



MONTERREY INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
AND HIGHER EDUCATION

INTELLIGENT AGENTS FINAL PROJECT

Smart Parking using A* Algorithm

Authors:

Jose Ramon OBESO
Obed N MUÑOZ

Professor:

Dr. Gildardo SANCHEZ

May 13, 2014

Contents

| | | |
|----------|--|-----------|
| 1 | Background | 5 |
| 1.1 | Informed Search Algorithms | 5 |
| 1.2 | A* Algorithm | 5 |
| 1.3 | A* Advantages | 6 |
| 2 | Problem | 7 |
| 2.1 | Problem definition | 7 |
| 3 | Solution | 9 |
| 3.1 | A* Algorithm | 9 |
| 3.1.1 | A* Algorithm Process | 9 |
| 3.2 | A* for path finding in ITESM Parking Lot | 11 |
| 3.3 | Source Code Implementation | 13 |
| 3.3.1 | Node class | 13 |
| 3.3.2 | Graph Builder | 13 |
| 3.3.3 | $f(x) = g(x) + h(x)$ Calculation | 14 |
| 3.3.4 | A Star Algorithm | 14 |
| 3.4 | Tech Behind | 15 |
| 4 | Results | 17 |
| 4.1 | Path to Police Station | 17 |
| 4.2 | Path to Conferences Center | 18 |
| 4.3 | Path to Residences | 18 |
| 5 | Future work and Improvements | 19 |
| 5.1 | Future Work | 19 |
| 5.2 | Improvements | 19 |
| 6 | Conclusion | 21 |
| 6.1 | Conclusion | 21 |
| 7 | References | 23 |

Chapter 1

Background

1.1 Informed Search Algorithms

Informed search algorithms are based on choosing an action taking into account specific knowledge beyond the definition of the problem itself which may derive in finding solutions more efficiently than an uninformed strategy would.

The general approach for informed search algorithm is called best-first search. Best-first search is an instance of the general *TREE-SEARCH* or *GRAPH-SEARCH* algorithm in which a node is selected for expansion based on an evaluation function. The evaluation function is taken as a cost estimate, so the node with lowest evaluation is expanded first.

1.2 A* Algorithm

The most widely known form of best-first search is called A* search. It evaluates nodes by combining $g(n)$, the cost to reach the node, and $h(n)$, the cost to get from the node to the goal: $f(n) = g(n) + h(n)$

Since $g(n)$ gives the path cost from the start node to node n , and $h(n)$ is the estimated cost of the cheapest path from n to the goal, we have $h(n)$ = estimated cost of the cheapest solution through n .

1.3 A* Advantages

It turns out that A* strategy is more than just reasonable: provided that the heuristic function $h(n)$ satisfies certain conditions, A* search is both complete and optimal.

The performance of heuristic search algorithms depends on the quality of the heuristic function. One can sometimes construct good heuristics by relaxing the problem definition, by storing precomputed solution costs for sub problems in a pattern database, or by learning from experience with the problem class.

A* with consistent heuristics has many desirable properties[1, p. 50]:

- A* can find a shortest path even though it expands every state at most once. It does not need to re-expand states that it has expanded already.
- A* is at least as efficient as every other search algorithm in the sense that every search algorithm (that has the same heuristic values available as A*) needs to expand at least the states that A* expands.

Chapter 2

Problem

2.1 Problem definition

Parking space at ITESM GDA University can be limited at rush hours. Even having more than 4000 available parking spots spread through campus areas can be short for the parking needs of students, professors, graduates, administrative workers, visitors, etc. Parking areas can be drawn in an inverted L figure with different sections.

All different drivers will have different parking needs based on their final destination. Different user, different destination: Undergraduate will need to have a space near the library, graduate students will need one close to the convention center, medicine students will need one close to the medicine building, exchange students will need one close to residences, and so on.

Users will typically look for a parking place close to their final destination, but sometimes parking areas are full and they need to have an alternate option to park, otherwise they could be driving in circles until they finally find one free spot. In the end, finding that place could be after wasting an average of 8 minutes, also, final parking spot can be in a totally different section from intended as all options were taken already.

