

TITLE:

Human Bocavirus and Acute Wheezing in Children

ABSTRACT:

Background. Human bocavirus is a newly discovered parvovirus. It has been detected primarily in children with acute lower respiratory tract infection, but its occurrence, clinical profile, and role as a causative agent of respiratory tract disease are not clear. Methods. We investigated the presence of human bocavirus by quantitative polymerase chain reaction of nasopharyngeal aspirate specimens and selected serum samples obtained from 259 children (median age, 1.6 years) who had been hospitalized for acute expiratory wheezing. The samples were analyzed for 16 respiratory viruses by polymerase chain reaction, virus culture, antigen detection, and serological assays. Results. At least 1 potential etiologic agent was detected in 95% of children, and >1 agent was detected in 34% of children. Human bocavirus was detected in 49 children (19%). A large proportion of the cases were mixed infections with other viruses, but human bocavirus was the only virus detected in 12 children (5%). High viral loads of human bocavirus were noted mainly in the absence of other viral agents, suggesting a causative role for acute wheezing. In addition, infections that had uncertain clinical relevance and low viral loads were prevalent. Human bocavirus DNA was frequently detected in serum specimens obtained from patients with acute wheezing, suggesting systemic infection. Conclusions. Human bocavirus is prevalent among children with acute wheezing and can cause systemic infection. Results suggest a model for bocavirus infection in which high viral loads are potentially associated with respiratory symptoms and low viral loads indicate asymptomatic shedding. Therefore, quantitative polymerase chain reaction analysis may be important for additional studies of human bocavirus.

Materials and Methods:

Study subjects and sample collection. As part of a randomized, placebo-controlled clinical trial evaluating the efficacy of systemic corticosteroids for the treatment of acute expiratory wheezing in children, we performed extensive diagnostic evaluations for respiratory virus infection. The study included 293 children who presented to the Department of Pediatrics, Turku University Hospital (Turku, Finland), during the period from September 2000 through May 2002 [12, 13]. Acute expiratory wheezing was considered to be bronchiolitis when it occurred for the first time in children aged <3 years. Asthma was diagnosed on the basis of the National Asthma Education and Prevention Program guidelines [14]. All other episodes of acute expiratory wheezing were considered to be recurrent wheezing.

All patients were examined by 1 of the 2 study physicians (T.J. and P.L.) twice daily during hospitalization. Signs and symptoms were recorded on a standardized form. Of the 293 children who were randomized, 259 children (median age, 1.6 years; range, 3 months to 15 years) who had sufficient sample material available for complete virus diagnostic evaluation (nasopharyngeal aspirate specimens were used for PCR [for 16 viruses], virus culture [for 9 viruses], and antigen detection [for 7 viruses]; acute- and convalescent-phase serum samples were used for serologic testing [for 7 viruses]) were included in the present study. Sixty-four asymptomatic children (median age, 4.1 years; range, 5 months to 14 years) who had been admitted to the Division of Pediatric Surgery, Turku University Hospital, during the period from September 2000 through October 2002 and who did not have respiratory symptoms during the preceding 4 weeks were also investigated for the presence of HBoV [15]. The study protocol was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Turku University Hospital.

At hospital admission, a nasopharyngeal aspirate sample was obtained using a standardized procedure, as described elsewhere [12]. Antigen detection and virus culture were performed immediately, and the samples obtained for PCR assays were stored in tubes at -70°C before processing. Blood samples were collected at the time of the patient's admission and 2–3 weeks after discharge from the hospital and were stored at -20°C. Nasal swab specimens were obtained from the asymptomatic children. Nasopharyngeal aspirate specimens could not be obtained from healthy children, because they did not have mucus in the nasopharynx.

Real-time PCR for HBoV. Nucleic acids were extracted from 400 µL of the nasopharyngeal aspirate samples using the MagAttract Virus Mini M48 kit and the Biorobot M48 instrument (Qiagen), and samples were eluted in 100 µL of RNase-free water. For serum samples, 100 µL of

