

# Math

## 1. Palindrome Number

**Pattern:** Math / Two Pointers (Digit Extraction)

---

### Problem Statement

Given an integer `x`, return `true` if `x` is a **palindrome**, and `false` otherwise.  
An integer is a palindrome when it reads the same backward as forward.

**Follow-up:** Could you solve it without converting the integer to a string?

---

### Sample Input & Output

```
Input: 121
Output: true
Explanation: 121 reads the same forward and backward.
```

```
Input: -121
Output: false
Explanation: Negative numbers are not palindromes due to the '-' sign.
```

```
Input: 10
Output: false
Explanation: 10 reversed is "01" → 1, which is not equal to 10.
```

---

## LeetCode Editorial Solution + Inline Tests

```
class Solution:
    def isPalindrome(self, x: int) -> bool:
        # STEP 1: Initialize structures
        # - Reject negative numbers and numbers ending with 0
        #   (except 0 itself) since they can't be palindromes.
        if x < 0 or (x % 10 == 0 and x != 0):
            return False

        # STEP 2: Main loop / recursion
        # - Reverse only half the number by building reversed_half
        # - Stop when original half <= reversed_half
        reversed_half = 0
        while x > reversed_half:
            reversed_half = reversed_half * 10 + x % 10
            x //= 10

        # STEP 3: Update state / bookkeeping
        # - For even-digit numbers: x == reversed_half
        # - For odd-digit numbers: x == reversed_half // 10
        # - This handles the middle digit being irrelevant

        # STEP 4: Return result
        # - Check both even and odd length cases
        return x == reversed_half or x == reversed_half // 10

# ----- INLINE TESTS -----
if __name__ == "__main__":
    sol = Solution()

    # Test 1: Normal case
    assert sol.isPalindrome(121) == True

    # Test 2: Edge case
    assert sol.isPalindrome(0) == True

    # Test 3: Tricky/negative
    assert sol.isPalindrome(-121) == False
    assert sol.isPalindrome(10) == False
    assert sol.isPalindrome(1221) == True
    assert sol.isPalindrome(12321) == True
```

```
print(" All tests passed!")
```

**How to use:** Copy-paste this block into .py or Quarto cell → run directly → instant feedback.

---

### Example Walkthrough

Let's trace `isPalindrome(1221)` step by step:

1. **Initial check:**

- $x = 1221 \rightarrow$  not negative, and  $1221 \% 10 = 1 \neq 0$ , so continue.

2. **Initialize:**

- `reversed_half = 0`

3. **First loop iteration** ( $x = 1221$ , `reversed_half = 0`):

- Condition:  $1221 > 0 \rightarrow$  enter loop
- $\text{reversed\_half} = 0 * 10 + 1221 \% 10 = 0 + 1 = 1$
- $x = 1221 // 10 = 122$
- State:  $x=122$ ,  $\text{reversed\_half}=1$

4. **Second loop iteration** ( $x = 122$ , `reversed_half = 1`):

- Condition:  $122 > 1 \rightarrow$  enter loop
- $\text{reversed\_half} = 1 * 10 + 122 \% 10 = 10 + 2 = 12$
- $x = 122 // 10 = 12$
- State:  $x=12$ ,  $\text{reversed\_half}=12$

5. **Loop condition check:**

- $x = 12$ ,  $\text{reversed\_half} = 12 \rightarrow 12 > 12$  is **false** → exit loop

6. **Final check:**

- $x == \text{reversed\_half} \rightarrow 12 == 12 \rightarrow \text{True}$

- Return True

Output: True — correctly identified as palindrome.

Now try 12321 (odd digits):

- After loop: `x = 12, reversed_half = 123`
- Check: `12 == 123 // 10 → 12 == 12 → True`

This shows how the middle digit (3) is safely ignored.

---

## Complexity Analysis

- **Time Complexity:**  $O(\log(n))$

We divide `x` by 10 in each iteration. Number of digits =  $\log(x) + 1$ , so we loop ~half that  $\rightarrow$  still  $O(\log n)$ .

- **Space Complexity:**  $O(1)$

Only a few integer variables (`reversed_half`, `x`) are used — constant extra space.

## 2. Reverse Integer

**Pattern:** Math & Digit Manipulation

---

### Problem Statement

Given a signed 32-bit integer `x`, return `x` with its digits reversed. If reversing `x` causes the value to go outside the signed 32-bit integer range  $[-2^{31}, 2^{31} - 1]$ , then return 0.

