BanglaGPT: A Generative Pretrained Transformer-based Model for Bangla Language

Md. Shahidul Salim

Dept. of Computer Science and
Engineering
Khulna University of Engineering &
Technology
Khulna-9203, Bangladesh
ss@cse.kuet.ac.bd

Hasan Murad

Dept. of Computer Science and
Engineering
Chattogram University of Engineering &
Technology
Chattogram-4349, Bangladesh
hasanmurad@cuet.ac.bd

Dola Das

Dept. of Computer Science and
Engineering
Khulna University of Engineering &
Technology
Khulna-9203, Bangladesh
dola.das@cse.kuet.ac.bd

Faisal Ahmed

Dept. of Computer Science and
Engineering
Khulna University of Engineering &
Technology
Khulna-9203, Bangladesh
faisal.shimanto.cse44@gmail.com

Abstract-Natural Language Processing (NLP) has entered a new era with the advent of pre-trained language models, paving the way for constructing robust language models. Pretrained transformer-based models such as GPT-2 have become prevalent due to their cutting-edge efficiency. However, these approaches rely heavily on resource-intensive languages, forcing other languages to adopt multilingual frameworks (mGPT). The mGPT model could perform better for low-resource languages such as Bangla because the model has been trained on a diverse dataset spanning multiple languages. Recent studies show that the language-specific GPT model outperforms the multilingual mGPT model. In this research, we have proposed a pretrained monolingual GPT model called BanglaGPT using the objective of causal language modeling (CLM). Due to the lack of available large datasets for NLP tasks in Bangla, we have created a Bangla language model dataset called BanglaCLM using a 26.24 GB Bangla corpus scraped from several public websites. We have used a subword-based tokenization algorithm named Byte-Pair Encoding (BPE) for Bangla and finally trained the Bangla-GPT2 model from scratch using the BanglaCLM dataset. Our pretrained BanglaGPT provides state-of-the-art performance for Bangla text generation with a perplexity score of 2.86 and a loss score of 0.45 on the test set.

Keywords— Bangla NLP, BanglaGPT, Bangla Text Generation Model

I. INTRODUCTION

Bangla is the national language of Bangladesh and a regional language of the eastern part of India. Based on the number of speakers, Bengali is positioned as the seventh most widely spoken language globally [1]. Moreover, a vast amount of Bangla content is generated from online news portals, emails, and social networking sites [2]. Therefore, it is required to develop the tools and techniques to process Bangla content automatically.

Automatic text generation has been one of the exciting tasks in the field of NLP due to numerous real-life applications such as auto-complete text features in word processors or mail composers. Despite the fact that the literature on the generation of texts and its use in resource-rich languages like English has an extensive number of research publications, [3], minimal effort has been devoted to developing text generation tools for low-resource languages like Bangla.

With the recent advent of pretrained models [4]–[11], NLP is going through revolutionary changes for the construction of robust language models. With the pretrained models, task-specific finetuning with small datasets results from the state-of-the-art models.

Historically, text generation has often utilized sequence-to-sequence models like Long Short-Term Memory (LSTM) or Recurrent Neural Networks (RNNs), as referenced in previous works [12]–[14]. However, the most cutting-edge advancement in text generation has been the adoption of transformer-based pretrained models, as demonstrated in recent research [5], [6], [9].

However, training a transformer-based model with billions of parameters is costly, considering time and computational resources. It also needs a lot of training data, which is unavailable for languages with limited resources. Therefore, a low-resource language like Bangla adopts multilingual frameworks like mGPT [15]. Multilingual models are trained on a diverse dataset spanning multiple existing languages worldwide and perform poorly on low-resource languages such as Bangla [16].

The successor of the original GPT model is the language-specific transformer-based GPT-2 model [5], is a text generation model renowned for its ingenious efficacy. Unfortunately, no pretrained GPT-2 model for the Bangla language has been found in the literature.

The primary aim of this research is to construct a pre-trained GPT-2 model designed specifically for the Bengali language, referred to as BanglaGPT. Moreover, we deploy the BanglaGPT model for the downstream task, such as text generation.

