RATIONALMAPS, A PACKAGE FOR MACAULAY2

C.J. BOTT, S. HAMID HASSANZADEH, KARL SCHWEDE, AND DANIEL SMOLKIN

ABSTRACT. This paper describes the Rational Maps package for Macaulay 2. This package provides functionality for computing several aspects of rational maps.

1. Introduction

This package aims to compute a number of things about rational maps between varieties. In particular, this package will compute

- The base locus of a rational map.
- Whether a rational map is birational.
- The inverse of a birational map.
- Whether a map is a closed embedding.
- And more!

Our functions have numerous options which allow them to run much more quickly in certain examples if configured correctly. The Verbose option gives hints as to the best way to apply these.

Suppose $\mathfrak{F}: X \to Y$ is given by homogeneous forms \mathbf{f} of the same degree. The idea of looking at the syzygies of the forms \mathbf{f} to detect the geometric properties of \mathfrak{F} goes back at least to the work of Hulek-Katz-Schreyer [HKS92] in the case where m=n and $X=\mathbb{P}^n$. In [RS01] this method has been developed by Russo and Simis to a greater extent when $X=\mathbb{P}^n$ and $m\geq n$. Simis pushed the method further to the study of general rational maps between two integral projective schemes in arbitrary characteristic by an extended ideal-theoretic method emphasizing the role of the Rees algebra associated to the ideal generated by \mathbf{f} [Sim04]. Recently, Doria, Hassanzadeh, and Simis applied these Rees algebra techniques to study the birationality of \mathfrak{F} [DHS12]. Our core functions, in particular the functions related to computing inverse maps, rely heavily on this work.

Acknowledgements: Much of the work on this package was completed during the Macaulay2 workshop held at the University of Utah in May 2016. We especially thank David Eisenbud, Aron Simis, Greg Smith, Giovanni Staglianò and Mike Stillman for valuable conversations.

2. Base Loci

We begin with the problem of computing the base locus of a map to projective space. Let X be a projective variety over any field k and let $\mathfrak{F}: X \to \mathbb{P}^m_k$ be a rational map from X to projective space. Then we can choose some representative (f_0, \dots, f_m) of \mathfrak{F} , where each f_i is the i^{th} coordinate of \mathfrak{F} . A priori, each f_i is in K = frac R, where R is the coordinate ring of X. However, we can get another representative of \mathfrak{F} by clearing denominators. (Note this does not enlarge the base locus of

The second named author was supported by CNPq-bolsa de Produtividade.

The third named author was supported in part by the NSF FRG Grant DMS #1265261/1501115 and NSF CAREER Grant DMS #1252860/1501102.

The fourth named author was supported in part by the NSF FRG Grant DMS #1265261/1501115 and NSF CAREER Grant DMS #1252860/1501102.

 \mathfrak{F} since \mathfrak{F} is undefined whenever the denominator of any of the f_i vanishes.) Thus we can assume that $f_i \in R$ for all i, and that all the f_i are homogenous of the same degree.

In this setting, one might naively think that the map \mathfrak{F} is undefined exactly when all of the f_i vanish, and thus the base locus is the vanishing set of the ideal (f_0, \dots, f_m) . However, this can yield a base locus that's too big. Indeed, to find the base locus of a rational map, we must consider all possible representatives of the map and find where none of them are defined. To do this, we use the following result.

Proposition 2.1. [Sim04, Proposition 1.1] Let $\mathfrak{F}: X \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^m$ be a rational map and let $f = \{f_0, \ldots, f_m\}$ be a representative of \mathfrak{F} with $f_i \in R$ homogenous of degree d for all i. Set $I = (f_0, \cdots, f_m)$. Then the set of such representatives of \mathfrak{F} corresponds bijectively to the homogenous vectors in the rank 1 graded R-module $\operatorname{Hom}_R(I, R) \cong (R :_K I)$.

