

## Post optimality analysis

24 February 2021 11:58

Note :

(i) In equal constraint,

Change it to less than or equal to and greater than or equal to.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ex) } x_1 + x_2 &= 5 \\ \Downarrow \\ \left. \begin{aligned} x_1 + x_2 &\leq 5 \\ x_1 + x_2 &\geq 5 \end{aligned} \right\} &\Rightarrow \begin{aligned} x_1 + x_2 &\leq 5 \\ -x_1 - x_2 &\leq -5 \end{aligned} \end{aligned}$$

2) While checking dual optimality condition for entering variable in IBFS, if  $\Delta_j \geq 0$  (for all non basic  $x_j$ ) the problem has no feasible solution.

3) Dual - primal Relation

$P \backslash D$	I	O	u
I	✓	X	✓
O	X	✓	X
u	✓	X	X

✓ possible  
X Impossible

I - infeasible  
O - optimal  
u - unbounded

Sensitivity Analysis

Post optimality Analysis(1) Changes affecting feasibility

Toy Co Problem (Introduction to operation Research)  
- Taha

$$\text{Max } Z = 3x_1 + 2x_2 + 5x_3$$

Cars  
trucks  
trains

s.t.

$$x_1 + 2x_2 + x_3 \leq 430 \quad (\text{operation 1})$$

$$3x_1 + 2x_3 \leq 460 \quad (\text{operation 2})$$

$$x_1 + 4x_2 \leq 420 \quad (\text{oper 3})$$

$$x_1, x_2, x_3 \geq 0$$

opt. solution.

$$Z = 1350, \quad x_1 = 0, \quad x_2 = 100, \quad x_3 = 230$$

420  
machine  
3

430

1 minute  
operation  
1\$/min

Dual

$$\text{Min } Z = 430 y_1 + 460 y_2 + 420 y_3$$

s.t.

$$y_1 + 3y_2 + y_3 \geq 3$$

$$2y_1 + 4y_3 \geq 2$$

$$y_1 + 2y_2 \geq 5$$

$$y_1, y_2, y_3 \geq 0$$

Soln

$$y_1 = 1$$

$$y_2 = 2$$

$$y_3 = 0$$

$$Z = 1350$$

2\$/m

no  
work

Primal optimal table

	$x_1$	$x_2$	$x_3$	$x_4$	$x_5$	$x_6$	Sol
$Z$	4	0	0	1	2	0	1350
$x_2$	$-\frac{1}{4}$	1	0	$\frac{1}{2}$	$-\frac{1}{4}$	0	100 ✓
$x_3$ ✓	$\frac{3}{2}$	0	1	0	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	230 ✓
$x_4$ ✓	2	0	0	-2	1	1	20 ✓
$x_7$							

$$AX = B$$

$$X = A^{-1}B$$

$$x_1 = 0 \checkmark$$

$$x_2 = 100 \checkmark$$

$$x_3 = 230 \checkmark$$

$$\{x_4\} = 0 \checkmark$$

$$x_5 = 20 \checkmark$$

$$x_6 = 20 \checkmark$$

$$x_7 = 20 \checkmark$$

$$x_8 = 20 \checkmark$$

$$x_9 = 20 \checkmark$$

$$x_{10} = 20 \checkmark$$

$$x_{11} = 20 \checkmark$$

$$x_{12} = 20 \checkmark$$

$$x_{13} = 20 \checkmark$$

$$x_{14} = 20 \checkmark$$

$$x_{15} = 20 \checkmark$$

$$x_{16} = 20 \checkmark$$

$$x_{17} = 20 \checkmark$$

$$x_{18} = 20 \checkmark$$

$$x_{19} = 20 \checkmark$$

$$x_{20} = 20 \checkmark$$

(1) If daily capacity of operation 1, 2 & 3 is increased to 600, 440, 590, what is the effect in total revenue?