To train the model, we have created a large Bangla corpus. We clean the dataset by filtering out the HTML tags and non-Bangla contents and apply Unicode normalization and rule-based replacements to normalize the data. We deploy a subword-based tokenization algorithm named byte-pair encoding (BPE) [17].

Finally, we train the BanglaGPT model with the preprocessed BanglaCLM dataset. The model predicts the next word from the given input text. We get a perplexity score of 2.86 and a loss of 0.45 on test dataset. Finally, we deploy the model for text generation to fill up given incomplete text.

The primary contributions of this study are

- We create a novel pretraining Bangla casual language model dataset named BanglaCLM with 26.24 GB of Bangla content.
- We train the generative pretrained transformer (GPT) based model for the Bangla language named BanglaGPT.
- Finally, we deploy the BanglaGPT model for text generation.

The rest of the paper is organized into the subsequent sections. Section II analyses previous studies relevant to the text generation model. In Section III, The methodology of our study is discussed. In Section IV, we showcase the findings and necessary aspects of our research project. In section V, we finally conclude the paper and provide future directions. All the resources have been shared in the following repository - https://huggingface.co/shahidul034/text_generation_bangla_model.

II. RELATED WORKS

In this section, we discuss the related previous works on the existing pretrained model for text generation tasks.

Exciting real-world applications can be found for automatic text generation in the NLP research field. Some practical applications of text generation are auto-complete feature support of modern word processors or providing text suggestions on mail composers. There are two different approaches for text generation: the RNN-based sequence-to-sequence models [12]–[14] and the transformer-based pretrained models [5], [6], [9].

A. RNN-based Sequence-to-sequence Model

In the literature, sequence-to-sequence models employing RNN or variants of RNN, such as LSTM and GRU, have been used for text generation for a long time [12]–[14]. Those models show better performance compared to Deep Neural Networks (DNNs) as the RNN-based model considers the sequential information of data which is essential for text generation. However, the RNN-based model fails to propagate sequential information for a long sequence of text which is essential for text generation [18].

B. Transformer-based Pretrained Model

With the recent introduction of pre-trained models in NLP, various transformer-based pretrained models have been proposed for text generation [5], [6], [9]. The first pretrained model by utilizing the decoder architecture of the original transformer model [19] is the generative pretrained transformer (GPT) [5]. The GPT model outperforms the typical RNN-based sequence-to-sequence model because it propagates sequential information for a lengthy text sequence using the self-attention mechanism [18].

However, training a transformer-based model with many parameters is expensive. In addition, training such a transformer-based pretrained model necessitates a substantial amount of data. Due to the lack of a large dataset, low-resource language like Bangla uses multilingual frameworks such as mGPT [15] for text generation. However, multilingual models are trained on a diverse dataset spanning multiple existing languages worldwide. Therefore, such multilingual models fail to perform satisfactorily in a resource-scarce language like Bangla [16].

C. GPT-2 Model

The GPT-2 [6] model inherits the original GPT model. GPT-2 model is known vastly for its ingenious effectiveness in text generation tasks. A 40GB dataset called Web-Text, containing only English text from 8 million web pages, has been used to train the GPT-2 model using a causal language modeling (CLM) objective.

TABLE I SOURCES OF DATASET

Source of data	Data size(GB)
OSCAR	12.84
Wikipedia dump	6.24
ProthomAlo	3.92
Kalerkantho	3.24

এসব কি করাইতেছে!				
এসব	কি	করা	ইতেছে	!

Fig. 1. Subword tokenization

The model is trained using the previous token sequence as input to predict the next probable token. Comparatively, the GPT-2 model has ten times as many parameters and training data as the original GPT model. When compared to GPT, GPT-2 has much more parameters (1.5 billion vs. 117 million). In comparison to GPT (0.4 terabytes), GPT-2 has been trained on a significantly larger corpus of text data (40 GB), enabling it to capture a wider variety of linguistic patterns and produce more varied output.

