Convex Optimization

MTech IS 2019

1 Presentation

The goal of this test is to design and test an automatic spam filter using convex programming. In order to design such a filter, you will design function that can automatically predict if a given email is a spam or not. The functions will be automatically optimized to be as accurate as possible using a dataset of known undesirable and normal emails.

Each email is a text. In order to process them easily, each text is converted into a vector of positive scalar of dimension 57. Most of these 57 features are the frequencies of particular words that have been observed to be important to decide whether an e-mail is a spam or not, e.g., words like credit, free or meeting¹.

In order to design your spam filter you can use a set of 500 emails converted into 57-dimensional vectors, together with their classes +1 (spam) or -1 (non-spam). These data are avaible in the respective variables xtrain and ytrain. Once you have designed a nice filter, you can test it on a set of 2000 additional e-mails stored in the variable xtest, and compare your prediction with the correct class stored in the variable ytest. All data are stored in the file

spamdata.mat

A precise description is avaible at http://www.ics.uci.edu/

mlearn/databases/spambase/spambase names

2 Building the filter: general strategy

Let x_1, \ldots, x_n be the n = 500 vectors of dimension p = 57 available for training. We will investigate filters that compute a score for each vector x by an affine function:

$$f(x) = w^{\top} x + b,$$

and predict that the e-mail represented by the vector x is a spam if f(x) > 0, or is a normal e-mail if $f(x) \le 0$. We denote by y_1, \ldots, y_n the spam indicator variable, i.e., $y_i = 1$ if x_i is a spam, $y_i = -1$ otherwise. The function f is defined by the vector w and the scalar b which we must set by fitting the training set of e-mails according to the following principles:

- f(x) should be positive if y = +1, negative if y = -1. This means that in all cases yf(x) should be "as positive as possible".
- Because the value of f(x) can be arbitrarily increased by changing the scale of w, we must control this scaling, e.g., make sure ||w|| is not too large.

We will therefore investigate below filters f based on the minimization of the function:

$$\min_{w,b} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} L(y_i(w^{\top} x_i + b)) + \gamma ||w||^2$$
 (1)

where L is usually a decreasing function and $\gamma > 0$ is a parameter. This formulation will find a trade-off between the first term (increasing yf(x) on the training set) and the second one (making sure that f is not arbitrarily large because ||w|| is large). Different functions L will lead to different algorithms.

3 Hard-margin support vector machines

Here we take:

$$L(u) = \begin{cases} +\infty & \text{if } u < 1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

- 3.1. In this case rewrite (1) as a quadratic program (QP). When is it feasible? (give a geometric interpretation)
- 3.2. Write the dual problem. Does strong duality hold?
- 3.3. Write KKT conditions. Can you give an interpretation to the complementary slackness conditions?

- 3.4. How to you recover w and b if you solve the dual problem?
- 3.5. Implement the primal problem and solve it on the training set of 500 emails. What do you observe?
- 3.6. Implement the dual problem and solve it on the training set of 500 emails. What do you observe?
- 3.7.. Repeat 3.4. and 3.5. on the first 50 examples of the training set only. What do you observe?

4 Soft-margin support vector machines

Here we take:

$$L(u) = \begin{cases} 1 - u & \text{if } u < 1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

- 4.1. In this case rewrite (1) as a quadratic program (QP). Is it feasible? (hint: introduce slack variables)
- 4.2. Write the dual problem. Does strong duality hold?
- 4.3. Write KKT conditions. Can you give an interpretation to the complementary slackness conditions?
- 4.4. How do you recover w and b from a solution of the dual?
- 4.5. Implement the primal and the dual, optimize them on the training set for $\gamma = 10^{-3}$. Compare w and b obtained by the primal and the dual.
- 4.4. Optimize the primal problem on the training set, and plot the curves of percentage of errors on the training and on the test set as a function of $\log_2(\gamma)$, for $\log_2(\gamma)$ in the range [-15:5]. What do you observe?

5 Regularized logistic regression

Here we take:

$$L(u) = \log(1 + e^u) - u$$

- 5.1. Show that (1) is then an unconstrained convex problem with smooth (twice differentiable) objective function.
- 5.2. Compute the gradient and the Hessian of the objective function.
- 5.2. Implement the problem and plot the curves of training and testing errors as a function of $\log_2(\gamma)$, for $\log_2(\gamma)$ in the range [-15:5]). What do you observe?