The bijection comes from multiplying our fixed representative \mathbf{f} of \mathfrak{F} by $h \in (R :_K I)$. Now, in the setting of Proposition 2.1, let

$$\bigoplus_{s} R(d_s) \xrightarrow{\varphi} R(-d)^m \xrightarrow{[f_0, \dots, f_m]} I \to 0$$

be a free resolution of I. Then we get

$$0 \to \operatorname{Hom}_R(I,R) \to \left(R(-d)^{m+1}\right)^{\vee} \xrightarrow{\varphi^t} \left(\bigoplus_s R(d_s)\right)^{\vee}$$

where φ^t is the transpose of φ and R^{\vee} is the dual module of R. Thus, we get that $\operatorname{Hom}_R(I,R) \cong \ker \varphi^t$, and so each representative of \mathfrak{F} corresponds to a vector in $\ker \varphi^t$. The correspondence takes a representative (hf_0, \dots, hf_m) to the map that multiplies vectors in R^{m+1} by $[hf_0, \dots, hf_m]$ on the left.

The base locus of \mathfrak{F} is the intersection of the sets $V(f_0^i, \dots, f_m^i)$ as $\mathbf{f}^i = (f_0^i, \dots, f_m^i)$ ranges over all the representatives of \mathfrak{F} . The above implies that this is the same as the intersection of the sets $V(w_0^i, \dots, w_m^i)$ as $\mathbf{w}^i = (w_0^i, \dots, w_m^i)$ ranges over the vectors in ker φ^t . Now, given any $a, f, g \in R$, we have $V(af) \supseteq V(f)$ and $V(f+g) \supseteq V(f) \cap V(g)$. Thus, it's enough to take a generating set $\mathbf{w}^1, \dots, \mathbf{w}^n$ of ker φ^t and take the intersection over this generating set.

 $\mathbf{w}^1, \cdots, \mathbf{w}^n$ of $\ker \varphi^t$ and take the intersection over this generating set.

The base locus of \mathfrak{F} is then the variety cut out by the ideal generated by all the entries of all of the \mathbf{w}^i . Our function baseLocusOfMap returns this ideal.

```
i1 : loadPackage "RationalMaps";
i2 : R = QQ[x,y,z];
i3 : f = {x^2*y, x^2*z, x*y*z};
i4 : baseLocusOfMap(f);
o4 = ideal (y*z, x*z, x*y)
o4 : Ideal of R
```

If the SaturateOutput option is set true, our function will return the saturation of this ideal.

3. Birationality and Inverse Maps

Again, a rational map $\mathfrak{F}: X\subseteq \mathbb{P}^n \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^m$ between projective spaces is defined by m+1 forms $\mathbf{f}=\{f_0,\ldots f_m\}$ of the same degree in n+1 variables, not all vanishing. Let $\mathbf{f}=\{f_0,\ldots,f_m\}\subset R$ be an ordered set of forms of the same degree, where R, the coordinate ring of X, denotes a standard graded k-algebra, with k a field and $\dim R \geq 1$. Thus, one has a presentation $R \simeq k[x_0,\ldots,x_n]=k[\mathbf{X}]/\mathfrak{a}$ with $k[\mathbf{X}]=k[X_0,\ldots,X_n]$ standard graded and \mathfrak{a} a homogeneous ideal. Let $R[\mathbf{Y}]=R[Y_0,\ldots,Y_m]$ be a polynomial ring over R with the standard bigrading where $\deg(X_i)=(1,0)$ and $\deg(Y_j)=(0,1)$. Since $I=(f_0,\ldots,f_m)$ is generated in a fixed degree, the Rees algebra

$$\mathcal{R}_R((\mathbf{f})) := R \oplus I \oplus I^2 \oplus \cdots \simeq R[It] \subset R[t]$$

is a standard bigraded k-algebra. Mapping $Y_j \mapsto f_j t$ yields a presentation $R[\mathbf{Y}]/\mathfrak{J} \simeq \mathfrak{R}_R((\mathbf{f}))$, with \mathfrak{J} a bihomogeneous presentation ideal. \mathfrak{J} depends only on the rational map defined by \mathbf{f} and not on this particular representative. Write

