

Theorem 22A

Let v_1 and v_2 be two assignments that agree on all variables occurring free in a wff φ . Then

$$\models_{\mathfrak{A}} \varphi[v_1] \quad \text{iff} \quad \models_{\mathfrak{A}} \varphi[v_2]$$

Proof:

By an inductionⁿ on # of connectives & quantifiers

~~On what?~~ Basis: $n=0$, φ is atomic

φ is atomic \Rightarrow each variable occurring in φ occurs free
 $\Rightarrow v_1(x) = v_2(x)$ for all x in $\varphi \Rightarrow \underbrace{\bar{v}_1(t) = \bar{v}_2(t)}_{(*)}$ if t is in φ

Case 1 $\varphi : \approx t_1, t_2$

$$\begin{aligned} \models_{\mathfrak{A}} \varphi[v_1] &\Leftrightarrow \models_{\mathfrak{A}} \approx t_1, t_2[v_1] \Leftrightarrow \bar{v}_1(t_1) = \bar{v}_1(t_2) \Leftrightarrow \bar{v}_2(t_1) = \bar{v}_2(t_2) \\ &\Leftrightarrow \models_{\mathfrak{A}} \approx t_1, t_2[v_2] \end{aligned} \quad (B_5 +)$$

Case 2: $\varphi : P t_1 \dots t_n$

$$\models_{\mathfrak{A}} P t_1 \dots t_n[v_1] \Leftrightarrow (\bar{v}_1(t_1), \dots, \bar{v}_1(t_n)) \in P^{\mathfrak{A}} \Leftrightarrow \dots$$

Induction Step:

I.H. Assume that $\models_{\mathfrak{A}} \varphi_i[v_1]$ iff $\models_{\mathfrak{A}} \varphi_i[v_2]$ ($i=1,2$)
when φ_i has $< n$ connectives and quantifiers

Case 1 $\varphi : \neg \varphi_1$

$$\begin{aligned} \models_{\mathfrak{A}} \varphi[v_1] &\Leftrightarrow \models_{\mathfrak{A}} \neg \varphi_1[v_1] \Leftrightarrow \not\models_{\mathfrak{A}} \varphi_1[v_1] \Leftrightarrow \not\models_{\mathfrak{A}} \varphi_1[v_2] \\ &\Leftrightarrow \models_{\mathfrak{A}} \neg \varphi_1[v_2] \Leftrightarrow \models_{\mathfrak{A}} \varphi[v_2] \end{aligned}$$

Case 2: $\varphi : \varphi_1 \wedge \varphi_2$

Omitted

Case 3: $\varphi: \forall x \varphi_1$

$$\models_a \varphi[v_1] \Leftrightarrow \models_a \forall x \varphi_1[v_1] \Leftrightarrow \text{for each } d \in |a|, \models_a \varphi_1[v_1(x/d)]$$

Since v_1, v_2 agree on all free var is $\forall x \varphi_1$

$v_1(x/d), v_2(x/d)$ agree on all free vars is φ_1

$$\begin{aligned} \Leftrightarrow \models_a \varphi_1[v_2(x/d)] \quad \Leftrightarrow \models_a \forall x \varphi_1[v_2] \Leftrightarrow \models_a \varphi[v_2] \\ \text{for all } d \in |a|. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem (Corollary 22B)

For each sentence σ , either

- (a) \mathfrak{A} satisfies σ with every assignment, or
- (b) \mathfrak{A} does not satisfy σ with every assignment.

Proof: Follow from the previous theorem

I

- For sentences σ ,
we use $\models_{\mathfrak{A}} \sigma$ to mean
 $\models_{\mathfrak{A}} \sigma[v]$ for some (all) assignments v

Models

A structure \mathfrak{A} is a *model* of a sentence σ

if \mathfrak{A} satisfies σ with every assignment, i.e. $\models_{\mathfrak{A}} \sigma$

\mathfrak{A} is a *model* of a set Σ of sentences

if \mathfrak{A} is a model of every sentence in Σ

A set Σ of sentences is *satisfiable*

if it has a model

$$\mathcal{L}_1 = \langle 0, 1, <, +, \times \rangle, \quad \langle \mathbb{R}; 0, 1, <, +, \times \rangle$$

$$\forall x \forall y \forall z$$

$$x \times (y + z) \approx x \times y + x \times z$$

$$\langle \mathbb{Q}, 0, 1, <, +, \times \rangle$$

$$\mathcal{L}_2 = \langle 0, < + \times \rangle \quad \mathbb{R}, \models_a \varphi(x) [v] \text{ iff } v(x) = 1$$

$$\varphi(x) = \forall y \ y \times x = y$$

$$\mathcal{L}_3 = \langle P \rangle$$

$$\forall x \forall y \ x \approx y$$

$$\forall x \forall y \ Pxy \rightarrow Pyx$$

$$|a_1| = \{a\} \quad |a_2| = \{a\}$$

$$P^{a_1} = \{(a, a)\} \quad P^{a_2} = \{\}$$

Logical Implication

Let Γ be a set of wffs and φ a wff of a language \mathcal{L}

Γ *logically implies* φ , denoted as $\Gamma \models \varphi$,

if for every structure \mathfrak{A} (of \mathcal{L}), every assignment v such that \mathfrak{A} satisfies every wff in Γ with v ,

\mathfrak{A} also satisfies φ with v

φ and ψ are *logically equivalent*, $\varphi \models \psi$ and $\psi \models \varphi$,

if $\{\varphi\} \models \psi$ and $\{\psi\} \models \varphi$

A wff φ is *valid* if $\emptyset \models \varphi$ \Leftrightarrow for all \mathfrak{A} , all v $\mathfrak{A} \models \varphi[v]$

Notation: $\{\varphi\}$ is denoted as φ , $\emptyset \models \varphi$ as $\models \varphi$

$$\neg \neg x$$

$$\forall x (Px \vee \neg Px)$$