serum was processed using the QIAamp blood mini kit (Qiagen), and DNA was eluted in 50  $\mu$ L. The PCR assay targeted the NP-1 gene of HBoV. The 20- $\mu$ L amplification reaction contained 5  $\mu$ L of sample DNA, 10  $\mu$ L of TaqMan universal PCR master mix (PE Applied Biosystems), 0.1  $\mu$ L of bovine serum albumin (20 mg/mL), 300 nmol/L each primer (Boca-forward, GGA AGA GAC ACT GGC AGA CAA; and Boca-reverse, GGG TGT TCC TGA TGA TAT GAG C), and 150 nmol/L Boca probe (FAM-CTG CGG CTC CTG CTC CTG TGA T-TAMRA). Amplification was performed using a LightCycler 1.2 instrument (Roche) with the following instrument settings: 95°C for 10 min, 50 cycles of 95°C for 0 s, and 60°C for 1 min. For standardizing the quantification, a plasmid (NPSC3.1) containing the HBoV NP-1 gene was used in serial dilutions covering a range of 6 logs. The criteria for a positive reaction were a cycle threshold <40 cycles and fluorescence count >0.5. The minimum genome viral load that would allow reproducible quantification was 10 copies per reaction, corresponding to 500 copies/mL for nasopharyngeal aspirate specimens or 1000 copies/mL for serum specimens. The analyses were performed at a diagnostic laboratory, with rigorous measures to prevent contamination. The real-time PCR assay was compared with the previously published single end-point PCR assay targeting the NP-1 gene [3] by parallel analysis of 74 samples. Fifteen samples yielded positive results with both assays, 5 yielded positive results with real-time PCR only, and the remaining 54 yielded negative results with both assays.

Means of diagnosis for other viruses. Virus culture was performed for adenovirus, influenza A and B viruses, parainfluenza virus types 1–3 (PIV 1–3), respiratory syncytial virus (RSV), enteroviruses, and rhinoviruses, in accordance with routine diagnostic protocols, in A549, HeLa, and LLC-MK2 and human foreskin fibroblast cells, as well as for human metapneumovirus in tertiary monkey kidney cells [16]. Viral antigens were detected for adenovirus, influenza A and B viruses, PIV 1–3, and RSV by time-resolved fluoroimmunoassay [17]. Levels of IgG antibodies specific for the same viruses (except for PIV 2 and human metapneumovirus) were analyzed in paired serum samples, in addition to IgM antibodies for enteroviruses. RT-PCR was used for the detection of enteroviruses and rhinoviruses, RSV, coronaviruses 229E and OC43, and human metapneumovirus. These results were reported elsewhere [12]. In addition to HBoV diagnostic evaluations, the present study included extended diagnostic evaluations by real-time PCR for influenza A and B viruses, adenovirus, PIV 1–4, and coronavirus NL63 (in Rotterdam) and coronavirus HKU-1 (in Stockholm). Total nucleic acids for these analyses were isolated using a MagnaPure LC isolation station (Roche). Amplification was performed with an ABI7700 instrument, using commercially available master mixes (Applied Biosystems) and standard protocols. The primer sequences for PIV 1–4 and coronavirus HKU-1 are available from the authors. Otherwise, the primer and probe sequences, PCR protocols, and other viral detection methods were described elsewhere [12, 16, 18–21]. The sequencing of the 12 previously reported, nontypable rhinoviruses and enteroviruses [12] identified them as rhinoviruses. A patient was considered to be positive for the virus if results of at least 1 of the tests used were positive.

## Results:

Viral findings in children with acute wheezing. A potential viral pathogen was identified in 245 children (95%) (table 1). PCR results were positive for  $\geq 1$  virus in 95% of the children, virus culture results were positive in 40%, antigen detection results were positive in 28%, and serologic test results were positive in 38%. In 3% of the cases, diagnosis of viral infection was based only on serological findings. Notably, 34% of the patients had test results positive for  $>1$  virus. Forty-nine patients (19%) tested positive for HBoV in the nasopharynx. HBoV was the only virus detected in 12 patients (4.6% of all patients and 24% of the HBoV-positive patients), whereas  $\geq 1$  additional viral agent was detected in the remaining 37 HBoV-positive patients. The viruses most frequently found concordantly with HBoV were respiratory picornaviruses (in 21 children), of which 14 were classified as rhinovirus and 7 were classified as enterovirus, followed by adenovirus (in 8 children) and RSV (in 7 children).

Quantitative analysis of HBoV DNA in the nasopharynx. Genome viral loads in the nasopharyngeal aspirates ranged from  $<500$  to  $1.0 \times 10^8$  copies per mL of sample material. The genome counts appeared to define 2 nonoverlapping populations: one group of 28 samples with a high viral load ( $>104$  copies/mL) and another group of 21 samples with a low viral load ( $<104$  copies/mL) (figure 1). A high HBoV load was detected in 11% of wheezing patients. The nasal swab samples obtained from the 64 asymptomatic children were HBoV negative.

