

Machine Learning Term Project

Team 10 : 202135546 송영우, 202135596 현관, 202135599 황성민, 202234908 오예진

1. The objective of the system

Enhance customer experience and satisfaction by recommending personalized sandwich combinations at a sandwich chain where customers can select multiple ingredients, while also optimizing ingredient freshness and inventory management efficiency.

Assumptions

- All sandwiches are priced the same.
- Only one ingredient can be selected per category.
- Assume a linear relationship between the user's ingredient preferences and the sandwich combination.

2. Datasets to use

Creating a Rating Dataset for 500 Users (Each Rated 30 Sandwich Combinations)

1. Initialize User Preferences

- For 500 users, assign a preference score (0–5 in 0.5 increments) for each of 20 ingredients.
- Set initial weights for each of the 5 ingredient categories.

2. Apply Variations

- Add user-specific average bias.
- Apply random noise.
- Introduce ingredient-specific variability (e.g., bread scores are similar across users, meat scores have larger variance).

3. Select Representative Combinations

- From 625 possible combinations, select 50 representative combinations.
- Assign 30 combinations per user, considering anchors.

4. Include Long-Tail Combinations

- For the remaining 575 combinations, assign 10 combinations per user to capture long-tail preferences.

5. Compute Combination Ratings

- Derive combination ratings as a linear combination of the ingredient preference scores.

6. Apply Demographic and Dietary Adjustments

- Add bias for gender and age group.
- Apply dietary restrictions (e.g., vegetarian or allergies) by subtracting 1 point for restricted ingredients

Generate Training and Testing Sets

- Repeat the above process twice to create separate training and testing datasets.

Dataset Composition Results

1. User Dataset

- Basic user information
- Ingredient preference scores
- Category weights
- User-specific average bias

2. Ingredient Dataset (4 categories × 5 ingredients per category)

Bread	Vegetable	Meat	Sauce
White / 195	Lettuce / 2.9	Roasted Chicken / 90	Sweet Onion / 40.1
Wheat / 195	Tomato / 7.7	Ham / 40	Sweet Chili / 40

Parmesan Oregano / 207	Pickle / 0.4	Meatball / 210	Smoke BBQ / 32.8
Honey Oat / 237	Onion 2.8	Bacon / 90	Honey Mustard / 38.4
Flatbread / 232	Avocado / 56.5	Pepperoni / 150	Ranch / 116

3. Sandwich Composition Dataset (625 combinations \times 20 ingredients)

4. Final Training Table

- 20,000 user–sandwich entries
- Columns : user_id, sandwich_id, rating

3. Filtering method to use

1. User-Based Collaborative Filtering

- Used for constructing the predicted rating table

2. Item-Based Collaborative Filtering

- Analyze similarity between individual sandwiches
- Recommend final candidates with different compositions

3. Rule-Based / Attribute-Based Filtering

- Apply dietary goals and allergy information

Recommendation System Workflow

1. Construct the predicted rating table (item-based) using the rating table and item table through an ALS-based Matrix Factorization (MF) model.

Variable	Size	Meaning
U	(500 \times 20)	User latent preference vector
V	(20 \times 20)	Ingredient latent feature vector
S	(625 \times 20)	Sandwich composition
C = S·V	(625 \times 20)	Sandwich embedding
R_hat = U·C ^T	(500 \times 625)	Predicted ratings

2. User-Based CF

- Construct the predicted rating table using user-based collaborative filtering.

3. Hybrid Predicted Ratings

- Combine the user-based and item-based predicted rating tables using a weighted average.
- Select the top 50 sandwiches.

4. Filtering

- Apply filters based on the user's health information.
- Exclude sandwiches the user has already tried.

5. Final Recommendation

- Recommend 3 sandwich combinations.
- Use item-based CF to propose candidates with diverse ingredient compositions.

4. Machine Learning model to use

ALS-Based Matrix Factorization (MF) Model

- Decompose the user–sandwich rating matrix to construct a predicted rating matrix.
- Consider latent feature vectors of users, sandwiches, and ingredients.
- Iterative training allows minimizing the loss function.
- Performs well on large, sparse matrices.