

# MATH 105: Homework 8

William Guss  
26793499  
wguss@berkeley.edu

April 7, 2016

43.

**Theorem 1.** Let  $g(y) = \int_0^\infty e^{-x} \sin(x+y) dx$ . The function is differentiable with respect to  $g(y)$ .

*Proof.* Lebesgue Dominated Convergence theorem. Consider the following construction.

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{g(y) + g(h+y)}{h} &= \frac{1}{h} \int \gamma(y) + \int \gamma(h+y) \\ &= \int \frac{e^{-x} \sin(x+y) + e^{-x} \sin(x+y+h)}{h} dx \\ &= \int f_h d\mu(x). \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

where  $\mu$  is Lebesgue regular measure. We would like to use the dominated convergence theorem to show that this sequence of integrals converges to a limit. First we must show that  $f_h$  converges to  $f$  almost everywhere. We know from Math 1B that the limit of  $f_h$  is the derivative of  $\gamma$ , and  $\gamma$  is clearly a differentiable function. So it is obvious that  $f_h \rightarrow f$ .

Furthermore we know that  $\gamma(x)$  is dominated by  $e^{-x}$ . Observe that  $e^{-x} \geq f_h(x)$  almost everywhere since  $\sin(x+y+h) \leq 1$ .

Therefore by the dominated convergence theorem  $f_h \rightarrow f(x)$  is integrable with respect to the measure  $\mu$ , and  $\int f_h \rightarrow \int f = L$  is the derivative of  $g(y)$  at  $y$ . Since we did this for arbitrary  $y$   $g$  is differentiable everywhere.  $\square$

46.

**Theorem 2.**

**Office Hours:** We know that  $f$  is Riemann integrable since it has one point of discontinuity. Therefore we can use calculus. Consider the integration by parts. We have

$$\int_0^1 \frac{\pi}{x} \sin \frac{\pi}{x} dx = x \cos \frac{\pi}{x} \Big|_a^1 - \int_a^1 \cos \frac{\pi}{x} dx. \tag{2}$$

Clearly the right hand side converges to 0 since it is enveloped by  $x$ . The right hand side can be considered as follows. Look at the intervals  $[1/(k+1), 1/k]$ . In this case, we can bound the integral along this interval by the rectangle of area

$$B_k = \frac{1}{k} - \frac{1}{k+1} = \frac{1}{(k+1)k}. \quad (3)$$

Accounting for the negative oscillation of the  $\cos(\pi/k)$  we get that for  $k$  even

$$\int_{\frac{1}{k+2}}^{\frac{1}{k}} \cos \frac{\pi}{x} dx \leq \frac{2}{(k+2)(k+1)k}. \quad (4)$$

This is obvious since

$$\int_{\frac{1}{k+2}}^{\frac{1}{k+1}} \cos \frac{\pi}{x} dx \leq \sum_{\frac{1}{k+2}}^{\frac{1}{k+1}} -1 \quad \int_{\frac{1}{k+1}}^{\frac{1}{k}} \cos \frac{\pi}{x} dx \leq \sum_{\frac{1}{k+1}}^{\frac{1}{k}} 1 \quad (5)$$

and we take the sum of the bound and get the same inequality. Essentially we are given a very nice bound

$$0 \leq \int_{\frac{1}{k+2}}^{\frac{1}{k}} \cos \frac{\pi}{x} dx \leq \frac{2}{(k+2)(k+1)k}. \quad (6)$$

We then know that the difference of  $k = m$  and  $k = n$  decreases at least cubically and so the series of summing the integrals is cauchy and bounded by the series

$$a = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{2}{(k+2)(k+1)k}. \quad (7)$$

So the function itself is Riemann integrable (improperly)!

Now look at the Lebesgue integrability condition. It must be that  $|f|$  has finite integral. The absolute value of  $f$  however has area lowerbounded by  $\sum 1/100k$  which diverges, therefore it could not be that the area under  $|f|$  be finite and so the function is not Lebesgue integrable.

