# MATH H104: Homework 6

William Guss 26793499 wguss@berkeley.edu

October 7, 2015

## 2 A Taste of Topology

115. Rotate the unit circle by a fixed angle  $\alpha$ , say  $R: S \to S$ .

(a) Show the following.

**Theorem 1.** If  $\alpha/\pi$  is rational, each orbit of R is a finite set.

Proof. Simple! Since  $d = \alpha/\pi \in \mathbb{Q}$  we have that the rotation is equivalently d = p/q. Then, multiplication of d by two times its reciprocal is 2. Under such a relation,  $2\alpha\pi$  is a complete rotation from the origin of the orbit. Thereafter. That is by eventual partial rotation, we achieve the identity element of the orbit. The orbital group must be finite since only a finite rational amount of rotations were required to achieve this identity.

126. Prove the following.

**Theorem 2.** If E is an unvountable subset of  $\mathbb{R}$ , then there exsists a point at which E condenses.

Proof. We know that  $p \in E$  is a condensation point iff for every r > 0  $\mathbb{R}_r(p)$  contains uncountably many points of E. We wish to show this by using a decimal expansion of p. There exists an interval [n, n+1) containing uncountably many elements of E. Suppose for the sake of contradiction that there were no particulart interval in which uncountable elements of E resided.Intuitively, this means that at the least there is a collection of intervals  $\{I_i = [i, i+1)\}$  such that  $\bigcup_i I_i \supset E$ , and furthermore for each  $I_i, E \cap I_i$  is countable. Since there is a rational in each of these intervals the collection of intervals is countable. Therefore the whole set E must be countable, a contradiction to E uncountable.

Let the containing interval be  $E_0$ . We will use the following notation for sub intervals. Let  $I_i^k$  denote the interval of the form

$$I_i^k = \left[ \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{\omega_j}{10^j}, \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{\omega_j}{10^j} + \frac{i}{10^{k+1}} \right]$$

We want to show that for every k there exists a sequence of  $\omega_k$  such that  $I_i^k$  is uncountable for some i. Let  $I^0 = E_0$  be uncountable with  $\omega_0 = n$ . Furthermore, if  $I_i^k$  is satisfied by some i, let  $\omega_{k+1} = i$ .

Suppose that  $E_k = I_i^k$  for a satisfying i is uncountable. Then we wish to show that  $E_k$  contains a subinterval where uncountably many elements of E reside. Suppose for the sake of contradiction that for every i,  $I_i^{k+1} \cap E$  is countable. Because i is finite and enumerable, we have that  $\bigcup_i I_i^{k+1} \cap E$  is countable which is a contradiction to  $I_{\omega_k}^k = E_k \cap E$  being uncountable. So there is a subinterval  $I_i^{k+1}$  which contains uncountably many elements of E. Choose  $\omega_{k+1} := i$ .

Therefore by the induction hypothesis,  $E_k$  is uncountable for all k. Lastly we must show that there is a p common to all  $E_k$  and then that for every  $\epsilon$  neighboorhood of p, there are uncountably many elements of E therein. Let

$$p_n = \omega_1.\omega_2\omega_3\ldots\omega_n$$

. For every  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists an  $N = \log_{10} \epsilon^{-1}$  such that for all  $m, n \geq N$ , where without loss of generality n > m,

$$|\omega_0.\omega_1...\omega_n - \omega_0.\omega_1...\omega_m| = 10^{-m}|\omega_m...\omega_n| \le 10^{-M} = \epsilon.$$

Hence  $p_n \to p \in E$  by the completeness of  $\mathbb{R}$  and for all r > 0 consider  $E_j$  such that j is the ceiling of  $\log_{10} r^-1+1$ . The set  $E_j \subset \mathbb{R}_r(p)$  contains uncountably many elements of E as aforementioned, and so every r neighboorhood of  $p \in E$  contains uncountably many points, and p is a cluster point.

This completes the proof.

- 127. The metric space M is separable if and only iof it contains a countable dense subset.
  - (a) Prove the following.

**Theorem 3.** The metric space  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is separable.

*Proof.* Consider the following dense subset  $\mathbb{Q}^n \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ . Since  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}} = \mathbb{R}$ , the closure holds over cartesian product. Therefore,  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is separable.

(b) Prove the following.

**Theorem 4.** Every compact metric space M is separable.

*Proof.* We wish to show that there is a countable subset of M whose closure is M. First observe that  $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} M_{\frac{1}{n}}(p) = \{p\}$ . This fact will help us observe an interesting notion about finite subcoverings of M.

