

# CZ3005 Artificial Intelligence

# Inference of Propositional Logic

Asst/P Mahardhika Pratama

Email: mpratama@ntu.edu.sg

Office: N4-02a-08





# **Example**

Express the following English statements in the language of propositional logic

- It rains in July
- 2. The book is not costly
- 3. If it rains today and one does not carry umbrella, he will be drenched



- 1. A
- 2. ¬A
- 3. A∧ ¬B⇒C

# **Example**



If P is true and Q is true, are the following true or false?

- P=>Q
- $(\neg P \lor Q) => Q$
- 3. (¬P∨Q) =>P
- 4. P ∨¬P=>T



- 1. True
- 2. True
- 3. True
- 4. True



# Logical Equivalent

# Two sentences are logically equivalent (≡) if they are true in the same set of model

```
(\alpha \wedge \beta) \equiv (\beta \wedge \alpha) commutativity of \wedge
           (\alpha \vee \beta) \equiv (\beta \vee \alpha) commutativity of \vee
((\alpha \wedge \beta) \wedge \gamma) \equiv (\alpha \wedge (\beta \wedge \gamma)) associativity of \wedge
((\alpha \vee \beta) \vee \gamma) \equiv (\alpha \vee (\beta \vee \gamma)) associativity of \vee
            \neg(\neg\alpha) \equiv \alpha double-negation elimination
       (\alpha \Rightarrow \beta) \equiv (\neg \beta \Rightarrow \neg \alpha) contraposition
       (\alpha \Rightarrow \beta) \equiv (\neg \alpha \lor \beta) implication elimination
      (\alpha \Leftrightarrow \beta) \equiv ((\alpha \Rightarrow \beta) \land (\beta \Rightarrow \alpha)) biconditional elimination
        \neg(\alpha \land \beta) \equiv (\neg \alpha \lor \neg \beta) de Morgan
        \neg(\alpha \lor \beta) \equiv (\neg \alpha \land \neg \beta) de Morgan
(\alpha \wedge (\beta \vee \gamma)) \equiv ((\alpha \wedge \beta) \vee (\alpha \wedge \gamma)) distributivity of \wedge over \vee
(\alpha \vee (\beta \wedge \gamma)) \equiv ((\alpha \vee \beta) \wedge (\alpha \vee \gamma)) distributivity of \vee over \wedge
```

# Example



$$\neg(A \land B) \equiv \neg A \lor \neg B$$

Α	В	A∧B	-(A ∧B)	¬AV¬B	LHS = RHS
1	1	1			
1	1	1			
1	0	0			
1	0	0			
0	1	0			
0	1	0			
0	0	0			
0	0	0			



¬(A∧B)	¬ <b>A</b> ∨¬B	LHS=RHS
0	0	Yes
0	0	Yes
1	1	Yes



# **Example**

$$P \Leftrightarrow Q \equiv (P \land Q) \lor (\neg P \land \neg Q)$$

$$= (P \Rightarrow Q) \land (Q \Rightarrow P)$$

$$= (\neg P \lor Q) \land (\neg Q \lor P)$$

$$= (\neg P \land \neg Q) \lor (\neg P \land P) \lor (Q \land \neg Q) \lor (P \land Q)$$

$$= (P \land Q) \lor (\neg P \land \neg Q)$$

### **Exam Question**



$$\neg (A \Rightarrow B) \Leftrightarrow (A \land \neg B)$$

- Using logical law, prove the equivalence of the two propositions
- Using truth table, prove the equivalence of the two propositions



$$\neg (A \Rightarrow B) \Leftrightarrow (A \land \neg B)$$
$$= \neg (\neg A \lor B) = A \land \neg B$$



$\neg(A\Rightarrow B)$	$(A \wedge \neg B)$	LHS=RHS
0	0	Yes
0	0	Yes
1	1	Yes
0	0	Yes



## **Entailment**

The relation of logical **entailment** between sentences – a sentence follows logically from another sentence.

$$\alpha \models \beta$$

- $\square \alpha$  entails the sentence  $\beta$ .
- $\Box$ If  $\alpha$  is true, then  $\beta$  must be true.

#### Inference



$$KB \models \alpha$$

KB is a knowledge base consisting of a set of sentences expressed in a knowledge representation language

### Rule of Inference



- ☐ Classic rules of inference
  - Implication-Elimination, or Modus Ponens

$$\begin{array}{c} \bullet & \underline{\alpha \Rightarrow \beta, \ \alpha} \\ \hline \beta \\ \text{e.g. Cloudy } \Lambda \text{ Humid} \Rightarrow \text{Rain} \\ \text{Cloudy } \Lambda \text{ Humid} \end{array} \mid = \text{Rain} \\ \end{array}$$

#### ☐ Classic rules of inference

And-Elimination

$$\frac{\alpha_1 \wedge \alpha_2 \wedge \dots \wedge \alpha_n}{\alpha_i}$$

e.g. Cloudy  $\Lambda$  Humid Cloudy  $\Rightarrow$  NoSun

And-Introduction

$$\begin{array}{c} \bullet & \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n \\ \hline \alpha_1 \wedge \alpha_2 \wedge \dots \wedge \alpha_n \end{array}$$

e.g. Cloudy, Humid  $\Rightarrow$  Rain

Or-Introduction

$$\begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ \hline \alpha_1 \vee \alpha_2 \vee \ldots \vee \alpha_n \end{array}$$

Double-Negation-Elimination

$$\frac{\neg \neg \alpha}{\alpha}$$





- □When it is raining, the ground is wet. (1)
- □When the ground is wet, it is slippery.(2)
- □It is raining. (3)

Prove that "it is slippery".