**Assume the environment does not allow you to store 64-bit integers (signed or unsigned).**

## Sample Input & Output

```
Input: x = 123
Output: 321
Explanation: Digits reversed normally.
```

```
Input: x = -123
Output: -321
Explanation: Sign preserved; digits reversed.
```

```
Input: x = 1534236469
Output: 0
Explanation: Reversed value (9646324351) exceeds  $2^{31}-1 \rightarrow$  return 0.
```

---

## LeetCode Editorial Solution + Inline Tests

```
class Solution:
    def reverse(self, x: int) -> int:
        # STEP 1: Initialize structures
        # - Use 'rev' to build reversed number digit by digit.
        # - Track sign separately to handle negative cleanly.
        sign = -1 if x < 0 else 1
        x_abs = abs(x)
        rev = 0

        # STEP 2: Main loop / recursion
        # - Extract last digit via % 10, add to rev.
        # - Remove last digit via // 10.
        # - Invariant: rev holds reversed digits of processed part.
        while x_abs != 0:
            digit = x_abs % 10

            # STEP 3: Update state / bookkeeping
            # - Check overflow BEFORE updating rev.
            # - Use bounds: 2**31 = 2147483648
            # - Max allowed rev before *10 + digit:
            #     pos: (2**31 - 1) // 10 = 214748364
```

```

#         neg: 2**31 // 10 = 214748364
if rev > 214748364 or (rev == 214748364 and digit > 7):
    return 0
if rev < -214748364 or (rev == -214748364 and digit > 8):
    return 0

rev = rev * 10 + digit
x_abs //= 10

# STEP 4: Return result
# - Apply original sign.
# - Edge: x=0 handled naturally (loop skipped).
return sign * rev

# ----- INLINE TESTS -----
if __name__ == "__main__":
    sol = Solution()

    # Test 1: Normal case
    assert sol.reverse(123) == 321

    # Test 2: Edge case (negative, ends with zero)
    assert sol.reverse(-123) == -321
    assert sol.reverse(120) == 21

    # Test 3: Tricky/negative (overflow)
    assert sol.reverse(1534236469) == 0
    assert sol.reverse(-2147483648) == 0 # -2**31 reversed overflows

    print(" All tests passed!")

```

**How to use:** Copy-paste this block into .py or Quarto cell → run directly → instant feedback.

---

## Example Walkthrough

We'll trace `reverse(123)` step by step:

### 1. Initial setup

- $x = 123$
  - $\text{sign} = 1$  (since  $123 > 0$ )
  - $x_{\text{abs}} = 123$
  - $\text{rev} = 0$
2. **First loop iteration** ( $x_{\text{abs}} = 123$ )
- $\text{digit} = 123 \% 10 = 3$
  - Check overflow:  $\text{rev} = 0 \rightarrow$  safe
  - $\text{rev} = 0 * 10 + 3 = 3$
  - $x_{\text{abs}} = 123 // 10 = 12$
3. **Second loop iteration** ( $x_{\text{abs}} = 12$ )
- $\text{digit} = 12 \% 10 = 2$
  - $\text{rev} = 3 \rightarrow$  safe
  - $\text{rev} = 3 * 10 + 2 = 32$
  - $x_{\text{abs}} = 12 // 10 = 1$
4. **Third loop iteration** ( $x_{\text{abs}} = 1$ )
- $\text{digit} = 1 \% 10 = 1$
  - $\text{rev} = 32 \rightarrow$  safe
  - $\text{rev} = 32 * 10 + 1 = 321$
  - $x_{\text{abs}} = 1 // 10 = 0$
5. **Loop ends** ( $x_{\text{abs}} = 0$ )
- Return  $\text{sign} * \text{rev} = 1 * 321 = 321$

Final output: **321**

**Key insight:** We never store a number larger than 32-bit because we check *before* multiplying by 10 and adding the new digit. This respects the problem's constraint of no 64-bit storage.

## Complexity Analysis

- **Time Complexity:**  $O(\log x)$

We process each digit once. Number of digits in  $x$  is  $\log |x| + 1$ , so time is logarithmic in input magnitude.

- **Space Complexity:**  $O(1)$

Only a few integer variables (`sign`, `x_abs`, `rev`, `digit`) are used — constant extra space.

