wrangle_report

June 28, 2022

0.1 Reporting: wragle_report

• Create a **300-600 word written report** called "wrangle_report.pdf" or "wrangle_report.html" that briefly describes your wrangling efforts. This is to be framed as an internal document.

0.1.1 Wrangle Report

This document briefly describes the wrangling efforts which i carried out for the WeRateDogs Twitter dataset in the wrangle_act.ipynb notebook.

0.1.2 Gathering Data

These were the steps I followed to gather the data

- twitter_archive_enhanced.csv This file was given buy Udacity
- image_predictions.tsv I used the request library to download this file
- tweet_json.txt Unfortunatley my developer account was not approved by twitter and this caused a setback for me so i had to get the data from other source

0.1.3 Assessing Data

The following methods were used to assess the data programmatically

- .info()
- .head()
- .value counts()
- .query()
- .isna()
- .duplicated()

0.1.4 Quality Issues

For df_archive

- 1. Some dog names are invalid and duplicated in the df_archive table
- 2. From the assesing data objectives it was stated that retweets are not needed, hence, we'll have to drop the retweeted_statuses also.

- 3. A lot of missing data in the reply columns in the df_archive table are also not needed so we have to drop them
- 4. The datatype for timestamp in the df_archive data is object instead of datetime
- 5. Removing the anchor link and retaining only the text for source
- 6. Invalid tweet_id data type (integer instead of string)
- 7. Some rating denominators are less than 10

for df_images

- 1. Invalid tweet_id data type (integer instead of string)
- 2. Upper case and lower case name

for df_tweet

1. id instead of tweet_id

0.1.5 Tidiness issues

- 1. URLs in some of the text in the text column
- 2. Breed and predictions to individual columns
- 3. Merging all the data to one

0.1.6 Cleaning Data

0.1.7 Issue #1:

• Some dog names are invalid and duplicated in the df_archive table

Define:

• Rename them to none

0.1.8 Issue #2:

• From the assesing data objectives it was stated that retweets are not needed

Define

• Hence, we'll have to drop the retweeted_statuses also.

0.1.9 Issue #3:

• A lot of missing data in the reply columns in the df_archive table

Define

• They're not needed so we have to drop them, but first we drop the row which they reference before we drop the column

0.1.10 Issue #4:

• The datatype for timestamp in the df_archive data is object instead of datetime

Define

• Convert to datetime

0.1.11 Issue #5:

• Anchor link in the text

Define:

Removing the anchor link and retaining only the text for source

0.1.12 Issue #6:

• Invalid tweet_id data type (integer instead of string)

Define:

• Change int to str

0.1.13 Issue #1 (For df_images):

• Invalid tweet_id data type (integer instead of string)

Define:

• Change the datatype to string from int

0.1.14 Issue #2:

• Upper case and lower case names in the p1, p2, p3, columns

Define:

• convert all the names to Upper cases

0.1.15 Issue #3:

• Breed and Predictions to individual columns

Define:

• combine the Breed and Predictions to individual columns

0.1.16 Issue #1(df_tweet):

• id instead of tweet_id and datatype

Define:

• Rename id to tweet_id for joining purpose and change datatype

0.2 Tidiness Issues:

0.2.1 Issue #1:

• Dog stages are in multiple columns

Define:

• Put dog stages into one column

0.2.2 Issue #2:

• URLs in some of the text in the text column

Define:

• Remove the URLs in the text

0.2.3 Issue #3:

• Scattered data

Data:

• Merging all the data to one

In []: