

# MATH 105: Homework 1

William Guss  
26793499  
wguss@berkeley.edu

January 20, 2016

## 5 Multivariable Calculus

3. Prove the following.

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $T : V \rightarrow W$  be a linear transformation between normed spaces. Then,*

$$\begin{aligned}\|T\| &= \sup\{|Tv| : |v| < 1\} \\ &= \sup\{|Tv| : |v| \leq 1\} \\ &= \sup\{|Tv| : |v| = 1\} \\ &= \inf\{M : v \in V \implies |Tv| \leq M|v|\}\end{aligned}\tag{1}$$

*Proof.* Let the following definitions stand,

$$\begin{aligned}A &= \sup\{|Tv| : |v| < 1\} \\ B &= \sup\{|Tv| : |v| \leq 1\} \\ C &= \sup\{|Tv| : |v| = 1\} \\ D &= \inf\{M : v \in V \implies |Tv| \leq M|v|\}\end{aligned}\tag{2}$$

Observe that  $A \leq B$  and  $C \leq B$  since the family consisting of the underlying sets is respectively ordered by size. By definition we have that,

$$\|T\| = \sup\{|Tv|/|v|\},$$

and namely  $|Tv|/|v| = |T(v/|v|)|$ . Therefore  $\|T\| \leq C$ . If  $|v| \leq 1$  then  $|Tv| \leq |Tv|/|v|$  and so  $B \leq \|T\|$ . We yield that  $\|T\| = B = C$ .

By the same logic  $A \leq \|T\|$  and therefore is equivalent. Lastly  $|Tv| \leq \|T\||v|$  and so by the epsilon property  $D = A$ .  $\square$

4. Consider the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.** *If  $T : V \rightarrow V$  is a linear transformation on the normed vector space  $V$ . Let  $A = \sup\{r : B_r(0) \supset TU\}$  and  $B = \inf\{r : B_r(0) \subset TU\}$ . Then,  $A = \|T\|$  and  $B = m(T)$ .*

*Proof.* Observe  $U \subset V$  is the unit ball induced by  $|\cdot|$  and therefore  $U$  is compact.  $T$  is linear so by its continuity we have that  $TU$  is compact and thereby contains all its limit points.

Then there is a sequence in  $TU$  so that  $v_n \rightarrow v \in \partial TU \cap B_r(0)$ . In particular  $|v| = A$ . Likewise there is a sequence  $w_n \rightarrow w$  in  $TU$  so that  $|w| = B$ .

Suppose that  $\|T\| < A$ . Then  $\|T\| < |v|$ . There exists a  $u$  so that  $Tu = v$  and  $v \in \partial TU$  implies that  $u \in \partial U$  by continuity and linearity. Thus  $\|T\| < |Tu|/|u|$  which is a contradiction.

Suppose that  $\|T\| > A$  or equivalently  $\|T\| - A = \epsilon > 0$ . By the linearity of  $T$  we have that for all  $z \in V$   $\|T\| - |z| \leq \epsilon$  since  $z = \alpha q$  for  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $q \in TU$ . So  $\|T\| = \sup\{|Tu|/|u| : u \in U\} + \epsilon$  which is a contradiction.

So  $\|T\| = A$ .

Suppose that  $m(T) < B$ . Then  $m(T) < |w|$ . There exists a  $u$  so that  $Tu = w$  and  $w \in \partial TU$  implies that  $u \in \partial U$  by continuity and linearity. Thus  $m(T) > |Tu|/|u|$  which is a contradiction.

Suppose that  $m(T) > B$  or equivalently  $m(T) - B = \epsilon > 0$ . By the linearity of  $T$  we have that for all  $z \in V$   $m(T) - |z| \leq \epsilon$  since  $z = \alpha q$  for  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $q \in TU$ . So  $m(T) = \inf\{|Tu|/|u| : u \in U\} + \epsilon$  which is a contradiction.

So  $m(T) = B$ . □

**Theorem 3.** If  $T : V \rightarrow V$  is a linear isomorphism then,  $m(T) > 0$ .

*Proof.* In the contrapositive,  $m(T) = 0$  implies that the largest ball which is contained in  $TU$  is the 0 ball and so the kernel of  $T$  is non-trivial. Therefore  $T$  is not an isomorphism. □

**Theorem 4.** If  $T : V \rightarrow V$  has positive conorm and is linear, then it is an isomorphism.

*Proof.* Positive conorm implies that  $T$  has a trivial kernel and so by the invertible matrix theorem,  $T \equiv A$  where  $A$  is invertible and so  $T$  is invertible. □

**Theorem 5.** If  $T : V \rightarrow V$  and  $T$  is linear, then  $T$  is the identity.

*Proof.* The conorm is equal to the norm if and only if  $U \mapsto U$ . Then by linearity  $v/|v| \mapsto v/|v|$  implies  $v \mapsto v$ . □

6. Consider the following theorem.

**Theorem 6.**  $\mathcal{L}_n$  and  $\mathcal{M}_n$  are rings where the abelian operator is pointwise and componentwise respectively, and where the monoid law of composition is multiplication and functional composition respectively.

*Proof.* The set of linear transformations  $\mathcal{L}_n$  is Abelian with respect to addition since it occurs over the field  $\mathbb{R}$ ; that is,

$$+_{\mathcal{L}} : \mathcal{L}_n \times \mathcal{L}_n \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_n.$$

As for monoid laws of composition, we show the distributive properties. First,  $f, id \in \mathcal{L}_n$  implies that  $f \circ id : V \rightarrow W$  with the mapping  $x \mapsto x \mapsto f(x) \equiv x \mapsto f(x)$ . So,  $f \circ id \equiv f$ . Now consider  $g, h \in \mathcal{L}_n$ . The composition  $f \circ (g +_{\mathcal{L}} h) : V \rightarrow W$  has the mapping

$$x \mapsto h(x) + g(x) \mapsto f(h(x) + g(x)).$$

By linearity, we equivalently have  $x \mapsto f(h(x)) + f(g(x))$ . So in total  $f \circ (g +_{\mathcal{L}} h) \equiv f \circ g +_{\mathcal{L}} f \circ h$ . Lastly,  $(f \circ g) \circ h \equiv f \circ (g \circ h)$  by the same logic. Therefore,  $\mathcal{L}_n$  is a ring.

Matrices have the following result. Take  $M, N, L \in \mathcal{M}_n$ . gain the addition operator is Abelian since it maps to  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ; that is

$$+_M : \mathcal{M}_n \times \mathcal{M}_n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \times \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_n.$$

Then it follows that,  $MI = M$  by the rules matrix multiplication. Furthermore matrix multiplication is associative and distributive. Therefore  $\mathcal{M}_n$  is a ring. □

**Theorem 7.** *There exists a ring isomorphism between  $\mathcal{M}_n$  and  $\mathcal{L}_n$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\tau : \mathcal{M}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_n$  be defined by the mapping  $A \mapsto (x \mapsto Ax)$ . Clearly this mapping is a surjection since given any  $f \in \mathcal{L}_n$  there is at least a corresponding matrix in  $\mathcal{M}_n$  by the following construction. Take the standard basis of  $V$  and produce

$$A = [f(e_1) \dots f(e_n)].$$

Then  $f(v) = f(e_1)v_1 + \dots + f(e_n)v_n = Av$ . Suppose there were another matrix  $B$  such that  $\tau(B) = f = \tau(A)$ . Then  $\tau(B - A) = \tau(B) - \tau(A) = f - f = 0$  but this contradicts the fact that  $B \neq A$ . Therefore  $\tau$  is bijective.

Finally let  $C \in \mathcal{M}_n$ . Then  $\tau(A(B + C)) = (x \mapsto A(B + C)x)$ . By linearity this is equivalent to  $(x \mapsto ABx + ACx) = \tau(A) \circ \tau(B) + \tau(A) \circ \tau(C) = \tau(A) \circ (\tau(B) + \tau(C))$ . So,  $\tau$  is a homomorphism.

Hence  $\tau$  is an isomorphism. □

12. Prove the following.

**Theorem 8.** *If  $V$  is a normed finite dimensional vector space, then the unit ball,  $B = \{v : |v| = 1\}$  is compact.*

*Proof.*  $\dim V = n \in \mathbb{N} \implies V \cong \mathbb{R}^n \implies B \cong S^{n-1} \implies B$  compact. □

13. Prove the following.

**Theorem 9.** *The set of invertible  $n \times n$  matrices is not dense in  $\mathcal{M}$ .*

*Proof.* Consider the set of matrix all of whose entries are the same ( $a_{ij} = r \forall i \forall j$ ). They create a linear subspace which is a connected open subset of  $\mathcal{M}$  disjoint from the set of invertible matrices. Therefore the set of invertible matrices could not possibly be dense in  $\mathcal{M}$ .  $\square$