



Smart Contract Security Audit Report



Table Of Contents

1 Executive Summary	_____
2 Audit Methodology	_____
3 Project Overview	_____
3.1 Project Introduction	_____
3.2 Vulnerability Information	_____
4 Code Overview	_____
4.1 Contracts Description	_____
4.2 Visibility Description	_____
4.3 Vulnerability Summary	_____
5 Audit Result	_____
6 Statement	_____

1 Executive Summary

On 2024.10.08, the SlowMist security team received the Plume Network team's security audit application for Plume Network Staking, developed the audit plan according to the agreement of both parties and the characteristics of the project, and finally issued the security audit report.

The SlowMist security team adopts the strategy of "white box lead, black, grey box assists" to conduct a complete security test on the project in the way closest to the real attack.

The test method information:

Test method	Description
Black box testing	Conduct security tests from an attacker's perspective externally.
Grey box testing	Conduct security testing on code modules through the scripting tool, observing the internal running status, mining weaknesses.
White box testing	Based on the open source code, non-open source code, to detect whether there are vulnerabilities in programs such as nodes, SDK, etc.

The vulnerability severity level information:

Level	Description
Critical	Critical severity vulnerabilities will have a significant impact on the security of the DeFi project, and it is strongly recommended to fix the critical vulnerabilities.
High	High severity vulnerabilities will affect the normal operation of the DeFi project. It is strongly recommended to fix high-risk vulnerabilities.
Medium	Medium severity vulnerability will affect the operation of the DeFi project. It is recommended to fix medium-risk vulnerabilities.
Low	Low severity vulnerabilities may affect the operation of the DeFi project in certain scenarios. It is suggested that the project team should evaluate and consider whether these vulnerabilities need to be fixed.
Weakness	There are safety risks theoretically, but it is extremely difficult to reproduce in engineering.
Suggestion	There are better practices for coding or architecture.

2 Audit Methodology

The security audit process of SlowMist security team for smart contract includes two steps:

- Smart contract codes are scanned/tested for commonly known and more specific vulnerabilities using automated analysis tools.
- Manual audit of the codes for security issues. The contracts are manually analyzed to look for any potential problems.

Following is the list of commonly known vulnerabilities that was considered during the audit of the smart contract:

Serial Number	Audit Class	Audit Subclass
1	Overflow Audit	-
2	Reentrancy Attack Audit	-
3	Replay Attack Audit	-
4	Flashloan Attack Audit	-
5	Race Conditions Audit	Reordering Attack Audit
6	Permission Vulnerability Audit	Access Control Audit
		Excessive Authority Audit
7	Security Design Audit	External Module Safe Use Audit
		Compiler Version Security Audit
		Hard-coded Address Security Audit
		Fallback Function Safe Use Audit
		Show Coding Security Audit
		Function Return Value Security Audit
		External Call Function Security Audit

Serial Number	Audit Class	Audit Subclass
7	Security Design Audit	Block data Dependence Security Audit
		tx.origin Authentication Security Audit
8	Denial of Service Audit	-
9	Gas Optimization Audit	-
10	Design Logic Audit	-
11	Variable Coverage Vulnerability Audit	-
12	"False Top-up" Vulnerability Audit	-
13	Scoping and Declarations Audit	-
14	Malicious Event Log Audit	-
15	Arithmetic Accuracy Deviation Audit	-
16	Uninitialized Storage Pointer Audit	-

3 Project Overview

3.1 Project Introduction

This is the staking contract component of Plume Network, and the audit mainly covers the RWASTaking and SBTCTaking contracts. Users can stake stablecoins in the RWASTaking contract or stake SBTC in the SBTCTaking contract to earn rewards. This is a pre-staking contract. When the main staking contract is deployed (possibly on other chains), the admin role of the pre-staking contract will migrate users' staked tokens to the main staking contract in a centralized manner.

3.2 Vulnerability Information

The following is the status of the vulnerabilities found in this audit:

NO	Title	Category	Level	Status
N1	Potential Token Compatibility Issues	Design Logic Audit	Suggestion	Fixed
N2	Risks of excessive privilege	Authority Control Vulnerability Audit	Medium	Acknowledged

4 Code Overview

4.1 Contracts Description

Audit Version:

<https://github.com/plumenetwork/contracts>

commit: 7acc75ae043648293e01da309eb23e6f5cae34dc

Fixed Version:

<https://github.com/plumenetwork/contracts>

commit: 70e2c223a57b5ba421b21b8bfee5bfd627626a15

Audit scope:

- staking/src/RWASTaking.sol
- staking/src/SBTCStaking.sol

The main network address of the contract is as follows:

Contract Name	Contract Address	Chain
PlumePreStaking (Proxy)	0xdbd03D676e1cf3c3b656972F88eD21784372AcAB	Ethereum
RWASTaking (Impl)	0x5e1Fcf051A863226C8B11E8904808c0F2FD70616	Ethereum

4.2 Visibility Description

The SlowMist Security team analyzed the visibility of major contracts during the audit, the result as follows:

