Test Plan for Breaking Effect (BE)

Marshall Xiaoye Ma

November 7, 2017

1 Revision History

Date	Version	Notes
2017-10-21	1.0	New Document

2 Symbols, Abbreviations and Acronyms

symbol	unit	description
S	unit of length	displacement
t	s	the time in seconds since the start of the game
v_0	m/s	initial speed
a	m/s^2	acceleration
g	m/s^2	gravity acceleration
ΔE_k	J	variation of kinetic energy
ΔE_p	J	variation of potential energy
W_f	J	work done by kinetic friction
x_n	m	x coordinates of gravity center of piece n, $n \in N$
y_n	m	y coordinates of gravity center of piece n
z_n	m	z coordinates of gravity center of piece n
θ_1	degree	angle between initial speed and horizontal
θ_2	degree	angle between x axiom and projection on horizontal of initial speed
S_x	m	displacement on direction of x axiom
S_y	m	displacement on direction of y axiom
S_z	m	displacement on direction of z axiom
μ_k		coefficient of friction

symbol	description
A	Assumption
DD	Data Definition
GD	General Definition
GS	Goal Statement
IM	Instance Model
LC	Likely Change
PS	Physical System Description
R	Requirement
SRS	Software Requirements Specification
BE	Breaking Effect
T	Theoretical Model

Contents

List of Tables

List of Figures

3 General Information

3.1 Purpose

The purpose for this document is to build test plan for project Breaking Effect.Test cases in the document are designed based on SRS of the project and aim to cover both functional and non-functional requirements described in SRS.

This document is used as a guide that need to be followed exactly in test stage before release of this project.

3.2 Scope

This test plan covers verification and validation for the project Breaking Effect to make sure the program is implemented as requirement specification, including automated testing, system test and unit test. The project relies on Unity3D as platform and uses function provided by Unity3D for object cutting. So test for object cutting is not included in this test plan. This test plan is designed based on SRS so that it doesn't cover test cases for requirements not in SRS.

3.3 Overview of Document

This test plan firstly describes environment and platform for Breaking Effect. Purpose and scope of outlined the document generally in previous sections. Detailed test plan and test cases are covered in following sections including test team, automated testing approach, verification tools and test cases for both functional requirements and non-functional requirements.

4 Plan

4.1 Software Description

Breaking effect presents how the pieces of an object move after it separates into parts with suddenness or violence.

This project implements running time breaking effect in codes for 3-D models in unity3D without help from any similar plug-in. Including different shapes 3-D objects breaking based on physics and pieces interacting with the momentum provided by the breaking force. The breaking effect program simulates 3-D objects destruction process in vision by implementing scientific computing functions.

This project concentrates on calculation while HCI or GUI are not important parts. Applied force is decided in codes in advance as input and trace of motion is the output after calculation.

4.2 Test Team

The team that is responsible for all tests is Xiaoye Ma.

4.3 Automated Testing Approach

Most testing work for Breaking Effect does not rely on automated testing. Because the final output is visualization and experience from users. Verification of inputs will be done automatically through help from Unit Test Generator provided by IDE Visual Studio 2017. Test cases are covered in following sections. Verification of correctness and output from intermediate steps will be tested manually.

Automated testing also helps check correctness of C# codes including bugs and syntax errors detecting.

4.4 Verification Tools

The project is implemented by C# programming language that is supported by platform Unity3D. The program is written through IDE Visual Studio 2017 which supports following tools:

- Unit Test Generator

  Unit Test Generator will be used to decrease workload involved in creating new unit tests. It helps the routine test creation tasks. It also provides the ability to generate and configure test project and test class.

- Automatic code checking

  Visual Studio automatically help check code error when developer is programming to pick out errors including syntax error, grammar error.

4.5   Non-Testing Based Verification

Code walkthrough will be done by developer and a peer reviewer to identify any defects. They both review codes and do logic analysis to see if the program satisfies all requirements in SRS. The walkthrough should also find and fix possible bugs and peer reviewer is expected to provide any comment to help developer improve the program.

5   System Test Description

Section 5.1.1 focuses on verifying correctness of users inputs that covers R1 and R3. Testing cases in this section ensure Breaking Effect can handle both valid inputs and invalid inputs. Corresponding error messages for different situations of invalid inputs including incomplete inputs, inputs in incorrect data types and inputs do not satisfy data constraints.