A larger dataset is needed for training the more recent GPT-3 model [9], which has 175 billion parameters, than its direct predecessor, the GPT-2 model. Unfortunately, such a large dataset is not available for resource-scarce languages. Therefore, we are only focusing on training the GPT-2 model.

In this research, we develop a pretrained BanglaGPT language model. Moreover, we deploy the pretrained BanglaGPT model for generating Bangla text.

III. METHODOLOGY

This section describes the data collection process, data preparation steps, as well as the BanglaGPT model architecture.

A. Dataset Collection

A huge amount of high-quality text data is necessary for pretraining large language models. For instance, BERT [4] has been pretrained using 3.3 billion tokens from the Books corpus and the English Wikipedia [20]. Later studies like RoBERTa [10] and XLNet [21] have heavily filtered and cleaned the web-crawled data. In this research work, we have created a novel dataset with 26.24 GB text to train the BanglaGPT model. We have extracted Bangla text from the OSCAR [22] multilingual crawled corpus and merged it with crawled Bangla textual data from popular websites such as Prothomalo.com, Kalerkantho and Wikipedia dump datasets. Table I shows the sources and amount of data collected from those websites.

B. Preprocessing Data

We preprocess the dataset by eliminating anomalous data, such as HTML elements and non-Bangla content. We use a Bangla normalizer library [23], which applies Unicode normalization and rule-based replacements to normalize the data.

C. Tokenizer Design

The subword-based tokenization algorithm is the most effective one for GPT-2 model. We use a popular subword-based tokenization algorithm named Byte-Pair Encoding (BPE). An illustration of the subword tokenization is given in Figure 1. The first step in BPE training is identifying the distinct set of words included in the corpus, after which the vocabulary is compiled using all the symbols used to represent those words.




Fig. 2. Transformer architecture

TABLE II
PARAMETERS/HYPERMETERS OF THE MODEL AND TOKENIZER

Parameter Name	Value	
Batch size	32	
Initial learning rate	5e-5	
Number of warmup steps	10000	
Weight decay rate	0.01	
Tokenization algorithm	BPE	
Vocabulary size of tokenizer	50256	
Total trainable params	124,439,808	
Epochs	40	
Number of training steps	40772228	

D. Context Size and Data Collator for Language Modeling

We select a fixed context size of 128 for the tokenized input data. However, a significant portion of our dataset will be lost if the input is truncated for 128 tokens because most documents are longer than 128. To overcome this issue, we tokenize the entire input and divide it into the number of sequences using the return overflowing tokens. Finally, a HuggingFace data collator library named DataCollatorForLanguageModeling is used to generate the label data for causal language modeling (CLM). The data collator is deployed to generate the label data on the fly during training with far less memory usage.

E. Model Architecture

Figure 2 shows the GPT-2 architecture which we use for BanglaGPT model. The GPT-2 model architecture mainly employs the decoder of the original transformer model [19]. The GPT-2 model comprises a collection of decoder blocks, with each decoder block containing three crucial components: the masked self-attention block, the feed-forward neural network block, and the normalization block. The objective of the self-attention block is to determine which set of words to concentrate on. During the hidden layer, the feed-forward neural network block determines the correlation between the input words. The normalization block then normalizes the output of the feed-forward neural network.

The first decoder block sends the resulting vector up the stack to be handled by the following blocks. Ultimately, the final output from the last decoder block is directed to linear output layers, and the selection of output tokens is determined by identifying the ones with the highest probability scores.




Fig. 3. Loss curve

IV. EXPERIMENTS AND RESULTS

This section describes the experimental setup and the training and evaluation results of the BanglaGPT model.

The BanglaCLM dataset is partitioned into a training subset (90%) and a validation subset (10%). Furthermore, we have gathered recent news articles, consisting of 4960 sentences sourced from Prothomalo.com and Bdnews24.com, to evaluate the performance of the model after training.

Our model is trained locally on a Core i5-8600k CPU @ 3.60 GHz, 64.0 GB RAM, and Nvidia RTX 4080 16GB with Windows 10 pro-64-bit.