## 3. Roman to Integer

**Pattern:** Arrays & Hashing

---

### Problem Statement

Roman numerals are represented by seven different symbols: I, V, X, L, C, D, and M.

Symbol	Value
I	1
V	5
X	10
L	50
C	100
D	500
M	1000

Roman numerals are usually written largest to smallest from left to right. However, there are six instances where subtraction is used:

- I can be placed before V (5) and X (10) to make 4 and 9.
- X can be placed before L (50) and C (100) to make 40 and 90.
- C can be placed before D (500) and M (1000) to make 400 and 900.

Given a roman numeral, convert it to an integer.

---



## Sample Input & Output

Input: "III"  
Output: 3  
Explanation:  $III = 1 + 1 + 1 = 3$

Input: "IV"  
Output: 4  
Explanation:  $IV = 5 - 1 = 4$  (subtraction case)

Input: "MCMXCIV"  
Output: 1994  
Explanation:  $M=1000, CM=900, XC=90, IV=4 \rightarrow 1000+900+90+4 = 1994$

---

## LeetCode Editorial Solution + Inline Tests

```
from typing import Dict

class Solution:
    def romanToInt(self, s: str) -> int:
        # STEP 1: Initialize structures
        # - Use a hash map for O(1) symbol-to-value lookup
        roman_map: Dict[str, int] = {
            'I': 1, 'V': 5, 'X': 10, 'L': 50,
            'C': 100, 'D': 500, 'M': 1000
        }

        total = 0
        n = len(s)

        # STEP 2: Main loop / recursion
        # - Traverse left to right
        # - If current < next -> subtract current
        # - Else -> add current
        for i in range(n):
            current_val = roman_map[s[i]]
```

```

        # Check if not last char and current < next
        if i < n - 1 and current_val < roman_map[s[i + 1]]:
            total -= current_val
        else:
            total += current_val

    # STEP 4: Return result
    # - All cases handled in loop; no special edge return needed
    return total

# ----- INLINE TESTS -----
if __name__ == "__main__":
    sol = Solution()

    # Test 1: Normal case
    assert sol.romanToInt("III") == 3

    # Test 2: Edge case (single character)
    assert sol.romanToInt("V") == 5

    # Test 3: Tricky/negative (multiple subtractive pairs)
    assert sol.romanToInt("MCMXCIV") == 1994

    print(" All tests passed!")

```

**How to use:** Copy-paste this block into .py or Quarto cell → run directly → instant feedback.

---

## Example Walkthrough

Let's trace `romanToInt("IV")` step by step:

1. **Initialize `roman_map`**
  - A dictionary mapping each Roman symbol to its integer value is created.
2. **Set `total = 0`, `n = 2`**
  - Input string "IV" has length 2.
3. **Start loop at `i = 0`**

- `current_val = roman_map['I'] = 1`
- Check: `i < 1` (yes) and `1 < roman_map['V'] = 5` → **true**
- So: `total -= 1` → `total = -1`

#### 4. Next iteration: `i = 1`

- `current_val = roman_map['V'] = 5`
- Now `i = 1` is last index → skip subtraction check
- So: `total += 5` → `total = -1 + 5 = 4`

#### 5. Return `total = 4`

Final output: 4

**Key insight:** By processing left-to-right and *subtracting* when a smaller numeral precedes a larger one, we naturally handle all six subtraction cases without special logic.

---

## Complexity Analysis

- **Time Complexity:**  $O(n)$

We iterate through the string exactly once. Each hash map lookup is  $O(1)$ . So total time is linear in input length.

- **Space Complexity:**  $O(1)$

The hash map uses fixed space (7 entries). No additional space scales with input size.

## 4. Pow(x, n)

**Pattern:** Divide and Conquer (Recursion with Exponentiation by Squaring)

## Problem Statement

Implement `pow(x, n)`, which calculates  $x$  raised to the power  $n$  (i.e.,  $x^n$ ).

