DISK-BASED ARCHITECTURE

The DBMS assumes that the primary storage location of the database is on non-volatile disk.

The DBMS's components manage the movement of data between non-volatile and volatile storage.

STORAGE HIERARCHY



Volatile
Random Access
Byte-Addressable

Non-Volatile
Sequential Access
Block-Addressable

Faster
Smaller
Expensive

Slower
Larger
Cheaper

STORAGE HIERARCHY



ACCESS TIMES

Latency Numbers Every Programmer Should Know

1 ns	L1 Cache Ref	1 sec
4 ns	L2 Cache Ref	4 sec
100 ns	DRAM	100 sec
16,000 ns	SSD	4.4 hours
2,000,000 ns	HDD	3.3 weeks
~50,000,000 ns	Network Storage	1.5 years
1,000,000,000 ns	Tape Archives	31.7 years

[Source]

SEQUENTIAL VS. RANDOM ACCESS

Random access on non-volatile storage is almost always much slower than sequential access.

DBMS will want to maximize sequential access.

→ Algorithms try to reduce number of writes to random pages so that data is stored in contiguous blocks.

→ Allocating multiple pages at the same time is called an extent.

SYSTEM DESIGN GOALS

Allow the DBMS to manage databases that exceed the amount of memory available.

Reading/writing to disk is expensive, so it must be managed carefully to avoid large stalls and performance degradation.

Random access on disk is usually much slower than sequential access, so the DBMS will want to maximize sequential access.

DISK-ORIENTED DBMS



WHY NOT USE THE OS?

The DBMS can use memory mapping (**mmap**) to store the contents of a file into the address space of a program.

The OS is responsible for moving the pages of the file in and out of memory, so the DBMS doesn't need to worry about it.



WHY NOT USE THE OS?

The DBMS can use memory mapping (**mmap**) to store the contents of a file into the address space of a program.

The OS is responsible for moving the pages of the file in and out of memory, so the DBMS doesn't need to worry about it.



WHY NOT USE THE OS?

The DBMS can use memory mapping (**mmap**) to store the contents of a file into the address space of a program.

The OS is responsible for moving the pages of the file in and out of memory, so the DBMS doesn't need to worry about it.



WHY NOT USE THE OS?

What if we allow multiple threads to access the `mmap` files to hide page fault stalls?

This works good enough for read-only access.
It is complicated when there are multiple writers…

MEMORY MAPPED I/O PROBLEMS

Problem #1: Transaction Safety

→ OS can flush dirty pages at any time.

Problem #2: I/O Stalls

→ DBMS doesn't know which pages are in memory. The OS will stall a thread on page fault.

Problem #3: Error Handling

→ Difficult to validate pages. Any access can cause a `SIGBUS` that the DBMS must handle.

Problem #4: Performance Issues

→ OS data structure contention. TLB shootdowns.

WHY NOT USE THE OS?

There are some solutions to some of these problems:

→ **madvise**: Tell the OS how you expect to read certain pages.

→ **mlock**: Tell the OS that memory ranges cannot be paged out.

→ **msync**: Tell the OS to flush memory ranges out to disk.

Full Usage

Partial Usage

WHY NOT USE THE OS?

DBMS (almost) always wants to control things itself and can do a better job than the OS.

→ Flushing dirty pages to disk in the correct order.
→ Specialized prefetching.
→ Buffer replacement policy.
→ Thread/process scheduling.

The OS is **not** your friend.

WHY NOT USE

DBMS (almost) always wants t
itself and can do a better job th
→ Flushing dirty pages to disk in th
→ Specialized prefetching.
→ Buffer replacement policy.
→ Thread/process scheduling.

The OS is **not** your friend.



Are You Sure You Want to Use MMAP in Your Database Management System?

Andrew Crotty
Carnegie Mellon University
andrewcr@cs.cmu.edu

Viktor Leis
University of Erlangen-Nuremberg
viktor.leis@fau.de

Andrew Pavlo
Carnegie Mellon University
pavlo@cs.cmu.edu

ABSTRACT

Memory-mapped (mmap) file I/O is an OS-provided feature that maps the contents of a file on secondary storage into a program's address space. The program then accesses pages via pointers as if the file resided entirely in memory. The OS transparently loads pages only when the program references them and automatically evicts pages if memory fills up.

mmap's perceived ease of use has seduced database management system (DBMS) developers for decades as a viable alternative to implementing a buffer pool. There are, however, severe correctness and performance issues with mmap that are not immediately apparent. Such problems make it difficult, if not impossible, to use mmap correctly and efficiently in a modern DBMS. In fact, several popular DBMSs initially used mmap to support larger-than-memory databases but soon encountered these hidden perils, forcing them to switch to managing file I/O themselves after significant engineering costs. In this way, mmap and DBMSs are like coffee and spicy food: an unfortunate combination that becomes obvious after the fact.

