

The Value of Replication Studies: Insights from Rogoff & Reinhart's 2003 Publication

Mahdi Abu Atmeh

Fresenius University of Applied Science

Data Science and Data Analytics (WS 2025/26)

Prof. Dr. Stephan Huber and Benjamin Groß

2026-12-17

Author Note

Correspondence concerning this article should be addressed to Mahdi Abu Atmeh,
Email: abu_atmeh.mahdi@stud.hs-fresenius.de

The Value of Replication Studies: Insights from Rogoff & Reinhart's 2003 Publication

1 Introduction

- **Replication** is essential to scientific credibility.
- In economics, replication tests whether results hold up under re-analysis.
- Today's focus: *Rogoff & Reinhart (2003)* — a pivotal paper in macroeconomics.
- We explore what this paper teaches us about replication's value.

2 The 2003 Paper: "Debt Intolerance"

- Authors: Carmen M. Reinhart, Kenneth S. Rogoff & Miguel A. Savastano
- Published in *Brookings Papers on Economic Activity (2003)*
- Main argument:
 - > Some countries are "debt intolerant" — they experience crises at debt levels manageable by advanced economies.
- Key idea: Institutions and history matter more than a single debt threshold.
- Link: [Brookings Institution Article](#)

3 Why This Paper Matters for Replication

- Influential in shaping debt policy debates in emerging markets.
- Built on cross-country data and novel concepts.
- Encouraged policy discussions around debt thresholds.
- Its influence makes it a prime candidate for replication scrutiny.

4 What Are Replication Studies?

- **Replication:** Re-running the same analysis with original data and methods.
- **Reproduction:** Confirming findings using new data or independent methods.
- Replication enhances:
 - Credibility and transparency
 - Error detection and robustness

- Cumulative scientific progress
- However, replication is often difficult due to unavailable data/code.

5 Elements of a Strong Replication Study

- Transparent data and full documentation
- Clear sample definitions and exclusions
- Shared code or reproducible workflow
- Robustness and sensitivity tests
- Publication of both confirmations and discrepancies

6 The Broader Rogoff–Reinhart Line of Research

- Later work: “*Growth in a Time of Debt*” (2010)
- Widely cited — argued growth slows above 90% debt/GDP.
- Replication by Herndon, Ash & Pollin (2013) found:
 - Coding and weighting errors
 - Selective country exclusions
- Lesson: Even leading research benefits from transparent replication.

7 Lessons from the Replication Debate

- Errors can occur even in top-tier research.
 - Data/code transparency allows faster correction and learning.
 - Policy implications must reflect uncertainty and replication risks.
 - Open data enhances public trust and academic rigor.
 - Teaching replication improves empirical skills and ethical awareness.
-

8 Linking Back to “Debt Intolerance”

- The 2003 paper’s contribution: highlighting institutional factors behind debt crises.
 - A replication could:
 - Update the dataset with recent decades
 - Test sensitivity to data definitions
 - Assess predictive validity across income levels
 - This exercise shows replication as a *path to knowledge refinement*.
-

9 Challenges and Limitations

- Data access restrictions
 - Ambiguity in variable definitions
 - Code unavailability or proprietary tools
 - Incentive problem: Replication studies undervalued in publication metrics
 - Yet: these are reasons *to encourage* replication, not avoid it.
-

10 Conclusion

- Replication strengthens the credibility and reliability of economics research.
 - The Rogoff–Reinhart case illustrates how influential findings demand scrutiny.
 - Encouraging a **replication culture** benefits:
 - Policymakers (better decisions)
 - Researchers (deeper insights)
 - Society (greater trust in science)
 - *Replication is not about criticism — it’s about scientific integrity.*
-

- Reinhart, C. M., Rogoff, K. S., & Savastano, M. A. (2003). *Debt Intolerance*. Brookings Papers on Economic Activity, 2003(1), 1-74.
- Herndon, T., Ash, M., & Pollin, R. (2013). *Does High Public Debt Consistently Stifle Economic Growth?* University of Massachusetts Amherst Working Paper.

- Dimitrova, V. (2013). *Reinhart-Rogoff revisited: Coding errors happen...* LSE Impact Blog.
- Chang, A. C., & Li, P. (2015). *Is Economics Research Replicable?* Finance & Economics Discussion Series, Federal Reserve Board.
- Brookings Institution (2003). *Debt Intolerance*. [brookings.edu](https://www.brookings.edu)

11 Affidavit

I hereby affirm that this paper was authored independently and solely by me.

No sources other than those listed in the reference section were used.

Any parts of this paper, including tables and figures, which were taken directly or indirectly from other works, have been properly cited with regard to their origin and authorship.

This paper, either in part or in its entirety, has not been submitted to any other examination board and has not been published in the same or similar form.

I acknowledge that the university may use plagiarism detection software to verify this work.

I agree to cooperate with any investigation into suspected plagiarism and to provide any additional information or evidence required by the university.

11.1 Checklist

- ☒ The handout contains 3–5 pages of text.
- ☒ The submission contains the Quarto file of the handout.
- ☒ The submission contains the Quarto file of the presentation.
- ☒ The submission contains the HTML file of the handout.
- ☒ The submission contains the HTML file of the presentation.
- ☒ The submission contains the PDF file of the handout.
- ☒ The submission contains the PDF file of the presentation.
- ☒ The title page of both the presentation and handout contains personal details (name, email, matriculation number).
- ☒ The handout contains a bibliography created using BibTeX with APA citation style.
- ☒ Either the handout or presentation includes R code demonstrating coding expertise.
- ☒ The Affidavit is completed.

- ☒ The presentation and handout are published on GitHub with a link provided.

Mahdi Abu Atmeh, 17.12.2025, Köln, Germany