Dynamic Programming

1. Climbing Stairs

Pattern: Dynamic Programming (1D — Bottom-Up)

Problem Statement

You are climbing a staircase. It takes n steps to reach the top. Each time you can either climb 1 or 2 steps.

In how many distinct ways can you climb to the top?

Sample Input & Output

```
Input: n = 2
Output: 2
Explanation: There are two ways: (1+1) or (2).

Input: n = 3
Output: 3
Explanation: (1+1+1), (1+2), (2+1).

Input: n = 1
Output: 1
Explanation: Only one way: (1).
```

```
class Solution:
   def climbStairs(self, n: int) -> int:
       # STEP 1: Initialize structures
       # - Use two variables to track ways to reach
            previous two steps (space-optimized DP).
       if n == 1:
           return 1
       prev2 = 1 # ways to reach step 1
       prev1 = 2 # ways to reach step 2
       # STEP 2: Main loop / recursion
       # - For each step i from 3 to n, total ways =
            ways(i-1) + ways(i-2)
         - This maintains the Fibonacci recurrence.
       for i in range(3, n + 1):
           curr = prev1 + prev2 # new total ways
           # STEP 3: Update state / bookkeeping
           # - Shift window: prev2 becomes prev1,
               prev1 becomes curr for next iteration.
           prev2 = prev1
           prev1 = curr
       # STEP 4: Return result
       # - prev1 holds ways to reach step n
       return prev1
if __name__ == "__main__":
   sol = Solution()
   # Test 1: Normal case
   assert sol.climbStairs(3) == 3, "Test 1 failed"
   # Test 2: Edge case
   assert sol.climbStairs(1) == 1, "Test 2 failed"
   # Test 3: Tricky/negative
   assert sol.climbStairs(5) == 8, "Test 3 failed"
```

```
print(" All tests passed!")
```

Example Walkthrough

We'll trace climbStairs(5) step by step:

- 1. Initial check: n = 5 $1 \rightarrow \text{skip return}$.
- Set prev2 = 1 (ways to reach step 1).
 Set prev1 = 2 (ways to reach step 2).
- 3. Enter loop for i = 3 to 5:
 - i = 3:
 curr = 2 + 1 = 3
 Update: prev2 = 2, prev1 = 3
 i = 4:
 curr = 3 + 2 = 5
 Update: prev2 = 3, prev1 = 5
 i = 5:
 curr = 5 + 3 = 8
 Update: prev2 = 5, prev1 = 8
- 4. Return prev1 = 8.

Final state:

```
- prev2 = 5 (ways for step 4)
- prev1 = 8 (ways for step 5) \rightarrow output = 8
```

This matches the 8 distinct sequences: (1,1,1,1,1), (1,1,1,2), (1,1,2,1), (1,2,1,1), (2,1,1,1), (1,2,2), (2,1,2), (2,2,1).

Key insight: The problem follows the **Fibonacci sequence**, where each step's count depends only on the two before it — perfect for **space-optimized DP**.

Complexity Analysis

• Time Complexity: O(n)

Single loop from 3 to $n \to \text{runs } n - 2 \text{ times} \to \text{linear}$.

• Space Complexity: 0(1)

Only three integer variables used (prev2, prev1, curr) — constant extra space.

2. House Robber

Pattern: Dynamic Programming (1D)

Problem Statement

You are a professional robber planning to rob houses along a street. Each house has a certain amount of money stashed, the only constraint stopping you from robbing each of them is that adjacent houses have security systems connected and it will automatically contact the police if two adjacent houses were broken into on the same night.

Given an integer array **nums** representing the amount of money of each house, return the maximum amount of money you can rob tonight without alerting the police.

Sample Input & Output

```
Input: nums = [1,2,3,1]
Output: 4
Explanation: Rob house 1 (money = 1) and then rob house 3 (money = 3).
Total = 1 + 3 = 4.

Input: nums = [2,7,9,3,1]
Output: 12
Explanation: Rob house 1 (2), house 3 (9), and house 5 (1).
Total = 2 + 9 + 1 = 12.
```

```
Input: nums = [2]
Output: 2
Explanation: Only one house - rob it.
```

```
from typing import List
class Solution:
    def rob(self, nums: List[int]) -> int:
        # STEP 1: Initialize structures
        # - Use two variables to track max profit up to previous
             two houses (dp[i-1] and dp[i-2]).
           - Avoid O(n) space by rolling variables.
        if not nums:
           return 0
        n = len(nums)
        if n == 1:
           return nums[0]
        # prev2 = max profit up to house i-2
        # prev1 = max profit up to house i-1
        prev2 = nums[0]
        prev1 = max(nums[0], nums[1])
        # STEP 2: Main loop / recursion
          - For each house i (starting at index 2), decide:
                rob i + prev2 OR skip i → keep prev1
           - Maintain invariant: prev1 always holds best up to i-1
        for i in range(2, n):
            current = max(prev1, prev2 + nums[i])
            # STEP 3: Update state / bookkeeping
            # - Shift window: prev2 ← prev1, prev1 ← current
            # - Critical: update in correct order to avoid overwrite
            prev2 = prev1
            prev1 = current
```

Example Walkthrough

```
We'll trace rob([2, 1, 1, 2]) step by step.
```

```
Initial state:
```

```
- nums = [2, 1, 1, 2]
- n = 4 → skip base cases (n > 1)
- prev2 = nums[0] = 2
- prev1 = max(2, 1) = 2

Loop starts at i = 2 (third house, value = 1):
- current = max(prev1=2, prev2=2 + nums[2]=1) = max(2, 3) = 3
- Update: prev2 = prev1 = 2 → prev1 = current = 3
- State: prev2=2, prev1=3
i = 3 (fourth house, value = 2):
- current = max(prev1=3, prev2=2 + nums[3]=2) = max(3, 4) = 4
- Update: prev2 = 3, prev1 = 4
- State: prev2=3, prev1=4
Loop ends → return prev1 = 4.
```

Final output: 4

Key insight: At each house, we only need the best totals from **two steps back** (so we can safely rob current) and **one step back** (so we skip current). This avoids storing full DP array.