$$\mathcal{J} = \bigoplus_{(p,q) \in \mathbb{N}^2} \mathcal{J}_{(p,q)},$$

where $\mathcal{J}_{(p,q)}$ denotes the k-vector space of forms of bidegree (p,q). For birationality, the following bihomogeneous piece is important:

$$\mathcal{J}_{1,*} := \bigoplus_{r \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{J}_{1,q}$$

with $\mathcal{J}_{1,q}$ denoting the bigraded piece of \mathcal{J} spanned by the forms of bidegree (1,q) for all $q \geq 0$. Now, a form of bidegree (1,*) can be written as $\sum_{i=0}^n Q_i(\mathbf{Y}) x_i$, for suitable homogeneous $Q_i(\mathbf{Y}) \in k[\mathbf{Y}] \subset R[\mathbf{Y}]$ of the same degree. Since the \mathbf{Y} are indeterminates over R, each pair of such representations of the same form gives a syzygy of $\{x_0,\ldots,x_n\}$ with coefficients in k. Thus every such representation is unique up to k-linear relations of $\{x_0,\ldots,x_n\}$, i.e., up to elements of \mathfrak{a}_1 , the degree-1 part of \mathfrak{a} .

Next, one can pick a minimal set of generators of the ideal $(\mathcal{J}_{1,*})$ consisting of a finite number of forms of bidegree (1,q), for various q's. Let $\{P_1,\ldots,P_s\}\subset k[\mathbf{X},\mathbf{Y}]$ denote liftings of these biforms and let $\{\ell_1,\ldots,\ell_r\}\subset k[\mathbf{X}]$ be a k-vector space basis of \mathfrak{a}_1 . Consider the Jacobian matrix of the polynomials $\{\ell_1,\ldots,\ell_r,P_1,\ldots,P_s\}$ with respect to \mathbf{X} . This is a matrix with entries in $k[\mathbf{Y}]$. Write ψ for the corresponding matrix over $S=k[\mathbf{Y}]/\mathfrak{b}$ What's b?. This matrix is called called the **weak Jacobian dual matrix** associated to the given set of generators of $(\mathcal{J}_{1,*})$. Note that a weak Jacobian matrix ψ is not uniquely defined due to the lack of uniqueness in the expression of an individual form and to the choice of bihomogeneous generators. However, it is shown in [DHS12, Lemma 2.13] that if the weak Jacobian matrix associated to one set of bihomogeneous minimal generators of $(\mathcal{J}_{1,*})$ has finite? rank over S then the weak Jacobian matrix associated to any other set of bihomogeneous minimal generators of $(\mathcal{J}_{1,*})$ has finite? rank over S and the two ranks coincide.

The main theorem of loc.cit. is the following:

Theorem 3.1. Let $X \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n$ be non-degenerate. Then \mathfrak{F} is birational if and only if $\operatorname{rank}(\psi) = \operatorname{edim}(R) - 1 (= n)$. Moreover

- (i) A rational (n+1)-datum what's a rational datum? in S representing the inverse map is given by the coordinates of any homogeneous vector of positive degree in the (rank one) null space of ψ over S for which these coordinates generate an ideal containing a regular element.
- (ii) If, further, R is a domain, a rational (n+1)-datum as in (i) can be taken to be the set of the (ordered, signed) (edim(R) 1)-minors of an arbitrary (edim(R) 1) \times edim(R) submatrix of ψ of rank edim(R) 1.

As expected, the most expensive part of applying this theorem is computing the Rees ideal \mathcal{J} . In the package RationalMaps we use ReesStrategy to compute the Rees equations. The algorithm is the standard elimination technique. However we do not use the ReesAlgebra package, since verifying birationality according to Theorem 3.1 only requires to computing a small part of the Rees ideal, namely elements of first-degree 1. This idea is applied in the SimisStrategy. More precisely, if the given map \mathfrak{F} is birational, then the jacobian dual rank will attain its maximum value of edim(R)-1 after computing the Rees equations up to degree (1,N) for N sufficiently large. This allows us to compute the inverse map. The down-side of SimisStrategy is that if \mathfrak{F} is not birational, the desired number N cannot be found and the process never terminates. To provide

a definitive answer for birationality, we use HybridStrategy, which is a hybrid of ReesStrategy and SimisStrategy. The default strategy is HybridStrategy.

