

CS 402 Homework 4

Muhammad Umar

5.1 In this exercise we look at memory locality properties of matrix computation. The following code is written in C, where elements within the same row are stored contiguously. Assume each word is a 32-bit integer.

```
for (I=0; I<8; I++)  
    for (J=0; J<8000; J++)  
        A[I][J]=B[I][0]+A[J][I];
```

5.1.1 How many 32-bit integers can be stored in a 16-byte cache block?

As 1 byte = 8 bits

Then, $32/8 = 4$ bytes

Hence, $16/4 = 4$ integers

So, 4 integers of 32-bit size can be stored in a 16-byte cache block.

5.1.2 References to which variables exhibit temporal locality?

References to variables “i”, “j” and “B[I][0]” exhibit temporal locality.

5.1.3 References to which variables exhibit spatial locality?

References to variable “A[I][J]” exhibit spatial locality.

Locality is affected by both the reference order and data layout. The same computation can also be written below in Matlab, which differs from C by storing matrix elements within the same column contiguously in memory.

```
for I=1:8  
    for J=1:8000  
        A(I,J)=B(I,0)+A(J,I);  
    end  
end
```

5.1.4 How many 16-byte cache blocks are needed to store all 32-bit matrix elements being referenced?

There are $8 * 8000 = 64,000$ accesses for $A[I][J]$. Similarly, $A[J][I]$ is accessed for 64,000 times as well. However, we have an overlap of the first 8 values, 8 times, i.e., 64 that will only be cached once. Hence, we need to store:

$$(2 * 64,000) - 64 = 1.27944 \times 10^5 \text{ elements}$$

And to store them, we need:

$$1.27944 \times 10^5 / 4 = 3.1986 \times 10^4 \text{ cache blocks}$$

5.1.5 References to which variables exhibit temporal locality?

References to variables “i”, “j” and “B[I][0]” exhibit temporal locality.

5.1.6 References to which variables exhibit spatial locality?

References to variable “A[J][I]” exhibit spatial locality as columns are stored contiguously.

5.2 Caches are important to providing a high-performance memory hierarchy to processors. Below is a list of 32-bit memory address references, given as word addresses.

3, 180, 43, 2, 191, 88, 190, 14, 181, 44, 186, 253

5.2.1 For each of these references, identify the binary address, the tag, and the index given a direct-mapped cache with 16 one-word blocks.. Also list if each reference is a hit or a miss, assuming the cache is initially empty.

Address(10)	Binary(2)	Tag(2^4)	Index(2^4)	Hit/Miss
3	0000 0011	0000	0011	Miss
180	1011 0100	1011	0100	Miss
43	0010 1011	0010	1011	Miss
2	0000 0010	0000	0010	Miss
191	1011 1111	1011	1111	Miss
88	0101 1000	0101	1000	Miss
190	1011 1110	1011	1110	Miss
14	0000 1110	0000	1110	Miss
181	1011 0101	1011	0101	Miss
44	0010 1100	0010	1100	Miss
186	1011 1010	1011	1010	Miss
253	1111 1101	1111	1101	Miss

Figure 1: Table 5.2.1

5.2.2 For each of these references, identify the binary address, the tag, and the index given a direct-mapped cache with two-word blocks and a total size of 8 blocks. Also list if each reference is a hit or a miss, assuming the cache is initially empty.

Address(10)	Binary(2)	Tag(2^4)	Index/Block(2^3)	Offset(2^1)	Hit/Miss
3	00000011	0000	001	1	Miss
180	10110100	1011	010	0	Miss
43	00101011	0010	101	1	Miss
2	00000010	0000	001	0	Hit(3 reference)
191	10111111	1011	111	1	Miss
88	01011000	0101	100	0	Miss
190	10111110	1011	111	0	Hit(191 reference)
14	00001110	0000	111	0	Miss(Replaces 190, 191)
181	10110101	1011	010	1	Hit(180 reference)
44	00101100	0010	110	0	Miss
186	10111010	1011	101	0	Miss
253	11111101	1111	110	1	Miss(Replaces 44, 45)

Figure 2: Table 5.2.1

5.3 For a direct-mapped cache design with a 32-bit address, the following bits of the address are used to access the cache.

Tag	Index	Offset
31-10	9-5	4-0

5.3.1 What is the cache block size (in words)?

Cache block size = $2^{\text{offset bits}} = 2^5 \text{ bytes} = 32 \text{ bytes}$

As we know, 4 bytes = 1 word. Hence,

Cache block size = $32/4 = 8 \text{ words}$

5.3.2 How many entries does the cache have?

Cache entries/blocks = $2^{\text{index bits}} = 2^5 = 32 \text{ entries}$

5.3.3 What is the ratio between total bits required for such a cache implementation over the data storage bits?

Total bits = entries * (valid bit(1) + tag bits + cache size * data bits)

Total bits = $32 * (1 + 22 + (32 * 8)) = 8928$

Data storage bits = entries * cache size * data bits

Data storage bits = $32 * 32 * 8 = 8192$

Total bits/Data storage bits ratio = $8928/8192 = 1.0898438$

Starting from power on, the following byte-addressed cache references are recorded.