Sections from 5.1.2 focus on verifying outputs from intermediate steps and make sure all modules in program work correctly that cover other functional requirements including R2, R4, R5, R6, R7.

5.1   Tests for Functional Requirements

5.1.1   Getting input from user

This test suite is designed to ensure the program can handle both valid and invalid inputs from user.

Inputs provided by user include target object, explosion level (also known as initial momentum after explosion) and coefficient of friction on the ground.

Correct input

1. testCorrectInput

Type: Functional, Dynamic, Automated

Initial State: New Session.

Input: $E = 5$, $(X, Y, Z) = (0, 0, 0)$, $\mu_k = 0.05$

Output: Receive inputs successfully and display all inputs in console.

How test will be performed: Automated unit test

Missing necessary input

1. testNoObject

   Type: Functional, Dynamic, Automated

   Initial State: New Session.

   Input: $E = 5$, $\mu_k = 0.05$

   Output: Error message - No object is found.

   How test will be performed: Automated unit test

2. testNoMu

   Type: Functional, Dynamic, Automated

   Initial State: New Session.

   Input: $E = 5$, $(X, Y, Z) = (0, 0, 0)$

   Output: Error message - Please input coefficient of friction on the ground.

   How test will be performed: Automated unit test

3. testNoMomentum

   Type: Functional, Dynamic, Automated

   Initial State: New Session.

   Input: $(X, Y, Z) = (0, 0, 0)$, $\mu_k = 0.05$

   Output: Error message - Please input explosion level.

   How test will be performed: Automated unit test

Non-numerical value

1. testNonNumMomentum

   Type: Functional, Dynamic, Automated

   Initial State: New Session.

   Input: $E = a$, $(X, Y, Z) = (0, 0, 0)$, $\mu_k = 0.05$

   Output: Error message - Explosion level can only be a number from 1 to 10.

   How test will be performed: Automated unit test

2. testNonNumCoordinate

   Type: Functional, Dynamic, Automated

   Initial State: New Session.

   Input: $E = 5$, $(X, Y, Z) = (0, 0, a)$, $\mu_k = 0.05$

   Output: Error message - Coordinate can only be a number from -1000 to 1000.

   How test will be performed: Automated unit test

3. testNonNumMu

   Type: Functional, Dynamic, Automated

   Initial State: New Session.

   Input: $E = 5$, $(X, Y, Z) = (0, 0, 0)$, $\mu_k = a$

   Output: Error message - coefficient of friction can only be a number from 0 to 1.

   How test will be performed: Automated unit test

Incomplete inputs

1. testMissingAxiom1

   Type: Functional, Dynamic, Automated

Initial State: New Session.

Input: $E = 5$, $(X, Y, Z) = (0, 0,)$, $\mu_k = 0.05$

Output: Error message - Please complete input.

How test will be performed: Automated unit test

2. testMissingAxiom2

Type: Functional, Dynamic, Automated

Initial State: New Session.

Input: $E = 5$, $(X, Y, Z) = (0, , 0)$, $\mu_k = 0.05$

Output: Error message - Please complete input.

How test will be performed: Automated unit test

3. testMissingAxiom3

Type: Functional, Dynamic, Automated

Initial State: New Session.

Input: $E = 5$, $(X, Y, Z) = (, 0, 0)$, $\mu_k = 0.05$

Output: Error message - Please complete input.

How test will be performed: Automated unit test

Inputs out of scope

1. testWrongMomentum

Type: Functional, Dynamic, Automated

Initial State: New Session.

Input: $E = -1$ or $E = 11$, $(X, Y, Z) = (0, 0, 0)$, $\mu_k = 0.05$

Output: Error message - Explosion level can only be a number from 1 to 10.

How test will be performed: Automated unit test

2. testWrongXY1

   Type: Functional, Dynamic, Automated

   Initial State: New Session.

   Input: $E = 5$, $(X, Y, Z) = (0, 99999, 0)$, $\mu_k = 0.05$

   Output: Error message - Coordinate X and Y can only be a number from -1000 to 1000.

   How test will be performed: Automated unit test

3. testWrongXY2

   Type: Functional, Dynamic, Automated

   Initial State: New Session.

   Input: $E = 5$, $(X, Y, Z) = (99999, 0, 0)$, $\mu_k = 0.05$

   Output: Error message - Coordinate X and Y can only be a number from -1000 to 1000.