HybridLimit is an option to switch SimisStrategy to ReesStrategy, if the computations up to degree (1, HybridLimit) do not lead to $\operatorname{rank}(\psi) = \operatorname{edim}(R) - 1$. The default value for HybridLimit is 15. The change from SimisStrategy to ReesStrategy is done in such a way that the generators of the Rees ideal computed in the SimisStrategy phase are not lost; the program computes other generators of the Rees ideal while keeping the generators it found before attaining HybridLimit.

There is yet another method for computing the Rees ideal called SaturationStrategy. In this option the whole Rees ideal is computed by saturating the defining ideal of the symmetric algebra with respect to a non-zero element in R (we assume R to be a domain). This Strategy appears to be slower in some examples, though one may improve this option by stopping the computation of the saturation at a certain step.

Computing inverse maps is the most important functionality of this package, and is done by the function inverseOfMap. According to Theorem 3.1, there are two ways to compute the inverse of a map: (1) by finding any syzygy of the jacobian dual matrix, and (2) by finding a sub-matrix of ψ of rank edim(R)-1. Each way has its own benefits. Method (1) is quite fast in many cases, however method (2) is very useful if the rank of the jacobian dual matrix ψ is relatively small compared to the degrees of the entries of ψ . Our function inverseOfMap starts by using the second method and later switches to the first method if the second method didn't work. The timing of this transition from the first method to the second method is controlled by the option MinorsCount. Setting MinorsCount to zero will mean that no minors are checked and the inverse map is computed just by looking at the syzygies of ψ . If MinorsCount is left as null (the default value), the program will try to make an educated guess as to how big to set this option, depending on varieties the user is working with.

In addition, to improve the speed of the function inverseOfMap, we have two other options, AssumeDominant and CheckBirational. If AssumeDominant is set to be true, then inverseOfMap assumes that the map from X to Y is dominant and does not compute the image of the map; this is time consuming in certain cases. Similarly, if CheckBirational set false, inverseOfMap will not check birationality although it still computes the jacobian dual matrix.

In general, as long as Verbose is true, the function will make suggestions as to how to run it more quickly. For example.

```
i1 : loadPackage "RationalMaps";
i2 : Q=QQ[x,y,z,t,u];
i3 : phi=map(Q,Q,matrix{{x^5,y*x^4,z*x^4+y^5,t*x^4+z^5,u*x^4+t^5}});
o3 : RingMap Q <--- Q
i4: time inverseOfMap(phi, AssumeDominant=>true,CheckBirational=>false, MinorsCount=>50000)
Starting inverseOfMapSimis(SimisStrategy or HybridStrategy)
inverseOfMapSimis: About to compute partial Groebner basis of rees ideal up to degree {1, 1}.
inverseOfMapSimis: About to compute partial Groebner basis of rees ideal up to degree {1, 2}.
inverseOfMapSimis: About to compute partial Groebner basis of rees ideal up to degree {1, 4}.
inverseOfMapSimis: About to compute partial Groebner basis of rees ideal up to degree {1, 7}.
inverseOfMapSimis: About to compute partial Groebner basis of rees ideal up to degree {1, 11}.
inverseOfMapSimis: About to compute partial Groebner basis of rees ideal up to degree {1, 16}.
inverseOfMapSimis: We give up. Using all of the previous computations,
                                 we compute the whole Groebner basis of the rees ideal.
                                 Increase HybridLimit and rerun to avoid this.
inverseOfMapSimis: Found Jacobian dual matrix (or a weak form of it), it has 5 columns
                                 and about 20 rows.
inverseOfMapSimis: Looking for a nonzero minor
Starting nonZeroMinor, looking for rank: 4, we will run it 50000 times.
                                        If this is slow, rerun with MinorsCount=>0.
nonZeroMinor: Found a nonzero minor
inverseOfMapSimis: We found a nonzero minor.
    -- used 3.51586 seconds
```

4. Embeddings

Our package also checks whether a rational map $\mathfrak{F}: X \to Y$ is a closed embedding. The strategy is quite simple.

