Munako Artemis
Munakata High School

REGION JAPAN 🇯🇵

LEAGUE Soccer LWL

Team Member
Kyle Matsuda : Hardware
Yuki Meno : Hardware
Rentaro Ishihara : Software
Ryota Kumadaki : Software

Team Website
https://munako-artemis.github.io/
Team X(Twitter)
https://x.com/munako_artemis
Team GitHub
https://github.com/MUNAKATA-EPC

Website   X(Twitter)   GitHub

SPONSORS

J@LC JLCPCB
佐賀ものラボ   TAKAHA

ARTEMIS
MUNAKATA.E.P.C

Abstract

Munako Artemis is a Japanese team participating in Soccer LWL. The team consists of four high school students and has been active since 2021.

For robot design, we mainly use Autodesk Fusion, and for circuit design, we use KiCad. By utilizing 3D printers and CNC milling machines, we efficiently created our robots. Almost all the sensors and microcontrollers are the same among both of our robots, simplifying parts management and increasing compatibility. Additionally, the programming is primarily done in C++ for most microcontrollers and in MicroPython for the camera.

In preparation for the world tournament, we made modifications to our robots to address various issues identified in the Japan Open 2024. One significant problem was the frequent occurrence of out-of-bounds, so we improved the shape of the line sensors from a linear to a circular design and changed the sensor processing algorithm. Additionally, we often had difficulty carrying the ball forward, so we expanded the ball-capturing zone to make it easier to capture the ball and improved the ball-tracking algorithm.

Both of our robots are equipped with a kicker mechanism that uses a solenoid to kick the ball. However, we had no idea what voltage and capacitor capacity would result in the strongest kick. Therefore, we investigated how the kicking power changes with different voltages and capacitor capacities and created graphs to determine the optimal settings for the strongest kick.

Although this is our first time participating in a world tournament, we are strongly motivated by the desire to "interact with various people, acquire diverse knowledge, and gain valuable experiences." To share our knowledge with a wider audience, we have created a website and social media accounts.

Our Robots' Structure

Robo.1

Robo.2

Method / Production / Design

【Robots' Overview / Descriptions Of The Installed Parts】

Robot.1's concept is to be a "robot with a low center of gravity and high maintenance performance". In a robot that moves freely around the court at high speed, having a low center of gravity is crucial. A low center of gravity allows the robot to execute turns and speed changes with minimal force, reducing power consumption and enabling more precise movements. However, achieving a low center of gravity often means designing the robot to be compact and densely packed with components internally, potentially compromising maintenance accessibility. To address this challenge, Robot.1 has been designed with a reduced number of components. Most parts and boards can be quickly removed and replaced, ensuring ease of maintenance despite its low-profile design.

Robot.2's concept is to be a "strong robot with stable operation" for soccer competitions, which is a challenging feat to achieve. However, we have implemented various innovations to achieve this goal. All components installed in Robot.2 have undergone a year-long development and testing process to ensure stability. Additionally, it is designed to place various additional components for adapting to changes in rules. By completing the robot production process early and allowing sufficient time for adjustments, we can achieve stable operation and develop a "strong robot".

Robo.1

Robo.2

1 Omnidirectional vision system
We have positioned the camera facing upwards and placed an omnidirectional mirror at the top of an acrylic pipe. By doing this, we can capture a 360-degree view from the robot without using multiple cameras, significantly simplifying control compared to using multiple cameras. We are using OpenMV as a camera module. It is programmed with MicroPython. It can be done color tracking easily and easy to handle.

2 Debugging components
We have placed menu operation buttons and a small LCD monitor on the rear of the robot. These are positioned for easy access during a match, allowing us to check sensor values and change the robot's Mode without connecting to a computer.

3 Ball Capture Detecting Sensor
We have placed an LED on one side of the ball supplement area, and an S4282-S1 photodetector IC on the other side, which detects the ball supplement. This IC responds only to light of a frequency synchronized with the IC itself, allowing it to be used even in daylight or under an indoor lighting without causing false detections, making it ideal for precise control.

4 IR Sensor
We have chosen the TSSP58038 for the ball detection. By placing 16 of them in a circular pattern on the central board, we can calculate the angle of the ball more accurately.

5 Line Sensor
We have positioned a circular board in the center and a board with a circular cut-out shape on the outer edge. Sensors placed on the outer edge enable immediate response to the line, and the sensors in the center ensures continuous line detection, even when the robot moves over the line. The description about the line sensor is summarized in "Robot's Feature" section.

6 Power circuit Board
The power circuit board includes battery protection circuits, and circuits for generating both the drive power supply and control power supply. The battery protection circuits feature reverse connection protection, overcurrent protection, and over-discharge prevention. To generate the control power supply, a DC-DC converter is used, which minimizes the electrical noise from the drive power supply, ensuring stable operation of the microcontrollers.

7 Motor
Robot.1: We use Maxon's RE16 series motors, which offer high efficiency and responsiveness, reducing the robot's power consumption and enabling finer movements.

