# **Two Pointers**

#### 1. 3Sum

Pattern: Two Pointers

### **Problem Statement**

Given an integer array nums, return all the triplets [nums[i], nums[j], nums[k]] such that i != j, i != k, j != k, and nums[i] + nums[j] + nums[k] == 0.

Notice that the solution set must not contain duplicate triplets.

### Sample Input & Output

```
Input: nums = [-1,0,1,2,-1,-4]
Output: [[-1,-1,2],[-1,0,1]]
Explanation: Two valid triplets sum to zero.
Duplicates like [-1,0,1] appearing twice are removed.
```

```
Input: nums = [0,1,1]
Output: []
Explanation: No triplet sums to zero.
```

```
Input: nums = [0,0,0]
Output: [[0,0,0]]
Explanation: Only one triplet exists, and it sums to zero.
```

```
from typing import List
class Solution:
    def threeSum(self, nums: List[int]) -> List[List[int]]:
        # STEP 1: Initialize structures
            - Sort to enable two pointers and skip duplicates
            - Use list to collect valid triplets
        nums.sort()
        result = []
        n = len(nums)
        # STEP 2: Main loop / recursion
            - Fix first number (i), then use two pointers for rest
           - Skip duplicates for i to avoid repeated triplets
        for i in range(n - 2):
            if i > 0 and nums[i] == nums[i - 1]:
                continue # Skip duplicate i values
            left = i + 1
            right = n - 1
            # Two-pointer search for complement = -nums[i]
            while left < right:</pre>
                total = nums[i] + nums[left] + nums[right]
                if total == 0:
                    result.append([nums[i], nums[left], nums[right]])
                    # STEP 3: Update state / bookkeeping
                    # - Skip duplicates for left and right
                    while left < right and nums[left] == nums[left + 1]:</pre>
                         left += 1
                     while left < right and nums[right] == nums[right - 1]:</pre>
                         right -= 1
                    left += 1
                    right -= 1
                elif total < 0:</pre>
                    left += 1 # Need larger sum
                else:
```

```
# STEP 4: Return result
# - Already filtered duplicates; empty if none found
return result

# ------- INLINE TESTS ------

if __name__ == "__main__":
    sol = Solution()

# Test 1: Normal case
    assert sol.threeSum([-1, 0, 1, 2, -1, -4]) == [[-1, -1, 2], [-1, 0, 1]]

# Test 2: Edge case - no solution
    assert sol.threeSum([0, 1, 1]) == []

# Test 3: Tricky/negative - all zeros
    assert sol.threeSum([0, 0, 0]) == [[0, 0, 0]]

print(" All tests passed!")
```

#### **Example Walkthrough**

We'll trace threeSum([-1, 0, 1, 2, -1, -4]) step by step.

#### **Initial State:**

```
- Input: nums = [-1, 0, 1, 2, -1, -4]

- After sorting: nums = [-4, -1, -1, 0, 1, 2]

- result = [], n = 6
```

Loop 1:  $i = 0 \rightarrow nums[i] = -4$ 

• Not a duplicate (first element).

- Set left = 1, right =  $5 \rightarrow \text{values: -1}$  and 2
- While left < right:

- total = -4 + (-1) + 2 = -3 
$$\rightarrow$$
 too small  $\rightarrow$  left += 1  $\rightarrow$  left = 2

- total = -4 + (-1) + 2 = -3 
$$\rightarrow$$
 still too small  $\rightarrow$  left += 1  $\rightarrow$  left = 3

- total = -4 + 0 + 2 = -2 
$$\rightarrow$$
 too small  $\rightarrow$  left = 4

- total = -4 + 1 + 2 = -1 
$$\rightarrow$$
 too small  $\rightarrow$  left = 5  $\rightarrow$  now left == right  $\rightarrow$  exit

 $\rightarrow$  No triplet found with -4.

Loop 2:  $i = 1 \rightarrow nums[i] = -1$ 

- Not duplicate (i=1, nums[0] = -4 -1)
- left = 2, right =  $5 \rightarrow \text{values}$ : -1 and 2
- While left < right:

\* Append to result 
$$\rightarrow$$
 result = [[-1, -1, 2]]

\* Skip duplicates:

· nums[2] == nums[3]? 
$$\rightarrow$$
 -1 != 0  $\rightarrow$  no skip

· nums[5] == nums[4]? 
$$\rightarrow$$
 2 != 1  $\rightarrow$  no skip

- \* Move pointers: left = 3, right = 4
- Now left=3, right=4  $\rightarrow$  values: 0 and 1

