## 1

## Supplementary Material for "Computation-Aware Link Repair for Large-Scale Damage in Distributed Cloud Networks"

The subproblem in the article can be written as

$$SP: \min_{d,f,\ell} \theta = \sum_{i \in \mathcal{N}} w_i \ell_i$$
 (1a)

s.t. 
$$0 \le d_i \le d_i^m$$
,  $\forall i \in \mathcal{N}$ , (1b)

$$f_{ij} = -f_{ji}, \ \forall ij \in \mathcal{E},$$
 (1c)

$$|f_{ij}| \le f_{ij}^{\mathrm{m}}, \ \forall ij \in \mathcal{E}^1,$$
 (1d)

$$\sum_{ij\in\mathcal{E}^0} f_{ij} + \sum_{ij\in\mathcal{E}^1} f_{ij} + d_i + \ell_i = r_i, \ \forall i\in\mathcal{N},$$
 (1e)

$$\ell_i \ge 0, \ \forall i \in \mathcal{N},$$
 (1f)

$$|f_{ij}| \le f_{ij}^{\mathrm{m}} x_{ij}^t, \ \forall ij \in \mathcal{E}^0.$$
 (1g)

To begin, we introduce the Lagrange multiplier for the each constraint of subproblem:

- 1) For constraint (1b), we divide it into two parts and define the Lagrange multipliers  $c_i^1$  and  $c_i^2$ .
- 2) For constraint (1c), we define the Lagrange multiplier  $c_{ij}^3$ .
- 3) For constraint (1d), we divide it into two parts and define the Lagrange multipliers  $c_{ij}^4$  and  $c_{ij}^5$ .
- 4) For constraint (1e), we define the Lagrange multiplier  $c_i^6$ .
- 5) For constraint (1f), we define the Lagrange multiplier  $c_i^7$ .
- 6) For constraint (1g), we divide it into two parts and define the Lagrange multipliers  $c_{ij}^8$  and  $c_{ij}^9$ .

Subsequently, the corresponding Lagrangian can be expressed as

$$L(c_i^1, c_i^2, c_{ij}^3, c_{ij}^4, c_{ij}^5, c_i^6, c_i^7, c_{ij}^8, c_{ij}^9) = \sum_{i \in \mathcal{N}} w_i \ell_i$$

$$- \sum_{i \in \mathcal{N}} c_i^1 d_i + \sum_{i \in \mathcal{N}} c_i^2 (d_i - d_i^m)$$

$$+ \sum_{ij \in \mathcal{E}} c_{ij}^3 (f_{ij} + f_{ji})$$

$$+ \sum_{ij \in \mathcal{E}^1} c_{ij}^4 (f_{ij} - f_{ij}^m) + \sum_{ij \in \mathcal{E}^1} c_{ij}^5 (-f_{ij} - f_{ij}^m)$$

$$+ \sum_{i \in \mathcal{N}} c_i^6 \left( \sum_{ij \in \mathcal{E}^0} f_{ij} + \sum_{ij \in \mathcal{E}^1} f_{ij} + d_i + \ell_i - r_i \right)$$

$$+ \sum_{i \in \mathcal{N}} c_i^7 (-\ell_i)$$

$$+ \sum_{ij \in \mathcal{E}^0} c_{ij}^8 (f_{ij} - f_{ij}^m x_{ij}^t) + \sum_{ij \in \mathcal{E}^0} c_{ij}^9 (-f_{ij} - f_{ij}^m x_{ij}^t).$$
 (2)

The dual problem we are seeking is the minimum value of L with respect to its variables  $d, f, \ell$ , which can be written as

$$\max_{c_i^1, c_i^2, c_{ij}^3, c_{ij}^4, c_{ij}^5, c_i^6, c_i^7, c_{ij}^8, c_{ij}^9} \min_{\mathbf{d}, \mathbf{f}, \boldsymbol{\ell}} L(c_i^1, c_i^2, c_{ij}^3, c_{ij}^4, c_{ij}^5, c_i^6, c_i^7, c_{ij}^8, c_{ij}^9)$$
(3a)

s.t. 
$$c_i^1, c_i^2, c_{ij}^3, c_{ij}^4, c_{ij}^5, c_i^6, c_i^7, c_{ij}^8, c_{ij}^9 \ge 0.$$
 (3b)

