

# MATH 105: Homework 8

William Guss  
26793499  
wguss@berkeley.edu

March 28, 2016

29. Upper semicontinuity.

(a) A graph of an upper semicontinuous graph here:

(b) Show the following.

**Definition 1.** We say that a function  $f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is  $(\epsilon, \delta)$ -upper semicontinuous if and only if for every  $\epsilon > 0$  there is a  $\delta > 0$  so that

$$0 < d(x, y) < \delta \implies f(y) < f(x) + \epsilon \quad (1)$$

**Lemma 1.** Upper semicontinuity is equivalent to the  $(\epsilon, \delta)$ -upper semicontinuity.

*Proof.* Observe the following fact about  $\limsup$ .

$$\limsup_{y \rightarrow x} g(y) = \alpha = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \sup \{g(y) : y \in M \cap M_\epsilon(x) \setminus \{x\}\}. \quad (2)$$

Therefore  $f$  is upper semicontinuous if and only if

$$\limsup_{y \rightarrow x} f(y) \leq f(x) \iff \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \sup \{f(y) : y \in M \cap M_\epsilon(x) \setminus \{x\}\} \leq f(x). \quad (3)$$

We then know for every  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists a  $\delta$  so that

$$\sup \{f(y) : y \in M \cap M_\delta(x) \setminus \{x\}\} < f(x) + \epsilon. \quad (4)$$

This is true if and only if

$$d(y, x) < \delta \implies f(y) < f(x) + \epsilon. \quad (5)$$

Therefore  $f$  is  $(\epsilon, \delta)$ -upper semicontinuous.  $\square$

**Theorem 1.** *The function  $f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is upper semicontinuous if and only if for every  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ ,*

$$U_a = \{x : f(x) < a\} \quad (6)$$

*is an open subset of  $M$ .*

*Proof.* Take some  $x \in U_a$ . Then upper semicontinuity implies that for every  $\epsilon > 0$  there is a  $\delta$  so that

$$0 < d(x, y) < \delta \implies f(y) < f(x) + \epsilon. \quad (7)$$

We know that  $f(x) < a$ , so take  $\epsilon = a - f(x)$ . Then for every  $y$  with  $d(x, y) < \delta$ ,

$$f(y) < f(x) + a - f(x) = a, \quad (8)$$

and  $y \in U_a$ . Therefore for all  $u \in U_a$  there exists a  $\delta$  so that  $d(u, v) < \delta \implies v \in U_a$ , and  $U_a$  is open.

In the opposite direction suppose that  $U_a$  is open. Then, for every  $x \in U_a$  there exists a  $\delta$  so that  $d(y, x) < \delta \implies y \in U_a$ . Therefore  $f(y) < a$ . Since we can do this for any arbitrary  $a$ , take any  $\gamma \in M$ , then consider  $U_{f(\gamma)}$ . It follows for every  $\epsilon > 0$  there is a  $\delta$  so that

$$0 < d(y, \gamma) < \delta, y \in U_{f(\gamma)} \implies f(y) < f(\gamma) + \epsilon \quad (9)$$

What can be said about  $y \notin U_{f(\gamma)}$ . Take the arg max of those  $y$  subject to  $f(y) \leq f(\gamma) + \epsilon, y \neq \gamma$  (this is possible since  $U_{f(\gamma)}^C$  is closed and there is an  $a > \gamma$  so that every  $x \in U_a \supset U_{f(\gamma)}$  is a point of upper semicontinuity) and we get  $y'$ . Then take a new

$$\delta' = \min\{\delta, d(y', \gamma)\} \quad (10)$$

and get  $f$  upper semicontinuous.  $\square$

(c) Negative semicontinuity.

**Definition 2.** *We say that a function  $f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is negative semicontinuous if and only if  $-f$  is upper semicontinuous.*

**Theorem 2.** *A function is negative semicontinuous if and only if*

$$\lim_{y \rightarrow x} f(y) \geq f(x). \quad (11)$$

*Proof.* Suppose that  $-f$  is upper semicontinuous, then

$$\limsup_{y \rightarrow x} -f(y) \leq -f(x) \iff -\liminf_{y \rightarrow x} f(y) \leq -f(x), \quad (12)$$

by the definition of  $\liminf$ . Then we negate the inequality and get

$$\liminf_{y \rightarrow x} f(y) \geq f(x). \quad (13)$$

This completes the proof.  $\square$

31.

33.

34. Prove the following

**Theorem 3.** *Suppose that  $f_n : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is a sequence of integrable functions,  $f_n \downarrow f$  a.e. as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  and  $\int f_n \downarrow 0$ , then  $f = 0$  almost everywhere.*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $f \neq 0$  almost everywhere. Then the undergraph of  $f$  would have nonzero measure. If this is the case then it is not true that  $\int f_n \downarrow 0$  since if it were the case then not  $f_n \downarrow f$  since the undergraph of  $f$  is not a zero set. Therefore  $f = 0$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$