- $-100.0 < x < 100.0$
  - $-2^{31} \leq n \leq 2^{31} - 1$
  - $n$  is an integer.
  - Either  $x$  is not zero or  $n > 0$ .
  - $-10^4 \leq x^n \leq 10^4$
- 

## Sample Input & Output

Input:  $x = 2.00000$ ,  $n = 10$

Output:  $1024.00000$

Explanation:  $2^{10} = 1024$

Input:  $x = 2.10000$ ,  $n = 3$

Output:  $9.26100$

Explanation:  $2.1^3 = 2.1 * 2.1 * 2.1 = 9.261$

Input:  $x = 2.00000$ ,  $n = -2$

Output:  $0.25000$

Explanation:  $2^{-2} = 1 / (2^2) = 1/4 = 0.25$

---

## LeetCode Editorial Solution + Inline Tests

```
class Solution:
    def myPow(self, x: float, n: int) -> float:
        # STEP 1: Handle base cases
        # - Any number to power 0 is 1
```

```

    if n == 0:
        return 1.0

    # STEP 2: Handle negative exponent
    #   - Convert to positive and invert base later
    if n < 0:
        return 1.0 / self.myPow(x, -n)

    # STEP 3: Divide and conquer via exponentiation by squaring
    #   - If n is even:  $x^n = (x^{(n/2)})^2$ 
    #   - If n is odd:  $x^n = x * (x^{(n/2)})^2$ 
    half = self.myPow(x, n // 2)
    if n % 2 == 0:
        return half * half
    else:
        return x * half * half

# ----- INLINE TESTS -----
if __name__ == "__main__":
    sol = Solution()

    # Test 1: Normal case
    result1 = sol.myPow(2.0, 10)
    print(f"Test 1: {result1:.5f}") # Expected: 1024.00000

    # Test 2: Edge case - negative exponent
    result2 = sol.myPow(2.0, -2)
    print(f"Test 2: {result2:.5f}") # Expected: 0.25000

    # Test 3: Tricky case - fractional base, positive exponent
    result3 = sol.myPow(2.1, 3)
    print(f"Test 3: {result3:.5f}") # Expected: ~9.26100

```

**How to use:** Copy-paste this block into `.py` or Quarto cell → run directly → instant feedback.

---

## Example Walkthrough

We'll trace `myPow(2.0, 10)` step by step.

**Initial Call:** myPow(2.0, 10)

- n = 10 (positive, not zero) → skip base cases
- Compute half = myPow(2.0, 5)

**Call 1:** myPow(2.0, 5)

- n = 5 → odd
- Compute half = myPow(2.0, 2)

**Call 2:** myPow(2.0, 2)

- n = 2 → even
- Compute half = myPow(2.0, 1)

**Call 3:** myPow(2.0, 1)

- n = 1 → odd
- Compute half = myPow(2.0, 0)

**Call 4:** myPow(2.0, 0)

- n == 0 → return 1.0

**Unwind Call 3:**

- half = 1.0
- n = 1 is odd → return 2.0 \* 1.0 \* 1.0 = 2.0

**Unwind Call 2:**

- half = 2.0
- n = 2 is even → return 2.0 \* 2.0 = 4.0

**Unwind Call 1:**

- half = 4.0
- n = 5 is odd → return 2.0 \* 4.0 \* 4.0 = 32.0

**Unwind Initial Call:**

- half = 32.0
- n = 10 is even → return 32.0 \* 32.0 = 1024.0

Final output: 1024.0

This recursive halving reduces the problem size by half each time—classic **Divide and Conquer**.

## Complexity Analysis

- **Time Complexity:**  $O(\log n)$

Each recursive call halves  $n$ , so depth is  $\log |n|$ . Only one recursive call per level.

- **Space Complexity:**  $O(\log n)$

Due to recursion stack depth of  $O(\log n)$ . No additional data structures scale with input.

## 5. Random Pick with Weight

**Pattern:** Prefix Sum + Binary Search

---

### Problem Statement

You are given a 0-indexed array of positive integers  $w$  where  $w[i]$  describes the weight of index  $i$ .

Implement the `Solution` class:

- `Solution(int[] w)` Initializes the object with the array  $w$ .
- `int pickIndex()` Picks an index in the range  $[0, w.length - 1]$  and returns it. The probability of picking index  $i$  is  $w[i] / \text{sum}(w)$ .

For example, if  $w = [1, 3]$ , then the probability of picking index 0 is  $1 / (1 + 3) = 25\%$ , and the probability of picking index 1 is  $3 / (1 + 3) = 75\%$ .

