# **Maximum Likelihood Estimation**

Nipun Batra

August 13, 2023

IIT Gandhinagar

# Agenda

Revision - Prior, Posterior, MLE, MAP

Distributions, IID

MLE

MLE for Univariate Normal Distribution

Revision - Prior, Posterior, MLE,


**MAP** 

# Distributions, IID

Notebook


# **Graphical model**

Assume model parameters are  $\theta$  and data is D. We can write the joint probability distribution as:



# **Graphical model**

Assume model parameters are  $\theta$  and data is D. We can write the joint probability distribution as:



#### **Factorisation**

$$P(D|\theta) = P(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n | \theta)$$
  
=  $P(x_1|\theta) \cdot P(x_2|\theta) \cdot \dots \cdot P(x_n|\theta)$ 

# **MLE**

We have three courses: C1, C2, C3. Assume no student takes more than one course. The scores of students in these courses are normally distributed with the following parameters:

- C1:  $\mu_1 = 80, \sigma_1 = 10$
- C2:  $\mu_2 = 70, \sigma_2 = 10$
- C3:  $\mu_3 = 90, \sigma_3 = 5$

We have three courses: C1, C2, C3. Assume no student takes more than one course. The scores of students in these courses are normally distributed with the following parameters:

- C1:  $\mu_1 = 80, \sigma_1 = 10$
- C2:  $\mu_2 = 70, \sigma_2 = 10$
- C3:  $\mu_3 = 90, \sigma_3 = 5$

I randomly pick up a student and ask them their marks. They say 82. Which course do you think they are from? To keep things simple, for now assume that all three courses have equal number of students.


We have three courses: C1, C2, C3. Assume no student takes more than one course. The scores of students in these courses are normally distributed with the following parameters:

- C1:  $\mu_1 = 80, \sigma_1 = 10$
- C2:  $\mu_2 = 70, \sigma_2 = 10$
- C3:  $\mu_3 = 90, \sigma_3 = 5$


I randomly pick up a student and ask them their marks. They say 82. Which course do you think they are from?

Most likely C1. But why?

Let us plot the probability density functions of the three courses.



Let us plot the probability density functions of the three courses.



Notebook

Let us say we observed a value of 20. We know it came from a normal distribution with  $\sigma=1$ . What is the most likely value of  $\mu$ ?

Let us say we observed a value of 20. We know it came from a normal distribution with  $\sigma=1$ . What is the most likely value of  $\mu$ ?

20. But why?

Let us say we observed a value of 20. We know it came from a normal distribution with  $\sigma=1$ . What is the most likely value of  $\mu$ ?

20. But why?

Let us evaluate probability density function at 20 for different values of  $\mu$  for  $\sigma=1$ , i.e.,  $f(x=20|\mu,\sigma=1)$ .

Let us say we observed a value of 20. We know it came from a normal distribution with  $\sigma=1$ . What is the most likely value of  $\mu$ ?

20. But why?

Let us evaluate probability density function at 20 for different values of  $\mu$  for  $\sigma=1$ , i.e.,  $f(x=20|\mu,\sigma=1)$ .

Importantly, this is a function of  $\mu$  and not x (which is fixed at 20).

Notebook

Let us now go back to our original problem. We have three courses: C1, C2, C3. Assume no student takes more than one course.

We ask two students their marks. The first student says 82 and the second student says 72. Which course do you think they are from? Assumption: Both are from the same course.

Let us create a table of probabilities for each course:

# **MLE for Univariate Normal**

Distribution

#### **Univariate Normal Distribution**

The probability density function of a univariate normal distribution is given by:

$$f(x|\mu,\sigma^2) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} \exp\left(-\frac{(x-\mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}\right)$$
 (1)

Let us assume we have a dataset  $D = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ , where each  $x_i$  is an independent sample from the above distribution. We want to estimate the parameters  $\theta = \{\mu, \sigma\}$  from the data.

Our likelihood function is given by:

$$P(D|\theta) = \mathcal{L}(\mu, \sigma^2) = \prod_{i=1}^n f(x_i|\mu, \sigma^2)$$
 (2)

### Log Likelihood Function

Log-likelihood function:

$$\log \mathcal{L}(\mu, \sigma^2) = \sum_{i=1}^n \log f(x_i | \mu, \sigma^2)$$
 (3)