Let  $\mathcal{V}_n$  be the family of open neighboorhoods of all points in M with radius 1/n. Clearly  $\mathcal{V}_n$  is a covering of M, and by M compact there is a finite subcovering, say  $\mathcal{V}_n^f = V_{n,1}, \ldots V_{n,k_n}$  where  $k_n$  is cardinality of this finite subcovering. Consider the intersection of all such finite sub-coverings; that is,

$$P = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{V}_n^f = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{m=1}^{k_n} V_{n,m} = \bigcup_{m'=1}^{\infty} p_{m'}.$$

We observe some fundamenmtal facts about P. Clearly P is countable since each  $\mathcal{V}_n^f$  is finite and as  $n \to \infty$ ,  $\mathcal{V}_n^f$  becomes countable. The previous assertion that P is a set of singletons follows from the observation that the intesection of the open ball approaches a singleton. Lastly, since for all n,  $\overline{\bigcup \mathcal{V}_n^f} = M$ , it follows that  $\overline{P} = M$ . Hence M is separable.

- 128. Using results from the previous exercise prove the following theorems.
  - (a) Separable spaces:

**Theorem 5.** Every metric subspace of a separable metric space is separable.

*Proof.* If M is a separable metric space and  $Q \subset M$  is a dense countable subset, then consider a metric subspace  $A \subset M$ . The topological base of A is a subset of the topological base of M. Since M is separable the topological base of M is countable, which implies that the topological base of A is countable. By the first theorem of exercise 131, A is separable.

**Theorem 6.** Every subspace of a compact metric space is separable.

*Proof.* Since a compact metric space is separable by exercise 127 its submetric space is separable by the previous theorem.  $\Box$ 

- (b) The property is topological as can be seen in the proof of the converse of the first theorem of 131. We only require that M have open neighboorhoods.
- (c) Yes since continuity preserves second countability which thereby preserves separability.
- 129. Consider the line composed of uncountable disjoint unit intervals which themseleves are isometric to [0,1). Such a set could not possibly have a countable base and therefore is not separable.
- 130. Prove the following.
  - (a) Countable topological base.

Theorem 7.  $\mathcal{B}$  is countable.

*Proof.* Since every ball has rational coordinate and a rational radius, assign to each ball the rational (m+1)-tuple. This gives a bijective mapping  $M: \mathbb{Q}^{m+1} \to \mathcal{B}$ . Hence  $\mathcal{B} \sim \mathbb{Q}^{m+1} \sim \mathbb{N}$ .

(b) Topological bijection.

**Theorem 8.** If A is an open subset then there is a counable union of some subfamily of  $\mathcal{B}$  which is equal to A.

*Proof.* We wish to find a  $\mathcal{V} \subset \mathcal{B}$  such that  $\bigcup \mathcal{V} = A$ . Consider the set  $\mathbb{Q}^m \cap A = A_{\mathbb{Q}}$ . Let the following subfamily be

$$\mathcal{A}_n = \left\{ \mathbb{R}^m_{1/n}(p) \mid p \in A_{\mathbb{Q}}, d(p,q) < \frac{1}{n} \implies q \in A \right\} \subset \mathcal{B}.$$

Clearly  $\bigcup \mathcal{A}_n$  is open. Furthermore  $\bigcup_n^{\infty} \mathcal{A}_n \subset \mathcal{B}$  is countable since  $\mathcal{B}$  is countable. It follows then that  $\bigcup (\bigcup_n^{\infty} \mathcal{A}_n)$  is countable. Let  $\mathcal{V} = \bigcup_n^{\infty} \mathcal{A}_n$ .

We claim  $\bigcup \mathcal{V} = A$ . Suppose for the sake of contradiction that this was not true; that is, there exists an  $x \in A$  such that for all  $n, x \notin \bigcup \mathcal{A}_n$ . Since A is open there exists an  $x \in A$  such that d(x,q) < 2r implies that  $q \in A$ . Choose N such that 1/N < r, then take  $y \in A_{\mathbb{Q}}$  such that d(x,y) < 1/N. It is true that  $x \in \mathbb{R}^m_{1/N}(y)$  and therefore  $x \in \bigcup \mathcal{A}_N$  which is a contradiction! So the countable union  $\bigcup V$  must be entirely A.

Conversely, if M has a countable base for its topology,

#### 131. Prove the following results.

**Theorem 9.** M is a separable metric space, with dense copuntable subset Q if and only if it has a countable base for its topology.