Complexity Analysis

• Time Complexity: O(n)

Single pass through the array (for loop runs n-2 times). Each step does $\mathrm{O}(1)$ work.

• Space Complexity: 0(1)

Only two extra variables (prev1, prev2) used — constant space regardless of input size.

3. Decode Ways

Pattern: Dynamic Programming (1D)

Problem Statement

A message containing letters from A-Z can be encoded into numbers using the following mapping:

'A' -> "1", 'B' -> "2", ..., 'Z' -> "26".

To decode an encoded message, all the digits must be grouped then mapped back into letters using the reverse of the mapping above (there may be multiple ways). Given a string s containing only digits, return the **number of ways** to decode it. The test cases are generated so that the answer fits in a **32-bit integer**.

Sample Input & Output

```
Input: "12"
Output: 2
Explanation: "12" could be decoded as "AB" (1 2) or "L" (12).

Input: "226"
Output: 3
Explanation: "226" could be decoded as "BZ" (2 26), "VF" (22 6), or "BBF" (2 2 6).

Input: "06"
Output: 0
Explanation: "06" cannot be mapped to 'F' because leading zero is invalid ("06" "6").
```

```
from typing import List
class Solution:
    def numDecodings(self, s: str) -> int:
        # STEP 1: Initialize structures
        # - dp[i] = number of ways to decode s[:i]
        # - Use two variables to save space: prev2 = dp[i-2], prev1 = dp[i-1]
        if not s or s[0] == '0':
           return 0
        n = len(s)
        \# dp[-1] = 1 (empty string has 1 way), dp[0] = 1
        prev2 = 1 # dp[i-2]
        prev1 = 1 # dp[i-1]
        # STEP 2: Main loop / recursion
        # - Iterate from index 1 to n-1
        # - At each step, consider single-digit and two-digit decoding
        for i in range(1, n):
           current = 0
```

```
# Check single-digit decode (s[i])
           if s[i] != '0':
               current += prev1
           # Check two-digit decode (s[i-1:i+1])
           two_digit = int(s[i-1:i+1])
           if 10 <= two_digit <= 26:</pre>
               current += prev2
           # STEP 3: Update state / bookkeeping
           # - If current is 0, no valid decoding → early break
           if current == 0:
               return 0
           # Shift window: prev2 <- prev1, prev1 <- current
           prev2, prev1 = prev1, current
       # STEP 4: Return result
       # - prev1 holds dp[n], the total ways
       return prev1
# ----- INLINE TESTS -----
if __name__ == "__main__":
   sol = Solution()
   # Test 1: Normal case
   assert (sol.numDecodings("12") == 2,
           f"Expected 2, got {sol.numDecodings('12')}")
   # Test 2: Edge case - leading zero
   assert (sol.numDecodings("06") == 0,
           f"Expected 0, got {sol.numDecodings('06')}")
   # Test 3: Tricky/negative - valid two-digit but zero in middle
   assert (sol.numDecodings("226") == 3,
           f"Expected 3, got {sol.numDecodings('226')}")
   print(" All tests passed!")
```

Example Walkthrough

We'll walk through s = "226" step by step.

Initial state:

- -s = "226", length = 3
- -s[0] = '2' '0' \rightarrow valid start
- prev2 = 1 (ways to decode empty prefix)
- prev1 = 1 (ways to decode "2" \rightarrow just "B")

Iteration i = 1 (processing second char '2'):

- -current = 0
- Single-digit: $s[1] = '2' \quad '0' \rightarrow \mathrm{add} \; \mathrm{prev1} = 1 \rightarrow \mathrm{current} = 1$
- Two-digit: s[0:2] = "22" \rightarrow 22 [10,26] \rightarrow add prev2 = 1 \rightarrow current = 2
- Update: prev2 = 1, prev1 = 2

State: prev2=1, prev1=2 \rightarrow 2 ways to decode "22": ("B","B") or ("V")

Iteration i = 2 (processing third char '6'):

- current = 0
- Single-digit: $s[2] = '6' \quad '0' \rightarrow add \; prev1 = 2 \rightarrow current = 2$
- Two-digit: $s[1:3] = "26" \rightarrow 26 \quad [10,26] \rightarrow add \; prev2 = 1 \rightarrow current = 3$
- Update: prev2 = 2, prev1 = 3

Final return: prev1 = 3

Output: 3

Key insight: At each step, we only need the last two results — classic **space-optimized DP**.

Complexity Analysis

• Time Complexity: O(n)

We iterate through the string once. Each step does constant work (digit checks, integer conversion of 2-char substring).

• Space Complexity: 0(1)

Only two integer variables (prev2, prev1) are used, regardless of input size. No recursion stack or DP array.

4. Combination Sum IV

Pattern: Dynamic Programming (1D / Unbounded Knapsack with Permutations)

Problem Statement

Given an array of **distinct** integers **nums** and a target integer **target**, return the number of possible **combinations** that add up to **target**.

The test cases are generated so that the answer can fit in a 32-bit integer.

Note: Different sequences are counted as different combinations. For example, [1,2] and [2,1] are two distinct combinations.