---

### Sample Input & Output

```
Input: ["Solution", "pickIndex", "pickIndex", "pickIndex"]
       [[[1]], [], [], []]
Output: [null, 0, 0, 0]
Explanation: Only one index exists, so it's always picked.
```

```
Input: ["Solution", "pickIndex", "pickIndex", "pickIndex", "pickIndex",
        "pickIndex"]
       [[1, 3]], [], [], [], [], []
Output: [null, 1, 1, 1, 0, 1] # (example; actual output varies randomly)
Explanation: Index 1 should appear ~75% of the time.
```

```
Input: ["Solution", "pickIndex"]
       [[1000]], []
Output: [null, 0]
Explanation: Edge case - single large weight.
```

---

### LeetCode Editorial Solution + Inline Tests

```
import random
from typing import List
import bisect

class Solution:
    def __init__(self, w: List[int]):
        # STEP 1: Build prefix sum array
        # - Why? To map random number to weighted index.
        # - Example: w = [1,3] → prefix = [1,4]
        self.prefix = []
        total = 0
        for weight in w:
            total += weight
            self.prefix.append(total)
        # Now self.prefix[-1] == sum(w)

    def pickIndex(self) -> int:
        # STEP 2: Generate random target in [1, total_weight]
        # - Why 1-based? Because prefix sums start at w[0] + 1.
        target = random.randint(1, self.prefix[-1])

        # STEP 3: Use binary search to find first prefix >= target
        # - This maps target to correct weighted index.
        # - bisect_left returns smallest i s.t. prefix[i] >= target
```



```

        index = bisect.bisect_left(self.prefix, target)

        # STEP 4: Return index (guaranteed valid due to target range)
        return index

# ----- INLINE TESTS -----
if __name__ == "__main__":
    # Test 1: Normal case - [1, 3]
    sol1 = Solution([1, 3])
    counts = [0, 0]
    for _ in range(1000):
        idx = sol1.pickIndex()
        counts[idx] += 1
    # Expect ~25% for index 0, ~75% for index 1
    print("Test 1 (w=[1,3]) - Counts:", counts)
    (assert 200 <= counts[0] <= 300,
     f"Index 0 count out of expected range: {counts[0]}")
    (assert 700 <= counts[1] <= 800,
     f"Index 1 count out of expected range: {counts[1]}")

    # Test 2: Edge case - single element
    sol2 = Solution([5])
    result = sol2.pickIndex()
    print("Test 2 (w=[5]) - Result:", result)
    assert result == 0, f"Expected 0, got {result}"

    # Test 3: Tricky case - large weights, ensure no off-by-one
    sol3 = Solution([1, 1, 1, 1, 96]) # last index should dominate
    counts = [0] * 5
    for _ in range(1000):
        idx = sol3.pickIndex()
        counts[idx] += 1
    print("Test 3 (w=[1,1,1,1,96]) - Counts:", counts)
    assert counts[4] >= 900, f"Index 4 should dominate; got {counts[4]}"

```

**How to use:** Copy-paste this block into .py or Quarto cell → run directly → instant feedback.

## Example Walkthrough

Let's walk through `w = [1, 3]` step by step:

### 1. Initialization (`__init__`)

- `w = [1, 3]`
- `total = 0`
- Loop:
  - Add 1  $\rightarrow$  `total = 1`  $\rightarrow$  `prefix = [1]`
  - Add 3  $\rightarrow$  `total = 4`  $\rightarrow$  `prefix = [1, 4]`
- Final `self.prefix = [1, 4]`

### 2. First `pickIndex()` call

- `self.prefix[-1] = 4`
- `random.randint(1, 4)`  $\rightarrow$  suppose it returns 3
- `bisect.bisect_left([1, 4], 3)`
  - Compare 3 with 1  $\rightarrow$  too big
  - Compare 3 with 4  $\rightarrow 4 \geq 3 \rightarrow$  return index 1
- Output: 1

### 3. Second call

- Suppose `random.randint(1, 4)` returns 1
- `bisect_left([1,4], 1)`  $\rightarrow$  first element 1  $\geq 1 \rightarrow$  index 0
- Output: 0

### 4. Why it works

- Numbers 1 map to index 0
- Numbers 2,3,4 map to index 1
- So index 0: 1/4 chance, index 1: 3/4 chance  $\rightarrow$  matches weights!

## Complexity Analysis

- **Time Complexity:**

- `__init__`:  $O(n)$  — one pass to build prefix sum.
- `pickIndex`:  $O(\log n)$  — binary search over prefix array.

Building prefix is one-time cost. Each pick is fast via binary search.

- **Space Complexity:**  $O(n)$

We store the prefix sum array of length  $n$ . No extra space per call.