Based on above premises, we decided to implement an algorithm to assign parking places at the time of arrival to campus, we picked A* algorithm to make the implementation. Complete solution is described below.

Chapter 3

Solution

3.1 A* Algorithm

A* uses a best-first search and finds a least-cost path from a given initial node to one goal node (out of one or more possible goals). As A* traverses the graph, it follows a path of the lowest expected total cost or distance, keeping a sorted priority queue of alternate path segments along the way.

It uses a knowledge-plus-heuristic cost function of node x (usually denoted $f(x)$) to determine the order in which the search visits nodes in the tree. The cost function is a sum of two functions:

- The past path-cost function, which is the known distance from the starting node to the current node x (usually denoted $g(x)$)
- A future path-cost function, which is an admissible "heuristic estimate" of the distance from x to the goal (usually denoted $h(x)$).

3.1.1 A* Algorithm Process

The $h(x)$ part of the $f(x)$ function must be an admissible heuristic; that is, it must not overestimate the distance to the goal. Thus, for an application like routing, $h(x)$ might represent the straight-line distance to the goal, since

that is physically the smallest possible distance between any two points or nodes.

Like all informed search algorithms, it first searches the routes that appear to be most likely to lead towards the goal. What sets A^* apart from a greedy best-first search is that it also takes the distance already traveled into account; the $g(x)$ part of the heuristic is the cost from the starting point, not simply the local cost from the previously expanded node.

Starting with the initial node, it maintains a priority queue of nodes to be traversed, known as the open set or fringe. The lower $f(x)$ for a given node x , the higher its priority. At each step of the algorithm, the node with the lowest $f(x)$ value is removed from the queue, the f and g values of its neighbors are updated accordingly, and these neighbors are added to the queue. The algorithm continues until a goal node has a lower f value than any node in the queue (or until the queue is empty). (Goal nodes may be passed over multiple times if there remain other nodes with lower f values, as they may lead to a shorter path to a goal.) The f value of the goal is then the length of the shortest path, since h at the goal is zero in an admissible heuristic.

The algorithm described so far gives us only the length of the shortest path. To find the actual sequence of steps, the algorithm can be easily revised so that each node on the path keeps track of its predecessor. After this algorithm is run, the ending node will point to its predecessor, and so on, until some node's predecessor is the start node.

```

function A*(start,goal)
  closedset := the empty set // The set of nodes already evaluated.
  openset := {start} // The set of tentative nodes to be evaluated, initially containing the start node
  came_from := the empty map // The map of navigated nodes.

  g_score[start] := 0 // Cost from start along best known path.
  // Estimated total cost from start to goal through y.
  f_score[start] := g_score[start] + heuristic_cost_estimate(start, goal)

  while openset is not empty
    current := the node in openset having the lowest f_score[] value
    if current = goal
      return reconstruct_path(came_from, goal)

    remove current from openset
    add current to closedset
    for each neighbor in neighbor_nodes(current)
      if neighbor in closedset
        continue
      tentative_g_score := g_score[current] + dist_between(current,neighbor)

      if neighbor not in openset or tentative_g_score < g_score[neighbor]
        came_from[neighbor] := current
        g_score[neighbor] := tentative_g_score
        f_score[neighbor] := g_score[neighbor] + heuristic_cost_estimate(neighbor, goal)
        if neighbor not in openset
          add neighbor to openset

  return failure

function reconstruct_path(came_from, current_node)
  if current_node in came_from
    p := reconstruct_path(came_from, came_from[current_node])
    return (p + current_node)
  else
    return current_node

```

Figure 3.1: A* Algorithm Pseudocode

3.2 A* for path finding in ITESM Parking Lot

The ITESM parking lot has more than 3000 parking lots that are distributed near to common areas like Library, High School, Administrative offices, Conferences Center, etc. In order to represent the parking lot distribution as a connected graph, it's required to separate parking sections based on the location and the nearest buildings areas they are set. As we show in Figure 3.2, here's how each node type is being configured:

