## Math 113 — Problem Set 4 — William Guss

(P28. 37) Suppose that \* is an associative and commutative binary operation on a set S. Show that

 $H = \{a \in S \mid a*a = a\}$  is closed under \*.

Proof. We need show for every  $(a,b) \in H \times H$ ,  $a*b \in H$ . Clearly  $a*b \in S$ , we claim that  $(a*b)*(a*b) \in H$ . Essentially (a\*b)\*(a\*b) = (a\*b)\*(b\*a) by commutativity. Then (a\*b)\*(b\*a) = a\*(b\*b)\*a = a\*b\*a by associativity and idempotents of b. Finally a\*b\*a = b\*a\*a = b\*(a\*a) = b\*a = a\*b by commutativity, associativity, and commutativity again. Therefore a\*b is idemopotent and in H, so H is closed under \*.

**(P34. 4)** Determine whether or not  $\phi$  is an isomorphism between  $\langle \mathbb{Z}, + \rangle$  and  $\langle \mathbb{Z}, + \rangle$  when  $\phi(n) = n + 1, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

Claim. The mapping is not an isomorphism.

*Proof.* We will show that despite the bijection of  $\phi$ , it is not a homomorphism. We first show that  $\phi: \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}$  is bijective. Clearly for ever  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\phi^{pre}(n) = n + (-1) \in \mathbb{Z}$  so the map is surjective. Next, the map is injective since every successor of an integer is unique by Piano's axioms. Hence  $\phi$  is a bijection. But consider  $\phi(n+m) = (n+m) + 1 = (n+1) + m \neq (n+1) + (m+1) = \phi(n) + \phi(m)$ , therefore the mapping is not a homomorphism. This completes the proof of the claim.

**(P34. 6)** Determine whether or not  $\phi$  is an isomorphism between  $\langle \mathbb{Q}, \cdot \rangle$  and  $\langle \mathbb{Q}, \cdot \rangle$  when  $\phi(x) = x^2$  for  $x \in \mathbb{Q}$ .

**Claim**. The mapping is not an isomorphism.

*Proof.* We need only show that  $\phi$  is not a bijection. If  $\phi$  is an isomorphism then it is in an invertible mapping. Therefore take  $\phi^{-1}(2) = \sqrt{2} \notin \mathbb{Q}$ . This is a contradiction to the surjection of the inverse, therefore  $\phi$  is not an isomorphism.

**(P28. 7)** Determine whether or not  $\phi$  is an isomorphism between  $\langle \mathbb{R}, \cdot \rangle$  and  $\langle \mathbb{R}, \cdot \rangle$  where  $\phi(x) = x^3$ . Claim. The mapping is an isomorphism.

*Proof.* We first show the bijection. Clearly if  $y \neq x$  then without loss of generality y > x and  $\phi(y) > \phi(x)$  by the monotonicity of  $x^3$ , (to see this, observe that the mapping preserves sign and if (y-x)>0 then  $(y-x)^3>0$ ), so the mapping is injective. For surjection, take  $a \in \mathbb{R}$  and observe that  $a^{1/3} \in \mathbb{R}$ , by the completeness of  $\mathbb{R}$  (take the sequence  $x_1 = a$ ,  $x_n = \frac{1}{n} \left[ (n-1)x_{n-1} + \frac{a}{x_{n-1}^3} \right]$ , and see its cauchyness, then reverse Newton's method to see that the 3rd power exponentiation of the limit tends to a.) So the mapping is bijective.

Now  $\phi(ab) = (ab)^3 = a^3b^3 = \phi(a)\phi(b)$  and the mapping is a homormorphism by the distributive power law.

This completes the proof.  $\Box$ 

**(P45. 9)** Show that the group  $\langle U, \cdot \rangle$  is not isomorphic to either  $\langle \mathbb{R}, + \rangle$  and  $\langle \mathbb{R}, \cdot \rangle$ .

*Proof.* Since all sets have the same cardinality, it must only be that  $\langle U, \cdot \rangle$  does not share structural properties with either of the real groups. It suffices to show that  $\langle U, \cdot \rangle \not\simeq \langle \mathbb{R}, \cdot \rangle$ , since  $\langle \mathbb{R}, \cdot \rangle \simeq \langle \mathbb{R}, + \rangle$  and isomorphisms form an equivalence relation on families of groups.

Structurally, take any  $z \in U$  so that  $\theta = Arg(z)$ , then  $\theta/2\pi \in \mathbb{R}$  so  $Arg(z^{n2\pi/\theta}) = n2\pi$  and so  $z^{n2\pi/\theta} = 1$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . It is not the case that for every  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  there is are  $a, r \in \mathbb{R}$  so that  $x^{\phi(r)} = a$  where  $\phi(r)$  is a set so that  $p, q \in \phi(r) \implies p - q = nr \land p, q > 0$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Take  $x = 2, x^{r>0}$  increases monotonically :(. Since this cyclicity property is not common, there could not be a homormorphism, and so the groups are not isomorphic.

**(P46. 13)** Determine if the set S of  $n \times n$  matrices with no zero diagonal enteries is a group under matrix multiplication.

Claim. The set S is not a group.

*Proof.* Consider the multiplication following two elements in S,

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -1 & -1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

So the set is not even closed under multiplication.

**(P46. 17)** Determine if the set S of  $n \times n$  upper triangular matrices with determinant 1 under matrix multiplication is a group.

Claim. The set S is a group.

Proof. From linear algebra we know that a matrix is invertible if and only if it has determinant  $D \neq 0$ . Therefore every member of S is a full rank matrix. We now show that S is closed under matrix multiplication by the determinant laws from linear algebra. If  $A, B \in S$  then det(AB) = det(A)det(B) = 1 so AB is invertible and thereby upper triangular. It follows that AB is closed under multiplication. From the chapter we know the set of invertible  $n \times n$  matrices is the general linear group. Since invertibility if and only if determinant non-zero, it follows that S is a sub-group, inheriting associativity of multiplication from  $GL(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

**(P49. 37)** Let G be a group and let  $a, bc \in G$ . Show that if a \* b \* c = e then b \* c \* a = e.

*Proof.* If a\*b\*c=e then  $a=c^{-1}b^{-1}$ ,  $c=b^{-1}a^{-1}$  and  $b=a^{-1}*c^{-1}$ . Then  $a*b*c*(c*b*a)^{-1}=a*b*c*a^{-1}*b^{-1}*c^{-1}$ . Then by a\*b\*c=e we have  $a*b*c*a^{-1}*b^{-1}*c^{-1}=c^{-1}*b^{-1}*a^{-1}*a^{-1}*a^{-1}*b^{-1}*c^{-1}=a*b^{-1}*c^{-1}=a*b*c*b^{-1}*c^{-1}=b^{-1}*c^{-1}$  so  $c*b*a^{-1}=b^{-1}*c^{-1}=a^{-1}*b^{-1}*c^{-1}=a^{-1}*b^{-1}*c^{-1}$  so  $a^{-1}=a$  without loss of generality, and  $b*c*a=b*c*b*c=a^{-1}*b*c=a*b*c=e$ . This completes the proof.