Association of HBoV in the nasopharynx and acute wheezing. HBoV was found significantly more frequently among children with acute wheezing than among asymptomatic children (19% vs. 0%;  $P < .001$ , by Fisher's exact test). However, because age distributions and sampling techniques differed between the 2 groups, this comparison should be interpreted with caution. We searched for additional evidence of causality by studying whether detection of HBoV was unrelated or inversely correlated to the occurrence of other viruses in children with acute wheezing [3]. HBoV was indeed more prevalent among children with symptoms of an otherwise unexplained etiology than among those in which other viruses were detected (46% vs. 16%;  $P < .001$ ) (table 2). Stratification of the patients on the basis of a nasopharynx HBoV load of  $<104$  copies/mL versus  $>104$  copies/mL revealed that only the patients with a high HBoV load had this association (table 2). This suggests that the presence of HBoV at high—but not at low—viral loads is associated with previously unexplained acute wheezing.

Detection of HBoV DNA in serum specimens. Acute- and convalescent-phase serum samples were available in sufficient quantity from 43 of the 49 patients who tested positive for HBoV in the nasopharynx. HBoV DNA was detected in 23 (53%) of the 43 acute-phase serum samples and in 8 (19%) of the 43 convalescent-phase serum specimens ( $P < .001$ , by Fisher's exact test). Detection of HBoV in serum was mainly, although not exclusively, associated with a high viral load in the nasopharynx (table 3). Viral loads in serum samples ranged from  $<1.0 \times 10^3$  to  $5.9 \times 10^5$  copies/mL and did not correlate with genome viral loads in the corresponding nasopharyngeal aspirate specimens ( $r = -0.24$ ; data not shown). We also analyzed 45 randomly selected serum samples obtained from the 196 wheezing patients who tested negative for HBoV in the nasopharynx but who had demonstrated infection with some other virus. Three tested positive for HBoV DNA (2 had low viral loads, and 1 had a viral load of  $5.9 \times 10^5$  copies/mL).

Clinical characteristics of patients positive only for HBoV. HBoV was the only virus detected in 12 children (table 4). The median age of these children was 1.3 years. Eight children received a diagnosis of bronchiolitis, 3 had recurrent wheezing, and 1 had acute exacerbation of asthma. Acute otitis media was diagnosed in 5 (42%) of the children, and chest radiographs taken from 9 patients all showed interstitial infiltrates. One child had leukocytosis ( $16.3 \times 10^9$  cells/L), and 2 children had increased levels of serum C-reactive protein (73 and 78 mg/L). In all other cases, total WBC counts and serum C-reactive protein levels were within normal limits. The reported duration of symptoms varied from 2 days to  $>20$  days. When characteristics of the children positive only for HBoV were compared with those of children with other demonstrated virus infections, no clinically significant differences were recorded (table 4).

#### Discussion:

We found HBoV in the nasopharynx of 19% of children with acute wheezing illness, and it was the fourth most frequent virus detected after rhinoviruses, enteroviruses, and RSV. These findings show that HBoV is a common virus in the community. The prevalence of HBoV was higher in our study than in previous reports [3–11]; this may have been the result of technical factors and study design rather than true differences in virus prevalence. A large number of the samples had low HBoV loads, making evaluation of prevalence highly dependent on assay sensitivity. Differences in PCR assay sensitivity may, of course, similarly have affected the relative prevalence estimates for all 16 of the viruses studied. It should also be recognized that nasopharyngeal sampling is not a standardized procedure. Finally, the present study included only hospitalized patients with acute wheezing illness, which may be the major manifestation of HBoV infection, whereas previous studies have included a broader selection of samples.

Despite the large number of mixed HBoV infections, our findings are still consistent with a potential etiologic role for HBoV in respiratory tract disease in young children. In the absence of *in vitro* virus propagation systems and animal models, final proof of causality will remain difficult to obtain. Fredricks and Relman [22] proposed molecular diagnostic criteria for causality for use in this situation, and the present study provides data relevant for many of these criteria:

1. HBoV was present at the site of the symptoms (i.e., in the respiratory tract). Genome viral loads were generally higher in the respiratory tract specimens than in the serum specimens.
2. HBoV was more prevalent in wheezing patients than in asymptomatic children. The significance of this observation alone should not be exaggerated. First, the age distribution differed between symptomatic and asymptomatic children. Second, sampling techniques were not matched. However, these results are consistent with the recent findings of Kesebir et al. [23], who reported that nasal wash samples obtained from 96 asymptomatic children were negative for HBoV.