*Proof.* Consider the family of open balls in M denoted

$$\mathcal{B} = \{ M_r(q) \mid q \in Q, r \in \mathbb{Q} \} .$$

We claim that this family is a countable topological base if Q is a dense countable subset (lattice) in M. The base is countable since  $\mathcal{B} \sim Q \times \mathbb{Q} \sim Q \times \mathbb{N} \sim \mathbb{N}$ . Take somew open subset  $A \subset M$ . We denote the separation of A,  $A \cap Q = A_Q$ . Let the following subfamily be Let the following subfamily be

$$\mathcal{A}_n = \left\{ M_{1/n}(p) \mid p \in A_Q, d(p,q) < \frac{1}{n} \implies q \in A \right\} \subset \mathcal{B}.$$

Since  $A_n \subset \mathcal{B}$ , we know that  $A_n$  is countable and therefore  $\bigcup A_n$  is open.

Let  $\mathcal{V} = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{A}_n$  be the family of all rational  $\epsilon$ -neighborhoods over the Q lattice in A. Clearly  $\mathcal{V}$  is countable and all  $B \in \mathcal{V}$  are open. We claim  $\bigcup \mathcal{V} = A$ . Suppose for the sake of contradiction that this was not true; that is, there exists an  $x \in A$  such that for all  $n, x \notin \bigcup \mathcal{A}_n$ . Since A is open there exists an r > 0 such that d(x, q) < 2r implies that  $q \in A$ . Choose N such that 1/N < r, then take  $y \in A_Q$  such that d(x, y) < 1/N (such a y exists since  $A_Q$  is dense in A). It is true that  $x \in M_{1/N}(y)$  and therefore  $x \in \bigcup \mathcal{A}_N$  which is a contradiction to  $x \notin \mathcal{V}$ ! So the countable union  $\bigcup \mathcal{V}$  must be entirely A.

In the converse direction, suppose that M has a separable base,  $\mathcal{B}$ . Then for all  $B_n \in \mathcal{B}$  assume without loss of generality that  $B_n$  is non empty. Let  $Q = \{x_n \in B_n \mid B_n \in \mathcal{B}\}$ . Clearly Q is countable. Now we have to check if Q's closure is M. Take any open subset of M say A. Since A can be constructed by the union of finitely many  $B_n$ , there exists an  $x_n \in A$ . For any element y of M and every open neighborhood of y, there is an  $x_n \in Q$  therein contained. This implies that y is a limit of Q. We have shown that every point of M is a limit of Q, therefore,  $\overline{Q} = M$ , and Q is a dense set in M.

This completes the proof.

**Theorem 10.** Every compact metric space has a countable base for its topology.

*Proof.* Simple! Every compact space is separable and therefore has a countable base.

## 133. Prove the following.

(a) Clustering in the reals.

**Theorem 11.** An uncountable subset of  $\mathbb{R}$  clusters at some point of  $\mathbb{R}$ .

*Proof.* This follows by part (b).

(b) Clustering.

**Theorem 12.** An uncountable subset of  $\mathbb{R}$  clusters at some point of itself.

*Proof.* By (c) an uncountable subset condenses at some point of itself and therefore it clusters at some point of itself.

- (c) What about  $\mathbb{R}^m$  instead of  $\mathbb{R}$ ? By part (e) and the separability of  $\mathbb{R}^m$ .
- (d) What about any compact metric space? By part (e) and the previous exercises, the results hold.
- (e) What about any separable metric space?

**Theorem 13.** If M is a separable metric space, and A is an uncountable subset of M, then A condenses at uncountably many points of itself.

*Proof.* Simple! We will show the thorem by providing a contradiction to the fact that separability is tautological with a contable topological base. Let  $\mathcal B$  the countable base of M. Then we wish to show that if A is uncountable it contains one of its condensation points. Suppose that it did not. Then for every open set in A the intersection of the base and E is countable, finite, or empty. It is mnow possible to find a base whose union is is a. That is E is the countable union of countable sets which is absurd. So a must contain at least one of its condensation points. Now if A contains a aset C of condensation points, suppose they are countable. Then  $A \setminus C$  is an uncountable set which does not contain any condensation points which is a contradiction. This completes the proof.

### 152. Greens theorem (pay attention to punctuation):

If E is 2-cell, and  $\phi$  is 1-form, then over E's  $\partial$ , the trace must transform

The real volume may be, with  $\phi$ 's' infintesmal again over E, f totally equal.