Since developers keep trying to use mmap in new DBMSs, we wrote this paper to provide a warning to others that mmap is not a suitable replacement for a traditional buffer pool. We discuss the main shortcomings of mmap in detail, and our experimental analysis demonstrates clear performance limitations. Based on these findings, we conclude with a prescription for when DBMS developers *might* consider using mmap for file I/O.

1 INTRODUCTION

An important feature of disk-based DBMSs is their ability to support databases that are larger than the available physical memory. This functionality allows a user to query a database as if it resides entirely in memory, even if it does not fit all at once. DBMSs achieve this illusion by reading pages of data from secondary storage (e.g., HDD, SSD) into memory on demand. If there is not enough memory for a new page, the DBMS will evict an existing page that is no longer needed in order to make room.

Traditionally, DBMSs implement the movement of pages between secondary storage and memory in a buffer pool, which interacts with secondary storage using system calls like `read` and `write`. These file I/O mechanisms copy data to and from a buffer in user space, with the DBMS maintaining complete control over how and when it transfers pages.

Alternatively, the DBMS can relinquish the responsibility of data movement to the OS, which maintains its own file mapping and page cache. The POSIX mmap system call maps a file on secondary storage into the virtual address space of the caller (i.e., the DBMS), and the OS will then load pages lazily when the DBMS accesses them. To the DBMS, the database appears to reside fully in memory, but the OS handles all necessary paging behind the scenes rather than the DBMS's buffer pool.

On the surface, mmap seems like an attractive implementation option for managing file I/O in a DBMS. The most notable benefits are ease of use and low engineering cost. The DBMS no longer needs to track which pages are in memory, nor does it need to track how often pages are accessed or which pages are dirty. Instead, the DBMS can simply access disk-resident data via pointers as if it were accessing data in memory while leaving all low-level page management to the OS. If the available memory fills up, then the OS will free space for new pages by transparently evicting (ideally unneeded) pages from the page cache.

From a performance perspective, mmap should also have much lower overhead than a traditional buffer pool. Specifically, mmap does not incur the cost of explicit system calls (i.e., `read`/`write`) and avoids redundant copying to a buffer in user space because the DBMS can access pages directly from the OS page cache.

Since the early 1980s, these supposed benefits have enticed DBMS developers to forgo implementing a buffer pool and instead rely on the OS to manage file I/O [36]. In fact, the developers of several well-known DBMSs (see Section 2.3) have gone down this path, with some even touting mmap as a key factor in achieving good performance [20].

Unfortunately, mmap has a hidden dark side with many sordid problems that make it undesirable for file I/O in a DBMS. As we describe in this paper, these problems involve both data safety and system performance concerns. We contend that the engineering steps required to overcome them negate the purported simplicity of working with mmap. For these reasons, we believe that mmap adds too much complexity with no commensurate performance benefit and strongly urge DBMS developers to avoid using mmap as a replacement for a traditional buffer pool.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. We begin with a short background on mmap (Section 2), followed by a discussion of its main problems (Section 3) and our experimental analysis (Section 4). We then discuss related work (Section 5) and conclude with a summary of our guidance for when you *might* consider using mmap in your DBMS (Section 6).

2 BACKGROUND

This section provides the relevant background on mmap. We begin with a high-level overview of memory-mapped file I/O and the POSIX mmap API. Then, we discuss real-world implementations of mmap-based systems.

https://db.cs.cmu.edu/mmap-cidr2022

DATABASE STORAGE

Problem #1: How the DBMS represents the database in files on disk.

← Today

Problem #2: How the DBMS manages its memory and moves data back-and-forth from disk.

TODAY'S AGENDA

File Storage

Page Layout

Tuple Layout

FILE STORAGE

The DBMS stores a database as one or more files on disk typically in a proprietary format.

→ The OS doesn't know anything about the contents of these files.

Early systems in the 1980s used custom filesystems on raw storage.

→ Some "enterprise" DBMSs still support this.

→ Most newer DBMSs do not do this.

STORAGE MANAGER

The storage manager is responsible for maintaining a database's files.

→ Some do their own scheduling for reads and writes to improve spatial and temporal locality of pages.

It organizes the files as a collection of pages.

→ Tracks data read/written to pages.

→ Tracks the available space.