- \* Append  $\rightarrow$  result = [[-1, -1, 2], [-1, 0, 1]]
- \* No duplicates next  $\rightarrow$  move: left=4, right=3  $\rightarrow$  exit loop

Loop 3:  $i = 2 \rightarrow nums[i] = -1$ 

• Duplicate! i > 0 and nums[2] == nums[1]  $\rightarrow$  continue

Loop 4:  $i = 3 \rightarrow nums[i] = 0$ 

• left = 4, right =  $5 \rightarrow 0$  + 1 + 2 =  $3 > 0 \rightarrow \text{right}$  -=  $1 \rightarrow \text{right}$  =  $4 \rightarrow \text{exit}$ 

Loops end. Return result = [[-1, -1, 2], [-1, 0, 1]].

**Complexity Analysis** 

• Time Complexity: O(n²)

Sorting takes  $O(n \log n)$ . The outer loop runs O(n) times. For each i, the two-pointer scan is O(n). Total:  $O(n \log n + n^2) = O(n^2)$ .

• Space Complexity: O(1) (or O(n) if counting output)

We only use a few pointers and the result list. Sorting may use O(log n) stack space (Timsort), but no extra DS scales with input beyond output.

### 2. 3Sum Closest

Pattern: Two Pointers

#### **Problem Statement**

Given an integer array nums of length n and an integer target, find three integers in nums such that the sum is closest to target. Return the sum of the three integers.

You may assume that each input would have exactly one solution.

# Sample Input & Output

```
from typing import List
class Solution:
    def threeSumClosest(
       self, nums: List[int], target: int
    ) -> int:
       # STEP 1: Initialize structures
       # - Sort array to enable two pointers
       # - Track closest sum and min diff from target
       nums.sort()
       n = len(nums)
       closest sum = float('inf')
       min_diff = float('inf')
       # STEP 2: Main loop / recursion
       # - Fix first number (i), then use two pointers
       # - Invariant: for each i, we find best j,k > i
       for i in range(n - 2):
           left = i + 1
```

```
right = n - 1
           # Two-pointer scan for best pair
           while left < right:</pre>
               curr_sum = nums[i] + nums[left] + nums[right]
               curr_diff = abs(curr_sum - target)
               # STEP 3: Update state / bookkeeping
               # - Update if we found a closer sum
               # - Why here? We must check every valid triplet
               if curr_diff < min_diff:</pre>
                   min_diff = curr_diff
                   closest_sum = curr_sum
               # Move pointers based on sum vs target
               if curr_sum < target:</pre>
                   left += 1
               elif curr_sum > target:
                   right -= 1
               else:
                   # Exact match - can't get closer
                   return curr_sum
       # STEP 4: Return result
       # - Guaranteed to have found at least one triplet
       return closest_sum
# ----- INLINE TESTS -----
if __name__ == "__main__":
   sol = Solution()
   # Test 1: Normal case
   assert sol.threeSumClosest([-1,2,1,-4], 1) == 2
   # Test 2: Edge case - all same
   assert sol.threeSumClosest([0,0,0], 1) == 0
   # Test 3: Tricky/negative - target far from all sums
   assert sol.threeSumClosest([1,1,1,0], -100) == 2
   print(" All tests passed!")
```

#### **Example Walkthrough**

```
We'll walk through Test 1: nums = [-1, 2, 1, -4], target = 1.
```

Initial Setup: - Input: nums = [-1, 2, 1, -4], target = 1 - After sorting: nums = [-4,
-1, 1, 2] - n = 4 - closest\_sum = inf, min\_diff = inf

Step 1:  $i = 0 \rightarrow nums[i] = -4$ 

- -left = 1, right = 3
- Enter while left < right  $(1 < 3 \rightarrow \text{True})$

#### Step 1.1:

- $curr_sum = -4 + (-1) + 2 = -3$
- $curr_diff = abs(-3 1) = 4$
- Since 4 < inf  $\rightarrow$  update:
- $-\min_{diff} = 4$
- $-closest_sum = -3$
- Since -3 < 1  $\rightarrow$  move left to 2

State: closest\_sum = -3, min\_diff = 4

Step 1.2: left = 2, right = 3

- $curr_sum = -4 + 1 + 2 = -1$
- $curr_diff = abs(-1 1) = 2$
- 2 < 4  $\rightarrow$  update:
- $-\min_{diff} = 2$
- closest\_sum = -1
- -1 < 1  $\rightarrow$  move left to 3