   How test will be performed: Automated unit test

4. testWrongZ

   Type: Functional, Dynamic, Automated

   Initial State: New Session.

   Input: $E = 5$, $(X, Y, Z) = (0, 0, 5)$, $\mu_k = 0.05$

   Output: Error message - Coordinate Z can only be 0.

   How test will be performed: Automated unit test

5. testWrongMu

   Type: Functional, Dynamic, Automated

   Initial State: New Session.

   Input: $E = 5$, $(X, Y, Z) = (0, 0, 0)$, $\mu_k = 99$

   Output: Error message - coefficient of friction can only be a number from 0 to 1.

How test will be performed: Automated unit test

5.1.2 Obtaining gravity center of each piece

This section covers R4 in SRS that program needs to obtain gravity center of each piece correctly. Testing for edge cases and error handling will be covered in Section 6.
There is an assumption for this section that all tests in 5.1.1 are passed.

1. testGravityCenter

   Type: Functional, Dynamic, Manual

   Initial State: New Session.

   Input: $E = 5$, $(X, Y, Z) = (0, 0, 0)$, $\mu_k = 0.05$

   Output: A list of coordinates of all pieces in format (x_n, y_n, z_n) in the console.

   How test will be performed: Manually input and check output by developer.

5.1.3 Initial speed calculation of each piece

Test case in this section covers R2 in SRS that program calculates initial speed for each piece. Testing for edge cases and error handling will be covered in Section 6.
There is an assumption for this section that all tests in 5.1.1 and 5.1.2 are passed.

1. testInitialSpeed

   Type: Functional, Dynamic, Manual

   Initial State: New Session.

   Input: $E = [1, 10]$, $(X, Y, Z) = (0, 0, 0)$, $\mu_k = 0.05$

   Output: initial speed $v_0 = 10 * E$

   How test will be performed: Manually input and check output by developer.

5.1.4 Calculation of angle between initial speed and horizontal(θ_1) as well as the angle between x axiom and projection on horizontal of initial speed(θ_2)

This section is designed to determine angle calculation module works correctly to calculate two angles, which covers R5 in SRS. Testing for edge cases and error handling will be covered in Section 6.
There is an assumption for this section that all tests in 5.1.1, 5.1.2 and 5.1.3 are passed.

1. testAngles1

   Type: Functional, Dynamic, Manual

   Initial State: New Session.

   Input: $E = 5$, $(X, Y, Z) = (0, 0, 0)$, $\mu_k = 0.05$, (x_n, y_n, z_n) and x_n, y_n, z_n can't be zero, which is the output list from 5.1.2

   Output: Pairs of θ_1 and θ_2. Where $\theta_1 = arctan\frac{|z_n|}{\sqrt{x_n^2 + y_n^2}}$ and $\theta_2 = arctan\frac{y_n}{x_n}$

   How test will be performed: Manually input and check output by developer. [Here we take $(X, Y, Z) = (0, 0, 0)$ as input case for System test. Test cases for different values will be covered in unit test part section 6 —Author]

2. testAngles2

   Type: Functional, Dynamic, Manual

   Initial State: New Session.

   Input: $E = 5$, $(X, Y, Z) = (0, 0, 0)$, $\mu_k = 0.05$, $(0, 0, z_n)$ and z_n can't be zero, which is output from 5.1.2

   Output: $\theta_1 = 90°$ and $\theta_2 = arctan\frac{y_n}{x_n}$

   How test will be performed: Manually input and check output by developer.

3. testAngles3

Type: Functional, Dynamic, Manual

Initial State: New Session.

Input: $E = 5$, $(X, Y, Z) = (0, 0, 0)$, $\mu_k = 0.05$, $(x_n, y_n, 0)$ and x_n, y_n can't be zero, which is output from 5.1.2

Output: $\theta_1 = arctan \frac{|z_n|}{\sqrt{x_n^2 + y_n^2}}$ and $\theta_2 = 90°$

How test will be performed: Manually input and check output by developer.

4. testAngles4

Type: Functional, Dynamic, Manual

Initial State: New Session.

Input: $E = 5$, $(X, Y, Z) = (0, 0, 0)$, $\mu_k = 0.05$, $(x_n, y_n, z_n) = (0, 0, 0)$ which is output from 5.1.2

Output: $\theta_1 = 90°$ and $\theta_2 = 90°$

How test will be performed: Manually input and check output by developer.