Perplexity (PPL) is a popular metric for evaluating language models. Perplexity is defined as a sequence of exponential average negative log-likelihood. If we have a tokenized sequence $Y = (y_0, y_1, ... y_t)$, then the perplexity of Y is,

$$PPL(Y) = exp\left\{-\frac{1}{t}\sum_{i}^{t} log p_{\theta}(y_{i}|y_{< i})\right\}$$

In the context of language models, a lower perplexity score is usually preferable.

Table II shows the hyper-parameter setting during training of the BanglaGPT model. We select 32 as the batch size. 5e-5 is specified as the initial learning rate. In order to modify the learning rate during training, the weight decay rate is adjusted to 0.01, and the number of warmup steps is increased to 10000. Each epoch contains 40772228 training steps. The total number of epochs is 40 for training the model.

After training for 40 epochs, the model has reached the point of convergence, as depicted by the loss curve in Figure 3. The perplexity score and loss of the trained model are 2.86 and 0.45, respectively, on the test set.

We have constructed and trained a sequence-to-sequence model using LSTM units for text generation to evaluate our trained BanglaGPT model compared to the model currently used for text generation. On the test dataset, the perplexity score of the sequence-to-sequence model using LSTM units is 10.512, and the mGPT perplexity score is 6.27, while our BanglaGPT model shows a perplexity score of 2.86. The model with a lower perplexity score performs text generation more effectively. Therefore, our proposed BanglaGPT model outperforms the sequence-to-sequence model with LSTM units and the mGPT model. Finally, we show sample-generated texts using the BanglaGPT model in Figure 4.

V. CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE WORKS

Bangla is a widely spoken but resource-scarce language for which we present BanglaGPT, a monolingual generative pretrained transformer (GPT) based model. Due to the lack of available large

Sample input	Sample output	
তাহাদের খারাপ অবস্থার কথা	তাহাদের খারাপ অবস্থার কথা চিন্তা করার কথা	
আমার মতো যদি	আমার মতো যদি কোনো রকম নিবন্ধ	
	দেখানোর চেষ্টা করে থাকেন, তা হলে কোথাও	
	যেন	

Fig. 4. Sample input/output

datasets for NLP tasks in Bangla, we have created a novel Bangla text dataset named BanglaCLM with 26.24 GB of data containing Bangla text scraped from several public websites. We have used a subword-based tokenization algorithm named Byte-Pair Encoding (BPE) for Bangla and finally trained the Bangla-GPT2 model from scratch with the objective of causal language modeling (CLM) using the BanglaCLM dataset. The BanglaGPT model outperforms the mGPT and LSTM-based sequence-to-sequence model with a perplexity score of 2.86 on the test set. In the future, we will use the BanglaGPT model for downstream tasks like text summarizing and question answering. Moreover, we can extend the BanglaCLM dataset, train the GPT-3 model with the necessary hardware resources, and present a comparative study with the BanglaGPT model.