- Parking of Lot Start
 - List of Destinations with (Name and Estimated Distance $h(x)$)
 - Node Type
- Section
 - List of Destinations with (Name and Estimated Distance $h(x)$)
 - Calculated path cost from start to section $g(x)$
 - Neighbors (Section or Slots)
 - Node Type
- Parking Slot
 - List of Destinations with (Name and Estimated Distance $h(x)$)
 - Calculated path cost from start to section $g(x)$

Neighbors (Slots)

Node Type

- Destinations

The final visited node(slot) should be connected to the final destination (Example: Conferences Center)




Figure 3.2: Example of Graph used for representing Parking Lot sections/slots connections

Once we have our graph representation we are able to perform slot searches. It's really important to keep the type of Node when visiting it in the A* Algorithm. The type of Node will be saying if it's an end node or if it cannot be a final node. For example, a Section Node, cannot be the end because it's a forbidden area to park, you need to park in the slot-type nodes.

3.3 Source Code Implementation

3.3.1 Node class

```
class Node():

    def __init__(self, type, h):
        self.type = type
        self.h = h
        self.neighbors = []

    def add_neighbor(self, neighbor):
        neighbor.parent = self
        self.neighbors.append(neighbor)

    def add_section_neighbor(self, neighbor):
        self.neighbors.append(neighbor)
```

Figure 3.3: Node Class Definition

3.3.2 Graph Builder

```
def build_graph(self, filename):
    paths = loader.json_to_dict(filename)

    self.graph = Node("start", paths["destinations"])

    for section in paths["sections"]:
        section_neighbor = Node("section", section["destinations"])
        section_neighbor.name = section["name"]
        section_neighbor.path_from_start = section["path_from_start"]
        self.graph.add_neighbor(section_neighbor)
        for slot in section["slots"]:
            slot_neighbor = Node("slot", slot["destinations"])
            slot_neighbor.id = slot["id"]
            slot_neighbor.path_from_section_start = slot["path_from_section_start"]
            slot_neighbor.status = slot["status"]
            slot_neighbor.type = slot["type"]
            section_neighbor.add_neighbor(slot_neighbor)

    for section in paths["sections"]:
        if section["near sections"]:
            for current_section in self.graph.neighbors:
                if current_section.name == section["name"]:
                    current_section.near_sections = section["near sections"]
                    for near_section in current_section.near_sections:
                        for dest_section in self.graph.neighbors:
                            for key in near_section:
                                if dest_section.name == key:
                                    current_section.add_section_neighbor(dest_section)
```

Figure 3.4: Graph Builder Function

3.3.3 $f(x) = g(x) + h(x)$ Calculation

```
def get_g(self,node):
    try:
        return node.g
    except AttributeError:
        pass
    if node.type == "start":
        g = 0
    elif node.type == "section":
        g = len(node.path_from_start) * 5
    elif node.type == "slot":
        g = len(node.parent.path_from_start) * 5 + (node.path_from_section_start - 1) * 5
    return g

def get_h(self,node, goal):
    for destination in node.h:
        if destination["name"] == goal:
            return destination["distance"]

def get_f(self,node,goal):
    try:
        return node.f
    except AttributeError:
        pass
    return get_g(node) + get_h(node,goal)
```

Figure 3.5: Functions to calculate $f(x) = g(x) + h(x)$

3.3.4 A Star Algorithm

```
def a_star(self,start,goal):
    closed_set = []
    open_set = [start]
    came_from = {}

    g_score = 0
    f_score = g_score + self.get_h(start,goal)

    while open_set:
        current = self.get_lowest_f(open_set, goal)
        print current.type
        if current.type == "slot":
            return [self.reconstruct_path(current, goal), current]

        open_set.remove(current)
        closed_set.append(current)

        for neighbor in current.neighbors:
            if neighbor in closed_set:
                continue
            tentative_g_score = self.get_g(current) + self.distance_between(current, neighbor)
            if neighbor not in open_set or tentative_g_score < self.get_g(neighbor):
                neighbor.came_from = current
                neighbor.g = tentative_g_score
                neighbor.f = neighbor.g + self.get_h(neighbor,goal)
                if neighbor not in open_set:
                    open_set.append(neighbor)

    return None

def get_lowest_f(self, open_set, goal):
```