State: closest\_sum = -1, min\_diff = 2

```
Step 1.3: left = 3, right = 3 \rightarrow \text{loop ends (left < right is False)}
```

```
Step 2: i = 1 → nums[i] = -1
- left = 2, right = 3
- Enter loop (2 < 3 → True)

Step 2.1:
- curr_sum = -1 + 1 + 2 = 2
- curr_diff = abs(2 - 1) = 1
- 1 < 2 → update:
- min_diff = 1
- closest_sum = 2
- 2 > 1 → move right to 2

State: closest_sum = 2, min_diff = 1
```

Step 2.2: left = 2, right =  $2 \rightarrow loop ends$ 

Step 3: i = 2 
$$\rightarrow$$
 loop stops (range(n-2) = range(2)  $\rightarrow$  i=0,1 only)  
Final Return: closest\_sum = 2

# **Complexity Analysis**

• Time Complexity: O(n<sup>2</sup>)

Sorting takes  $O(n \log n)$ . The outer loop runs O(n) times, and the inner two-pointer scan is O(n) per outer iteration  $\to$  total  $O(n^2)$ . Dominates sorting.

• Space Complexity: 0(1)

Only a few extra variables (closest\_sum, min\_diff, pointers). Sorting is inplace (Python's Timsort uses O(n) worst-case, but we consider auxiliary space  $\rightarrow O(1)$  for algorithm logic).

#### 3. Container With Most Water

Pattern: Two Pointers

#### **Problem Statement**

You are given an integer array height of length n. There are n vertical lines drawn such that the two endpoints of the ith line are (i, 0) and (i, height[i]).

Find two lines that together with the x-axis form a container, such that the container contains the most water.

Return the maximum amount of water a container can store.

Note: You may not slant the container.

# Sample Input & Output

```
Input: height = [1,8,6,2,5,4,8,3,7]
Output: 49
Explanation: The max area is between index 1 (height 8) and index 8 (height 7): width = 7, he

Input: height = [1,1]
Output: 1
Explanation: Only two lines; width=1, height=1 → area=1.

Input: height = [4,3,2,1,4]
Output: 16
Explanation: Leftmost (4) and rightmost (4) → width=4, height=4 → area=16 (better than inner)
```

```
from typing import List
class Solution:
    def maxArea(self, height: List[int]) -> int:
        # STEP 1: Initialize structures
          - Use two pointers at ends to maximize width
        # - Track max area seen so far
        left = 0
        right = len(height) - 1
        max_area = 0
        # STEP 2: Main loop / recursion
           - While pointers haven't crossed
          - Compute current area using min height * width
        while left < right:</pre>
           width = right - left
           h = min(height[left], height[right])
           current_area = width * h
           max_area = max(max_area, current_area)
           # STEP 3: Update state / bookkeeping
           # - Move pointer with smaller height inward
           # - Why? Larger height might yield bigger area
            if height[left] < height[right]:</pre>
               left += 1
            else:
               right -= 1
        # STEP 4: Return result
        # - max_area is updated throughout; safe for all cases
        return max_area
# ----- INLINE TESTS -----
if __name__ == "__main__":
   sol = Solution()
    # Test 1: Normal case
    assert sol.maxArea([1,8,6,2,5,4,8,3,7]) == 49, "Normal case failed"
    # Test 2: Edge case
    assert sol.maxArea([1,1]) == 1, "Edge case (two elements) failed"
```

```
# Test 3: Tricky/negative
assert sol.maxArea([4,3,2,1,4]) == 16, "Tricky symmetric case failed"
print(" All tests passed!")
```

#### **Example Walkthrough**

We'll trace maxArea([1,8,6,2,5,4,8,3,7]) step by step.

#### Initial state:

- height = [1,8,6,2,5,4,8,3,7]
- -left = 0, right = 8, max\_area = 0

### Step 1:

```
- Line: while left < right \rightarrow 0 < 8 \rightarrow enter loop
```

- width = 8 - 0 = 8

-h = min(height[0], height[8]) = min(1, 7) = 1

- current\_area = 8 \* 1 = 8

 $-\max_{\alpha} = \max(0, 8) = 8$ 

- Since height[0] (1) < height[8] (7), move left  $\rightarrow$  left = 1

- State: left=1, right=8, max\_area=8

### Step 2:

```
- 1 < 8 \rightarrow continue
```

- width = 8 - 1 = 7

-h = min(8, 7) = 7

- current\_area = 7 \* 7 = 49

 $- \max_{\text{area}} = \max(8, 49) = 49$ 

- height[1] (8) > height[8] (7)  $\rightarrow$  move right  $\rightarrow$  right = 7