RWASTaking			
Function Name	Visibility	Mutability	Modifiers
_getRWASTakingStorage	Private	-	-
<Constructor>	Public	Can Modify State	-
initialize	Public	Can Modify State	initializer
_authorizeUpgrade	Internal	Can Modify State	onlyRole
allowStablecoin	External	Can Modify State	onlyRole
withdraw	External	Can Modify State	onlyRole
stake	External	Can Modify State	-
getTotalAmountStaked	External	-	-
getUsers	External	-	-
getUserState	External	-	-
getAllowedStablecoins	External	-	-
isAllowedStablecoin	External	-	-
getEndTime	External	-	-

SBTCStaking			
Function Name	Visibility	Mutability	Modifiers
_getSBTCStakingStorage	Private	-	-
<Constructor>	Public	Can Modify State	-
initialize	Public	Can Modify State	initializer
_authorizeUpgrade	Internal	Can Modify State	onlyRole
withdraw	External	Can Modify State	onlyRole
stake	External	Can Modify State	-

SBTCStaking			
getSBTC	External	-	-
getTotalAmountStaked	External	-	-
getUsers	External	-	-
getUserState	External	-	-
getEndTime	External	-	-

4.3 Vulnerability Summary

[N1] [Suggestion] Potential Token Compatibility Issues

Category: Design Logic Audit

Content

In the RWASTaking contract, the admin can set the whitelist stablecoins that can be staked through the allowStablecoin function. Users can stake whitelist stablecoins through the stake function to obtain rewards. The contract will transfer the user-specified staking amount into the Staking contract through the safeTransferFrom function and update the user's staking status. However, it does not check whether the actual amount of tokens received by the contract matches the expected amount. It should be noted that some stablecoins (such as USDT) support charging fees during transfers, which means that the actual amount of tokens received by the contract during a stablecoin transfer may be less than expected.

Despite this, the possibility of these stablecoins enabling the transfer fee function in a short period of time is extremely low. However, when performing the allowStablecoin operation, it is still necessary to carefully identify fee-on-transfer stablecoins.

Code location: staking/src/RWASTaking.sol#L188-L198

```
function stake(uint256 amount, IERC20 stablecoin) external {
    ...

    stablecoin.safeTransferFrom(msg.sender, address(this), amount);

    uint256 timestamp = block.timestamp;
```



```

    UserState storage userState = $.userStates[msg.sender];
    if (userState.lastUpdate == 0) {
        $.users.push(msg.sender);
    }
    userState.amountSeconds += userState.amountStaked * (timestamp -
userState.lastUpdate);
    userState.amountStaked += amount;
    userState.lastUpdate = timestamp;
    $.totalAmountStaked += amount;

    emit Staked(msg.sender, stablecoin, amount, timestamp);
}

```

Solution

If possible, it is recommended to use the difference in the contract's token balance before and after the transfer as the actual amount of tokens received by the contract when the user stakes.

Status

Fixed; Fixed in commit 70e2c223a57b5ba421b21b8bfee5bfd627626a15

[N2] [Medium] Risks of excessive privilege

Category: Authority Control Vulnerability Audit

Content

Users can stake their Stablecoins and SBTC tokens through the pre-staking whitelist in the staking contract to earn rewards. However, when the main staking contract is deployed (possibly on other chains), the admin role will withdraw all tokens from the staking contract using the withdraw function and migrate users' staked tokens to the main staking contract in a centralized manner. This poses the risk of excessive privileges.

Code location:

staking/src/RWASTaking.sol#L155

```

function withdraw() external onlyRole(ADMIN_ROLE) {
    ...
}

```

staking/src/SBTCStaking.sol#L128

```
function withdraw() external onlyRole(ADMIN_ROLE) {  
    ...  
}
```

Solution

It is recommended to use a multi-signature wallet to manage the admin role to avoid single-point-of-failure risk, but this cannot mitigate the risk of excessive privileges. In the long run, management through community governance can effectively alleviate the risk of excessive privileges and increase user trust.

Status

Acknowledged

5 Audit Result

Audit Number	Audit Team	Audit Date	Audit Result
0X002410090001	SlowMist Security Team	2024.10.08 - 2024.10.09	Medium Risk

Summary conclusion: The SlowMist security team uses a manual and SlowMist team's analysis tool to audit the project, during the audit work we found 1 medium risk and 1 suggestion. All the findings were acknowledged. The code was not deployed to the mainnet. Due to the issue that the admin role can perform centralized migration of staked tokens, the protocol currently remains in a medium-risk state.

6 Statement

SlowMist issues this report with reference to the facts that have occurred or existed before the issuance of this report, and only assumes corresponding responsibility based on these.

For the facts that occurred or existed after the issuance, SlowMist is not able to judge the security status of this project, and is not responsible for them. The security audit analysis and other contents of this report are based on the documents and materials provided to SlowMist by the information provider till the date of the insurance report (referred to as "provided information"). SlowMist assumes: The information provided is not missing, tampered with, deleted or concealed. If the information provided is missing, tampered with, deleted, concealed, or inconsistent with the actual situation, the SlowMist shall not be liable for any loss or adverse effect resulting therefrom. SlowMist only conducts the agreed security audit on the security situation of the project and issues this report. SlowMist is not responsible for the background and other conditions of the project.



Official Website
www.slowmist.com



E-mail
team@slowmist.com



Twitter
[@SlowMist_Team](https://twitter.com/SlowMist_Team)



Github
<https://github.com/slowmist>