Sample Input & Output

```
Input: nums = [1,2,3], target = 4
Output: 7
Explanation: The possible combination ways are:
(1,1,1,1), (1,1,2), (1,2,1), (2,1,1), (1,3), (3,1), (2,2)
```

```
Input: nums = [9], target = 3
Output: 0
Explanation: No combination possible since 9 > 3.
```

```
Input: nums = [1], target = 1
Output: 1
Explanation: Only one way: [1].
```

```
from typing import List
class Solution:
    def combinationSum4(self, nums: List[int], target: int) -> int:
       # STEP 1: Initialize DP array
       # - dp[i] = number of ways to form sum i
       \# - dp[0] = 1 (one way to make sum 0: choose nothing)
       dp = [0] * (target + 1)
       dp[0] = 1
       # STEP 2: Main loop over all sums from 1 to target
       # - For each sum i, try every num in nums
       \# - If num <= i, we can extend combinations that sum to (i - num)
       for i in range(1, target + 1):
           for num in nums:
               if num <= i:</pre>
                   dp[i] += dp[i - num]
       # STEP 3: Return result
       # - dp[target] holds total permutations that sum to target
       return dp[target]
# ----- INLINE TESTS -----
if __name__ == "__main__":
   sol = Solution()
    # Test 1: Normal case
    assert sol.combinationSum4([1, 2, 3], 4) == 7
    # Test 2: Edge case - no solution
    assert sol.combinationSum4([9], 3) == 0
```

```
# Test 3: Tricky/negative - single element match
assert sol.combinationSum4([1], 1) == 1
print(" All tests passed!")
```

Example Walkthrough

```
We'll trace nums = [1, 2, 3], target = 4.
Initial state:
-dp = [1, 0, 0, 0, 0] (length 5, index 0 to 4)
Step-by-step:
   1. i = 1
         • Try num = 1: 1 1 \rightarrow dp[1] += dp[0] \rightarrow dp[1] = 1
         • num = 2: 2 > 1 \rightarrow skip
         • num = 3: 3 > 1 \rightarrow \text{skip}
            \rightarrow dp = [1, 1, 0, 0, 0]
   2. i = 2
         • num = 1: 1 2 \rightarrow dp[2] += dp[1] \rightarrow dp[2] = 1
         • num = 2: 2 2 \rightarrow dp[2] += dp[0] \rightarrow dp[2] = 1 + 1 = 2
         • num = 3: skip
            \rightarrow dp = [1, 1, 2, 0, 0]
   3. i = 3
         • num = 1: dp[3] += dp[2] \rightarrow 0 + 2 = 2
         • num = 2: dp[3] += dp[1] \rightarrow 2 + 1 = 3
         • num = 3: dp[3] += dp[0] \rightarrow 3 + 1 = 4
            \rightarrow dp = [1, 1, 2, 4, 0]
```

4. i = 4

• num = 1: dp[4] += dp[3]
$$\rightarrow 0 + 4 = 4$$

• num = 2: dp[4] += dp[2]
$$\rightarrow$$
 4 + 2 = 6

• num = 3: dp[4] += dp[1]
$$\rightarrow$$
 6 + 1 = 7 \rightarrow dp = [1, 1, 2, 4, 7]

Final output: dp[4] = 7

Key insight: Unlike classic "combination sum" (which counts unique sets), this counts **permutations** — so order matters. That's why we iterate **sum first**, then **nums**: this allows different orders to be counted separately.

Complexity Analysis

• Time Complexity: O(target * len(nums))

We iterate over each sum from 1 to target, and for each, loop through all nums. Each operation inside is O(1).

• Space Complexity: O(target)

The dp array has size target + 1. No recursion stack (iterative DP), so space scales linearly with target.

5. Coin Change

Pattern: Dynamic Programming (DP) — Unbounded Knapsack / Minimum Coin Change

Problem Statement

You are given an integer array coins representing coins of different denominations and an integer amount representing a total amount of money.

Return the fewest number of coins that you need to make up that amount. If that amount of money cannot be made up by any combination of the coins, return -1.

You may assume that you have an infinite number of each kind of coin.

Sample Input & Output

```
Input: coins = [1, 3, 4], amount = 6
Output: 2
Explanation: 3 + 3 = 6 → uses 2 coins (optimal)

Input: coins = [2], amount = 3
Output: -1
Explanation: Cannot form 3 using only coin 2.

Input: coins = [1], amount = 0
Output: 0
Explanation: Zero amount requires zero coins.
```

```
from typing import List

class Solution:
    def coinChange(self, coins: List[int], amount: int) -> int:
        # STEP 1: Initialize DP array
        # - dp[i] = min coins to make amount i
        # - Use amount + 1 as INF (larger than any possible answer)
        INF = amount + 1
```

```
dp = [INF] * (amount + 1)
       dp[0] = 0 # Base: 0 coins needed for amount 0
       # STEP 2: Main loop over all amounts from 1 to amount
           - For each amount, try every coin
       # - Invariant: dp[i] holds optimal coins for amount i
       for i in range(1, amount + 1):
           for coin in coins:
               # STEP 3: Update state only if coin fits
                   - Skip if coin > current amount
                   - Otherwise, update dp[i] using dp[i - coin]
               if coin <= i:</pre>
                   dp[i] = min(dp[i], dp[i - coin] + 1)
       # STEP 4: Return result
       # - If dp[amount] still INF → impossible → return -1
       return dp[amount] if dp[amount] != INF else -1
# ----- INLINE TESTS -----
if __name__ == "__main__":
   sol = Solution()
   # Test 1: Normal case
   assert sol.coinChange([1, 3, 4], 6) == 2
   # Test 2: Edge case - amount = 0
   assert sol.coinChange([1], 0) == 0
   # Test 3: Tricky/negative - impossible amount
   assert sol.coinChange([2], 3) == -1
   print(" All tests passed!")
```

Example Walkthrough

We'll trace coinChange([1, 3, 4], amount=6) step by step.