48. The set  $S_A$  where  $J'$  is greater than  $a$  we can cover the  $S_A$  with intervals  $x, x+h$  using a Vitali covering. We can extract disjoint guys who effectively do the covering and whose total length is approximately the total measure of  $S_A$ .

50. Recall Theorem 66 from the book.

**Theorem 3.** *The circle or equivalently  $[0, 1)$ , splits into two nonmeasurable disjoint subset, that each has inner measure zero and outer measure one.*

We then set out to prove the following theorem.

**Theorem 4.** *Every measurable  $E \subset \mathbb{R}$  with  $mE > 0$  contains a nonmeasurable set  $N$  with  $m^*N = mE, m_*N = 0$  and for each  $E' \subset E$  we have  $m(E') = m^*(N \cap E')$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $E$  is measurable it is the union of an open set  $F$  and a zeroset  $Z$ . Since  $F \subset \mathbb{R}$  it is the countable union of disjoint open intervals

$$F = \bigsqcup_{i=1}^{\eta \in \mathbb{Z} \cup \{\infty\}} I_i. \quad (8)$$

Let  $T_i$  be the rigid transformation which maps  $(0, 1) \rightarrow I_i$  with absolute determinant  $m(I_i)$ . Then take doppleganger set of  $(0, 1)$ ,  $N_{(0,1)}$  and consider the new set

$$N = \bigsqcup_{i=1}^{\eta} T_i(N_{(0,1)}) \quad (9)$$

with  $\eta$  as before. This set has inner measure 0 and outer measure  $\sum_i m(I_i) = m(E)$  and so is not measurable!

Now take any measurable subset of  $E$ , say  $E'$ . In the same sense that we constructed  $N$ ,  $N \cap E'$  is also not measurable. It furthermore follows that  $m^*(N \cap E') = m^*(E')$  since we construct  $E$  as a disjoint union of open intervals all of which are strict subsets of the respective  $I_i$  forming  $E$ .

To complete this statement, we must show that the outer measure of these subsets, say  $I'_i$  intersect  $T_i(N_{(0,1)})$  have measure  $m(I'_i)$ . Outer measure is importantly additive, therefore

$$\begin{aligned} m^*(I_i) &= m^*(I'_i) + m^*(I_i^c \cap I_i) = m^*(T_i(N_{(0,1)})) \\ &= m^*((T(N_{(0,1)}) \cap I'_i)^c \cap I_i) + m^*(I'_i) \\ \implies m^*(I'_i) &= m^*(T(N_{(0,1)}) \cap I'_i) \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

using Lemma 20. This completes the proof.  $\square$

52. Show the following theorem.

**Theorem 5.** *If  $f$  is a measurable function then*

$$(dp(\mathcal{U}f) \cap \mathcal{U}f)^y = dp(\mathcal{U}f^y) \cap \mathcal{U}f^y. \quad (11)$$

*Proof.* We must show that every  $x \in (dp(\mathcal{U}f) \cap \mathcal{U}f)^y = dp(\mathcal{U}f^y) \cap \mathcal{U}f^y$  is also a member of  $dp(\mathcal{U}f^y) \cap \mathcal{U}f^y$ . If  $x \in (dp(\mathcal{U}f) \cap \mathcal{U}f)^y$  then equivalently we have

$$x \in \{p = (\rho, \gamma) \mid \gamma = y \wedge p \in \mathcal{U}f \wedge p \text{ density point of } \mathcal{U}f\} \quad (12)$$

By Theorem 49, we have that  $\rho$  is a density point of  $\mathcal{U}f^y$ . Next we must show that if  $\gamma = y$  and  $p \in \mathcal{U}f$  then  $x \in \mathcal{U}f^y$ . This however is the definitions of  $\mathcal{U}f^y$ . So it must be that  $x \in dp(\mathcal{U}f^y) \cap \mathcal{U}f^y$ .

In the opposite direction we can again use the same logic. Theorem 49 puts  $x \in \mathcal{U}f^y$  in  $(\mathcal{U}f)^y$ . Applying the same under graph logic as before the proof is complete.  $\square$