Table 3 Effect of Decriminalization on Transaction Characteristics

Dependent variable:	Ln Price	Massage	C ral condom	Oral bare	Vaginal Sex	Anal Sex	White	Asian	Hispanic	Black
	I anel A: Clustered Standa d Errors									
RI decriminalization	-0.414*** (0.041)	0.231*** (0.032)	0.080*** (0.012)	-0.212*** (0.022)	-0.135*** (0.024)	-0.177*** (0.009)	0.023 (0.015)	0.178*** (0.020)	-0.012 (0.012)	0.021** (0.007)
			Panel B:	Permutation	Tests					
RI decriminalization 5th percentile 95th percentile Two-tailed test p-value	-0.414 -0.258 0.239 0.09	0.231 -0.157 0.139 0.05	0.080 -0.186 0.342 0.60	-0.212 -0.306 0.109 0.28	-0.135 -0.127 0.134 0.09	-0.177 -0.061 0.074 0.05	0.023 -0.141 0.123 0.60	0.178 -0.163 0.080 0.05	-0.012 -0.055 0.078 0.47	0.021 -0.048 0.069 0.47
Observations Baseline mean State and year FE	82944 5.39 Yes	83135 0.11 Yes	83135 0.56 Yes	83135 0.39 Yes	83135 0.94 Yes	83135 0.22 Yes	83135 0.44 Yes	83135 0.22 Yes	83135 0.06 Yes	83135 0 Yes

These are DD regressions sing 1999-2009 years. Individual controls include a dummy whether the provider was independent. Panel A prese its clustered tandard errors and Panel B presents 5th and 95th percentile confidence intervals from placebo-based inferential calculations, and p-values from a two-tailed test. \* p<0.10, p<0.10, p<0.10, \*\*\* p<0.01