#### Rule Base:

1) 
$$A \Rightarrow B$$

2) 
$$B \Rightarrow C$$

3) A

Using modus ponens, we can prove C

$$(1)&(3) \vdash B (4)$$

$$(4)&(2) \vdash C$$



## **Exam Question**

- (i)If Andy works hard and Andy is smart, Andy passes the subject
- (ii) Andy works hard
- (iii) Andy is smart

Using modus ponens, prove that Andy passes the subject



#### Rule Base

(1) 
$$A \wedge B \Rightarrow C$$

Using modus ponens, we can prove C

(2) & (3) 
$$\vdash A \land B$$
 (4)

$$(4) & (1) \vdash C$$

# Resolution

- $\ell_1 \vee \cdots \vee \ell_k, \qquad m$
- $\ell_i$  and m are complementary literals (i.e., one is the negation of the other).
  - Takes a clause (a disjunction of literals) and a literal and produce a new clause.

## □ Full Resolution

- Input:  $P_1 \vee P_2 \vee ... \vee P_n$ ,  $\neg P_1 \vee Q_2 \vee ... \vee Q_m$
- Output:  $P_2 \vee ... \vee P_n \vee Q_2 \vee ... \vee Q_m$



## **Conversion to Clausal Form**

```
(\alpha \wedge \beta) \equiv (\beta \wedge \alpha) commutativity of \wedge
          (\alpha \vee \beta) \equiv (\beta \vee \alpha) commutativity of \vee
((\alpha \wedge \beta) \wedge \gamma) \equiv (\alpha \wedge (\beta \wedge \gamma)) associativity of \wedge
((\alpha \vee \beta) \vee \gamma) \equiv (\alpha \vee (\beta \vee \gamma)) associativity of \vee
            \neg(\neg \alpha) \equiv \alpha double-negation elimination
       (\alpha \Rightarrow \beta) \equiv (\neg \beta \Rightarrow \neg \alpha) contraposition
   (\alpha \Rightarrow \beta) \equiv (\neg \alpha \lor \beta) implication elimination
      (\alpha \Leftrightarrow \beta) \equiv ((\alpha \Rightarrow \beta) \land (\beta \Rightarrow \alpha)) biconditional elimination
       \neg(\alpha \land \beta) \equiv (\neg \alpha \lor \neg \beta) de Morgan
       \neg(\alpha \lor \beta) \equiv (\neg \alpha \land \neg \beta) de Morgan
(\alpha \wedge (\beta \vee \gamma)) \equiv ((\alpha \wedge \beta) \vee (\alpha \wedge \gamma)) distributivity of \wedge over \vee
(\alpha \vee (\beta \wedge \gamma)) \equiv ((\alpha \vee \beta) \wedge (\alpha \vee \gamma)) distributivity of \vee over \wedge
```

#### **Conversion to Clausal Form**

$$\neg (A \rightarrow B) \lor (C \rightarrow A)$$

1. eliminate implication sign

$$\neg(\neg A \lor B) \lor (\neg C \lor A)$$

eliminate double negation and reduce scope of not signs (De Morgan Law)

$$(A \land \neg B) \lor (\neg C \lor A)$$

3. convert to conjunctive normal form by using distributive and associative

law
$$(A \land \neg B) \lor (\neg C \lor A) ==>$$

$$(A \lor \neg C \lor A) \land (\neg B \lor \neg C \lor A) ==>$$

$$(A \lor \neg C) \land (\neg B \lor \neg C \lor A)$$

#### 4. Get Set of Clauses

$$(A \lor \neg C)$$
$$(\neg B \lor \neg C \lor A)$$



# **Example**

- ☐ If it rains, Joe brings his umbrella.
- ☐ If Joe brings an umbrella, he does not get wet.
- ☐ If it does not rain, Joe does not get wet

Prove that Joe does not get wet.

$$(1)\neg A\lor B$$

Using Resolution by Refutation

$$(3) & (4) + A (5)$$

(2) & (4) 
$$\vdash \neg B$$
 (6)

$$(1)$$
&  $(6)$   $\vdash \neg A (7)$ 

$$(5) & (7) \not | \emptyset$$



## **Exam Question**

- (i)If Andy works hard and Andy is smart, Andy passes the subject
- (ii)Andy works hard
- (iii)Andy is smart

Using resolution by refutation, prove that Andy passes the subject



$$(1) \neg A \lor \neg B \lor C$$

$$(4) \neg C$$

Using resolution by refutation, we can prove C

$$(1)&(2)&(3)&(4) \vdash \emptyset$$