- State: left=1, right=7, max\_area=49

```
Step 3:
```

```
- 1 < 7 → continue

- width = 6

- h = min(8, 3) = 3

- current_area = 6 * 3 = 18

- max_area remains 49

- 8 > 3 → move right → right = 6
```

- State: left=1, right=6, max\_area=49

#### Step 4:

```
- 1 < 6 \rightarrow continue
```

- width = 5

-h = min(8, 8) = 8

- current\_area = 5 \* 8 = 40

- max\_area still 49

- Heights equal → move either; code moves right (since else branch) → right = 5

- State: left=1, right=5, max\_area=49

#### Step 5:

```
- 1 < 5 \rightarrow continue
```

- width = 4

-h = min(8, 4) = 4

- current\_area =  $16 \rightarrow \text{no change}$ 

- Move right  $\rightarrow$  right = 4

Continue similarly... all subsequent areas are 40.

Eventually, left and right meet  $\rightarrow$  loop ends.

#### Final return: 49

**Key insight**: By always moving the shorter pointer, we never miss a better area — because keeping the shorter one limits height, and reducing width further can't help unless we find a taller line.

### **Complexity Analysis**

• Time Complexity: O(n)

We traverse the array once with two pointers moving toward each other—exactly n-1 iterations.

• Space Complexity: 0(1)

Only a few integer variables (left, right, max\_area, etc.) are used — no extra space proportional to input size.

#### 4. Valid Palindrome

Pattern: Two Pointers

#### **Problem Statement**

A phrase is a **palindrome** if, after converting all uppercase letters into lowercase letters and removing all non-alphanumeric characters, it reads the same forward and backward. Alphanumeric characters include letters and numbers.

Given a string s, return true if it is a palindrome, or false otherwise.

### Sample Input & Output

```
Input: s = "A man, a plan, a canal: Panama"
Output: true
Explanation: After cleaning: "amanaplanacanalpanama" - reads same forwards/backwards.

Input: s = "race a car"
Output: false
Explanation: Cleaned string is "raceacar", which is not a palindrome.
```

```
Input: s = " "
Output: true
Explanation: Empty string (after cleaning) is considered a palindrome.
```

```
from typing import List
class Solution:
    def isPalindrome(self, s: str) -> bool:
        # STEP 1: Initialize two pointers at start and end
        # - Left pointer starts at beginning
        # - Right pointer starts at end
        left, right = 0, len(s) - 1
        # STEP 2: Move pointers toward center while valid
            - Skip non-alphanumeric chars from both ends
            - Compare lowercase versions when both are valid
        while left < right:</pre>
            # Skip non-alphanumeric from left
            while left < right and not s[left].isalnum():</pre>
                left += 1
            # Skip non-alphanumeric from right
            while left < right and not s[right].isalnum():</pre>
                right -= 1
            # Compare characters (case-insensitive)
            if s[left].lower() != s[right].lower():
                return False
            # Move both pointers inward
            left += 1
            right -= 1
        # STEP 3: If loop completes, it's a palindrome
            - All valid char pairs matched
        return True
```

### **Example Walkthrough**

Let's trace s = "A man, a plan, a canal: Panama" step by step:

- 1. Initialize pointers:
  - left = 0 (points to 'A')
  - right = 29 (points to 'a' last char)
- 2. First outer loop (left=0, right=29):
  - Both 'A' and 'a' are alphanumeric  $\rightarrow$  no skipping.
  - Compare 'A'.lower() ('a') vs 'a'.lower() ('a')  $\rightarrow$  match.
  - Update: left = 1, right = 28.
- 3. Now left=1 (' '), right=28 ('m'):
  - ' ' is not alphanumeric  $\rightarrow$  left increments to 2 ('m').
  - 'm' and 'm'  $\rightarrow$  match.

• Update: left = 3, right = 27.

# 4. Continue this process:

- Skip commas, spaces, colons as needed.
- Always compare only alphanumeric chars in lowercase.
- Pointers move inward symmetrically.

### 5. Eventually:

- Pointers cross (left  $\geq$  right)  $\rightarrow$  loop ends.
- Return True.

### Key Insight:

We never create a new cleaned string — we **simulate** it by skipping invalid chars on the fly. This saves space and aligns with the **Two Pointers** pattern: two indices converging while maintaining a palindrome invariant.

**Complexity Analysis** 

• Time Complexity: O(n)

We scan each character at most once (each pointer moves inward monotonically). isalnum() and lower() are O(1) per char.

• Space Complexity: 0(1)

Only two integer pointers used — no extra storage proportional to input size. In-place comparison.

# 5. Move Zeroes

Pattern: Two Pointers

#### **Problem Statement**

Given an integer array nums, move all 0's to the end of it while maintaining the relative order of the non-zero elements.

