

# Homework 1 (CS209, Spring 2017)

Aravind Machiry

We will use symbols  $T$  and  $F$  to indicate truth values True and False respectively.

1. Let  $A, B$  be distinct sentence symbols in  $\mathcal{S}$ . Determine if each of the following wffs is a tautology. If your answer is negative, find a truth assignment  $v$  that does not satisfy the wff and show the truth values of  $A, B$  under the assignment  $v$ .

(a)  $((A \rightarrow B) \rightarrow B) \rightarrow B$

(b)  $((A \rightarrow B) \rightarrow B) \rightarrow A$

**Solution:** We will use truth table to check if the above wffs are tautologies.

A	B	$((A \rightarrow B) \rightarrow B) \rightarrow B$	$((A \rightarrow B) \rightarrow B) \rightarrow A$
T	T	T	T
T	F	F	T
F	T	T	F
F	F	T	T

As we can clearly, see from the above table, there are truth assignments where the wffs evaluate to false. Specifically, the wff:  $((A \rightarrow B) \rightarrow B) \rightarrow B$  is false when  $A = T$  and  $B = F$ , the wff:  $((A \rightarrow B) \rightarrow B) \rightarrow A$  is false when  $A = F$  and  $B = T$ .

So none of the wffs are tautology.

2. Let  $A, B, C$  be distinct sentence symbols in  $\mathcal{S}$ . Show that neither of the following two wffs tautologically implies the other:

$$(A \leftrightarrow (B \leftrightarrow C))$$
$$((A \wedge (B \wedge C)) \vee ((\neg A) \wedge ((\neg B) \wedge (\neg C))))$$

Note that you need to exhibit two truth assignments (i.e., not eight).

**Solution:** Lets denote  $\alpha = (A \leftrightarrow (B \leftrightarrow C))$  and  $\beta = ((A \wedge (B \wedge C)) \vee ((\neg A) \wedge ((\neg B) \wedge (\neg C))))$ .

Consider the following truth assignment,  $A = T, B = F$  and  $C = F$ , with this assignment the wff  $\alpha$  evaluates to  $T$ , however  $\beta$  evaluates to  $F$ . This proves that  $\alpha \not\models \beta$ .

Similarly, consider another truth assignment,  $A = F, B = F$  and  $C = F$ . However, with this assignment the wff  $\alpha$  evaluates to  $F$  and  $\beta$  evaluates to  $T$ . This proves that  $\beta \not\models \alpha$ .

Thus  $\alpha \not\models \beta$  and  $\beta \not\models \alpha$ .

3. Prove or disprove:

**THEOREM:** For each natural number  $n \geq 2$ , there is a set  $\Sigma_n$  with  $n$  wff's such that (1)  $\Sigma_n$  is not satisfiable, and (2) each  $(n-1)$ -element subset of  $\Sigma_n$  is satisfiable.

**Solution:** I will prove this by construction.

Consider  $A_1, A_2, A_3, \dots$  be the countably infinite distinct sentence symbols in  $\mathcal{S}$ .

*Base case ( $n = 2$ ):* We can have  $\Sigma_2 = \{A_1, \neg A_1\}$ . All the possible subsets of size 1 (i.e.  $n-1$ )  $\{A_1\}$  and  $\{\neg A_1\}$  are satisfiable with truth assignments  $A = T$  and  $A = F$  respectively. However,  $\{A_1, \neg A_1\}$  is not satisfiable.

Now for any  $n > 2$ , we can create  $\Sigma_n = \{A_1, A_2, \dots, A_{n-1}, \alpha\}$ , where  $\alpha = ((\neg A_1) \vee (\neg A_2) \vee (\neg A_3) \vee \dots \vee (\neg A_{n-1}))$ .

Consider all the subsets of size  $(n-1)$  of  $\Sigma_n$ , there are  $n$  subsets of size  $n-1$ , let's call them  $sub_1, sub_2, sub_3, sub_4, \dots, sub_{n-1}, sub_n$ . Where  $sub_1$  is a set of all elements of  $\Sigma_n$  except  $A_1$  i.e.,  $\Sigma_n - A_1 = \{A_2, \dots, A_{n-1}, \alpha\}$ , similarly  $sub_2 = \Sigma_n - A_2$  and so on, finally  $sub_n = \Sigma_n - \alpha$ . Each of the subsets are satisfiable with mappings  $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n$  respectively.

where for  $i \leq (n-1)$ ,

$$v_i(B) = \begin{cases} F & \text{if } B = A_i \\ T & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

and  $v_n = T$  for all sentence symbols in  $\mathcal{S}$ .

Now, for  $\Sigma_n$  to be satisfiable we should have *all* sentence symbols  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_{n-1}$  (condition 1) should be  $T$  and also  $\alpha$  should be  $T$ , however, for  $\alpha$  to be  $T$ , we should have at least one sentence symbol from  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_{n-1}$  to be  $F$ , contradicting condition 1, thus  $\Sigma_n$  is not satisfiable but all subsets of size  $(n-1)$  are satisfiable. Hence the proof.