5.1.5 Calculation of displacement for each piece in the air

This section covers R6 that displacement of each piece in the air should be calculated correctly. Testing for edge cases and error handling will be covered in Section 6.

There is an assumption for this section that all tests in 5.1.1, 5.1.2, 5.1.3 and 5.1.4 are passed.

1. testMotionAir

Type: Functional, Dynamic, Manual

Initial State: New Session.

Input: $E = 5$, $(X, Y, Z) = (0, 0, 0)$, $\mu_k = 0.05$, time t, and θ_1, θ_2, v_0 that are result from 5.1.4, 5.1.3

Output: $S_x = v_0 \cdot cos\theta_1 \cdot cos\theta_2 \cdot t$, $S_y = v_0 \cdot cos\theta_1 \cdot sin\theta_2 \cdot t$ and $S_z = v_0 \cdot sin\theta_1 \cdot t - \frac{1}{2}gt^2$. Above output should be shown both in text in console and in vision on the screen.

How test will be performed: Manually input and check output by developer. [Here we take $E = 5$ and $(X, Y, Z) = (0, 0, 0)$ as input case for System test. Test cases for different values will be covered in unit test part section 6 —Author]

5.1.6 Calculation of displacement for each piece on the ground

This section tests the final functional requirement R7 is satisfied correctly that displacement of each piece on the ground should be calculated correctly. Testing for edge cases and error handling will be covered in Section 6.
There is an assumption for this section that all tests in 5.1.1, 5.1.2, 5.1.3, 5.1.4 and 5.1.5 passed.

1. testMotionGround

    Type: Functional, Dynamic, Manual

    Initial State: New Session.

    Input: $E = 5$, $(X, Y, Z) = (0, 0, 0)$, $\mu_k = 0.05$, time t and θ_1, θ_2, v_0 that are result from 5.1.4, 5.1.3

    Output: $S_x = v_0 \cdot cos\theta_1 \cdot cos\theta_2 \cdot t - \frac{1}{2}at^2$ and $S_y = v_0 \cdot cos\theta_1 \cdot sin\theta_2 \cdot t - \frac{1}{2}at^2$. Above output should be shown both in text in console and in vision on the screen.

    How test will be performed: Manually input and check output by developer.[Here we take $E = 5$ and $(X, Y, Z) = (0, 0, 0)$ as input case for System test. Test cases for different values will be covered in unit test part section 6 —Author]

5.1.7 Comparison with professional plug-in

This test case is designed to compare Breaking Effect with existing plug-in in Unity3D. Visualization is the most important point of the project so this section aims to test if Breaking Effect simulates the explosion vividly.

1. testCompare

    Type: Functional, Dynamic, Manual

Initial State: New session.

Input: $E = 5$, $(X, Y, Z) = (0, 0, 0)$, $\mu_k = 0.05$

Output: Motion of each piece.

How test will be performed: Compare output with professional plug-ins.

5.2 Tests for Nonfunctional Requirements

5.2.1 Performance test

1. testPerformance

   Type: Nonfunctional,Dynamic, Manual

   Initial State: New session

   Input/Condition: $E = 5$, $(X, Y, Z) = (0, 0, 0)$, $\mu_k = 0.05$

   Output/Result: Motion of each piece.

   How test will be performed: Adjust amount of pieces to see the performance that if program can run smoothly in huge amount of pieces.

5.2.2 Comparison with professional plug-in

This test case is designed to compare Breaking Effect with existing plug-in in Unity3D. Visualization is the most important point of the project so this section aims to test if Breaking Effect simulates the explosion vividly.

1. testCompare

   Type: Functional, Dynamic, Manual

   Initial State: New session.

   Input: $E = 5$, $(X, Y, Z) = (0, 0, 0)$, $\mu_k = 0.05$. Performance of professional plug-in, example:Realistic Explosions Pack.

   Output: Motion of each piece.

   How test will be performed: Compare output with professional plug-ins.

5.3　Traceability Between Test Cases and Requirements

	R1	R2	R3	R4	R5	R6	R7
testCorrectInput	X		X				
testNoObject	X						
testNoMu	X						
testNoMomentum	X						
testNonNumMomentum			X				
testNonNumCoordinate			X				
testNonNumMu			X				
testMissingAxiom			X				
testWrongMomentum			X				
testWrongCoordinate			X				
testWrongMu			X				
testGravityCenter				X			
testInitialSpeed		X					
testAngles					X		
testMotionAir						X	
testMotionGround							X

Table 1: Traceability Matrix Showing the Connections Between Items of Different Sections

6 Unit Testing Plan

Unit Test Generator provided by Visual Studio 2017 will be used to implement automated unit testing for this project.

