

Well-Formed Formulas

A *well-formed formula* (*wff*) is one of the following:

- (atomic) $\approx t_1 t_2$
where t_1, t_2 are terms,
- (atomic) $P t_1 \dots t_n$
where P is an n -ary predicate symbols
and t_1, \dots, t_n are terms,
- $(\varphi \wedge \psi)$, $(\neg \varphi)$, or $(\forall x \varphi)$
where φ, ψ are wffs and x is a variable

$\langle \approx, E \rangle$

$\left(\exists x \exists y \exists z \left(E(x, y) \wedge E(y, z) \right) \right)$

Free Variables

A variable x *occurs free* in a wff φ if one of the following holds:

- φ is atomic and x occurs in φ ,
- φ is $(\psi_1 \wedge \psi_2)$ and x occurs free in ψ_1 or ψ_2 ,
- φ is $(\neg\psi)$ and x occurs free in ψ , or
- φ is $(\forall y\psi)$, x occurs free in ψ , and x, y are distinct variables

A wff φ is a *sentence* if no variables occur free in it

First Order Structure

A **structure** of a first order language \mathcal{L} is a mapping \mathfrak{A} over the parameters of \mathcal{L} such that \mathfrak{A} maps

1. the quantifier \forall to a nonempty set denoted as $|\mathfrak{A}|$ (called the *universe*),
2. each constant symbol c to an element $c^{\mathfrak{A}}$ in the universe $|\mathfrak{A}|$,
3. each n -ary function symbol f to an n -ary function $f^{\mathfrak{A}} : |\mathfrak{A}|^n \rightarrow |\mathfrak{A}|$, and
4. each n -ary predicate symbol P to an n -ary relation $P^{\mathfrak{A}} \subseteq |\mathfrak{A}|^n$

$$V_3 \quad \begin{array}{l} x \rightarrow 2 \\ y \rightarrow 2 \\ z \rightarrow 2 \\ x_1 \rightarrow 3 \end{array}$$

$$\models_{a_1} \varphi_2 [v_3] ?$$

$$\langle \approx, E \rangle \quad |a_1| = \{1, 2, 3\}, \quad E^{a_1} = \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline 1 & 1 \\ \hline 1 & 2 \\ \hline 2 & 3 \\ \hline \end{array}$$

$$V_1 \quad \begin{array}{l} x \rightarrow 1 \\ y \rightarrow 2 \\ z \rightarrow 3 \end{array}$$

$$\models_{a_1} \varphi_2 [v_1]$$

$$V_2 \quad \begin{array}{l} x \rightarrow 1 \\ y \rightarrow 1 \\ z \rightarrow 1 \end{array}$$

$$\boxed{\models_{a_1} \varphi_2 [v_2] ?}$$

$$|a_2| = \{1, 2, 3, 4\} \quad E^{a_2} = \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline 1 & 2 \\ \hline 2 & 3 \\ \hline 3 & 4 \\ \hline 4 & 1 \\ \hline \end{array}$$

$$\varphi_2 = \exists x \exists y \exists z (E(x, y) \wedge E(y, z))$$

$$\models_{a_1} \varphi_2 \wedge E(x, y) [v_1, 3]$$

Assignments

Let \mathfrak{A} be a structure for a first order language \mathcal{L}

An *assignment* is a mapping v from variables to the universe $|\mathfrak{A}|$, $v : V \rightarrow |\mathfrak{A}|$

An assignment v is *extended* to a mapping \bar{v} from terms to $|\mathfrak{A}|$ as follows:

- For each variable x , $\bar{v}(x) = v(x)$
- For each constant symbol c , $\bar{v}(c) = c^{\mathfrak{A}}$
- If t_1, \dots, t_n are terms and f an n -ary function symbol,
 $\bar{v}(ft_1 \cdots t_n) = f^{\mathfrak{A}}(\bar{v}(t_1), \dots, \bar{v}(t_n))$

