

Introduction to the HBV model

Matteo Giuliani, Jon Herman

March 26, 2014

Introduction to the HBV model

Matteo Giuliani, Jon Herman

March 26, 2014

Contents

1	The HBV rainfall-runoff model	2
1.1	Model calibration	4
1.2	Model simulation	5
1.3	Input data	5
2	The code implementation	6
2.1	The snow function	6
2.2	The soil function	7
2.3	The discharge function	8
2.4	The routing function	8
2.5	The backflow function	8
A	The Hamon potential evapotranspiration	8

1 The HBV rainfall-runoff model

The Hydrologiska Byråns Vattenbalansavdelning (HBV) model (Bergström, 1976; Bergström et al., 1995) is a lumped rainfall-runoff model developed for operational flood forecasting in Sweden. The model is composed by a cascade of four storage units, as shown in Figure 1. It requires two inputs, namely precipitation P and temperature T . The output of the model is the discharge Q_{sim} . Note that the model requires the computation of actual evapotranspiration, which is obtained from potential evapotranspiration data. Among the different methods available (e.g., the Thornthwaite equation (Thornthwaite, 1948)), we implemented the Hamon method described in Appendix A.

The HBV model relies on the five state variables reported in Table 1 and the 12 parameters reported in Table 2 (the order of them is the one used in the associated code). The ranges suggested were found in previous studies on 12 watersheds in United States (see Herman et al. (2013)), but they can be different depending on the catchment characteristics. The current version of the model can be used in two ways, namely *calibration* and *simulation*, as described in the next sections.

Table 1: HBV states.

State	Description
<i>sowat</i>	soil water storage
<i>sdep</i>	snow store
<i>ldep</i>	depth of liquid in the snow store
<i>stw1</i>	soil storage - shallow layer
<i>stw2</i>	soil storage - deep layer




Figure 1: HBV model schematics: storage elements are gray shaded, model states and model parameters are shown in blue and red, respectively.

Table 2: HBV parameters.

Parameter	Units	Description	Range
<i>K₂</i>	[day]	withdrawal rate from deep layer	(10; 20,000)
<i>K₁</i>	[day]	withdrawal rate for shallow layer overflow	(1; 100)
<i>K₀</i>	[day]	withdrawal rate from shallow layer (interflow)	(0.5; 20)
<i>MaxBas</i>	[hour]	length of hydrograph routing transformation	(24; 120)
<i>degd</i>	[mm/(day °C)]	degree day factor (snowmelt rate)	(0; 20)
<i>degw</i>	[°C]	base temperature above which melt occurs	(-3; 3)
<i>ttlim</i>	[°C]	temperature threshold below which freezing occurs	(-3; 3)
<i>perc</i>	[mm/day]	percolation rate into deep layer	(0; 100)
<i>β</i>	[-]	distribution of soil stores	(0; 7)
<i>lp</i>	[-]	limiting soil moisture at which PET takes place	(0.3; 1)
<i>Fcap</i>	[mm]	maximum soil moisture storage	(10; 2,000)
<i>hl1</i>	[mm]	maximum shallow layer storage	(0; 100)

1.1 Model calibration

Given a time series of observations for both model input (i.e., temperature and precipitation) and model output (i.e., discharge), the calibration on the HBV model aims to estimate the best values for the 12 parameters (see Table 2) with respect to one (or more) suitable model performance metrics (e.g., mean square error, coefficient of determination, etc.). However, the HBV calibration can be a difficult operation due to the complexity and non-linearity of the modeled processes. To guarantee a good calibration (in particular when multiple metrics are considered), the model can be connected to the MOEA Framework (www.moeaframework.org), a free and open source Java library for developing and experimenting with state-of-the-art multi-objective evolutionary algorithms (MOEAs). The *CalHBV.java* file provides the link between the model to the Java library. This allows the user to run the model calibration with the algorithms available in the MOEA Framework, such as NSGAII, ε -MOEA, or GDE3.

By default the calibration is performed with respect to two metrics, namely by minimizing the root mean square error (RMSE), defined in eq. (1a) as the sum of squared residuals over a given time horizon H , and by maximizing the coefficient of determination R^2 , defined in eq. (1b) as one minus the variance of the residuals divided by the variance of the observed data.

$$RMSE = \sqrt{\frac{1}{H} \sum_{i=1}^H (\bar{Q}_i - \hat{Q}_i)^2} \quad (1a)$$

$$R^2 = 1 - \frac{var(\bar{Q} - \hat{Q})}{var(\bar{Q})} \quad (1b)$$

where \bar{Q} and \hat{Q} are the observed and simulated flows, respectively. Other metrics can also be considered (e.g., Diskin and Simon, 1977).

To run the calibration, besides the compilation of the HBV model, the user has first to compile the java class:

```
javac -classpath MOEAFramework-1.16-Executable.jar : . CalHBV.java
```

and then to run the generated java program:

```
java -classpath MOEAFramework-1.16-Executable.jar : . CalHBV
```

At the end of the HBV calibration, the best parameterization is printed in the terminal along with the corresponding RMSE and R^2 .