For each function, a test class will be created through Unit Test Generator. Developer provides inputs, runs automated test to see if it is passed.

Inputs will be provided by different target objects, initial locations, initial momentum, coefficient of friction.

Unit tests for this project focuses on edge cases checking and error handling.

Unit tests for input handling module are covered in 5.1.1.

Unit tests for other modules will be designed after modules designing are finished.

6.1 Unit test for initial speed calculation

1. UnitTestIS

   Type: Functional, Dynamic, Automated

   Initial State: New session.

   Input: Edge cases for E. Example: E=1, E=10. Error cases for E. Example: E=nil, E=-1, E=99

   Output: Initial speed v_0 when edge cases. Error message when error cases.

   How test will be performed: Automated unit testing.

6.2 Unit test for gravity center calculation

1. UnitTestGC

   Type: Functional, Dynamic, Automated

   Initial State: New session.

   Input: location of target object $(X, Y, 0)$ that range of X and Y is $[-1000, 1000]$ and object cutting function provide by platform. [Object cutting function is provided by developer that is only used for doing unit testing. Users don't need to input this when using the program.

Output: A list of coordinates of all pieces in format (x_n, y_n, z_n) in the console.

How test will be performed: Automated unit testing.

6.3 Unit test for angles calculation

1. UnitTestAC1

   Type: Functional, Dynamic, Automated

   Initial State: New session.

   Input: Normal cases for $(X, Y, 0)$, (x_n, y_n, z_n). Range of X and Y is $[-1000, 1000]$. Example: (10,10,0);(31,-5,-10)

   Output: $\theta_1 = arctan \frac{|z_n|}{\sqrt{x_n^2 + y_n^2}}$ and $\theta_2 = arctan \frac{y_n}{x_n}$

   How test will be performed: Automated unit testing.

2. UnitTestAC2

   Type: Functional, Dynamic, Automated

   Initial State: New session.

   Input: Edge cases for $(X, Y, 0)$, (x_n, y_n, z_n). Example: (1000,1000,0);(-1000,-1000,-1000)

   Output: $\theta_1 = arctan \frac{|z_n|}{\sqrt{x_n^2 + y_n^2}}$ and $\theta_2 = arctan \frac{y_n}{x_n}$

   How test will be performed: Automated unit testing.

6.4 Unit test for displacement calculation

1. UnitTestDCA

   Type: Functional, Dynamic, Automated

   Initial State: New session.

15

Input: $E = [1 - 10]$, $(X, Y, 0)$ that range of X and Y is $[-1000, 1000]$, $\mu_k = [0, 1]$, time t, and θ_1, θ_2, v_0 that are result from 5.1.4, 5.1.3.

Output: $S_x = v_0 \cdot cos\theta_1 \cdot cos\theta_2 \cdot t$, $S_y = v_0 \cdot cos\theta_1 \cdot sin\theta_2 \cdot t$ and $S_z = v_0 \cdot sin\theta_1 \cdot t - \frac{1}{2}gt^2$

How test will be performed: Automated unit testing.[Will perform finite test cases for different input in valid range. —Author]

2. UnitTestDCG

   Type: Functional, Dynamic, Automated

   Initial State: New session.

   Input: $E = [1 - 10]$, $(X, Y, 0)$ that range of X and Y is $[-1000, 1000]$, $\mu_k = [0, 1]$, time t and θ_1, θ_2, v_0 that are result from 5.1.4, 5.1.3

   Output: $a = \mu_k g$, $S_x = v_0 \cdot cos\theta_1 \cdot cos\theta_2 \cdot t - \frac{1}{2}at^2$ and $S_y = v_0 \cdot cos\theta_1 \cdot sin\theta_2 \cdot t - \frac{1}{2}at^2$ [Acceleration a is an intermediate result that doesn't need separate module and system test. —Author]

   How test will be performed: Automated unit testing.

References

7 Appendix

7.1 Symbolic Parameters

The definition of the test cases will call for SYMBOLIC_CONSTANTS. Their values are defined in this section for easy maintenance.

symbol	value	description
g	$9.8m/s^2$	gravity acceleration

7.2 Usability Survey Questions?

Usability survey will not be done for this project.