## 대학원 신입생 세미나 HomeWork 1

## 2020-21030 통계학과 석사과정 김명동

April 11, 2020

1. 확률론 교과서(Probability Theory and Examples, Rick Durrett)에 나와있는 정리를 하나 골라서 정리 내용과 증명을 LaTeX으로 작성하고 pdf 파일을 생성하기

**Definition 1.** P is called  $\pi$ -system if  $A,B \in P \Longrightarrow A \cap B \in P$ 

**Definition 2.** L is called  $\lambda$ -system if it satisfy following conditions

- 1.  $\Omega \in L$
- 2.  $A, B \in L, A \subset B \text{ then } B A \in L$
- 3.  $A_n \nearrow A$ ,  $A_n \in L$  then  $A \in L$

**Lemma 1** (Dynkin's  $\pi$ - $\lambda$  Theorem).

If P is a  $\pi$ -system and L is a  $\lambda$ -system &  $P \subset L \Longrightarrow \sigma(P) \subset L$ 

**Theorem 1** (Theorem 2.1.7).

Suppose  $\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2, ..., \Lambda_n$  are independent and each  $\Lambda_i$  is a  $\pi$  system. Then  $\sigma(\Lambda_1), \sigma(\Lambda_2), ..., \sigma(\Lambda_n)$  are independent.  $(\sigma(\Lambda_i))$  is the  $\sigma$ -algebra generated by  $\Lambda_i$ )

*Proof.* Let  $A_2, \ldots, A_n$  be sets with  $A_i \in \Lambda_i$ . Let  $F = A_2 \cap \ldots \cap A_n$  and  $L = \{A : P(A \cap F) = P(A)P(F)\}$ 

We want to show the set L is a  $\lambda$ -system.

- 1.  $P(\Omega \cap F) = P(\Omega)P(F) \Longrightarrow \Omega \in \mathcal{L}$
- 2. Let  $A, B \in \mathbb{L}$  with  $A \subset B$  then  $(B-A) \cap F = (B \cap F) (A \cap F)$

$$P((B-A)\cap F) = P(B\cap F) - P(A\cap F) = P(B)P(F) - P(A)P(F)$$

$$= (P(B)-P(A))P(F) = P(B-A)P(F)$$
(1)

$$P((B-A)\cap F) = P(B-A)P(F) \Longrightarrow B-A \in \mathcal{L}$$

3. let  $B_k \in \mathcal{L}$  with  $B_k \nearrow B$  and note that  $(B_k \cap F) \nearrow (B \cap F)$  $P(B \cap F) = \lim_{k \to +\infty} P(B_k \cap F) = \lim_{k \to +\infty} P(B_k) P(F) = P(B) P(F) \Longrightarrow B \in \mathcal{L}$  Therefore, L is a  $\lambda$ -system.

Since  $\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2, ..., \Lambda_n$  are independent,  $\Lambda_1 \subset L$ . Since  $\Lambda_1$  is a  $\pi$ -system, by Dynkin's  $\pi$ - $\lambda$  Theorem  $\sigma(\Lambda_1) \subset L$ 

It follows that if 
$$A_1 \in \sigma(\Lambda_1)$$
 and  $A_i \in \Lambda_i$   $(2 \le i \le n)$  then  $P(\bigcap_{i=1}^n A_i) = P(A_1)P(\bigcap_{i=2}^n A_i) = \prod_{i=1}^n P(A_i)$ 

Therefore, if  $\Lambda_1$ ,  $\Lambda_2$ , ...,  $\Lambda_n$  are independent then  $\sigma(\Lambda_1)$ ,  $\Lambda_2$ , ...,  $\Lambda_n$  are independent. Applying above process to  $\Lambda_2$ , ...,  $\Lambda_n$ ,  $\sigma(\Lambda_1)$ , then we can show that  $\sigma(\Lambda_1)$ ,  $\sigma(\Lambda_2)$ ,  $\Lambda_3$ , ...,  $\Lambda_n$  are independent. And after n iterations we have the desired result.

$$\sigma(\Lambda_1), \, \sigma(\Lambda_2), \, \dots \, , \sigma(\Lambda_n)$$
 are independent.