1.2 Model simulation

The simulation of the HBV model aims to estimate the river basin discharges, given a time series of model inputs (i.e., temperature and precipitation) and the values of the model parameters (e.g., stored in the file *hbv_param.txt*). To run the simulation of the HBV, the user does not need the Java files. Once the model is compiled, the user runs a simulation as follows:

```
./SimHBV input_file output_file < hbv_param.txt
```

where *input_file* is the file containing the observations of temperature and precipitation (see Section 1.3) and *output_file* is the name of the file where the simulated flows will be saved.


1.3 Input data

The input data should be format as shown in the *example_data* directory and reported in Figure 2.

The file header contains information about the watershed: watershed name and area, geographic coordinates used for the estimation of the evapotranspiration, number of time-steps along with the index of the first day to be considered in the data-file and the corresponding day-of-the-year, and a flag which identifies the type of temperature data, namely daily average (i.e., 1) or daily minimum and maximum (i.e., 2). The data are then organized in a matrix ordered by columns as follows:

- 1 year
- 2 month
- 3 day
- 4 mean areal precipitation (mm)
- 5 daily streamflow discharge (mm)
- 6 daily average air temperature (Celsius)
- 6b daily maximum air temperature (Celsius)
- 7b daily minimum air temperature (Celsius)

Actually, the model requires only daily average temperature. If these data are available, the file will contain only 6 columns. Otherwise, it has seven columns (with the maximum and minimum temperature in the sixth and seventh column, respectively) and the average is computed at runtime within



```

# Gage information

<WATERSHED_NAME>    GUADALUPE RIVER NR SPRING BRANCH, TX
<GAGE_LATITUDE>     29.860600    # Gage latitude in decimal degrees
<GAGE_LONGITUDE>    -98.382800    # Gage longitude in decimal degrees
<DRAINAGE_AREA>     1315.000000    # Drainage basin area in square miles
<TEMP_DATA>         2           # Type of temperature data (1=daily average, 2=min and max)
<TIME_STEPS>        20454       # Number of time steps of data
<INDEX_INIT>        0           # Starting index of the first day in the data-file
<DOY_INIT>          1           # Day of the year of the first day

# Data column format

<DATA_FORMAT_START>
1 year
2 month
3 day
4 mean areal precipitation (mm)
5 daily streamflow discharge (mm)
6 daily average air temperature (Celsius)
6b daily maximum air temperature (Celsius)
7b daily minimum air temperature (Celsius)
<DATA_FORMAT_END>

# Data

<DATA_START>
1.9480000e+03  1.0000000e+00  1.0000000e+00  0.0000000e+00  1.7300000e+00  1.5094400e+01 -2.3944000e+00
1.9480000e+03  1.0000000e+00  2.0000000e+00  0.0000000e+00  1.7310000e+00  1.5333300e+01 -4.7556000e+00
1.9480000e+03  1.0000000e+00  3.0000000e+00  0.0000000e+00  1.7300000e+00  2.1305600e+01 -7.0560000e-01
1.9480000e+03  1.0000000e+00  4.0000000e+00  0.0000000e+00  1.7290000e+00  2.1866700e+01 -6.3890000e-01
1.9480000e+03  1.0000000e+00  5.0000000e+00  0.0000000e+00  1.7290000e+00  2.1261100e+01 -1.8330000e-01
1.9480000e+03  1.0000000e+00  6.0000000e+00  0.0000000e+00  1.7290000e+00  1.8855600e+01  2.5778000e+00
1.9480000e+03  1.0000000e+00  7.0000000e+00  0.0000000e+00  1.7300000e+00  1.9350000e+01  3.3556000e+00
1.9480000e+03  1.0000000e+00  8.0000000e+00  0.0000000e+00  1.7320000e+00  2.2166700e+01  7.9222000e+00
1.9480000e+03  1.0000000e+00  9.0000000e+00  0.0000000e+00  1.7350000e+00  2.2538900e+01  8.5833000e+00

```

Figure 2: Example of a file containing the input data formatted for the HBV model.

the model. Examples of both types of input file are contained in the *example_data* directory (i.e., *data_Tavg.txt* and *data_Tminmax.txt*). When the model is used for simulation purposes (with no calibration), the observations of the discharges are not needed. All the data in the corresponding column (i.e., the fifth one) can be set equal to zero, see the *data_sim.txt* file.

2 The code implementation

The core of the HBV model implementation is the *calc_HBV()* function, which performs the simulation of the main rainfall-runoff processes with a daily time step. This function first initializes the HBV states and fluxes to zero. It then assigns the model parameters, which are taken as input (i.e., organized in an array of doubles). Finally, it sequentially runs the five functions described in the next subsections. The structure of the *calc_HBV()* function is shown in the Pseudocode 1.

2.1 The snow function

The aim of this function is to estimate the effective precipitation for the current day t . Depending on the average temperature of the current day

Pseudocode 1 The *calc_HBV()* function.