To achieve this, we derive the partial derivatives of the Lagrangian with respect to each variable and set them to zero. Note that for a given i,  $f_{ij}$  and  $f_{ji}$  are treated as two separate variables for differentiation, and cases with  $ij \in \mathcal{E}^1$  and  $ij \in \mathcal{E}^1$  need to be considered separately.

1) For *ℓ*:

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \ell_i} = w_i + c_i^6 - c_i^7 = 0, \forall i \in \mathcal{N}.$$
(4)

2) For *d*:

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial d_i} = -c_i^1 + c_i^2 + c_i^6 = 0, \forall i \in \mathcal{N}.$$
(5)

3) For  $f_{ij}$  when  $ij \in \mathcal{E}^1$ :

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial f_{ij}} = c_{ij}^3 + c_{ij}^4 - c_{ij}^5 + c_{ij}^6 = 0, \forall ij \in \mathcal{E}^1.$$
 (6)

4) For  $f_{ij}$  when  $ij \in \mathcal{E}^0$ :

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial f_{ij}} = c_{ij}^3 + c_{ij}^6 + c_{ij}^8 - c_{ij}^9 = 0, \forall ij \in \mathcal{E}^0.$$
 (7)

5) For  $f_{ji}$ :

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial f_{ii}} = c_{ij}^3 = 0, \forall ji \in \mathcal{E}.$$
(8)

Substituting the above results into  $L(c_i^1,c_i^2,c_{ij}^3,c_{ij}^4,c_{ij}^5,c_i^6,c_i^7,c_{ij}^8,c_{ij}^9)$ , we get:

$$\mathcal{DSP}: \max_{c_i^1, c_i^2, c_{ij}^3, c_{ij}^4, c_{ij}^5, c_i^6, c_i^7, c_{ij}^8, c_{ij}^9} \sum_{i \in \mathcal{N}} \left( -c_i^2 d_i^{\text{m}} - c_i^6 r_i \right) + \sum_{ij \in \mathcal{E}^1} \left( -c_{ij}^4 - c_{ij}^5 \right) f_{ij}^{\text{m}} + \sum_{ij \in \mathcal{E}^0} \left( -c_{ij}^8 - c_{ij}^9 \right) f_{ij}^{\text{m}} x_{ij}^t$$

$$(9a)$$

s.t. 
$$c_i^1, c_i^2, c_{ij}^3, c_{ij}^4, c_{ij}^5, c_i^6, c_i^7, c_{ij}^8, c_{ij}^9 \ge 0,$$
 (9b)

$$c_i^2 + c_i^6 = c_i^1, \forall i \in \mathcal{N}, \tag{9c}$$

$$w_i + c_i^6 = c_i^7, \forall i \in \mathcal{N}, \tag{9d}$$

$$c_{ij}^4 + c_{ij}^6 = c_{ij}^5, \forall ij \in \mathcal{E}^1, \tag{9e}$$

$$c_{ij}^6 + c_{ij}^8 = c_{ij}^9, \forall ij \in \mathcal{E}^0,$$
 (9f)

The  $c_i^k$  and  $c_{ij}^k$  in the function of DSP correspond to the  $\rho_i^k$  and  $\rho_{ij}^k$  mentioned in our article. However, this derivation is part of the standard procedure for converting optimization problems into the dual forms, which appears frequently in much of mainstream literature. Since the derivation process and intermediate forms can be complex, we chose to omit it in our main text for the sake of brevity. The original form of DSP in the article is the result of our inference based on (9a)-(9f).