Robot.2: We have adopted the DIGILENT IG22 1/19 motor. Despite being lightweight, it offers high responsiveness and speed. Additionally, its affordability makes it an ideal choice for RoboCup soccer robots, which is why we adopted it.

8 Solenoid
We have selected Takaha's CB1037 solenoid for the kicker. Among solenoids of similar size in the series, it has a longer stroke and delivers greater kicking force relative to its size, making it ideal for a compact and high-power kicker unit.

9 Frame : A2017
The frame was designed using Autodesk Fusion and machined using a CNC. A2017 Duralumin, known for its excellent durability, allows for a lightweight yet extremely sturdy frame.

A. Main Board (Robot.1)
This board is equipped with the "Teensy 4.0" main microcontroller, the "BNO055" IMU sensor, the "Twelite UART" communication module for inter-robot communication, the tournament's official communication module, and various control switches. All of the data from microcontrollers and sensors on other boards is aggregated here, and this data is used to control the robot.

• Teensy 4.0: It has many serial ports and very high performance, making it suitable for high-precision control using many sensors and sub-microcontrollers.
• BNO055: It allows easy control and has less angular drift compared to other IMU sensors.
• Twelite: It enables easy communication by simply connecting it to the microcontroller via UART.

B. Drive Board (Robot.1)
This board incorporates a motor driver circuit and a kicker drive circuit. We have chosen VNH5019 for the motor driver IC, and XL6009 for the voltage booster included to de kicker circuit. The motor driver IC can handle a power supply voltage of up to 41V, which is more than three times the voltage supplied from the battery, and it supports an extremely high current of 30A, ensuring high durability. The kicker circuit uses two types of MOSFETs, P-channel and N-channel. One is used for controlling the solenoid, and the other is used to disconnect the circuit during the kick motion. By isolating the kicker circuit from other circuits during kicking, we can physically block the electrical noise caused by the voltage drop during the kicking motion and the back electromotive force generated when the solenoid's shaft returns. This ensures that no noise affects both the driving power supply and the control power supply.

C. Main Board (Robot.2)
This board is equipped with a Teensy 4.0 as the main microcontroller, an L3GD20H and a BNO055 as IMU sensors, an OpenMV camera, an SSD1306 display, and TSSP58038 sensors for ball detection. There are eight ir sensors installed to calculate the ball's angle using vector processing. Two gyro sensors are installed to ensure redundancy in case one fails during the match. Additionally, the display allows us to check the sensor values and to change the robot's mode without connecting it to a computer, making adjustments to the robot more easily.

D. Motor Driver : DAISEN DSR-1202 (Robot.2)
We have adopted the DSR-1202 from the Japanese manufacturer DAISEN. It supports high current and can be easily used by connecting to the microcontroller via UART.

E. Kicker Board (Robot.2)
We use the XL6009 voltage boost IC to step up the voltage to approximately 47V. For noise reduction, during kicking, we utilize a P channel MOSFET to isolate the kicker circuit from all other circuits, effectively suppressing noise. The circuit is equipped with two 50V 4700μF charging capacitors. (For details, check the [Data / Results / Discussion] section)

【Robots' Features】

➢ Improvement of the shape of the Line Sensor

During the Japan Open 2024, we identified the following issues with our line sensors:
• The sensors were located in the center of the robot.
→ It takes time for the sensors to detect the white line after the robot has crossed it.
• We can only control whether the entire sensor array has detected the line or not, limiting our ability to implement out-of-bounds prevention measures effectively.
• Poor color compatibility between the sensors and the white line leads to erroneous detections.

To address these issues, we implemented the following improvements.

・Changing the type of the Sensor
Until now, we have been using a sensor called S4282-S1. (Refer to the "Touch Sensor" diagram on the left for details.) Although it is resistant to external light, we encountered the issues mentioned earlier. Therefore, we have equipped one of the robot with a phototransistor (NJL7502S).
By changing the sensor, we can sufficiently detect the difference in response between white and green, enabling us to detect white lines and reduce occurrences of out-of-bounds more. Additionally, we can adjust the threshold of response circuitry, allowing for more accurate detection of white lines. (We decided to continue using the S4282-S1 in the other robot to ensure redundancy in case the new line sensor encounters difficulties.)

・Changing the shape of the line sensor (Fig.A)
We added new sensors to the left, right, and rear in addition to the central circular line sensor. This allows the robot to immediately detect the white line when it crosses over it.

・Increasing the number of the sensor ports
For the circular line sensor, reading values from each sensor allows us to estimate the current position of the reacting white line. This enables the robot to return to the court even when it crosses halfway over the white line.

Fig.A a shape of line sensor

➢ Improvement of Ball Access Time through Ball Tracking Algorithm Enhancement

During the national competition, we identified the following issues with our ball tracking algorithm: Lack of distance-based control between the robot and the ball resulted in excessive rounding, leading to delayed ball access.