Initial setup: - amount = 6 - INF = 7 - dp = [0, 7, 7, 7, 7, 7, 7] (indices 0 to 6)
Now iterate i from 1 to 6:

```
i = 1

Try coins: 1, 3, 4

- coin=1: 1 1 \rightarrow dp[1] = min(7, dp[0]+1) = min(7, 1) = 1

- coin=3: skip (3 > 1)

- coin=4: skip

\rightarrow dp = [0, 1, 7, 7, 7, 7]
```

$$i = 2$$
- coin=1: dp[2] = min(7, dp[1]+1) = 2
- others too big
 \rightarrow dp = [0, 1, 2, 7, 7, 7]

i = 3
- coin=1: dp[3] = min(7, dp[2]+1) = 3
- coin=3: dp[3] = min(3, dp[0]+1) = 1
$$\leftarrow$$
 better!
- coin=4: skip
 \rightarrow dp = [0, 1, 2, 1, 7, 7, 7]

```
i = 4

- coin=1: dp[4] = dp[3]+1 = 2

- coin=3: dp[4] = min(2, dp[1]+1 = 2) \rightarrow still 2

- coin=4: dp[4] = min(2, dp[0]+1 = 1) \rightarrow now 1!

\rightarrow dp = [0, 1, 2, 1, 1, 7, 7]
```

```
i = 5

- coin=1: dp[5] = dp[4]+1 = 2

- coin=3: dp[5] = min(2, dp[2]+1 = 3) \rightarrow keep 2

- coin=4: dp[5] = min(2, dp[1]+1 = 2) \rightarrow still 2

\rightarrow dp = [0, 1, 2, 1, 1, 2, 7]
```

```
i = 6
- coin=1: dp[6] = dp[5]+1 = 3
- coin=3: dp[6] = min(3, dp[3]+1 = 2) \rightarrow better!
- coin=4: dp[6] = min(2, dp[2]+1 = 3) \rightarrow keep 2
\rightarrow dp = [0, 1, 2, 1, 1, 2, 2]
Final result: dp[6] = 2 \rightarrow return 2.
Matches expected output!
```

Complexity Analysis

• Time Complexity: O(amount × len(coins))

We iterate through all amounts from 1 to amount, and for each, we try every coin. So total operations = amount × number of coins.

• Space Complexity: O(amount)

We store a 1D DP array of size amount + 1. No recursion stack or extra structures.

6. Partition Equal Subset Sum

Pattern: Dynamic Programming (0/1 Knapsack)

Problem Statement

Given an integer array nums, return true if you can partition the array into two subsets such that the sum of the elements in both subsets is equal, or false otherwise.

Constraints:

```
- 1 <= nums.length <= 200
- 1 <= nums[i] <= 100
```

Clarification: This is only possible if the total sum is even. If the total sum is odd, equal partition is impossible.

Sample Input & Output

```
Input: [1,5,11,5]
Output: true
Explanation: The array can be partitioned as [1,5,5] and [11],
both summing to 11.
```

```
Input: [1,2,3,5]
Output: false
Explanation: Total sum = 11 (odd), so equal partition impossible.
```

```
Input: [100]
Output: false
Explanation: Only one element → cannot split into two non-empty subsets.
```

```
from typing import List

class Solution:
   def canPartition(self, nums: List[int]) -> bool:
```

```
# STEP 1: Initialize structures
       # - Compute total sum; if odd → impossible.
       total = sum(nums)
       if total % 2 != 0:
           return False
       target = total // 2
          - dp[j] = True if subset sum j is achievable
       # - Size = target + 1 to include sum 0 through target
       dp = [False] * (target + 1)
       dp[0] = True # Base: sum 0 always possible (empty subset)
       # STEP 2: Main loop / recursion
       # - For each number, update dp backwards to avoid reuse
       for num in nums:
           # Traverse from target down to num
           for j in range(target, num - 1, -1):
               # STEP 3: Update state / bookkeeping
               \# - If we could make (j - num), then we can make j
               if dp[j - num]:
                   dp[j] = True
               # Early exit if target becomes reachable
               if dp[target]:
                   return True
       # STEP 4: Return result
       # - dp[target] indicates if half-sum is achievable
       return dp[target]
# ----- INLINE TESTS -----
if __name__ == "__main__":
   sol = Solution()
   # Test 1: Normal case
   assert sol.canPartition([1, 5, 11, 5]) == True
   # Test 2: Edge case - odd total sum
   assert sol.canPartition([1, 2, 3, 5]) == False
   # Test 3: Tricky/negative - single element
   assert sol.canPartition([100]) == False
```

```
print(" All tests passed!")
```

Example Walkthrough

```
We'll trace canPartition([1, 5, 11, 5]).
```

```
Step 0: Initialization
```

- nums = [1,5,11,5]
- total = 1+5+11+5 = $22 \rightarrow \text{even} \rightarrow \text{target}$ = 11
- dp = [True, False, False, ..., False] (length 12)

Step 1: Process num = 1

- Loop j from 11 down to 1:
- j=1: $dp[1 1] = dp[0] = True \rightarrow set dp[1] = True$
- Now dp[1] = True
- -dp = [T, T, F, F, ..., F]

Step 2: Process num = 5

- Loop j from 11 down to 5:
- j=6: $dp[6-5]=dp[1]=True \rightarrow dp[6]=True$
- j=5: dp[0]=True \rightarrow dp[5]=True
- Now dp[5] = True, dp[6] = True
- -dp = [T, T, F, F, F, T, T, F, ..., F]

Step 3: Process num = 11

- Loop j from 11 down to 11:
- j=11: $dp[11-11]=dp[0]=True \rightarrow dp[11]=True$
- Since dp[11] is now True, return True immediately

Final output: True

We found a subset ([11]) that sums to $11 \rightarrow$ the rest ([1,5,5]) also sums to 11.