REFERENCES

- [1] O. Sen, M. Fuad, M. Islam, J. Rabbi, M. Hasan, M. Baz, M. Masud, M. Awal, A. A. Fime, and M. Fuad, "Bangla Natural Language Processing: A Comprehensive Review of Classical, Machine Learning, and Deep Learning Based Methods," arXiv, 2021.
- [2] N. Banik, C. Saha, I. Ahmed, and K. A. Shapna, "Bangla text generation system by incorporating attention in sequence-to-sequence model," 2022.
- [3] W. Yu, C. Zhu, Z. Li, Z. Hu, Q. Wang, H. Ji, and M. Jiang, "A survey of knowledge-enhanced text generation," CSUR, 2022.
- [4] J. Devlin, M. Chang, K. Lee, and K. Toutanova, "BERT: Pre-training of Deep Bidirectional Transformers for Language Understanding," NAACL, 2019.
- [5] A. Radford, K. Narasimhan, T. Salimans, and I. Sutskever, "Improving language understanding by generative pre-training," OpenAI, 2018.
- [6] A. Radford, J. Wu, R. Child, D. Luan, D. Amodei, and I. Sutskever, "Language models are unsupervised multitask learners," OpenAI blog, 2019.
- [7] V. Sanh, L. Debut, J. Chaumond, and T. Wolf, "DistilBERT, a distilled version of BERT: smaller, faster, cheaper and lighter," arXiv, 2019.
- [8] Z. Lan, M. Chen, S. Goodman, K. Gimpel, P. Sharma, and R. Soricut, "Albert: A lite bert for self-supervised learning of language representations," arXiv, 2019.
- [9] T. Brown, B. Mann, N. Ryder, M. Subbiah, J. D Kaplan, P. Dhariwal, A. Neelakantan, P. Shyam, G. Sastry, and A. Askell, "Language models are few-shot learners," NeurIPS, 2020.
- [10] Y. Liu, M. Ott, N. Goyal, J. Du, M. Joshi, D. Chen, O. Levy, M. Lewis, L. Zettlemoyer, and V. Stoyanov, "Roberta: A robustly optimized bert pretraining approach," arXiv, 2019.
- [11] Wang, Wentao, B. Bi, M. Yan, C. Wu, Z. Bao, J. Xia, L. Peng, and L. Si, "StructBERT: Incorporating Language Structures into Pre-training for Deep Language Understanding," arXiv, 2019.
- [12] Guthaus, M. R., J. S. Ringenberg, D. Ernst, T. M. Austin, T. Mudge, and R. B. Brown, "MiBench: A free, commercially representative embedded benchmark suite," WWC-4, pp. 3-14. IEEE, 2001.
- [13] I. Sutskever, O. Vinyals, and Q. V. Le, "Sequence to sequence learning with neural networks," NeurIPS, 2014.
- [14] R. Nallapati, and B. Zhou and C. Gulcehre, and B. Xiang, "Abstractive text summarization using sequence-to-sequence rnns and beyond," arXiv, 2016.
- [15] O. Shliazhko, A. Fenogenova, M. Tikhonova, V. Mikhailov, A. Kozlova, and T. Shavrina, "mGPT: Few-Shot Learners Go Multilingual," arXiv.
- [16] A. Bhattacharjee, T. Hasan, W. Ahmad Uddin, K. Mubasshir, Md. S. Islam, A. Iqbal, M S. Rahman, and R. Shahriyar, "Banglabert: Lagnuage model pretraining and benchmarks for low-resource language understanding evaluation in bangla," NAACL, 2022.
- [17] R. Sennrich, B. Haddow, and A. Birch, "Neural machine translation of rare words with subword units," arXiv, 2015.

- [18] J. Li, T. Tang, G. He, J. Jiang, X. Hu, P. Xie, Z. Chen, Z. Yu, W. X. Zhao, and J. Wen, "Textbox: A unified, modularized, and extensible framework for text generation," arXiv, 2021.
- [19] A. Vaswani, N. Shazeer, N. Parmar, J. Uszkoreit, L. Jones, A. N. Gomez, Ł. Kaiser, and I. Polosukhin, "Attention is all you need," NeurIPS, 2017.
- [20] Y. Zhu, R. Kiros, R. Zemel, R. Salakhutdinov, R. Urtasun, A. Torralba, and S. Fidler, "Aligning Books and Movies: Towards Story-Like Visual Explanations by Watching Movies and Reading Books," ICCV, 2015.
- [21] Z. Yang, Z. Dai, Y. Yang, J. Carbonell, R. Salakhutdinov, and Q. V. Le., "XLNet: Generalized Autoregressive Pretraining for Language Understanding," arXiv, 2019.
- [22] J. Abadji, P. O. Suarez, L. Romary, and B. Sagot, "Towards a Cleaner Document-Oriented Multilingual Crawled Corpus," arXiv, 2022.
- [23] T. Hasan, A. Bhattacharjee, K. Samin, M. Hasan, M. Basak, M. S. Rahman, and R. Shahriyar, "Not Low-Resource Anymore: Aligner Ensembling, Batch Filtering, and New Datasets for Bengali-English Machine Translation," EMNLP, 2020.