Simplifying the above equation, we get:

$$\log \mathcal{L}(\mu, \sigma^2) = \sum_{i=1}^n \log f(x_i | \mu, \sigma^2)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^n \log \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} \exp\left(-\frac{(x_i - \mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}\right) \right)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^n \left( \log\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}}\right) + \log\left(\exp\left(-\frac{(x_i - \mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}\right) \right) \right)$$

$$\log \mathcal{L}(\mu, \sigma^2) = \sum_{i=1}^n \left( \log \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} \right) - \frac{(x_i - \mu)^2}{2\sigma^2} \right)$$
$$= \sum_{i=1}^n \left( -\frac{1}{2} \log(2\pi\sigma^2) - \frac{(x_i - \mu)^2}{2\sigma^2} \right)$$
$$= -\frac{n}{2} \log(2\pi\sigma^2) - \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \mu)^2$$

#### Log Likelihood Function for Univariate Normal Distribution

Log-likelihood function for normally distributed data is:

$$\log \mathcal{L}(\mu, \sigma^2) = -\frac{n}{2} \log(2\pi) - n \log(\sigma) - \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i - \mu)^2$$

# Maximum Likelihood Estimate for $\mu$

To find the MLE for  $\mu$ , we differentiate the log-likelihood function with respect to  $\mu$  and set it to zero:

$$\frac{\partial \log \mathcal{L}(\mu, \sigma^2)}{\partial \mu} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} \left( -\frac{n}{2} \log(2\pi\sigma^2) - \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \mu)^2 \right) = 0$$
$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} \left( \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \mu)^2 \right) = 0$$

#### Maximum Likelihood Estimate for $\mu$

MLE of  $\mu$ , denoted as  $\hat{\mu}_{\text{MLE}}$ , is given by:

$$\hat{\mu}_{\mathsf{MLE}} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i$$

### MLE for $\sigma$ for normally distributed data

Recall that the log-likelihood function is given by:

$$\log \mathcal{L}(\mu, \sigma^2) = \sum_{i=1}^n \log f(x_i | \mu, \sigma^2)$$
 (4)

Let us find the maximum likelihood estimate of  $\sigma^2$  now. We can do this by taking the derivative of the log-likelihood function with respect to  $\sigma^2$  and equating it to zero.

$$\frac{\partial \log \mathcal{L}(\mu, \sigma^2)}{\partial \sigma^2} = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial \log f(x_i | \mu, \sigma^2)}{\partial \sigma^2} = 0$$
 (5)

### MLE for $\sigma$ for normally distributed data

#### Log Likelihood Function for Univariate Normal Distribution

Log-likelihood function for normally distributed data is:

$$\log \mathcal{L}(\mu, \sigma^2) = -\frac{n}{2} \log(2\pi) - n \log(\sigma) - \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i - \mu)^2$$

Now, we can differentiate the log-likelihood function with respect to  $\sigma$  and equate it to zero.

## MLE for $\sigma$ for normally distributed data

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma} \log \mathcal{L}(\mu, \sigma^2) = -\frac{n}{\sigma} + \frac{1}{\sigma^3} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i - \mu)^2 = 0$$

Multiplying through by  $\sigma^3$ , we have:

$$-n\sigma^{2} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_{i} - \mu)^{2} = 0$$


#### Maximum Likelihood Estimate for $\sigma^2$

MLE of  $\sigma^2$ , denoted as  $\hat{\sigma}^2_{\rm MLE}$ , is given by:

$$\sigma^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i - \mu)^2$$

# Population v/s Sample


#### Distribution of the population:




# Population v/s Sample


#### Entire population:


$$\infty$$
 samples from  $\mathcal{N}(\mu,\sigma^2)$ 





# Population v/s Sample






















## Quality of Estimate from Sample Size = 3






















#### Quality of Estimate from Sample Size = 4






















#### Quality of Estimate from Sample Size = 5






















#### Quality of Estimate from Sample Size = 10




















#### Quality of Estimate from Sample Size = 100



## Quality of Estimate (of Variance) v/s Sample Size (N)




# Quality of Estimate (of Variance) v/s Sample Size (N)



Can you think of a way to improve the estimate of variance? Hint: Think of some function of the number of samples.

# Quality of Estimate (of Variance) v/s Sample Size (N)



Correction multiplactive factor for variance:

$$\frac{N}{N-1}$$

#### Bias of an Estimator

#### Bias of an Estimator

The bias of an estimator  $\hat{\theta}$  of a parameter  $\theta$  is defined as:

$$\mathsf{Bias}(\hat{ heta}) = \mathbb{E}(\hat{ heta}) - heta$$

where  $\mathbb{E}(\hat{\theta})$  is the expected value of the estimator  $\hat{\theta}$ .

- An estimator is said to be unbiased if  $Bias(\hat{\theta}) = 0$ .
- An estimator is said to be biased if  $\mathsf{Bias}(\hat{\theta}) \neq 0$ .