

# CS3600 Project IV

Macallan Camara, Peter Simari, and Gary Strzepek

November 14, 2018

For each of the tables, answer the following questions, and use what you have learned in the Normalization chapter to justify your answers:

- What are the IC's for this table? Turn them over to FDs.
- Where does the table sit in the normalization hierarchy by applying the checking as we went through in class and described in the textbook/notes?
- If this table is not in BCNF, follow the procedure which we just went through in the class, to decompose it into a collection of tables that are in BCNF.
- When you turn the table into BCNF, does it preserve all the FD's? If not, which ones are added and/or dropped?

## Customer table:

- i It's primary key is a unique Id that is found in the Person table.  
 $FD : Id \rightarrow ReceivesMail$
- ii BCNF - The customer table is BCNF. There is the Primary key, Id, there are no other non-trivial candidate keys used, therefore there are no non-prime attributes in the table that would be able to be determined through the proper subsets from the non-existent candidate keys. There are also no transitive functional dependencies. There is only one Superkey and it directly determines the other attributes in the table.
- iii We do not have to do any decomposition since the table is already BCNF.
- iv Since this table was already in the BCNF format no FDs were added or dropped.

## Department table:

- i It's primary key is the unique attribute Id.  
 $FD : Id \rightarrow DeptName$

- ii BCNF - The department table is similar to the last one. There is the Primary key, Id, there are no other non-trivial candidate keys used, therefore there are no non-prime attributes in the table that would be able to be determined through the proper subsets from the non-existent candidate keys. There are also no transitive functional dependencies. There is only one Superkey and it directly determines the other attributes in the table.
- iii We do not have to do any decomposition since the table is already BCNF.
- iv Since this table was already in the BCNF format no FDs were added or dropped.

**Employee table:**

- i It's primary key is a unique Id that is found in the Person table.  
 $FD : Id \rightarrow PayRate, Position$
- ii BCNF - The employee table is similar to the last one. There is the Primary key, Id, there are no other non-trivial candidate keys used, therefore there are no non-prime attributes in the table that would be able to be determined through the proper subsets from the non-existent candidate keys. There are also no transitive functional dependencies. There is only one Superkey and it directly determines the other attributes in the table.
- iii We do not have to do any decomposition since the table is already BCNF.
- iv Since this table was already in the BCNF format no FDs were added or dropped.

**Person table:**

- i It's primary key is the unique attribute Id.  
 $FD : Id \rightarrow FirstName, LastName, PhoneNum, Email, Address$   
 $FD : FirstName, LastName \rightarrow Id, PhoneNum, Email, Address$
- ii BCNF - The Person table is similar to the last one. There is the Primary key, Id, there are no other non-trivial candidate keys used, therefore there are no non-prime attributes in the table that would be able to be determined through the proper subsets from the non-existent candidate keys. There are also no transitive functional dependencies. There is only one Superkey and it directly determines the other attributes in the table.
- iii We do not have to do any decomposition since the table is already BCNF.
- iv Since this table was already in the BCNF format no FDs were added or dropped.

**Product table:**

- i Product's stock attribute cannot be less than zero. The price of a product must be greater than or equal to zero (also must have only two decimal digits, achieved with round function) Its primary key is the unique attribute Id.

$FD = Id \rightarrow Price, Name, Artist, Stock, ReleaseDate$

$FD = Artist, Name \rightarrow Id, Price, Stock, ReleaseDate$

- ii BCNF - The Product table is similar to the department table. There is the Primary key, Id, there are no other non-trivial candidate keys used, therefore there are no non-prime attributes in the table that would be able to be determined through the proper subsets from the non-existent candidate keys. There are also no transitive functional dependencies. There is only one Superkey and it directly determines the other attributes in the table.
- iii We do not have to do any decomposition since the table is already BCNF.
- iv Since this table was already in the BCNF format no FDs were added or dropped.

#### **Sales table:**

- i Every sale must contain a unique id, a product id, and a customer id. Quantity of a sale item must not be greater than the stock value found in the given product. No sale of item can occur before that product's release date. SalesId and ProductId are the two attributes that make up the primary key. The SalesId alone can uniquely identify the CurrentDate and CustomerId. When the SalesId and ProductId are given the following attributes can be found: Quantity, IsReturned, and ReturnDate. When converted to FD's we get the following relationships:  $SalesId \rightarrow CurrentDate, CustomerId, SalesId, ProductId \rightarrow Quantity$ , and  $SalesId, ProductId \rightarrow IsReturned, ReturnDate$
- ii 1NF - The sales table is 1NF because you can easily get the CurrentDate and CustomerId information related to the sale if you were to just use SalesId. Which, violates the 2NF requirement, where you should not be able to determine information from a subset of the candidate key. The candidate key is  $\{SalesId, ProductId\}$ , and SalesId is a subset from that candidate key.
- iii When following the procedure and algorithm for making a table follow the BCNF standard, we get two new tables. The first table derived from Sales is almost identical to the original, except that it omits the attributes, CurrentDate and CustomerId. This removes the relationships that are not accepted in BCNF for the table  
(FD:  $SalesId \rightarrow CurrentDate, CustomerId$ ). Those relationships are then placed into another table with the attributes SalesId, CustomerId, and CurrentDate. Both of these new tables satisfy the BCNF conditions,

so the Sales table was decomposed successfully. Also, for the purpose of better organization, we made a third table where it holds the attributes SalesId, ProductId and ReturnDate under the table IsReturn. This is mostly so that returned items are organized into a separate space.

- iv Not all of the Functional Dependencies are preserved after the table is converted to abide the BCNF. Most notably, the FD:  $SalesId, ProductId \rightarrow CurrentDate, CustomerId$  was removed because it would fail the conditions to qualify for 2NF.

**WorksIn table:**

- i It's primary key is a unique EmployeeId that is found in the Employee table.  
 $FD = EmployeeId \rightarrow WorksSince, DeptId$
- ii BCNF - The WorksIn table is similar to the department table. There is the Primary key, Id, there are no other non-trivial candidate keys used, therefore there are no non-prime attributes in the table that would be able to be determined through the proper subsets from the non-existent candidate keys. There are also no transitive functional dependencies. There is only one Superkey and it directly determines the other attributes in the table.
- iii We do not have to do any decomposition since the table is already BCNF.
- iv Since this table was already in the BCNF format no FDs were added or dropped.