- (a) We first check whether \mathfrak{F} is regular (by checking if its base locus is empty).
- (b) We next invert the map (if possible).
- (c) Finally, we check if the inverse map is also regular.

If all three conditions are met, then the map is a closed embedding and the function returns true. Otherwise is Embedding returns false.

```
i1 : needspackage "divisor"; --used to quickly define a map
i2 : c = QQ[x,y,z]/(x^4+x^2*y*z+y^4+z^3*x);
i3 : q = ideal(y,x+z); --a point on our curve
i6 : loadpackage "rationalmaps";
i8 : f2 = maptoprojectivespace(12*divisor(q));
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9
i9 : time isEmbedding(f2)
isEmbedding: About to find the image of the map.
If you know the image, you may want to specify that and set AssumeDominant=>true option if
      this is slow.
isEmbedding: Checking to see if the map is a regular map
isEmbedding: computing the inverse map
Starting inverseOfMapSimis(SimisStrategy or HybridStrategy)
inverseOfMapSimis: About to compute partial Groebner basis of rees ideal up to degree {1, 1}.
inverseOfMapSimis: We computed enough of the Groebner basis.
inverseOfMapSimis: Found Jacobian dual matrix (or a weak form of it), it has 3 columns and
       about 17 rows.
inverseOfMapSimis: Failed to find a nonzero minor. We now compute syzygies instead.
      If this doesn't terminate quickly, you may want to try increasing the option MinorsCount.
isEmbedding: checking if the inverse map is a regular map
    -- used 3.01948 seconds
o9 = true
```

5. Functionality overlap with other packages

We note that our package has some overlaps in functionality with other packages.

While the Parametrization package focuses mostly on curves, it also includes a function called invertBirationalMap which has the same functionality as inverseOfMap. On the other hand, these two functions were implemented somewhat differently and so sometimes one function can be substantially faster than the other.

The package Cremona focuses on very fast probabilistic computation in general cases and very fast deterministic computation for special kinds of maps from projective space. In particular, in Cremona,

- o isBirational gives a probabilisite answer to the question of whether a map between varieties is birational. Furthermore, if the source is projective space, then degreeOfRationalMap with MathMode=>true can give a deterministic answer that is frequently faster than what our package can provide with isBirationalMap.
- o invertBirMap gives a very fast computation of the inverse of a birational map if the source is projective space and the map has maximal linear rank. If you pass this function a map not from projective space, then it calls a modified, faster version called invertBirationalMap from Parametrization. Even in some cases with maximal linear rank, our inverseOfMap function appears to be quite competitive however.

5.1. **Speed comparisons.** First we do comparisons using examples with maximal linear rank where Cremona excels. These examples were run using version 2.0 of Cremona and version 0.21 of RationalMaps.