4. Let  $\Sigma$  be a (possibly infinite) set of wffs and  $\alpha, \beta$  two wffs.

Prove:  $\Sigma; \alpha \models \beta$  if and only if  $\Sigma \models (\alpha \rightarrow \beta)$

Note that the notation " $\Sigma; \alpha$ " means  $\Sigma \cup \{\alpha\}$ .

**Solution:** Let's assume  $\Sigma; \alpha \models \beta$  (call this (1)), this means for all mappings  $\bar{v}$  such that, if  $\bar{v}(\Sigma) = T$  and  $\bar{v}(\{\alpha\}) = T$  then  $\bar{v}(\{\beta\}) = T$ . Consider all the mappings  $\bar{v}_1$  such that  $\bar{v}_1(\Sigma) = T$ , and  $\bar{v}_1(\{\alpha\}) = F$ , any mapping satisfying  $\Sigma$  should be either  $\bar{v}$  or  $\bar{v}_1$ , from (1),  $\bar{v}(\{\beta\}) = T$ , and  $\bar{v}_1(\{\alpha\}) = F$  or  $\bar{v}_1(\{\neg\alpha\}) = T$ , Hence  $\Sigma \models (\beta \vee \neg\alpha)$  i.e.,  $\Sigma \models (\alpha \rightarrow \beta)$

Consider,  $\Sigma \models (\alpha \rightarrow \beta)$ , this means all mappings  $\bar{v}$ , which evaluate  $\bar{v}(\Sigma) = T$ , if  $\bar{v}(\{\alpha\}) = T$ , then  $\bar{v}(\{\beta\}) = T$ . So, if  $\bar{v}(\Sigma) = T$  and  $\bar{v}(\{\alpha\}) = T$ , then  $\bar{v}(\{\beta\}) = T$ , thus,  $\bar{v}(\Sigma \cup \{\alpha\}) = T$ , then  $\bar{v}(\{\beta\}) = T$ , Hence  $\Sigma; \alpha \models \beta$ .

5. Write a complete proof for Case 3 (i.e.,  $\alpha = (\alpha_1 \vee \alpha_2)$ ) of the induction step in proving Lemma 3 (page marked "15" in the April 6's lecture notes).

6. (Duality) Let  $\alpha$  be a wff whose only connectives are  $\wedge, \vee$ , and  $\neg$ . Let  $\alpha^*$  be the resulting wff after interchanging  $\wedge$  and  $\vee$  and replacing each sentence symbol (e.g.,  $A$ ) by its negation (i.e.,  $(\neg A)$ ).

THEOREM:  $\alpha^*$  is tautologically equivalent to  $(\neg\alpha)$ , i.e.,  $\alpha^* \models (\neg\alpha)$  and  $(\neg\alpha) \models \alpha^*$ .

Give a proof using mathematical induction.

**Solution:**

*Base case:* Consider  $\alpha = A$ , So,  $\alpha^* = \neg A$ . Now,  $\neg\alpha = \neg A$ . As we can see  $\alpha^*$  is same as  $\neg\alpha$ . So,  $\alpha^* \models (\neg\alpha)$  and  $(\neg\alpha) \models \alpha^*$

*Induction:* Consider, a wff  $\alpha_1$  Such that

$\alpha_1^* \models (\neg\alpha_1)$  and  $(\neg\alpha_1) \models \alpha_1^*$  (consider this 1)

Now, Consider  $\alpha = \neg\alpha_1$ , So,  $\alpha^* = (\neg\alpha_1)^*$ . So,  $\alpha^* = \neg\alpha_1^*$ .

Now,  $\neg\alpha = \neg(\neg\alpha_1)$ . From 1, we know  $\neg\alpha_1$  and  $\alpha_1^*$  are tautologically equivalent. So,  $\neg\alpha = \neg\alpha_1^*$   
Thus  $\alpha^*$  and  $\neg\alpha$  are equivalent.

Consider another wff  $\alpha_2$ , Such that

$\alpha_2^* \models (\neg\alpha_2)$  and  $(\neg\alpha_2) \models \alpha_2^*$  (consider this 2)

Now, Consider  $\alpha = \alpha_1 \vee \alpha_2$ , So,  $\alpha^* = \alpha_1^* \wedge \alpha_2^*$ . From (1) and (2) we have,  $\alpha^* = (\neg\alpha_1) \wedge (\neg\alpha_2) = \neg(\alpha_1 \vee \alpha_2) = \neg\alpha$ . Thus  $\alpha^*$  and  $\neg\alpha$  are equivalent.

Now, Consider  $\alpha = \alpha_1 \wedge \alpha_2$ , So,  $\alpha^* = \alpha_1^* \vee \alpha_2^*$ . From (1) and (2) we have,  $\alpha^* = (\neg\alpha_1) \vee (\neg\alpha_2) = \neg(\alpha_1 \wedge \alpha_2) = \neg\alpha$ . Thus  $\alpha^*$  and  $\neg\alpha$  are equivalent.