
           for ch in word:
               freq[ord(ch) - ord('a')] += 1
           # STEP 3: Use tuple of freq as key
           key = tuple(freq)
           anagram_map[key].append(word)
       # STEP 4: Return grouped anagrams
       return list(anagram_map.values())
# ----- INLINE TESTS -----
if __name__ == "__main__":
   sol = Solution()
   # Test 1: Normal case
   strs1 = ["eat", "tea", "tan", "ate", "nat", "bat"]
   print(sol.groupAnagrams(strs1))
   # Expected: groups like [["eat", "tea", "ate"], ["tan", "nat"], ["bat"]]
   # Test 2: Edge case - single empty string
   strs2 = [""]
   print(sol.groupAnagrams(strs2))
   # Expected: [[""]]
   # Test 3: Tricky case - single letter
   strs3 = ["a"]
   print(sol.groupAnagrams(strs3))
   # Expected: [["a"]]
```

Detailed Example Walkthrough

```
Pick input: ["eat", "tea", "tan", "ate", "nat", "bat"].
```

- 1. **Initialize**: anagram_map = {} (empty defaultdict). Tracks groups keyed by letter-frequency tuple.
- 2. Word = "eat"
 - freq = $[1,0,0,0,1,0,\ldots,1,\ldots]$
 - $\text{key} = (1,0,0,0,1,0,\ldots,1,\ldots)$
 - $Add \rightarrow anagram_map[key] = ["eat"].$
- 3. Word = "tea"
 - Same frequency tuple as "eat".
 - Append → ["eat", "tea"].
- 4. Word = " \tan "
 - freq different \rightarrow new key.
 - $Add \rightarrow ["tan"]$.
- 5. Word = "ate"
 - Same key as "eat".
 - Append \rightarrow ["eat", "tea", "ate"].
- 6. Word = "nat"
 - Same key as "tan".
 - Append \rightarrow ["tan", "nat"].
- 7. Word = "bat"
 - New frequency key \rightarrow ["bat"].
- 8. Final Output: [["eat","tea","ate"],["tan","nat"],["bat"]].

Pattern Insight: We reduce each string into a hashable signature (frequency tuple). Hashing ensures O(1) group lookup, which is the core of Arrays & Hashing mastery.

Complexity Analysis

• Time Complexity:

- For each word (n words), count letters (k length).
- Total: O(n * k).

• Space Complexity:

- Hashmap stores up to **n** groups.
- Each key is a 26-length tuple.
- Total: O(n * k) for output + O(26) per key.

"