MATH 185: Homework 1

William Guss 26793499 wguss@berkeley.edu January 25, 2016

1. Show that multiplication of complex numbers satisfies the associative, commutative, and distributive laws.

Theorem 1. Given that \mathbb{C} is Abelian under addition, \mathbb{C} is a field.

Proof. Let $a, b, c \in \mathbb{C}$. Then recall that for any $z \in \mathbb{C}$, $z = |z|e^{i\theta_z}$, where $\theta_z = Argz$. We show that \mathbb{C} satisfies associative, commutative, and distributive laws.

Using that \mathbb{R} is a field, it follows that

$$(ab)c = (|a|e^{i\theta_a}|b|e^{i\theta_b})|c|e^{i\theta_c}$$

$$= |a||b|e^{i(\theta_a + \theta_b)}|c|e^{i\theta_c}$$

$$= |a||b||c|e^{i(\theta_a + \theta_b + \theta_c)}$$

$$= |a|e^{i\theta_a}|b||c|e^{i(\theta_b + \theta_c)}$$

$$= a(bc).$$

$$(1)$$

Without the assumption of eulers identity, we have that

$$(ab)c = ((a_1 + ia_2)(b_1 + ib_2))(c_1 + ic_2)$$

$$= ((a_1b_1 - a_2b_2) + (a_1b_2 + a_2b_1)i)(c_1 + ic_2)$$

$$= ((a_1b_1 - a_2b_2)c_1 - (a_1b_2 + a_2b_1)c_2)$$

$$+ ((a_1b_1 - a_2b_2)c_2 + (a_1b_2 + a_2b_1)c_1)i$$

$$= a_1b_1c_1 - a_2b_2c_1 - a_1b_2c_2 + a_2b_1c_2$$

$$+ (a_1b_1c_2 - a_2b_2c_2 + a_1b_2c_1 + a_2b_1c_1)i$$

$$= a_1(b_1c_1 - b_2c_2) - a_2(b_2c_1 + b_1c_2)$$

$$+ (a_1(b_1c_2 + b_2c_1) - a_2(b_2c_2 + b_1c_1))i$$

$$= (a_1 + a_2i)((b_1c_1 - b_2c_2) + (b_1c_2 + b_2c_1)i)$$

$$= a(bc).$$

$$(2)$$

In a similar fashion, consider the following rearrangement which follows by the field properties of \mathbb{R} :

$$ab = (a_1b_1 - a_2b_2) + (a_1b_2 + a_2b_1)i$$

= $(b_1a_1 - b_2a_2) + (b_2a_1 + b_1a_2)i$
= ba . (3)

Lastly we show the distributive property:

$$a(b+c) = a(b_1 + b_2i + c_1 + c_2i)$$

$$= a((b_1 + c_1) + (b_2 + c_2)i)$$

$$= (a_1(b_1 + c_1) - a_2(b_2 + c_2)) + (a_1(b_2 + c_2) + a_2(b_1 + c_1))i$$

$$= (a_1b_1 - a_2b_2) + (a_1c_1 - a_2c_2) + (a_1b_2 + a_2b_1)i + (a_1c_2 + a_2c_1)i$$

$$= ab + ac$$

$$(4)$$

Therefore \mathbb{C} is a ring.

2. Gamelin Exercise I.1.7 (Chapter I, Section 1, Exercise 7)

Theorem 2. Let $\rho > 1$, $\rho \neq 1$ and fix $z_0, z_1 \in \mathbb{C}$. Then

$$S = \{ |z - z_0| = \rho |z - z_1| : z \in \mathbb{C} \}$$

is isometric to some $S_r^1 \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ for some r.

Proof. Since all $s \in S$ satisfy the above equation, we have that

$$\sqrt{(s_1 - z_{01})^2 + (s_2 - z_{02})^2} = \rho \sqrt{((s_1 - z_{11})^2 + (s_2 - z_{12})^2}.$$
 (5)

The form of (5) is identical to a distance meterization in \mathbb{R}^2 ; that is, take the isometry $\phi: \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{R}^2$, $((x+iy) \mapsto (x,y))$ and

$$d(\phi(s), \phi(z_0)) = \rho d(\phi(s), \phi(z_1)) \frac{d(S, Z_0)}{d(S, Z_1)} = \rho, \tag{6}$$

which from high school geometry one might recognize as the equation of the circle of Appolonius. $\hfill\Box$

The geometric proof of a equivalency between Appolonius' circle and the Euclidean circle is omitted.