To address these issues, we developed the following ball tracking algorithm:

In the diagram on the right, we define Ball Angle as the angle of the ball relative to the robot, and Move Direction as the actual angle of movement of the robot.

A. When the robot is close to the ball (Fig.B-1)
Move in the tangential direction to the ball (= Ball Angle + 90) to approach the ball.

B. When the robot is far from the ball (Fig.B-2)
Add a constant (= Ball Angle + X) to move towards the ball.

By doing these, the robot can approach to it shorter way than before, and colliding with the ball is almost nothing, so own-goal was decreasing.

Fig.B-1 ball-tracking system when ball is near

Fig.B-2 ball-tracking system when ball is far

Data / Results / Discussion

【About the kicking mechanism and the research about the voltage and the capacitance of capacitors】

Our robots are equipped with a solenoid which is used for a ball kicking mechanism. It can kick the ball when you apply high voltage to the solenoid and uses a big capacitance charging capacitor. The circuit of the kicker mechanism is shown below Fig.1.

FET1 and FET2 are controlled by signals from the microcontroller. When FET1 is set to HIGH, the connected capacitors (C6, C7) will be charged up. When FET2 is set to HIGH, the solenoid operates using the power stored in the charged capacitors. Setting FET1 to LOW isolates the kicker circuit from all other circuits using P channel MOSFET, effectively blocking electrical noise.

Fig.1. The circuit of the kicker mechanism

However, we had no idea how high the voltage and how big the capacitance of the capacitor should be when we use the solenoid as the kicking system. Therefore, we decided to research how the kick power will change when we changed the voltage and capacitor capacitance.

<Details Of Research>
Measure the difference of the kicking power when you changed the voltage applied to the solenoid and the capacitance of the capacitor to find the optimal conditions for equipping the solenoid on the robot. This time we used capacitors from NIPPON CHEMI-CON, Nichicon, Rubycon.

<Research Procedure>
Step 1. make a solenoid drive board which can change the charging capacitor and the voltage which will be applied to the capacitor.
Step 2. Measure the kick power (*1) by keeping the voltage constant and varying the capacitance of the capacitor.
Step 3. Measure the kick power (*1) by varying the voltage without changing the capacitance.
Step 4. Create a graph based on the experimental data obtained from Step 2 and 3.

(*1) Place a long ruler on the court and kick the ball on it and the kicking power is defined as the distance the ball stopped.

<Results>

Kick Power vs. Capacitance (Fig.2)
voltage = 22V

Kick Power vs. Voltage (Fig.3)
capacitance = 4700μF

In Fig.2, you can see that the voltage variation and the change in kicking power follow a linear relationship. Similarly, in Fig.3, the change in capacitor capacity and the variation in kicking power also appear to follow a linear relationship.

<Discussion>
Based on these findings, it is considered that higher voltage and larger capacitor capacity lead to greater kicking power. Therefore, we aimed to get as close as possible to the maximum voltage limit allowed by the rules, which is 48V, and selected capacitor capacities based on the available space inside the robot. Robo.1 was equipped with a ??μF capacitor, and Robo.2 with two 4700μF capacitor, to maximize kicking power as much as possible.

Team Activities

【Enhancing Team Collaboration with Various Tools】

In team work, we make our collaboration in diverse aspects such as robot design and programming more efficient by using a variety of tools.
All the tools we use are shown below:

• Robot Design : Autodesk Fusion
• Circuit Design : KiCad 6.0 / 7.0
• Programming Tool : Visual Studio Code
• Source Management : GitHub

Autodesk Fusion   KiCad   GitHub

• Communication Tool : Discord

Visual Studio Code   Discord   Google Spreadsheet

We have set up a team-specific server. Threads are created for each content area to discuss various aspects in preparation for the world championship, making it easy to understand topics and discussions. (Fig.5) This allows team members to communicate smoothly online, leading to improved robotics development.

Fig.5 Our Discord Server

• Task Management : Google Spreadsheets

We made a table summarizing tasks for each member. (Fig.6) By managing them online, all team members can add, delete, or edit tasks, simplifying task management within the team. Therefore, at any time, it's easy to understand who has what tasks and the current status of their progress.

Fig.6 Task Lists (From RCJ2024 JapanOpen)

【Enhancing Team Efficiency through Multiple Workflows】

In team development, the robot production and the software development is difficult to progress at the same time, and this often leads to a single workflow (Fig.7). Given the extremely short preparation period for the world championship, our team found that operating under a single workflow was highly inefficient. Therefore, we proceeded with multiple workflows (Fig.8), which not only allowed us to start working on tasks earlier but also created significant time flexibility.

Fig.7 Specific System and Problems of Single Workflow

Fig.8 Specific System and Advantages of Multiple Workflow

To achieve multiple workflows, as we mentioned earlier, we actively used task management tools and communication tools. This allowed the entire team to work on multiple tasks at the same time, thereby enhancing the efficiency of team activities.