Note that you must do this **in-place** without making a copy of the array.

### Sample Input & Output

```
Input: [0,1,0,3,12]
Output: [1,3,12,0,0]
Explanation: All zeros are moved to the end; non-zero order preserved.
```

```
Input: [0]
Output: [0]
Explanation: Single zero remains in place.
```

```
Input: [1,2,3]
Output: [1,2,3]
Explanation: No zeros to move; array unchanged.
```

```
from typing import List

class Solution:
    def moveZeroes(self, nums: List[int]) -> None:
        # STEP 1: Initialize structures
        # - `write_pos` tracks where next non-zero should go
        write_pos = 0

# STEP 2: Main loop / recursion
        # - Traverse entire array with `read_pos`
        # - Invariant: all elements before `write_pos` are non-zero
```

```
for read_pos in range(len(nums)):
           if nums[read_pos] != 0:
               # STEP 3: Update state / bookkeeping
                  - Place non-zero at `write_pos`
               # - Increment to prepare for next non-zero
               nums[write_pos] = nums[read_pos]
               write_pos += 1
       # STEP 4: Return result
           - Fill remaining positions with zeros
           - Handles edge cases (all zeros, no zeros, etc.)
       while write_pos < len(nums):</pre>
           nums[write_pos] = 0
           write_pos += 1
# ----- INLINE TESTS -----
if __name__ == "__main__":
   sol = Solution()
   # Test 1: Normal case
   nums1 = [0, 1, 0, 3, 12]
   sol.moveZeroes(nums1)
   print(f"Test 1: {nums1}") # Expected: [1, 3, 12, 0, 0]
   # Test 2: Edge case
   nums2 = [0]
   sol.moveZeroes(nums2)
   print(f"Test 2: {nums2}") # Expected: [0]
   # Test 3: Tricky/negative
   nums3 = [1, 2, 3]
   sol.moveZeroes(nums3)
   print(f"Test 3: {nums3}") # Expected: [1, 2, 3]
```

### **Example Walkthrough**

We'll walk through **Test 1**: nums = [0, 1, 0, 3, 12]

# Initial state:

- -nums = [0, 1, 0, 3, 12]
- write\_pos = 0

### Step 1: read\_pos = 0

- Check nums[0]  $\mbox{ != 0 } \rightarrow \mbox{ 0 } \mbox{ != 0 } \rightarrow \mbox{ False}$
- Skip assignment
- write\_pos remains 0

State: nums = [0, 1, 0, 3, 12], write\_pos = 0

# Step 2: read\_pos = 1

- Check nums[1]  $!= 0 \rightarrow 1 != 0 \rightarrow True$
- $Assign nums[write_pos] = nums[1] \rightarrow nums[0] = 1$
- Increment write\_pos  $\rightarrow$  now 1

State: nums = [1, 1, 0, 3, 12], write\_pos = 1

## Step 3: read\_pos = 2

- Check nums[2] != 0  $\rightarrow$  0 != 0  $\rightarrow$   $\mathbf{False}$
- Skip assignment
- write\_pos remains 1

State: nums = [1, 1, 0, 3, 12], write\_pos = 1

#### Step 4: read\_pos = 3

- Check nums[3] != 0  $\rightarrow$  3 != 0  $\rightarrow$  True
- Assign nums[1] = 3
- Increment write\_pos  $\rightarrow$  now 2

State: nums = [1, 3, 0, 3, 12], write\_pos = 2

```
Step 5: read_pos = 4
- Check nums[4] != 0 \rightarrow 12 != 0 \rightarrow True
- Assign nums[2] = 12
- Increment write_pos \rightarrow now 3
State: nums = [1, 3, 12, 3, 12], write_pos = 3
```

```
Step 6: End of loop → now fill zeros
- write_pos = 3, len(nums) = 5 → loop while 3 < 5
- Set nums[3] = 0 → write_pos = 4
- Set nums[4] = 0 → write_pos = 5</pre>
Final state: nums = [1, 3, 12, 0, 0]
Output matches expected result!
```

Output matches expected result!

### **Complexity Analysis**

• Time Complexity: O(n)

We traverse the array **once** with the read pointer (n steps), then fill zeros in a second pass (at most n steps). Total  $= 2n \rightarrow 0(n)$ .

• Space Complexity: 0(1)

Only **one extra variable** (write\_pos) is used. The operation is in-place — no additional arrays or recursion stack.

### 6. Squares of a Sorted Array

Pattern: Two Pointers

#### **Problem Statement**

Given an integer array nums sorted in non-decreasing order, return an array of the squares of each number sorted in non-decreasing order.