Satisfaction

A structure \mathfrak{A} *satisfies* a wff φ with an assignment v , denoted as $\models_{\mathfrak{A}} \varphi[v]$, if

- $\models_{\mathfrak{A}} \approx t_1 t_2[v]$ if $\bar{v}(t_1) = \bar{v}(t_2)$
- $\models_{\mathfrak{A}} P t_1 \cdots t_n[v]$ if $(\bar{v}(t_1), \dots, \bar{v}(t_n)) \in P^{\mathfrak{A}}$
- $\models_{\mathfrak{A}} (\neg \varphi)[v]$ if $\not\models_{\mathfrak{A}} \varphi[v]$
- $\models_{\mathfrak{A}} (\varphi_1 \wedge \varphi_2)[v]$ if $\models_{\mathfrak{A}} \varphi_1[v]$ and $\models_{\mathfrak{A}} \varphi_2[v]$
- $\models_{\mathfrak{A}} (\forall x \varphi)[v]$
if $\models_{\mathfrak{A}} \varphi[v(x/d)]$ for every element d in the universe $|\mathfrak{A}|$,
where $v(x/d)$ is the following assignment
modified from v :
$$v(x/d)(y) = \begin{cases} d & \text{if } y = x \\ v(y) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Abbreviations

$(\alpha \vee \beta)$ abbreviates $(\neg((\neg\alpha) \wedge (\neg\beta)))$

$(\alpha \rightarrow \beta)$ abbreviates $(\neg(\alpha \wedge (\neg\beta)))$

$(\alpha \leftrightarrow \beta)$ abbreviates $((\neg(\alpha \wedge (\neg\beta))) \wedge (\neg(\beta \wedge (\neg\alpha))))$

$(\exists x \alpha)$ abbreviates $(\neg(\forall x (\neg\alpha)))$

Extending *satisfaction* naturally, e.g.:

- $\models_{\mathfrak{A}} (\varphi_1 \vee \varphi_2)[v]$ if $\models_{\mathfrak{A}} \varphi_1[v]$ or $\models_{\mathfrak{A}} \varphi_2[v]$,
- $\models_{\mathfrak{A}} (\exists x \varphi)[v]$ if for some element $d \in |\mathfrak{A}|$, $\models_{\mathfrak{A}} \varphi[v(x/d)]$

Proof of $\models_{\mathfrak{A}} \exists x \alpha[v]$

$$\models_{\mathfrak{A}} \exists x \alpha[v]$$

iff for some element $d \in |\mathfrak{A}|$, $\models_{\mathfrak{A}} \alpha[v(x/d)]$

$$\models_{\mathfrak{A}} \exists x \alpha[v]$$

iff $\models_{\mathfrak{A}} \neg \forall x \neg \alpha[v]$

iff $\not\models_{\mathfrak{A}} \forall x \neg \alpha[v]$

iff it is not true that for all d in $|\mathfrak{A}|$, $\models_{\mathfrak{A}} \neg \alpha[v(x/d)]$

iff it is not true that for all d in $|\mathfrak{A}|$, $\not\models_{\mathfrak{A}} \alpha[v(x/d)]$

iff for some element $d \in |\mathfrak{A}|$, $\models_{\mathfrak{A}} \alpha[v(x/d)]$

Theorem 22A

Let v_1 and v_2 be two assignments that agree on all variables occurring free in a wff φ . Then

$$\models_{\mathfrak{A}} \varphi[v_1] \quad \text{iff} \quad \models_{\mathfrak{A}} \varphi[v_2]$$

Proof:

By an induction.

On what?

Theorem (Corollary 22B)

For each sentence σ , either

- (a) \mathfrak{A} satisfies σ with every assignment, or
- (b) \mathfrak{A} does not satisfy σ with every assignment.

Proof: Follow from the previous theorem

I

- For sentences σ ,
we use $\models_{\mathfrak{A}} \sigma$ to mean
 $\models_{\mathfrak{A}} \sigma[v]$ for some (all) assignments v