

MATH 185: Homework 1

William Guss
26793499
wguss@berkeley.edu

January 22, 2016

1. Show that multiplication of complex numbers satisfies the associative, commutative, and distributive laws.

Theorem 1. Given that \mathbb{C} is Abelian under addition, \mathbb{C} is a field.

Proof. Let $a, b, c \in \mathbb{C}$. Then recall that for any $z \in \mathbb{C}$, $z = |z|e^{i\theta_z}$, where $\theta_z = \text{Arg} z$. We show that \mathbb{C} satisfies associative, commutative, and distributive laws.

Using that \mathbb{R} is a field, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}(ab)c &= (|a|e^{i\theta_a}|b|e^{i\theta_b})|c|e^{i\theta_c} \\ &= |a||b|e^{i(\theta_a+\theta_b)}|c|e^{i\theta_c} \\ &= |a||b||c|e^{i(\theta_a+\theta_b+\theta_c)} \\ &= |a|e^{i\theta_a}|b||c|e^{i(\theta_b+\theta_c)} \\ &= a(bc).\end{aligned}\tag{1}$$

Without the assumption of eulers identity , we have that

$$\begin{aligned}(ab)c &= ((a_1 + ia_2)(b_1 + ib_2))(c_1 + ic_2) \\ &= ((a_1b_1 - a_2b_2) + (a_1b_2 + a_2b_1)i)(c_1 + ic_2) \\ &= ((a_1b_1 - a_2b_2)c_1 - (a_1b_2 + a_2b_1)c_2) \\ &\quad + ((a_1b_1 - a_2b_2)c_2 + (a_1b_2 + a_2b_1)c_1)i \\ &= a_1b_1c_1 - a_2b_2c_1 - a_1b_2c_2 + a_2b_1c_2 \\ &\quad + (a_1b_1c_2 - a_2b_2c_2 + a_1b_2c_1 + a_2b_1c_1)i \\ &= a_1(b_1c_1 - b_2c_2) - a_2(b_2c_1 + b_1c_2) \\ &\quad + (a_1(b_1c_2 + b_2c_1) - a_2(b_2c_2 + b_1c_1))i \\ &= (a_1 + a_2i)((b_1c_1 - b_2c_2) + (b_1c_2 + b_2c_1)i) \\ &= a(bc).\end{aligned}\tag{2}$$

In a similar fashion, consider the following rearrangement which follows by the field properties of \mathbb{R} :

$$\begin{aligned}ab &= (a_1b_1 - a_2b_2) + (a_1b_2 + a_2b_1)i \\ &= (b_1a_1 - b_2a_2) + (b_2a_1 + b_1a_2)i \\ &= ba.\end{aligned}\tag{3}$$

Lastly we show the distributive property:

$$\begin{aligned}
 a(b + c) &= a(b_1 + b_2i + c_1 + c_2i) \\
 &= a((b_1 + c_1) + (b_2 + c_2)i) \\
 &= (a_1(b_1 + c_1) - a_2(b_2 + c_2)) + (a_1(b_2 + c_2) + a_2(b_1 + c_1))i \\
 &= (a_1b_1 - a_2b_2) + (a_1c_1 - a_2c_2) + (a_1b_2 + a_2b_1)i + (a_1c_2 + a_2c_1)i \\
 &= ab + ac
 \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

Therefore \mathbb{C} is a ring. □

2. Gamelin Exercise I.1.7 (Chapter I, Section 1, Exercise 7)

Theorem 2. Let $\rho > 1, \rho \neq 1$ and fix $z_0, z_1 \in \mathbb{C}$. Then

$$S = \{|z - z_0| = \rho|z - z_1| : z \in \mathbb{C}\}$$

is isometric to some $S_r^1 \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ for some r .

Proof. Since all $s \in S$ satisfy the above equation, we have that

$$\sqrt{(s_1 - z_{01})^2 + (s_2 - z_{02})^2} = \rho \sqrt{((s_1 - z_{11})^2 + (s_2 - z_{12})^2)}. \tag{5}$$

The form of (5) is identical to a distance meterization in \mathbb{R}^2 ; that is, take the isometry $\phi : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2, ((x + iy) \mapsto (x, y)$ and

$$d(\phi(s), \phi(z_0)) = \rho d(\phi(s), \phi(z_1)) \frac{d(S, Z_0)}{d(S, Z_1)} = \rho, \tag{6}$$

which from high school geometry one might recognize as the equation of the circle of Apollonius. □

The geometric proof of a equivalency between Apollonius' circle and the Euclidean circle is omitted.

However, if we take the euclidean distance on \mathbb{R}^2 , we have the following theorem.

Theorem 3. Suppose that $P, Q \in \mathbb{R}^2$ and S such that

$$\frac{\overline{PS}}{\overline{QS}} = k \in (0, 1)[WLOG],$$

then S is a point on a circle.

Proof. Observe the following algebraic derivation using the parallelogram law inspired by J Wilson at the University of Georgia:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{|P - S|^2}{|Q - S|^2} &= k^2 \\
 |P|^2 + |S|^2 - 2\langle P, S \rangle &= k^2(|Q|^2 + |S|^2 - 2\langle Q, S \rangle) \\
 0 &= |P|^2 + |S|^2 - 2\langle P, S \rangle - k^2(|Q|^2 + |S|^2 - 2\langle Q, S \rangle) \\
 &= (1 - k^2)|S|^2 + |P|^2 - k^2|Q|^2 - 2\langle P - Q, k^2S \rangle
 \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

□