### Sample Input & Output

```
Input: [-7,-3,2,3,11]
Output: [4,9,9,49,121]
```

```
Input: [-5]
Output: [25]
Explanation: Single negative element → square is positive.
```

```
class Solution:
    def sortedSquares(self, nums: List[int]) -> List[int]:
        # STEP 1: Initialize structures
        # - n: length of input (for indexing)
        # - result: pre-allocated output array (same size)
        # - left, right: two pointers at start and end
        n = len(nums)
        result = [0] * n
        left, right = 0, n - 1
```

```
# STEP 2: Main loop / recursion
       # - Fill result from END to START (largest square first)
           - Compare abs values via squares (no need for abs())
          - Invariant: result[i+1:] is sorted; we fill result[i]
       for i in range(n - 1, -1, -1):
           left_sq = nums[left] * nums[left]
           right_sq = nums[right] * nums[right]
           # STEP 3: Update state / bookkeeping
           # - Pick larger square → place at current end
           # - Move corresponding pointer inward
           if left_sq > right_sq:
               result[i] = left_sq
               left += 1
           else:
               result[i] = right_sq
               right -= 1
       # STEP 4: Return result
       # - Always valid: input non-empty per constraints
       return result
# ----- INLINE TESTS -----
if __name__ == "__main__":
   sol = Solution()
   # Test 1: Normal case
   assert sol.sortedSquares([-4, -1, 0, 3, 10]) == [0, 1, 9, 16, 100]
   # Test 2: Edge case
   assert sol.sortedSquares([-5]) == [25]
   # Test 3: Tricky/negative
   assert sol.sortedSquares([-7, -3, 2, 3, 11]) == [4, 9, 9, 49, 121]
   print(" All tests passed!")
```

### **Example Walkthrough**

We'll trace sortedSquares([-4, -1, 0, 3, 10]) step by step.

#### **Initial State**:

```
- nums = [-4, -1, 0, 3, 10]

- n = 5

- result = [0, 0, 0, 0, 0]

- left = 0, right = 4
```

We fill result from the last index (4) to 0, placing the largest remaining square at each step.

Iteration 1: i = 4
- left\_sq = (-4)² = 16
- right\_sq = 10² = 100
- Since 16 < 100 → pick right\_sq
- result[4] = 100
- right moves to 3
- State: result = [0, 0, 0, 0, 100], left=0, right=3</pre>

Iteration 2: i = 3
- left\_sq = (-4)² = 16
- right\_sq = 3² = 9
- 16 > 9 → pick left\_sq
- result[3] = 16
- left moves to 1
- State: result = [0, 0, 0, 16, 100], left=1, right=3

```
Iteration 3: i = 2
- left_sq = (-1)<sup>2</sup> = 1
- right_sq = 3<sup>2</sup> = 9
- 1 < 9 → pick right_sq
- result[2] = 9
- right moves to 2
- State: result = [0, 0, 9, 16, 100], left=1, right=2</pre>
```

```
Iteration 4: i = 1
- left_sq = (-1)<sup>2</sup> = 1
- right_sq = 0<sup>2</sup> = 0
- 1 > 0 → pick left_sq
- result[1] = 1
- left moves to 2
- State: result = [0, 1, 9, 16, 100], left=2, right=2
```

```
Iteration 5: i = 0
- left_sq = 0² = 0
- right_sq = 0² = 0
- Equal → pick right_sq (or left; doesn't matter)
- result[0] = 0
- right moves to 1
- Final State: result = [0, 1, 9, 16, 100]
Output: [0, 1, 9, 16, 100] — correctly sorted squares!
```

### **Key Insight:**

Because the input is sorted, the **largest square must come from one of the ends** (most negative or most positive). The two-pointer technique exploits this by comparing ends and working inward.

#### **Complexity Analysis**

• Time Complexity: O(n)

We iterate through the array **exactly once** (n steps). Each step does constanttime operations (squaring, comparison, assignment).

• Space Complexity: O(1) auxiliary space (or O(n) total if counting output)

We use only a few extra variables (left, right, i, left\_sq, right\_sq). The output array result is required by the problem, so auxiliary space is constant.

#### 7. Sort Colors

Pattern: Two Pointers

#### **Problem Statement**

Given an array nums with n objects colored red, white, or blue, sort them in-place so that objects of the same color are adjacent, with the colors in the order red, white, and blue.

We will use the integers 0, 1, and 2 to represent the color red, white, and blue, respectively. You must solve this problem without using the library's sort function.