Address											
0	4	16	132	232	160	1024	30	140	3100	180	2180

Figure 3: Table 5.3.3

5.3.4 How many blocks are replaced?

Address	Tag	Index	Offset	Hit/Miss	Replace
0	0	00000	00000	Miss	F
4	0	00000	00100	Hit	F
16	0	00000	10000	Hit	F
132	0	00100	00100	Miss	F
232	0	00111	01000	Miss	F
160	0	00101	00000	Miss	F
1024	1	00000	00000	Miss	T
30	0	00000	11110	Miss	T
140	0	00100	01100	Hit	F
3100	3	00000	11100	Miss	T
180	0	00101	10100	Hit	F
2180	2	00100	00100	Miss	T

Figure 4: Table 5.3.4

Hence, **four** tables are being replaced.

5.3.5 What is the hit ratio?

Using the table above, we can see we have 4 hits out of 12. Hence,

$$\text{Hit Ratio} = 4/12 = 33.34\%$$

5.3.6 List the final state of the cache, with each valid entry represented as a record of <index, tag, data>.

<00100, 0010, mem[2176]-mem[2207]>

<00101, 0000, mem[160]-mem[191]>

<00000, 0011, mem[3072]-mem[3103]>

<00111, 0000, mem[224]-mem[255]>

5.5 Media applications that play audio or video files are part of a class of workloads called “streaming” workloads; i.e., they bring in large amounts of data but do not reuse much of it. Consider a video streaming workload that accesses a 512 KiB working set sequentially with the following address stream:

0, 2, 4, 6, 8, 10, 12, 14, 16, ...

5.5.1 Assume a 64-KiB direct-mapped cache with a 32-byte block. What is the miss rate for the address stream above? How is this miss rate sensitive to the size of the cache or the working set? How would you categorize the misses this workload is experiencing, based on the 3C model?

32 byte block size = $\log_2(32) = 5$ offset bits

This means that 0 address will miss and 0 to 31 (1 1111) will be brought in to cache. Hence, subsequent accesses to 2, 4, 6, ..., 30 will hit.

Similarly, 32 will miss and then 34, 36, 38, ..., 62 will hit. Hence, there is a miss for every 16 accesses.

Therefore, the miss rate is

$$1/16 = 6.25\%$$

5.5.2 Re-compute the miss rate when the cache size is 16 bytes, 64 bytes, and 128 bytes. What kind of locality is this workload exploiting?

16 bytes = 4 offset bits (1111)

Memory access is with a displacement of 2. Hence, there will be a miss for:

$$16/2 = 8 \text{ accesses}$$

$$\text{Miss Rate for 16} = 1/8$$

$$\text{Miss Rate for 64} = 1/32$$

$$\text{Miss Rate for 128} = 1/64$$

This kind of workload exploits spatial locality (neighboring address accesses).

5.6 In this exercise, we will look at the different ways capacity affects overall performance. In general, cache access time is proportional to capacity. Assume that main memory accesses take 70 ns and that memory accesses are 36% of all instructions. The following table shows data for L1 caches attached to each of two processors, P1 and P2.

	L1 Size	L1 Miss Rate	L1 Hit Time
P1	2 KiB	8.0%	0.66 ns
P2	4 KiB	6.0%	0.90 ns

Figure 5: Table 5.6

5.6.1 Assuming that the L1 hit time determines the cycle times for P1 and P2, what are their respective clock rates?

$$\text{Cycle Time} = 1/\text{Hit Time}$$

$$\text{P1 Cycle Time} = 1/0.66 = 1.5151515 \text{ GHz}$$

$$\text{P2 Cycle Time} = 1/0.90 = 1.1111111 \text{ GHz}$$

5.6.2 What is the Average Memory Access Time for P1 and P2?

$$\text{AMAT} = \text{Hit Time} + (\text{Miss Rate} * \text{Memory access time})$$

$$\text{P1 AMAT} = 0.66 + ((8/100) * 70)$$

$$\text{P1 AMAT} = 6.26 \text{ seconds}$$

$$\text{P2 AMAT} = 0.90 + ((6/100) * 70)$$

$$\text{P2 AMAT} = 5.1 \text{ seconds}$$

5.6.3 Assuming a base CPI of 1.0 without any memory stalls, what is the total CPI for P1 and P2? Which processor is faster?

$$\text{Total CPI} = \text{base CPI} + (\text{Instructions} * \text{Memory access time} * \text{Miss Rate})/\text{Hit Rate}$$

$$\text{Total CPI for P1} = 1 + (0.36 * 70 * 8/100)/0.66 = 4.0545455$$

$$\text{Total CPI for P2} = 1 + (0.36 * 70 * 6/100)/0.90 = 2.68$$

Hence, P2 is faster