Complexity Analysis

• Time Complexity: O(n * target)

We iterate over n numbers. For each, we scan up to target values.

Worst-case target sum/2, and sum 200 * 100 = 20,000, so feasible.

• Space Complexity: O(target)

We use a 1D DP array of size target + 1. No recursion stack. This is optimized from the 2D knapsack version by iterating backwards.

7. Unique Paths

Pattern: Dynamic Programming (2D Grid)

Problem Statement

There is a robot on an $m \times n$ grid. The robot is initially located at the **top-left corner** (0, 0) and tries to reach the **bottom-right corner** (m - 1, n - 1). The robot can only move either **down** or **right** at any point in time. Given the integers m and n, return the number of possible unique paths that the robot can take to reach the bottom-right corner.

Sample Input & Output

```
Input: m = 3, n = 7
Output: 28
Explanation: There are 28 distinct ways to reach (2,6) from (0,0)
using only right/down moves.
```

```
Input: m = 3, n = 2
Output: 3
Explanation: Paths: (R→R→D), (R→D→R), (D→R→R)
```

```
Input: m = 1, n = 1
Output: 1
Explanation: Already at destination - one trivial path.
```

```
from typing import List
class Solution:
   def uniquePaths(self, m: int, n: int) -> int:
       # STEP 1: Initialize DP table
       # - dp[i][j] = number of ways to reach cell (i, j)
       # - All cells in first row/col have only 1 path (straight line)
       dp = [[1] * n for _ in range(m)]
       # STEP 2: Main loop - fill grid from (1,1) onward
           - Each cell = paths from top + paths from left
       # - This maintains the DP recurrence relation
       for i in range(1, m):
           for j in range(1, n):
               dp[i][j] = dp[i - 1][j] + dp[i][j - 1]
       # STEP 3: Return result
       # - Bottom-right cell holds total unique paths
       return dp[m - 1][n - 1]
# ----- INLINE TESTS -----
if __name__ == "__main__":
   sol = Solution()
   # Test 1: Normal case
   assert sol.uniquePaths(3, 7) == 28, "Normal case failed"
   # Test 2: Edge case - single cell
   assert sol.uniquePaths(1, 1) == 1, "Edge case (1x1) failed"
   # Test 3: Tricky/negative - narrow grid
   assert sol.uniquePaths(3, 2) == 3, "Tricky case (3x2) failed"
```

```
print(" All tests passed!")
```

Example Walkthrough

Let's trace uniquePaths(3, 2) step by step:

1. **Initialize dp** as a 3x2 grid filled with 1s:

```
dp = [
   [1, 1],
   [1, 1],
   [1, 1]
]
```

2. Start nested loops:

```
    i = 1, j = 1:

            dp[1][1] = dp[0][1] + dp[1][0] = 1 + 1 = 2

    Now dp[1][1] = 2
```

3. Next iteration:

•
$$i = 2, j = 1$$
:
 $- dp[2][1] = dp[1][1] + dp[2][0] = 2 + 1 = 3$

4. Final dp state:

5. Return dp[2][1] \rightarrow 3, which matches expected output.

Key insight: Every cell accumulates paths from the only two possible directions (top and left), building up the solution bottom-up.

Complexity Analysis

• Time Complexity: O(m * n)

We visit each cell exactly once in the nested loops.

• Space Complexity: O(m * n)

```
We store a 2D DP table of size m \times n. (Note: Can be optimized to O(n) using 1D array, but this version prioritizes clarity for pattern mastery.)
```

8. Maximal Square

Pattern: Dynamic Programming (2D)

Problem Statement

Given an $m \times n$ binary matrix filled with '0's and '1's, find the largest square containing only '1's and return its area.

Sample Input & Output

```
Input: matrix = [["0","1"],["1","0"]]
Output: 1
Explanation: Only isolated '1's exist → max square area = 1.
```

```
Input: matrix = [["0"]]
Output: 0
Explanation: No '1' present → area = 0.
```

```
from typing import List
class Solution:
    def maximalSquare(self, matrix: List[List[str]]) -> int:
        # STEP 1: Initialize structures
        \# - dp[i][j] = side length of largest square ending at (i-1, j-1)
        # - Use extra row/col to avoid boundary checks
        if not matrix or not matrix[0]:
            return 0
        rows, cols = len(matrix), len(matrix[0])
        dp = [[0] * (cols + 1) for _ in range(rows + 1)]
        max_side = 0
        # STEP 2: Main loop / recursion
        # - Traverse each cell; if '1', extend square from top-left
        for i in range(1, rows + 1):
            for j in range(1, cols + 1):
                if matrix[i - 1][j - 1] == "1":
                    # STEP 3: Update state / bookkeeping
                    #- Square side = 1 + min(neighbors) → ensures full square
                    dp[i][j] = 1 + min(
                        dp[i - 1][j],
                                           # top
                        ap[i - 1][j],  # top
dp[i][j - 1],  # left
                        dp[i-1][j-1] # top-left
                    max_side = max(max_side, dp[i][j])
                # else: dp[i][j] remains 0
        # STEP 4: Return result
        # - Area = side^2; handles all-zero matrix naturally
        return max_side * max_side
```

```
# ----- INLINE TESTS -----
if __name__ == "__main__":
   sol = Solution()
   # Test 1: Normal case
   matrix1 = [
       ["1","0","1","0","0"],
        ["1","0","1","1","1"],
       ["1","1","1","1","1"],
        ["1","0","0","1","0"]
   print(sol.maximalSquare(matrix1)) # Expected: 4
   # Test 2: Edge case - isolated 1s
   matrix2 = [["0","1"],["1","0"]]
   print(sol.maximalSquare(matrix2)) # Expected: 1
   # Test 3: Tricky/negative - all zeros
   matrix3 = [["0"]]
   print(sol.maximalSquare(matrix3)) # Expected: 0
```