# Sample Input & Output

```
Input: [2,0,2,1,1,0]
Output: [0,0,1,1,2,2]
Explanation: All Os (red) come first, then 1s (white), then 2s (blue).
```

```
Input: [2,0,1]
Output: [0,1,2]
Explanation: One of each color, sorted in correct order.
```

```
Input: [0]
Output: [0]
Explanation: Single-element edge case - already sorted.
```

```
from typing import List
class Solution:
    def sortColors(self, nums: List[int]) -> None:
        # STEP 1: Initialize pointers
           - left: boundary for 0s (exclusive right end)
        # - right: boundary for 2s (exclusive left end)
        # - i: current index being examined
        left = 0
        right = len(nums) - 1
        i = 0
        # STEP 2: Main loop - process until i crosses right
        # - Invariant: [0:left] = 0s, [right+1:] = 2s
           - Middle section [left:i] = 1s, [i:right+1] = unprocessed
        while i <= right:</pre>
            if nums[i] == 0:
                # STEP 3a: Move 0 to left section
               nums[i], nums[left] = nums[left], nums[i]
               left += 1
                i += 1 # safe to move forward (swapped 0 or 1)
            elif nums[i] == 2:
                # STEP 3b: Move 2 to right section
               nums[i], nums[right] = nums[right], nums[i]
               right -= 1
               # do NOT increment i - new nums[i] is unprocessed
            else:
               # STEP 3c: nums[i] == 1 → already in correct zone
                i += 1
        # STEP 4: Return result
        # - Modification is in-place; no return needed (None)
# ----- INLINE TESTS -----
if name == " main ":
   sol = Solution()
    # Test 1: Normal case
   nums1 = [2, 0, 2, 1, 1, 0]
    sol.sortColors(nums1)
    print(f"Test 1: {nums1}") # Expected: [0, 0, 1, 1, 2, 2]
```

```
# Test 2: Edge case
nums2 = [0]
sol.sortColors(nums2)
print(f"Test 2: {nums2}") # Expected: [0]

# Test 3: Tricky/negative
nums3 = [2, 0, 1]
sol.sortColors(nums3)
print(f"Test 3: {nums3}") # Expected: [0, 1, 2]
```

### **Example Walkthrough**

```
We'll trace Test 3: nums = [2, 0, 1]

Initial state:
- nums = [2, 0, 1]
- left = 0, right = 2, i = 0

Step 1: i=0, nums[0]=2

→ Enter elif nums[i] == 2

→ Swap nums[0] and nums[2]:
    nums becomes [1, 0, 2]

→ right decrements to 1

→ i stays at 0 (because new nums[0]=1 must be checked)

State: nums=[1,0,2], left=0, right=1, i=0

Step 2: i=0, nums[0]=1

→ Enter else (value is 1)

→ i increments to 1

State: nums=[1,0,2], left=0, right=1, i=1
```

Step 3: i=1, nums[1]=0

- $\rightarrow$  Enter if nums[i] == 0
- → Swap nums[1] and nums[left=0]: nums becomes [0, 1, 2]
- $\rightarrow$  left increments to 1
- $\rightarrow$  i increments to 2

State: nums=[0,1,2], left=1, right=1, i=2

Step 4: Check loop condition i <= right  $\rightarrow$  2 <= 1?

 $\rightarrow$  Loop ends.

Final output: [0, 1, 2]

### Key insight:

- When we swap a 2 to the right, the element swapped *into* position i might be a 0 or 1, so we **must not advance** i until it's processed.
- But when we swap a 0 from the left, we know the element coming in is either a 1 (from middle) or another 0 (already processed), so it's safe to move i forward.

### **Complexity Analysis**

• Time Complexity: O(n)

We traverse the array at most once. Each element is swapped at most twice (once for 0, once for 2), so total operations are linear.

• Space Complexity: 0(1)

Only three integer pointers (left, right, i) are used — constant extra space. The sort is in-place.

#### 8. Rotate Array

Pattern: Two Pointers

#### **Problem Statement**

Given an integer array nums, rotate the array to the right by k steps, where k is non-negative.

You must do this **in-place** with O(1) extra space.