Figure 3.6: A* Algorithm

3.4 Tech Behind

- **Python 2.7.x** *as default scripting language*
- **PyGame Framework** *for Graphics Development*
- **Tiled** *for JSON Based Map definition*
- **JSON** *as default paths and map definition language*

Chapter 4

Results

4.1 Path to Police Station




Figure 4.1: Final occupied slots when requesting path to Police Station

4.2 Path to Conferences Center

Even though the $g(x)$ distances were semi-randomly defined, it's finding the nearest slots that where defined in the configuration files.




Figure 4.2: Final occupied slots when requesting path to Conferences Center

4.3 Path to Residences

When calculation path to Residences, it took first the slots located in the second section (going from down-up) as the nearest area to the Residences



Figure 4.3: Final occupied slots when requesting path to Residences

Chapter 5

Future work and Improvements

5.1 Future Work

Instrumentation is one of the major concerns. We need to get sensors that detect if a spot is free or not. Sensors would be connected to the central computer and parking spots availability should be updated at the moment of changing status.

Algorithm to assign parking spots is ready and working as expected. Now, we need to make it available to final users. A mobile application should be developed. This application should detect a new user has arrived to campus, run the algorithm, calculate path to be taken and show the path to the parking destination spot. Most of car users at ITESM GDA have an Android or iOS based smart-phone so, application should be developed for both operating systems. If no smart phone is available, a text message can be sent to user.

5.2 Improvements

Algorithm improvements can be made in order to make it more effective. For example, we can add user preferences memory; many users will always prefer to park in one specific section no matter what. Changing heuristics values depending on each user can also be another way to add user specific capabilities. In fact, there are many change to change how algorithm be-

haves; lets first wait to see how it works like it is and then implement these enhancements.

Chapter 6

Conclusion

6.1 Conclusion

A* algorithm is an easy to use wide spread algorithm. A* can be implemented in an easy way and will provide powerful capabilities to calculate shortest path problem solutions.

Parking problem at ITESM GDA can be solved with our A* implementation and can be used for future real projects in campus.

Our algorithm provides a good solution for parking users at campus and will save considerable amount of time when searching for a parking place.

As an informed algorithm, A* is providing us the capability of improving and adapting the way it calculates paths to parking slots. This is because we could improve our heuristic function with the adding of more factors like favorite places to park, slot types (normal, car pool, only staff, etc) validation and other variables that may contribute to a better route search.

The time it takes to execute is really fast if we compare with other algorithms. And this is because this algorithm does not require to expand the full graph when searching. It only expands those nodes that seem to be the nearest ones to the final destination. So, if we escalate this problem to a big city size, this algorithm shouldn't take so much time to find the shortest path to the first parking slot in the city downtown.

Chapter 7

References

Bibliography

- [1] Edelkamp, Stefan *Heuristic search theory and applications*. Elsevier/Morgan Kaufmann, Amsterdam, 2nd Edition, 2012.
- [2] Minimizing the total path cost: A* search *Artificial Intelligence, A modern Approach*. Stuart J. Russell and Peter Norvig, 1st Edition, 1995.
- [3] A* search Algorithm *wikipedia*. Wikimedia Foundation Inc, http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/A*_search_algorithm.
- [4] LaTeX *LaTeX Guide*. Wikibooks Community, <http://en.wikibooks.org/wiki/LaTeX/>.
- [5] Python Programming Language *Documentation*. Python Software Foundation, <https://www.python.org/>.
- [6] PyGame *Wiki*. Python and Pygame Community, <http://www.pygame.org/wiki/about>.