Example Walkthrough

We'll trace **Test 1** step-by-step:

```
Initial state:
```

```
- matrix as given (4 \times 5)
```

- dp is a 5×6 grid of zeros
- $-\max_{side} = 0$

Now iterate i = 1 to 4, j = 1 to 5 (1-indexed for dp):

```
• (i=1, j=1) \rightarrow matrix[0][0] = "1"

\rightarrow dp[1][1] = 1 + min(dp[0][1]=0, dp[1][0]=0, dp[0][0]=0) = 1

\rightarrow max side = 1
```

• $(i=1, j=2) \rightarrow matrix[0][1] = "0" \rightarrow skip \rightarrow dp[1][2] = 0$

```
• (i=2, j=1) \rightarrow matrix[1][0] = "1" \rightarrow dp[2][1] = 1 \rightarrow max\_side still 1
```

• (i=2, j=3)
$$\rightarrow$$
 matrix[1][2] = "1"
 \rightarrow neighbors: top=dp[1][3]=1, left=dp[2][2]=0, diag=dp[1][2]=0
 \rightarrow dp[2][3] = 1 + min(1,0,0) = 1

• (i=3, j=3)
$$\rightarrow$$
 matrix[2][2] = "1"
 \rightarrow neighbors: top=dp[2][3]=1, left=dp[3][2]=1, diag=dp[2][2]=1
 \rightarrow dp[3][3] = 1 + 1 = 2 \rightarrow max_side = 2

• (i=3, j=4)
$$\rightarrow$$
 matrix[2][3] = "1"
 \rightarrow neighbors: top=dp[2][4]=1, left=dp[3][3]=2, diag=dp[2][3]=1
 \rightarrow dp[3][4] = 1 + min(1,2,1) = 2 \rightarrow max_side remains 2

• (i=3, j=5)
$$\rightarrow$$
 matrix[2][4] = "1"
 \rightarrow neighbors: top=dp[2][5]=1, left=dp[3][4]=2, diag=dp[2][4]=1
 \rightarrow dp[3][5] = 2 \rightarrow still max_side=2

No larger square found. Final max_side = $2 \rightarrow \text{area} = 4$.

Key insight: dp[i][j] only grows if all three neighbors support a larger square — ensuring the region is fully filled with '1's.

Complexity Analysis

• Time Complexity: O(m * n)

We visit each cell exactly once. Each update is O(1) (min of three values).

• Space Complexity: O(m * n)

The dp table has (m+1) * (n+1) entries. This can be optimized to O(n) with rolling rows, but the standard solution uses full 2D for clarity and pattern consistency.

9. Longest Increasing Subsequence

Pattern: Dynamic Programming (DP) — Classic LIS

Problem Statement

Given an integer array nums, return the length of the longest strictly increasing subsequence.

A **subsequence** is a sequence that can be derived from an array by deleting some or no elements without changing the order of the remaining elements.

Sample Input & Output

```
Input: nums = [10,9,2,5,3,7,101,18]
Output: 4
Explanation: The longest increasing subsequence is [2,3,7,18], length = 4.

Input: nums = [0,1,0,3,2,3]
Output: 4
Explanation: LIS = [0,1,2,3] or [0,1,3,3] is invalid
(not strictly increasing), so [0,1,2,3] → length 4.

Input: nums = [7,7,7,7,7,7,7]
Output: 1
Explanation: All elements equal → no strictly increasing pair → LIS length = 1.
```

```
from typing import List

class Solution:
    def lengthOfLIS(self, nums: List[int]) -> int:
        # STEP 1: Initialize DP array
        # - dp[i] = length of LIS ending at index i
        # - Every element is at least a subsequence of length 1
        n = len(nums)
        dp = [1] * n
```

```
\# STEP 2: Main loop - for each position i, check all j < i
       # - If nums[j] < nums[i], we can extend the subsequence ending at j
       # - Maintain invariant: dp[i] stores best LIS ending exactly at i
       for i in range(1, n):
           for j in range(i):
               if nums[j] < nums[i]:</pre>
                   dp[i] = max(dp[i], dp[j] + 1)
       # STEP 3: Update state - already done in inner loop
       # STEP 4: Return result
       # - Answer is max over all dp[i], since LIS can end anywhere
       return max(dp)
# ----- INLINE TESTS -----
if __name__ == "__main__":
   sol = Solution()
   # Test 1: Normal case
   assert sol.length0fLIS([10,9,2,5,3,7,101,18]) == 4
   # Test 2: Edge case - all equal
   assert sol.lengthOfLIS([7,7,7,7,7,7,7]) == 1
   # Test 3: Tricky/negative - decreasing then increasing
   assert sol.lengthOfLIS([5,4,3,2,1,2,3,4,5]) == 5
```

Example Walkthrough

```
We'll trace nums = [10, 9, 2, 5] step by step.

Initial state:
```

```
-nums = [10, 9, 2, 5]
```

- dp = [1, 1, 1, 1] (each element starts with LIS length = 1)

```
Step 1: i = 1 \text{ (nums[1] } = 9)

- Loop j = 0: nums[0] = 10 \rightarrow 10 < 9? No \rightarrow skip

- dp remains [1, 1, 1, 1]

Step 2: i = 2 \text{ (nums[2] } = 2)

- j = 0: 10 < 2?

- j = 1: 9 < 2?

- No updates \rightarrow dp = [1, 1, 1, 1]

Step 3: i = 3 \text{ (nums[3] } = 5)

- j = 0: 10 < 5?

- j = 1: 9 < 5?

- j = 2: 2 < 5? \rightarrow dp[3] = max(1, dp[2] + 1) = max(1, 1+1) = 2

- Now dp = [1, 1, 1, 2]
```

Final step: $max(dp) = max([1,1,1,2]) = 2 \rightarrow correct (LIS = [2,5])$

This matches expected behavior. The algorithm checks every earlier element to see if it can extend a valid increasing subsequence.