#### Sample Input & Output

```
Input: nums = [1,2,3,4,5,6,7], k = 3

Output: [5,6,7,1,2,3,4]

Explanation: Rotate 3 steps to the right:

[1,2,3,4,5,6,7] \rightarrow [7,1,2,3,4,5,6] \rightarrow

[6,7,1,2,3,4,5] \rightarrow [5,6,7,1,2,3,4]
```

```
Input: nums = [-1,-100,3,99], k = 2

Output: [3,99,-1,-100]

Explanation: Rotate 2 steps right:

[-1,-100,3,99] \rightarrow [99,-1,-100,3] \rightarrow [3,99,-1,-100]
```

```
Input: nums = [1], k = 0
Output: [1]
Explanation: No rotation needed (k=0). Edge case: single element.
```

```
from typing import List

class Solution:
    def rotate(self, nums: List[int], k: int) -> None:
        # STEP 1: Normalize k to avoid redundant full rotations
        # - If k >= len(nums), only k % n matters
        n = len(nums)
        k = k % n
```

```
if k == 0:
           return # No rotation needed
       # STEP 2: Reverse entire array
       # - This brings last k elements to front (but reversed)
       self.reverse(nums, 0, n - 1)
       # STEP 3: Reverse first k elements
       # - Fixes order of the rotated part
       self.reverse(nums, 0, k - 1)
       # STEP 4: Reverse remaining n-k elements
       # - Fixes order of the original front part
       self.reverse(nums, k, n - 1)
   def reverse(self, nums: List[int], left: int,
               right: int) -> None:
       # Helper: reverse subarray using two pointers
       while left < right:</pre>
           nums[left], nums[right] = nums[right], nums[left]
           left += 1
           right -= 1
# ----- INLINE TESTS -----
if __name__ == "__main__":
   sol = Solution()
   # Test 1: Normal case
   nums1 = [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7]
   sol.rotate(nums1, 3)
   print(f"Test 1: {nums1}") # Expected: [5,6,7,1,2,3,4]
   # Test 2: Edge case (k=0, single element)
   nums2 = [1]
   sol.rotate(nums2, 0)
   print(f"Test 2: {nums2}") # Expected: [1]
   # Test 3: Tricky/negative (k > n, duplicates)
   nums3 = [-1, -100, 3, 99]
   sol.rotate(nums3, 2)
   print(f"Test 3: {nums3}") # Expected: [3,99,-1,-100]
```

### **Example Walkthrough**

We'll walk through **Test 1**: nums = [1,2,3,4,5,6,7], k = 3.

#### **Initial Setup**

- nums = [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7]
- $\bullet$  n = 7
- $k = 3 \% 7 = 3 \rightarrow \text{not zero, so proceed.}$

## Step 1: Reverse entire array $\rightarrow$ reverse(nums, 0, 6)

- Two pointers: left=0, right=6
- Swap nums[0] and nums[6]  $\rightarrow$  [7,2,3,4,5,6,1]
- left=1, right=5  $\rightarrow$  swap  $\rightarrow$  [7,6,3,4,5,2,1]
- left=2, right=4  $\rightarrow$  swap  $\rightarrow$  [7,6,5,4,3,2,1]
- left=3, right=3  $\rightarrow$  stop (left >= right)
- Result: [7,6,5,4,3,2,1]

Why? The last k=3 elements (5,6,7) are now at the front — but reversed as 7,6,5.

### Step 2: Reverse first k=3 elements $\rightarrow$ reverse(nums, 0, 2)

- left=0, right=2
- Swap nums[0] and nums[2]  $\rightarrow$  [5,6,7,4,3,2,1]
- left=1, right=1  $\rightarrow$  stop
- Result: [5,6,7,4,3,2,1]

Now the rotated part (5,6,7) is in correct order!

### Step 3: Reverse remaining n-k = 4 elements $\rightarrow$ reverse(nums, 3, 6)

- left=3, right=6
- Swap nums[3] and nums[6]  $\rightarrow$  [5,6,7,1,3,2,4]
- left=4, right=5  $\rightarrow$  swap  $\rightarrow$  [5,6,7,1,2,3,4]
- left=5, right=4  $\rightarrow$  stop
- Final Result:  $[5,6,7,1,2,3,4] \rightarrow \text{matches expected!}$

### **Summary of State Changes**

Step	Operation	Array State
0	Start	[1,2,3,4,5,6,7]
1	Reverse all	[7,6,5,4,3,2,1]
2	Reverse first 3	[5,6,7,4,3,2,1]
3	Reverse last 4	[5,6,7,1,2,3,4]

**Key Insight**: Three reverses simulate rotation without extra space. This is a classic **two pointers** trick: use symmetry to reposition elements.

### **Complexity Analysis**

• Time Complexity: O(n)

We reverse the array 3 times. Each reverse visits at most n elements. Total operations  $n/2 + k/2 + (n-k)/2 = n \rightarrow linear$ .

• Space Complexity: 0(1)

Only a few integer variables (left, right, n, k) are used. No extra arrays or recursion stack — truly in-place.