Indeed, in some of those examples with maximal linear rank, Cremona is substantially faster.

```
i1 : loadPackage "Cremona"; loadPackage "RationalMaps";
i3 : ringP20=QQ[t_0..t_20];
i4 : phi=map(ringP20,ringP20,{t_10*t_15-t_9*t_16+t_6*t_20,t_10*t_14-t_8*t_16+t_5*t_20,t_9*t_14-t_8*t_15+t_4*t_20,
\texttt{t\_6*t\_14-t\_5*t\_15+t\_4*t\_16,t\_11*t\_13-t\_16*t\_17+t\_15*t\_18-t\_14*t\_19+t\_12*t\_20,t\_3*t\_13-t\_10*t\_17+t\_9*t\_18-t\_8*t\_19}
+t_7*t_20, t_10*t_12-t_2*t_13-t_7*t_16-t_6*t_18+t_5*t_19, t_9*t_12-t_1*t_13-t_7*t_15-t_6*t_17+t_4*t_19, t_8*t_12
+ t_0 * t_2 0, t_7 * t_1 1 - t_3 * t_1 1 - t_2 * t_1 1 - t_1 * t_1 1 + t_2 * t_1 1 - t
\texttt{t\_4*t\_11-t\_1*t\_14+t\_0*t\_15}, \texttt{t\_6*t\_8-t\_5*t\_9+t\_4*t\_10}, \texttt{t\_3*t\_6-t\_2*t\_9+t\_1*t\_10}, \texttt{t\_3*t\_5-t\_2*t\_8+t\_0*t\_10}, \texttt{t\_3*t\_4*t\_10}, \texttt{t\_4*t\_11-t\_1*t\_10}, \texttt{t\_3*t\_5-t\_2*t\_8+t\_0*t\_10}, \texttt{t\_4*t\_10}, \texttt{t\_4*t\_11-t\_1*t\_10}, \texttt{t\_5*t\_9+t\_1*t\_10}, \texttt{t\_6*t\_10}, \texttt{t_6*t\_10}, \texttt{t_6*t\_
-t_1*t_8+t_0*t_9,t_2*t_4-t_1*t_5+t_0*t_6});
i5: time inverseOfMap(phi, AssumeDominant=>true, Verbose=>false)-- Function from "RationalMaps"
                          - used 1.12522 seconds
i6 : time invertBirMap phi -- Function from "Cremona"
                       -- used 0.0789913 seconds
i7 : isSameMap(o5, o6) -- Function from "RationalMaps"
           However, sometimes our function is substantially faster.
i1 : ZZ/33331[t_0..t_6];
i2 : loadPackage "Cremona"; loadPackage "RationalMaps";
i4 : phi=toMap minors(3,matrix{{t_0..t_4},{t_1..t_5},{t_2..t_6}});
i5 : J=kernelComponent(phi,2);
i6 : phi=toMap(phi,Dominant=>J);
i7 : time inverseOfMap(phi, AssumeDominant=>true, Verbose=>false) -- Function from "RationalMaps"
                      -- used 0.126314 seconds
i8 : time invertBirMap phi; -- Function from "Cremona"
                    -- used 0.375856 seconds
i9 : isSameMap(o7, o8)
o9 = true
```

Note both these examples were taken directly from the documentation of Cremona. On the other hand, when the source and target are not projective space, or the map does not have maximal linear rank, in our experience, our functions appear to be faster. Here is an example where the source and target are not projective space.

We also include an example where the map does not have maximal linear rank.

References

- [DHS12] A. V. DORIA, S. H. HASSANZADEH, AND A. SIMIS: A characteristic-free criterion of birationality, Adv. Math. 230 (2012), no. 1, 390–413. 2900548
- [HKS92] K. HULEK, S. KATZ, AND F.-O. SCHREYER: Cremona transformations and syzygies, Math. Z. 209 (1992), no. 3, 419–443. 1152265
- [RS01] F. Russo and A. Simis: On birational maps and Jacobian matrices, Compositio Math. 126 (2001), no. 3, 335–358. 1834742
- [Sim04] A. Simis: Cremona transformations and some related algebras, J. Algebra **280** (2004), no. 1, 162–179. 2081926

Department of Mathematics, Department of Mathematics, 275 TMCB Brigham Young University, Provo, UT 84602

 $E\text{-}mail\ address{:}\ \texttt{cjamesbott@gmail.com}$

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FEDERAL UNIVERSITY OF RIO DE JANEIRO, BRAZIL

 $E ext{-}mail\ address: hamid@im.ufrj.br}$

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF UTAH, SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84112

 $E ext{-}mail\ address: schwede@math.utah.edu}$

Department of Mathematics, University of Utah, Salt Lake City, UT 84112

E-mail address: smolkin@math.utah.edu