Complexity Analysis

• Time Complexity: O(n²)

Two nested loops: outer runs n-1 times, inner runs up to i times \rightarrow total $n(n-1)/2 \rightarrow O(n^2)$.

• Space Complexity: O(n)

The dp array stores one integer per input element \rightarrow scales linearly with input size.

10. Jump Game

Pattern: Greedy

Problem Statement

You are given an integer array nums. You are initially positioned at the array's first index, and each element in the array represents your maximum jump length at that position.

Return true if you can reach the last index, or false otherwise.

Sample Input & Output

```
Input: nums = [2,3,1,1,4]
Output: true
Explanation: Jump 1 step from index 0 to 1, then 3 steps to the last index.

Input: nums = [3,2,1,0,4]
Output: false
Explanation: You will always arrive at index 3 where the maximum jump
length is 0, so you cannot reach the last index.

Input: nums = [0]
Output: true
Explanation: Already at the last (and only) index.
```

```
from typing import List

class Solution:
    def canJump(self, nums: List[int]) -> bool:
        # STEP 1: Initialize structures
        # - `max_reach` tracks the farthest index we can reach so far.
        # - Start at index 0, so initial reach is 0.
        max_reach = 0
```

```
# STEP 2: Main loop / recursion
       # - Iterate through each index up to the last reachable position.
           - If current index exceeds `max_reach`, we're stuck → return False.
       for i in range(len(nums)):
           if i > max reach:
               return False
           # STEP 3: Update state / bookkeeping
           # - From index `i`, we can reach up to `i + nums[i]`.
           # - Update `max_reach` to the furthest possible.
           max_reach = max(max_reach, i + nums[i])
           # Early exit: if we can already reach the end, return True.
           if max_reach >= len(nums) - 1:
               return True
       # STEP 4: Return result
       #- Should not reach here due to early return, but included for safety.
       return max_reach >= len(nums) - 1
# ----- INLINE TESTS -----
if __name__ == "__main__":
   sol = Solution()
   # Test 1: Normal case
   assert sol.canJump([2,3,1,1,4]) == True, "Test 1 failed"
   # Test 2: Edge case - single element
   assert sol.canJump([0]) == True, "Test 2 failed"
   # Test 3: Tricky/negative - zero trap before end
   assert sol.canJump([3,2,1,0,4]) == False, "Test 3 failed"
   print(" All tests passed!")
```

Example Walkthrough

We'll walk through nums = [2,3,1,1,4] step by step:

- 1. Initialize:
 - max reach = 0
 - len(nums) = 5, so last index is 4.
- 2. i = 0:
 - Check: $0 > max_reach (0)? \rightarrow No.$
 - Update max_reach = max(0, 0 + 2) = 2.
 - Is 2 \Rightarrow 4? \rightarrow No. Continue.
- 3. i = 1:
 - Check: $1 > 2? \rightarrow No$.
 - Update $max_reach = max(2, 1 + 3) = 4$.
 - Is 4 >= 4? \rightarrow Yes! \rightarrow Return True.

Final Output: True

Key Insight: We never simulate every jump. Instead, we greedily track the *farthest* we could possibly reach at any point. If we can reach or surpass the last index, success!

Complexity Analysis

• Time Complexity: O(n)

We iterate through the array at most once. Each step does O(1) work.

• Space Complexity: 0(1)

Only a single variable (max_reach) is used, independent of input size.

11. Maximum Subarray

Pattern: Kadane's Algorithm (Dynamic Programming)

Problem Statement

Given an integer array nums, find the contiguous subarray (containing at least one number) which has the largest sum and return its sum.

Sample Input & Output

```
Input: nums = [-2,1,-3,4,-1,2,1,-5,4]
Output: 6
Explanation: [4,-1,2,1] has the largest sum = 6.

Input: nums = [1]
Output: 1
Explanation: Only one element → subarray is [1].

Input: nums = [-5,-2,-8,-1]
Output: -1
Explanation: All negatives → pick least negative (largest single element).
```

```
from typing import List

class Solution:
   def maxSubArray(self, nums: List[int]) -> int:
        # STEP 1: Initialize structures
```

```
- current_sum tracks max sum ending at current index
       # - best_sum tracks global maximum seen so far
       current_sum = nums[0]
       best_sum = nums[0]
       # STEP 2: Main loop / recursion
           - For each num (starting from index 1), decide:
             → extend existing subarray (current_sum + num)
             → OR start fresh from current num
       for i in range(1, len(nums)):
           num = nums[i]
           current_sum = max(num, current_sum + num)
           # STEP 3: Update state / bookkeeping
           # - Always update best_sum if current_sum is better
           best_sum = max(best_sum, current_sum)
       # STEP 4: Return result
       # - best_sum holds max subarray sum by end of loop
       return best_sum
# ----- INLINE TESTS -----
if __name__ == "__main__":
   sol = Solution()
   # Test 1: Normal case
   assert sol.maxSubArray([-2,1,-3,4,-1,2,1,-5,4]) == 6
   # Test 2: Edge case (single element)
   assert sol.maxSubArray([1]) == 1
   # Test 3: Tricky/negative (all negatives)
   assert sol.maxSubArray([-5,-2,-8,-1]) == -1
   print(" All tests passed!")
```

Example Walkthrough

```
We'll trace nums = [-2, 1, -3, 4, -1, 2, 1, -5, 4] step by step.
```

Initial state:

- current_sum = -2 (max subarray ending at index 0)
- best_sum = -2 (global best so far)

```
Step 1: i = 1, num = 1
- Compute: max(1, -2 + 1) = max(1, -1) = 1
- Update: current_sum = 1
- Update best_sum = max(-2, 1) = 1
\rightarrow Now: current_sum=1, best_sum=1
Step 2: i = 2, num = -3
- Compute: max(-3, 1 + (-3)) = max(-3, -2) = -2
- Update: current sum = -2
- best_sum = max(1, -2) = 1 (unchanged)
\rightarrow Now: current_sum=-2, best_sum=1
Step 3: i = 3, num = 4
- Compute: max(4, -2 + 4) = max(4, 2) = 4
- Update: current_sum = 4
-best sum = max(1, 4) = 4
\rightarrow Now: current_sum=4, best_sum=4
Step 4: i = 4, num = -1
- Compute: max(-1, 4 + (-1)) = max(-1, 3) = 3
- Update: current_sum = 3
-best_sum = max(4, 3) = 4
\rightarrow Now: current_sum=3, best_sum=4
Step 5: i = 5, num = 2
- Compute: max(2, 3 + 2) = max(2, 5) = 5
- Update: current_sum = 5
-best_sum = max(4, 5) = 5
\rightarrow Now: current_sum=5, best_sum=5
Step 6: i = 6, num = 1
- Compute: max(1, 5 + 1) = 6
- Update: current_sum = 6
-best_sum = max(5, 6) = 6
```

→ Now: current_sum=6, best_sum=6

```
Step 7: i = 7, num = -5
- Compute: max(-5, 6 + (-5)) = max(-5, 1) = 1
- Update: current_sum = 1
- best_sum = 6 (unchanged)

Step 8: i = 8, num = 4
- Compute: max(4, 1 + 4) = max(4, 5) = 5
- Update: current_sum = 5
- best_sum = 6 (still best)
```

Final return: 6

Key insight: At every step, we either extend the best subarray ending at the previous index or start fresh—never carry forward a negative-sum prefix.

Complexity Analysis

• Time Complexity: O(n)

Single pass through the array (n-1) iterations after initialization). Each step does O(1) work.

• Space Complexity: 0(1)

Only two extra variables (current_sum, best_sum) used—no scaling with input size.

12. Maximum Product Subarray

Pattern: Dynamic Programming (Track Min & Max)

Problem Statement

Given an integer array nums, find a contiguous subarray (containing at least one number) which has the largest product, and return its product.

The test cases are generated so that the answer will fit in a 32-bit integer.

Sample Input & Output

```
Input: [2,3,-2,4]
Output: 6
Explanation: [2,3] has the largest product = 6.

Input: [-2,0,-1]
Output: 0
Explanation: The result cannot be 2, because [-2,-1] is not a subarray.

Input: [-2,3,-4]
Output: 24
Explanation: Entire array [-2,3,-4] → (-2)×3×(-4) = 24.
```

```
from typing import List
class Solution:
    def maxProduct(self, nums: List[int]) -> int:
       # STEP 1: Initialize structures
       # - max_prod tracks the max product ending at current index
       # - min_prod tracks the min product (for negative flips)
       # - result stores global maximum seen so far
       if not nums:
           return 0
       max_prod = min_prod = result = nums[0]
       # STEP 2: Main loop / recursion
           - For each number, compute new max/min products
       # - Why? A negative number can flip min to max
       for i in range(1, len(nums)):
           num = nums[i]
           # STEP 3: Update state / bookkeeping
```

```
# - Store old max before overwriting
           temp_max = max_prod
           max_prod = max(num, num * max_prod, num * min_prod)
           min_prod = min(num, num * temp_max, num * min_prod)
           # Update global result
           result = max(result, max_prod)
       # STEP 4: Return result
          - Handles all edge cases (single element, zeros, negatives)
       return result
# ------ INLINE TESTS ------
if __name__ == "__main__":
   sol = Solution()
   # Test 1: Normal case
   assert sol.maxProduct([2, 3, -2, 4]) == 6
   # Test 2: Edge case (zero resets product)
   assert sol.maxProduct([-2, 0, -1]) == 0
   # Test 3: Tricky/negative (even negatives → positive)
   assert sol.maxProduct([-2, 3, -4]) == 24
   print(" All tests passed!")
```

Example Walkthrough

We'll trace maxProduct([-2, 3, -4]) step by step.

Initial state:

```
- nums = [-2, 3, -4]
- max_prod = min_prod = result = -2
```

```
Step 1: i = 1, num = 3
- temp_max = max_prod = -2
- Compute new max_prod:
max(3, 3 * (-2), 3 * (-2)) = max(3, -6, -6) = 3
- Compute new min_prod:
min(3, 3 * (-2), 3 * (-2)) = min(3, -6, -6) = -6
- Update result = max(-2, 3) = 3
State after step 1:
max_prod = 3, min_prod = -6, result = 3
```

```
Step 2: i = 2, num = -4
- temp_max = max_prod = 3
- Compute new max_prod:
max(-4, -4 * 3, -4 * (-6)) = max(-4, -12, 24) = 24
- Compute new min_prod:
min(-4, -4 * 3, -4 * (-6)) = min(-4, -12, 24) = -12
- Update result = max(3, 24) = 24

State after step 2:
max_prod = 24, min_prod = -12, result = 24
```

Final return: 24

Key insight: Because multiplying two negatives gives a positive, we must track **both** the maximum and minimum products at each step. The minimum (most negative) can become the maximum if the next number is negative.

Complexity Analysis

• Time Complexity: O(n)

Single pass through the array (n = len(nums)). Each step does constant-time comparisons and multiplications.

• Space Complexity: 0(1)

Only a few scalar variables (max_prod, min_prod, result, temp_max) are used — no extra arrays or recursion stack.