# Lecture 2 Value Function Iteration with Discretization

Peifan Wu

**UBC** 

#### Stochastic Growth Model

Consider the following problem:

$$\begin{split} V\left(z,k\right) &= \max_{c,k,l} u\left(c\right) + v\left(1-l\right) + \beta \mathbb{E}V\left(z',k'\right) \\ \text{s.t.} \\ c+k' &= \exp\left(z\right) f\left(k.l\right) + \left(1-\delta\right) k \\ z' &= \rho z + \epsilon' \\ c,k' &\geqslant 0 \\ l &\in (0,1) \\ \epsilon &\sim \mathcal{N}\left(0,\sigma^2\right) \end{split}$$

We want to solve policy functions: c(z,k), k(z,k), l(z,k)

We solve them by

- Discretization of the state space (Z, K)
- Value Function Iteration

# Discretize AR(1) Process

#### Two dominant methodologies:

- 1. Tauchen method (Tauchen, EL 1986)
  - Not restricted to normal innovations
- 2. Rouwenhorst method (Kopecky-Suen, RED 2010)
  - Works better as ho o 1
  - Replicate exactly unconditional mean, variance, and first-order autocorrelation
  - Multivariate version: Terry-Knotek (EL, 2011), Galindev-Lkhagvasuren (JEDC, 2010)

#### **Tauchen Method**

AR(1) process 
$$y' = \rho y + \epsilon$$
,  $\epsilon \sim \mathcal{N}\left(0, \sigma_{\epsilon}^2\right)$ 

Choice of Points: The maximum value  $y_N$  should be multiple m (e.g. m=3) of the unconditional standard deviation.

$$y_N = m\sqrt{\frac{\sigma_\epsilon^2}{1 - \rho^2}}$$

Let  $y_1 = -y_N$  and  $\{y_2, y_3, \dots, y_{N-1}\}$  equally spaced.

Transition Probabilities:  $d = y_i - y_{i-1}$ ,  $\pi_{ii}$  is the transition probability from i to j,

$$\pi_{i1} = F\left(\frac{y_1 + d/2 - \rho y_i}{\sigma_{\epsilon}}\right)$$

$$\pi_{ij} = F\left(\frac{y_j + d/2 - \rho y_i}{\sigma_{\epsilon}}\right) - F\left(\frac{y_j - d/2 - \rho y_i}{\sigma_{\epsilon}}\right)$$

$$\pi_{iN} = 1 - F\left(\frac{y_N - d/2 - \rho y_i}{\sigma_{\epsilon}}\right)$$

### Rouwenhorst Method

AR(1) process 
$$y' = \rho y + \epsilon$$
,  $\epsilon \sim \mathcal{N}\left(0, \sigma_{\epsilon}^2\right)$ 

Choice of Points: Let  $y_1 = -y_N$  and  $\{y_2, y_3, \dots, y_{N-1}\}$  equally spaced,

$$y_N = \sqrt{\frac{\sigma_{\epsilon}^2}{1 - \rho^2}} \sqrt{N - 1}$$

Transition Matrix: Let  $p = \frac{1+\rho}{2}$ 

- For 
$$N = 2$$
,

$$\Pi_2 = \left[ egin{array}{cc} p & 1-p \ 1-p & p \end{array} 
ight]$$

- For  $N \geqslant 3$ ,

$$\Pi_{N} = p \begin{bmatrix} \Pi_{N-1} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0}' & 0 \end{bmatrix} + p \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \mathbf{0}' \\ \mathbf{0} & \Pi_{N-1} \end{bmatrix} + (1-p) \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0}' & 0 \\ \Pi_{N-1} & \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix} + (1-p) \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0} & \Pi_{N-1} \\ 0 & \mathbf{0}' \end{bmatrix}$$

## Discretize Capital Grid

– Evenly spaced grid of N points:

$$k_i = k_1 + (i-1)\,\eta$$
 where  $\eta = rac{k_N - k_1}{N-1}$ 

- In general, more points where policy functions have curvature.
- A grid denser close to  $k_1$ :

$$k_i = k_1 + \exp\left[\left(i - 1\right)\eta\right]$$
 where  $\eta = \frac{\log\left(k_N - k_1\right)}{N - 1}$ 

- How do we choose  $k_1$ ,  $k_N$ ? Solve the steady state  $k^*$ , choose  $k_1 < k^* < k_N$
- Grid size depends on the size and persistence of z. Keep  $k_1$  away from zero.

#### Choice of Leisure

- At every  $(k_i, k_j, z_s)$  corresponding to a grid point for the variables (k, k', z) we have the intratemporal FOC:

$$u_{c}\left(\exp\left(z\right)f\left(k,l\right)+\left(1-\delta\right)k_{i}-k_{j}\right)\cdot\exp\left(z\right)f_{l}\left(k,l\right)=-v_{l}\left(1-l\right)$$

- RHS is increasing in l and the LFS decreasing in l
- -l=0 ruled out Inada condition on f; l=1 ruled out Inada condition on v;
- Use bisection method to solve for l
- Call the solution  $l(k_i, k_j, z_s)$

## Algorithm

1. Define a 3-dimensional array R of size N, N, S with typical element  $(k_i, k_j, z_s)$  containing the return function

$$R(k_{i}, k_{j}, z_{s}) = u(\exp(z_{s}) f(k_{i}) + (1 - \delta) k_{i} - k_{j}) + v(1 - l(k_{i}, k_{j}, z_{s}))$$

Check whether the argument of u at point (i,j,s) is negative: if so, set  $R\left(k_i,k_j,z_s\right)$  to a very large negative number

2. Start with an initial guess of the value function matrix  $V^0$ . Either the null array, or

$$V^{0}(k_{i}, z_{s}) = \frac{u(\exp(z_{s})f(k_{i}, l^{*}) - \delta k_{i}) + v(1 - l^{*})}{1 - \beta}$$

3. Denote the number of iterations by t. Update value function by selecting

$$V^{t+1}\left(k_{i}, z_{s}\right) = \max_{j} \left\{ R\left(k_{i}, k_{j}, z_{s}\right) + \beta \sum_{s'=1}^{S} \Pi\left(z_{s}, z_{s}'\right) V^{t}\left(k_{j}, z_{s}'\right) \right\}$$

- 4. Store the arg max (Optional: Howard improvement step)
- 5. Check convergence: report success if  $||V^{t+1} V^t|| < \epsilon$  otherwise go back to 3.

#### **Additional Checks**

- 1. Check that the policy function isn't constrained by the discrete state space.
  - Relax the bounds of the grid for k, redo the value function iteration if constrained.
- Check that the error tolerance is small enough.
  - If a small reduction in  $\epsilon$  results in large changes in the value of policy functions, the tolerance is too high.
  - Reduce  $\epsilon$  until the solutions are insensitive to further reductions.
- 3. Check that grid size is dense enough.
  - The grid is too sparse if an increase in grid density results in a different solution.
  - Keep increasing grid size until the solutions are insensitive to further increases.

Discretization is considerably low, but it's the most robust method.

# **Howard Improvement Step**

- Updating policy function is the most time-consuming.
- Idea: Update the value function without updating the policy function occasionally.
- The policy function tends to converge faster than the value function.
- Implementation: Choose H, iterate value function H times using the existing policy function without updating.
- Too high H may result in a value function moving further from the true one. A good idea is to increase H after each iteration, or use the Howard algorithm only after a few steps of the value function iteration.