

CSE 3000 - Quantitative Bias Analysis

Objective

Quantitatively analyze a chosen platform for bias (e.g., racial, gender, or political) and compare it against at least two competitors in the same field.

Assignment Details

Part 1: Experiment Design and Execution

- **Choose a Bias Type and Platforms:**

- Pick a type of bias (e.g., racial, gender, political).
- Select one platform (e.g., DALL-E, Zillow, LinkedIn) and at least two competitors (e.g., Stable Diffusion, Redfin, Indeed).

- **Define Test Cases:**

- Design a set of quantitative tests related to your chosen bias. For example:
 - * For DALL-E and competitors: Create prompts you suspect will demonstrate bias (e.g., "professor," "nurse," "prisoner").

- **Collect Data:**

- For image generation platforms:
 - * Generate a fixed number of images per prompt (e.g., 50 images for "professor").
 - * Use image analysis tools (e.g., facial recognition APIs) to predict demographics like race or gender.
- For text or recommendation platforms:

- * Analyze textual outputs or recommended options (e.g., job titles, property listings).
- **Analyze Results:**
 - Quantify outputs using metrics like:
 - * **Distribution Accuracy:** Compare demographic outputs against real-world data (e.g., employment or population statistics).
 - * **Bias Indicators:** Use statistical methods (e.g., T-Tests, ANOVA, Kullback-Leibler divergence) to quantify disparities.
 - Visualize results with examples like:
 - * Stacked bar charts showing demographic representation.
 - * Heatmaps comparing platforms.
- **Define Accuracy Metrics:**
 - Students must justify a quantitative definition of "accuracy," such as:
 - * **Real-world Accuracy:** Closeness to real-world employment statistics.
 - * **Ideal Representation:** Closeness to equal representation across demographics.

Part 2: Ethical Analysis

- **Identify Disparities:**
 - Discuss any observed demographic disparities in outputs.
 - Highlight areas where platforms reinforce stereotypes or misrepresent groups.
- **Propose Solutions:**
 - Suggest actionable ways to reduce bias, such as:
 - * Balancing training datasets.
 - * Adjusting output algorithms to favor diversity.
 - Reflect on trade-offs (e.g., accuracy vs. fairness).

Deliverables

- **Code Submission:**
 - Provide a Python script or Jupyter Notebook that implements the tests and analysis.
 - Include well-documented code and instructions.
- **Presentation (5-10 minutes):**
 - Present findings using visualizations (e.g., charts, graphs).
 - Discuss results, accuracy metrics, and ethical implications.
- **Technical Report (3-4 pages):**
 - Summarize methodology, results, and ethical analysis.
 - Include quantitative results, justifications for accuracy definitions, and proposed solutions.

Example Workflow

- **Generate Images (for DALL-E, MidJourney, Stable Diffusion):** Produce outputs for analysis.
- **Analyze Demographics:** Use a facial recognition API to predict gender or race.
- **Quantify Bias:** Compare distributions to real-world or ideal data.
- **Visualize Results:** Use `matplotlib` or `seaborn` for comparisons.

Ethical Reflection Prompts

- Should platforms strive for real-world accuracy (mirroring employment statistics) or ideal representation (equal demographics)?
- How might biased training data affect outputs, and what is the platform's responsibility to address this?
- What are the risks of over-correcting for bias? How could this impact user trust?

Grading Criteria

- **Presentation and Report (40%):** Clarity, coherence, and depth of the report and presentation.
- **Experimental Design and Implementation (20%):** Quality of tests, thoroughness of data collection, and correctness of code.
- **Quantitative Analysis (30%):** Depth of insights, quality of statistical analysis, and visualizations.
- **Ethical Reflection (10%):** Originality and rigor in addressing trade-offs and proposing solutions.