Nevertheless, the comparison of symptomatic and asymptomatic individuals remains problematic. The large number of mixed infections observed with HBoV may indicate that HBoV is reactivated—or its detection enhanced—by other infections. This would result in a higher prevalence among symptomatic patients than among control subjects, even in the absence of an etiologic role. Respiratory secretions recovered during acute infection are also inherently very different from those recovered from asymptomatic subjects (e.g., with regard to cell counts). Therefore, evidence of a virus-disease association must rely also on criteria other than differences in the prevalence between case patients and control subjects.

3. HBoV was more prevalent among patients with previously unexplained wheezing than among patients who tested positive for other viruses.

4. This association was seen only for patients with a high viral load (i.e., there was a dose-response relationship).

5. Occurrence of HBoV in serum specimens was linked in time with an episode of acute wheezing, because HBoV became less prevalent in the same patients after clinical recovery. Taken together, these findings suggest an association between high HBoV load and acute wheezing of otherwise unknown etiology, but they do not prove a causal relationship. At the same time, shedding of HBoV (continuous or secondary to other infections) also appears to be common. This model for HBoV biology may prove to be valuable for additional studies of the possible causative role of HBoV in respiratory tract disease. Applying this model to the present study would suggest that HBoV is a potential etiologic agent in 28 patients (11%) with a high viral load, of whom 10 (3.9%) had HBoV infection alone. However, some studies have reported that dual viral infections are associated with more-severe disease than are infections with a single agent, so the clinical relevance of HBoV infection with a low viral load will also require additional study [24–26].

Detection of HBoV DNA in serum suggests that HBoV is a systemic infection, like most parvovirus infections, including animal bocavirus infection [27, 28]. It is possible that children with high-titer HBoV in both the respiratory tract and the blood had a primary HBoV infection, and this hypothesis is supported by the finding that serum viral loads generally became low or undetectable after clinical recovery. However, confirmation of a primary infection will have to await the development of an HBoV antibody assay. An HBoV infection with a low viral load may reflect long-term virus persistence after clinical recovery, as has been reported for parvovirus B19 [29, 30]. The findings of HBoV in a few convalescent-phase serum samples and in serum samples obtained from some patients whose respiratory tract specimens tested negative for HBoV are also consistent with virus persistence. Cases of possibly symptomatic primary HBoV infection (in the patients with HBoV infection alone) seemed to be particularly common among children aged ~1 year (i.e., soon after the loss of maternal antibodies). Such cases emerged throughout the year (table 4). These findings, together with the high prevalence rate, suggest that HBoV is an endemic virus in the study area.

We only studied children with bronchiolitis, recurrent wheezing, and acute asthma. Thus, possible other manifestations of HBoV infection were not addressed. Isolated HBoV positivity was associated with acute otitis media in nearly one-half of the subjects, and interstitial infiltrates were seen in all chest radiographs, suggesting that HBoV could be a frequent causative agent of acute otitis media and childhood community-acquired pneumonia. HBoV-associated acute wheezing did not differ clinically from that induced by rhinoviruses, enteroviruses, or RSV.

Our results indicate that acute wheezing is almost invariably associated with virus infection—and often with multiple-virus infection. Many new respiratory viruses, such as human metapneumovirus and 3 new types of coronaviruses, have been identified over the past few years [3, 16, 18, 31, 32]. Our results suggest that 5% of suspected respiratory virus infections are still unaccounted for, but that estimate is, of course, highly uncertain. On one hand, 5% of patients may not experience virus infections or may be infected by a known virus not detected because of assay limitations. On the other hand, the large number of mixed infections that we observed indicates that additional respiratory agents may also be found in other patients in addition to the 5% who still had negative results.

In conclusion, we found evidence of virus infection in nearly all children with acute wheezing. HBoV was detected in one-fifth of patients, and it was the fourth most prevalent virus detected. Results suggest that HBoV at a high viral load could be an etiologic agent of respiratory tract disease, whereas little support was found for the etiologic role of HBoV at a low viral load. Quantitative analysis may, therefore, be important for future studies of HBoV infection. Like other parvoviruses, HBoV can cause a systemic infection and may persist after resolution of symptoms.