However, if we take the euclidean distance on \mathbb{R}^2 , we have the following theorem.

Theorem 3. Suppose that $P, Q \in \mathbb{R}^2$ and S such that

$$\frac{\overline{PS}}{\overline{OS}} = k \in (0,1)[WLOG],$$

then S is a point on a circle.

Proof. Observe the following algebraic derivation using the parallelagram law inspired by J Wilson at the University of Georgia:

$$\frac{|P-S|^2}{|Q-S|^2} = k^2$$

$$|P|^2 + |S|^2 - 2\langle P, S \rangle = k^2(|Q|^2 + |S|^2 - 2\langle Q, S \rangle)$$

$$0 = |P|^2 + |S|^2 - 2\langle P, S \rangle - k^2(|Q|^2 + |S|^2 - 2\langle Q, S \rangle)$$

$$= (1 - k^2)|S|^2 + |P|^2 - k^2|Q|^2 - 2\langle P - Q, k^2 S \rangle \qquad = |S|^2 + \frac{|P|^2}{1 - k^2} - \frac{k^2}{k^2}|Q|$$
(7)

3. Gamelin Exercise I.2.5

Theorem 4. For $n \geq 1$ and $z \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $z \neq 1$, we have that

$$1 + z + z^{2} + \dots + z^{n} = (1 - z^{n+1})/(1 - z).$$
(8)

Proof. Observe that for $z \in \mathbb{C}$ we have that, $z = e^{i\theta}$. Therefore,

$$e^{i0} + e^{i\theta} + e^{i2\theta} + \dots + e^{in\theta} = 1 + z + z^2 + \dots + z^n$$
 (9)

Multiplication by (1-z) gives,

$$(1 - e^{i\theta})e^{i0} + e^{i\theta} + e^{i2\theta} + \dots + e^{in\theta} = e^{i0} + e^{i\theta} + e^{i2\theta} + \dots + e^{in\theta}$$
$$- e^{i(0+\theta)} + e^{i(\theta+\theta)} + e^{i(2\theta+\theta)} + \dots + e^{i(n\theta+\theta)}$$
$$= e^{i0} - e^{i(n\theta+\theta)}$$
$$= 1 - z^{n+1}.$$
 (10)

Reducing using eulers identity it follows that,

$$(1-z)(1+z+z^2+\cdots+z^n) = (1-z^{n+1})$$

$$1+z+z^2+\cdots+z^n = (1-z^{n+1})/(1-z),$$
(11)

when $z \neq 1$. This completes the proof.

Theorem 5. For $n \geq 1$ and $z \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $z \neq 1$, we have that

$$1 + \cos \theta + \cos 2\theta + \dots + \cos n\theta = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\sin(n + \frac{1}{2})}{2\sin \theta/2}$$
 (12)

Proof. Recall that $z=rcis\theta$. Take in particular all such z whose absolute magnitude is unity. Then Theorem 4 implies that

$$1 + cis\theta + cis2\theta + \dots + cisn\theta = (1 - z^{n+1})/(1 - z). \tag{13}$$

A little algebra gives us

$$\frac{Re(1 - cis(n+1)\theta)}{Re(1 - cis\theta)} = \frac{Re(1 - e^{(n+1)\theta})Re(1 - e^{-i\theta})}{Re(1 - e^{i\theta})Re(1 - e^{-i\theta})}$$

$$= \frac{Re(1 - e^{i\theta} - e^{i(n+1)\theta} + e^{in\theta})}{Re(2 - 2cos\theta)}$$

$$= \frac{1 - \cos\theta - \cos(n+1)\theta + \cos n\theta}{4\sin^2(\theta/2)}$$

$$= \frac{2\sin^2\theta/2 - \sin(n+1/2)\sin(\theta/2)}{4\sin^2(\theta/2)}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} - \frac{\sin(n+1/2)}{2\sin(\theta/2)}$$
(14)

Since the above was the real part of $1+z+z^2+\cdots+z^n$, the theorem holds. \Box