DATABASE PAGES

A page is a fixed-size block of data.

→ It can contain tuples, meta-data, indexes, log records…
→ Most systems do not mix page types.
→ Some systems require a page to be self-contained.

Each page is given a unique identifier.

→ The DBMS uses an indirection layer to map page IDs to physical locations.

DATABASE PAGES

There are three different notions of "pages" in a DBMS:

→ Hardware Page (usually 4KB)
→ OS Page (usually 4KB)
→ Database Page (512B-16KB)

A hardware page is the largest block of data that the storage device can guarantee failsafe writes.

4KB

8KB

16KB

PAGE STORAGE ARCHITECTURE

Different DBMSs manage pages in files on disk in different ways.

→ Heap File Organization
→ Tree File Organization
→ Sequential / Sorted File Organization (ISAM)
→ Hashing File Organization

At this point in the hierarchy we don't need to know anything about what is inside of the pages.

Here if page size is p then for getting page 2 we go to memory at offset (address)

HEAP FILE

2×p we extract the page.

A heap file is an unordered collection of pages with tuples that are stored in random order.

→ Create / Get / Write / Delete Page

→ Must also support iterating over all pages.

It is easy to find pages if there is only a single file.



∴ Get page # x

Offset = Page # x × page size

HEAP FILE

Each heap file stores ~~data~~ pages in unordered way with tuples.

A heap file is an unordered collection of pages with tuples that are stored in random order.

→ Create / Get / Write / Delete Page

→ Must also support iterating over all pages.

It is easy to find pages if there is only a single file.

It is not preferred to use heap file organization when there is more than 1 file.



HEAP FILE

A heap file is an unordered collection of pages with tuples that are stored in random order.

→ Create / Get / Write / Delete Page

→ Must also support iterating over all pages.

meta data ⇒ data about data.

It is easy to find pages if there is only a single file.

Need meta-data to keep track of what pages exist in multiple files and which ones have free space.

∴ If we want to use heap file even in more than 1 file case then we need metadata about which file stores what pages.

It also stores the free slots per page as well as no. of free pages.

HEAP FILE: PAGE DIRECTORY

The DBMS maintains special pages that tracks the location of data pages in the database files.

→ Must make sure that the directory pages are in sync with the data pages.

The directory also records meta-data about available space:

→ The number of free slots per page.

→ List of free / empty pages.



∴ We have special page called Page directory.

It stores references to the pages.

Each file → has pages

Each page → has tuples.

Page → fixed amount of data.

TODAY'S AGENDA

Smaller.

- File Storage
- Page Layout
- Tuple Layout

∴ File storage ⇒ Database is stored as file

↓

Heap file organisation

(preferred for single file)

↓

has pages in unordered way.

If we want for multiple files as well

Heap File → Page directory

(Special pages)

↳ Stores references to pages

↳ Empty pages

↳ Empty slots in pages.

Get Page #n

⇒ Offset = n × Pagesize.

Page Layout

PAGE HEADER

Every page contains a header of meta-data about the page's contents.

→ Page Size
→ Checksum
→ DBMS Version
→ Transaction Visibility
→ Compression Information

page size, version, compression info etc.

∴ meta data about page is stored in header.



Some systems require pages to be self-contained (e.g., Oracle).

Self contained pages contain Schema of the page as well in the header.

PAGE LAYOUT

For any page storage architecture, we now need to decide how to organize the data inside of the page.

→ We are still assuming that we are only storing tuples.

Two approaches:

→ Tuple-oriented

→ Log-structured ← Next Class

TUPLE STORAGE

How to store tuples in a page?

Strawman Idea: Keep track of the number of tuples in a page and then just append a new tuple to the end.
→ What happens if we delete a tuple?



Strawman Idea is a naive Idea to store tuples in a page. Just track no. of tuples available and append a new tuple at the end of page.

TUPLE STORAGE

How to store tuples in a page?

Strawman Idea: Keep track of the number of tuples in a page and then just append a new tuple to the end.

→ What happens if we delete a tuple?

?



How to know the location of the free space created by deleting a tuple.

TUPLE STORAGE

How to store tuples in a page?

Strawman Idea: Keep track of the number of tuples in a page and then just append a new tuple to the end.
→ What happens if we delete a tuple?



TUPLE STORAGE

How to store tuples in a page?

Strawman Idea: Keep track of the number of tuples in a page and then just append a new tuple to the end.

→ What happens if we delete a tuple?

→ What happens if we have a variable-length attribute?

↳ Drawbacks of Strawman Idea



SLOTTED PAGES

The most common layout scheme is called slotted pages.