Inputs: an array of 12 parameters

Initialization:

Set HBV states and fluxes to 0.

Assignment of model parameters.

Iterations:

- computation of effective precipitation (**snow** function)
 - set runoff depth (**soil** function)
 - computation of discharge (**discharge** function)
 - routing (**routing** function)
 - update to the next time step (**backflow** function)
-

$T_{avg}(t)$, the corresponding precipitation can be snow (if $T_{avg}(t) < \textcolor{red}{ttl\textcolor{red}{im}}$) or rain (otherwise).

Moreover, if the temperature is greater than the parameter $\textcolor{red}{degw}$ and the current snow storage $\textcolor{blue}{sdep}(t) > 0$, the snow is melting. The snow melt is defined as

$$\textcolor{blue}{smelt}(t) = (T_{avg}(t) - \textcolor{red}{degw}(t)) \times \textcolor{red}{degd} \quad (2)$$

The melted snow eventually contributes to the effective precipitation and is removed to the snow storage $\textcolor{blue}{sdep}(t)$.

2.2 The soil function

The aim of this function is to estimate the soil storage of the shallow layer for the current day t . If the soil moisture storage $\textcolor{blue}{sowat}(t)$ is greater than the maximum capacity $\textcolor{red}{F_{cap}}$, the runoff Q_{runoff} is equal to the effective precipitation plus the excess of soil moisture storage with respect to $\textcolor{red}{F_{cap}}$. The soil moisture storage is therefore equal to its maximum capacity. Conversely, if $\textcolor{blue}{sowat}(t) < \textcolor{red}{F_{cap}}$, a portion of the effective precipitation (which depends on the parameter β) goes into the soil storage and only the remaining part contributes to Q_{runoff} .

Then, the function estimates the actual evapotranspiration $AET(t)$ and compares it with the soil moisture storage. If there is enough water in the soil, the volume $AET(t)$ evaporates. If $AET(t) > \textcolor{blue}{sowat}(t)$, all the water evaporates and $\textcolor{blue}{sowat}(t) = 0$. Finally, the soil storage of the shallow layer $\textcolor{blue}{stw1}(t)$ is updated:

$$\textcolor{blue}{stw1}(t) = \textcolor{blue}{stw1}(t - 1) + Q_{runoff} \quad (3)$$

2.3 The discharge function

The aim of this function is to compute the discharge $Q_{all}(t)$, which depends on the near surface flow Q_0 , the interflow Q_1 , and the baseflow Q_2 (eq. 4). These three contributions depend on the soil storages $stw1(t)$ and $stw2(t)$ in the shallow and deep layer, respectively. The flow Q_0 is observed only if $stw1(t) > hl1$. The interflow Q_1 is generated only if there will be water in the shallow layer after having removed Q_0 . If a volume is still present in the shallow layer (i.e., $stw1(t) - Q_0 - Q_1 > 0$), this water percolates from the shallow to the deep layer. Finally, depending on the deep layer storage $stw2(t)$, the baseflow Q_2 is estimated.

$$Q_{all}(t) = Q_0 + Q_1 + Q_2 \quad (4)$$

2.4 The routing function

The aim of this function is to transform the discharge $Q_{all}(t)$ using the parameter $MaxBas$ for routing. This transformation is performed by a triangular weighting function, which eventually estimates the final flow $Q_{sim}(t)$.

2.5 The backflow function

This function reinitializes to zero the arrays used for the routing depending on the parameter $MaxBas$. It is basically an internal routine of the model.

A The Hamon potential evapotranspiration

The potential evapotranspiration is computed according to the Hamon method (Hamon, 1961) and depends on the average temperature T_{avg} and the location (i.e., latitude) of the catchment according to the following formulation

$$PET = k \times dL/24 \times \frac{e_s}{T_{avg} + 273.2} \quad (5)$$

where $k = 715.5$, dL is the daytime length (which depends on the day of the year and the location), and e_s the saturation vapor pressure (which depends on the average temperature).

References

- Bergström, S. (1976). “Development and application of a conceptual runoff model for scandinavian catchments.” *Report no.*, SMHI Report RHO7, Norrköping.
- Bergström, S., Singh, V., et al. (1995). “The hbv model..” *Computer models of watershed hydrology.*, 443–476.
- Diskin, M. and Simon, E. (1977). “A procedure for the selection of objective functions for hydrologic simulation models.” *Journal of Hydrology*, 34(1), 129–149.
- Hamon, W. (1961). “Estimating potential evapotranspiration.” *Transactions of the American Society of Civil Engineers*, 128(1), 324–337.
- Herman, J., Reed, P., and Wagener, T. (2013). “Time-varying sensitivity analysis clarifies the effects of watershed model formulation on model behavior.” *Water Resources Research*, 49(3), 1400–1414.
- Thornthwaite, C. (1948). “An approach toward a rational classification of climate.” *Geographical review*, 38(1), 55–94.