## Fluctuation Relaxation in the AD/AS Model

Prof. Raymond J. Hawkins, Econ 100B

October 22, 2018

In this memo we examine the relationship between two statements of equilibrium that are encountered in discussions of the AD/AS model: (i) the notion of  $\omega \to \infty$  (or  $\gamma \to \infty$ ) and (ii) the notion of  $\pi_t = \pi_{t-1}$ .

In our discussion of the AD/AS model we have encountered two seemingly different expressions of what happens to the Phillips curve<sup>1</sup>

$$\pi_t = \pi_{t-1} - \omega \left( U_t - U_N \right) \tag{1}$$

in "the long run." Mishkin (2012, 2014, 2015) casts this as a result of wage and price flexibility<sup>2</sup>

"If wages and prices are completely flexible, then  $\omega$  becomes so large that the short-run Phillips curve is vertical, and it would be identical to the long-run Phillips curve."

and I have cast it as a consequence of the notion that in the long run the economy is in equilibrium and when the economy is in equilibrium inflation is not changing:  $\pi_t = \pi_{t-1}$ .

THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN THESE PERSPECTIVES regarding equilibrium can be seen if we write the Phillips curve as the SRAS curve

$$\pi_t = \pi_{t-1} + \gamma \left( Y_t - Y^{\mathsf{P}} \right) \tag{2}$$

where, via Okun's law  $\gamma = |C_{\text{Okun}}\omega|$  and then convert the output gap  $(Y_t - Y^P)$  into an inflation gap using the AD curve

$$Y_t = (\overline{Y} - \zeta_Y \overline{r}) - \zeta_Y \lambda \pi_t \tag{3}$$

which when  $Y_t = Y^P$  becomes<sup>3</sup>

$$Y^{\mathsf{P}} = (\overline{Y} - \zeta_{Y}\overline{r}) - \zeta_{Y}\lambda\pi^{\mathsf{T}} \tag{4}$$

where  $\pi^T$  is the inflation target of a central bank that wants  $Y_t = Y^P$ . Subtracting Eq. (4) from Eq. (3) we see that the AD curve can be written in a "gapped form" that relates the output gap to the inflation gap,  $\pi_t - \pi^T$ , as

$$Y_t - Y^{\mathsf{P}} = -\zeta_Y \lambda \left( \pi_t - \pi^{\mathsf{T}} \right) , \qquad (5)$$

and with this we can write the SRAS curve (Eq. (2)) as

$$\pi_t = \pi_{t-1} - \zeta_Y \lambda \gamma \left( \pi_t - \pi^\mathsf{T} \right) \tag{6}$$

 $^{\scriptscriptstyle 1}$  Since we are discussing "the long run" we can assume that  $\rho_t=0$ .

<sup>2</sup> cf. page 272 of Mishkin (2012), page 286 of Mishkin (2014), or page 330 of Mishkin (2015).

<sup>3</sup> The form of the AD curve given in Eq. (4) follows from (i) defining the equilibrium real interest rate, *r*\* as the rate that causes the IS curve to yield potential output

$$Y^{\mathsf{P}} = \overline{Y} - \zeta_{Y} r^{*}$$

and (ii) defining target inflation  $\pi^{\mathsf{T}}$  to be the level of inflation that causes the MP curve to yield the equilibrium real interest rate

$$r^* = \bar{r} + \lambda \pi^{\mathsf{T}}$$
.

Combining these two equations results in the potential-output version of the AD curve shown in Eq. (4). Thus we see that an inflation target of  $\pi^T$  is equivalent to an output target of  $Y^P$ .

which shows how inflation changes as a function of the inflation gap. We can simplify this to an expression of how the inflation gap evolves though time by subtracting  $\pi^T$  from each side of the equation

$$(\pi_t - \pi^\mathsf{T}) = (\pi_{t-1} - \pi^\mathsf{T}) - \zeta_Y \lambda_Y (\pi_t - \pi^\mathsf{T}) , \qquad (7)$$

moving the lagged output gap to the left-hand side of the equation

$$(\pi_t - \pi^\mathsf{T}) - (\pi_{t-1} - \pi^\mathsf{T}) = -\zeta_Y \lambda \gamma (\pi_t - \pi^\mathsf{T}) , \qquad (8)$$

and noting that  $(\pi_t - \pi^T) - (\pi_{t-1} - \pi^T)$  is the finite-difference form<sup>4</sup> of the time derivative of the inflation gap, or

$$(\pi_t - \pi^\mathsf{T}) - (\pi_{t-1} - \pi^\mathsf{T}) = \frac{d(\pi_t - \pi^\mathsf{T})}{dt}$$
 (9)

we can write

$$\frac{d\left(\pi_{t} - \pi^{\mathsf{T}}\right)}{dt} = -\zeta_{Y}\lambda\gamma\left(\pi_{t} - \pi^{\mathsf{T}}\right) \tag{10}$$

which has the solution for a fluctuation  $\pi_0$  away from equilibrium  $\pi^T$ at t = 0 of

$$(\pi_t - \pi^{\mathsf{T}}) = (\pi_0 - \pi^{\mathsf{T}}) e^{-\zeta_Y \lambda \gamma t}. \tag{11}$$

The relationship of equilibrium as a statement of large  $\omega$  to that of "the long run" (i.e., large t) can be seen directly in Eq. (11). If  $\omega$  is very large so too is  $\gamma$  and  $\exp(-\zeta_{\Upsilon}\lambda\gamma t)$  becomes very small for t > 0: any inflation-gap fluctuation rapidly (instantaneously if  $\omega \to \infty$ ) returns to the equilibrium inflation-gap level of zero. Similarly, for any  $\omega > 0$  we will have  $\gamma > 0$  and when t gets very large exp  $(-\zeta_{\gamma}\lambda_{\gamma}t)$  becomes very small and we have the same result: relaxation of an inflation-gap fluctuation back to zero where inflation is not changing and  $\pi_t = \pi^T$ .

## Acknowledgements

My thanks to the Econ 100B students who requested further elaboration of this point.

## References

Mishkin, F. S. (2012). Macroeconomics: Policy and Practice. Addison-Wesley, first edition.

Mishkin, F. S. (2014). Macroeconomics: Policy and Practice. Prentice Hall, New York, NY, second edition.

Mishkin, F. S. (2015). Macroeconomics: Policy and Practice. Prentice Hall, Harlow, UK, second, global edition.

<sup>4</sup> We used this finite-difference form of the time derivative earlier in the course to calculate inflation.