The slot array maps "slots" to the tuples' starting position offsets.

The header keeps track of:
→ The # of used slots
→ The offset of the starting location of the last slot used.



∴ We use a slot array that maps slots to tuple offsets.

SLOTTED PAGES

The most common layout scheme is called slotted pages.

Keeps track of no. of used slots

The slot array maps "slots" to the tuples' starting position offsets.

The header keeps track of:

→ The # of used slots

→ The offset of the starting location of the last slot used.



Here why do we start storing from Bottom not from top?

SLOTTED PAGES

The most common layout scheme is called slotted pages.

The slot array maps "slots" to the tuples' starting position offsets.

The header keeps track of:
→ The # of used slots
→ The offset of the starting location of the last slot used.



So if tuple 3 is deleted tuple 4 moves right & then slot array points to that slot ✱A.

SLOTTED PAGES

The most common layout scheme is called slotted pages.

The slot array maps "slots" to the tuples' starting position offsets.

The header keeps track of:
→ The # of used slots
→ The offset of the starting location of the last slot used.



SLOTTED PAGES

The most common layout scheme is called slotted pages.

The slot array maps "slots" to the tuples' starting position offsets.

The header keeps track of:
→ The # of used slots
→ The offset of the starting location of the last slot used.



We know that Each page has unique identifier

RECORD IDS

Ily Each tuple has unique identifier.

The DBMS needs a way to keep track of individual tuples.

Each tuple is assigned a unique record identifier.

→ Most common: **page_id** + **offset/slot**

→ Can also contain file location info.

page no. it is stored in.

An application cannot rely on these IDs to mean anything.

PostgreSQL

CTID (6-bytes)

SQLite

ROWID (8-bytes)

ORACLE

ROWID (10-bytes)

TODAY'S AGENDA

File Storage
Page Layout
Tuple Layout

∴ Why we store from bottom is because when variable length comes then we can store them properly.

Ex:



First we get 6 fixed length tuples. ∴ we allot place in slot array for them

→ If we do like this when variable sized comes we need to push them ↑ slot array size. ∴ Hence when tuples enter slot array size ↑ we keep placing from bottom.

i.e.

TUPLE LAYOUT



A tuple is essentially a sequence of bytes.

It's the job of the DBMS to interpret those bytes into attribute types and values.

Tuple → sequence of bytes

Tuple → a row of table.

no. of tuples → cardinality.

TUPLE HEADER

Each tuple is prefixed with a header that contains meta-data about it.
→ Visibility info (concurrency control)
→ Bit Map for **NULL** values.

We do not need to store meta-data about the schema.



like how a page has headers tuple also has header that stores meta data about tuple.

no need to store about schema in meta data.

TUPLE DATA

Attributes are typically stored in the order that you specify them when you create the table.

This is done for software engineering reasons (i.e., simplicity).

However, it might be more efficient to lay them out differently.


```
CREATE TABLE foo (
  a INT PRIMARY KEY,
  b INT NOT NULL,
  c INT,
  d DOUBLE,
  e FLOAT
);
```

DENORMALIZED TUPLE DATA

DBMS can physically ***denormalize*** (e.g., "pre join") related tuples and store them together in the same page.

→ Potentially reduces the amount of I/O for common workload patterns.

→ Can make updates more expensive.

```
CREATE TABLE foo (
  a INT PRIMARY KEY,
  b INT NOT NULL,
);
CREATE TABLE bar (
  c INT PRIMARY KEY,
  a INT
    REFERENCES foo (a),
);
```

Denormalisation ⇒ Joining related tuples and storing them together in single page.

DENORMALIZED TUPLE DATA

DBMS can physically ***denormalize*** (e.g., "pre join") related tuples and store them together in the same page.

→ Potentially reduces the amount of I/O for common workload patterns.

→ Can make updates more expensive.



DENORMALIZED TUPLE DATA

DBMS can physically ***denormalize*** (e.g., "pre join") related tuples and store them together in the same page.

→ Potentially reduces the amount of I/O for common workload patterns.

→ Can make updates more expensive.



Not a new idea.

→ IBM System R did this in the 1970s.

→ Several NoSQL DBMSs do this without calling it physical denormalization.

RethinkDB

CouchDB

MarkLogic™

RAVENDB

mongoDB

CONCLUSION

Database is organized in pages.
Different ways to track pages.
Different ways to store pages.
Different ways to store tuples.

① File Storage
② Page Layout
③ Tuple Layout.

Page Layout → How to store pages in a file.

Tuple Layout → How to store tuples in a page.