New RHS in iteration i = Inverse in iteration i x New RHS constraint

$$\begin{pmatrix} x_2 \\ x_3 \\ x_6 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{4} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{2} & 0 \\ -2 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 600 \checkmark \\ 440 \\ 590 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} 140 \\ 320 \\ 30 \end{pmatrix} \text{ feasible}$$

New Soln is

$$x_2 = 140, \quad x_3 = 320$$

$$x_1 = 30$$

$$\text{New } Z = 1880$$

Increase in profit \$530

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Total Increase in revenue} &= \text{New } Z - \text{old } Z \\ &= 1880 - 1350 \end{aligned}$$

$$= 530 //$$

Using Dual

$$y_1 = 1, \quad y_2 = 2, \quad y_3 = 0$$

Resource worth operation 1 is 1/unit  
 Resource worth operation 2 is 2/unit  
 Resource worth operation 3 is 0/unit

Operation 1 → changes in unit

$$\begin{aligned} &600 - 430 \\ &= 170 // \end{aligned}$$

Total increase w.r.t. Oper 1 = 170

Operation 2 → changes in unit

$$\begin{aligned} &= 640 - 460 \\ &= 180 // \end{aligned}$$

Operation 3 → changes in unit

$$= 590 - 420 = 170 //$$

Total Resource Value

$$= (\text{No. of units for Oper 1} \times \text{oper 1 worth})$$

$$+ (\text{No. of units for Oper 2} \times \text{oper 2 worth})$$

$$+ (\text{No. of units for Oper 3} \times \text{oper 3 worth})$$

feasibility range

Sensitivity analysis

$$= (170 \times 1) + (180 \times 2) + (170 \times 0)$$

$$= 170 + 360 \checkmark$$

$$= 530 //$$

increase in revenue

(Using Dual Price, we got same ans)

2) Shift the slack capacity of operation 3 ( $x_1 = 20$ ) to the capacity of operation 1.

How would this change impact the optimum solution?

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} \text{New RHS} \\ \text{in iteration } i \end{array} \right\} = \text{Optimal Inverse in iter. 'i'} \times \text{New RHS constraint}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{4} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{2} & 0 \\ -2 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \times \begin{pmatrix} 450 \\ 460 \\ 400 \end{pmatrix}$$

$430 + 20$   
 $420 - 20$

$$\begin{pmatrix} x_2 \\ x_3 \\ x_4 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 110 \\ 230 \\ -40 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$x_2 = 110, \quad x_3 = 230, \quad x_4 = -40$$

'Infeasible'

Solve by dual Simplex Method.

$$x_2 = 110 \quad x_3 = 230 \quad x_4 = -40$$

$$Z = 3x_1 + 2x_2 + 5x_3$$

$$= (3 \times 0) + 2(110) + 5 \times 230$$

$$= 1370$$

Rewrite the optimal table as follows

$$\begin{array}{rcl}
 Z & . & \dots\dots\dots 1370 \\
 x_2 & . & \dots\dots\dots 110 \\
 x_3 & . & \dots\dots\dots 230 \\
 x_4 & . & \dots\dots\dots \underline{\underline{-40}}
 \end{array}$$

Starting table for dual Simplex //

$$\text{Soln } \left\{ \begin{array}{l} Z = 1350 \\ x_2 = 100, \quad x_3 = 230, \quad \underline{\underline{x_4 = 20}} \end{array} \right\}$$

The optimal solution remains same. Shifting slack  $x_4 = 20$  to  $x_5 = 20$  is not advantageous

(iii) Shifting  $x_4 = 20$  to operation 2. What is the impact?

$$\text{New RHS} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{4} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{2} & 0 \\ -2 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 430 \\ 480 \\ 400 \end{pmatrix} \begin{array}{l} -400 \\ -42 \\ -2 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} x_2 \\ x_3 \\ x_4 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 95 \\ 240 \\ \underline{\underline{20}} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$x_1 = 0, \quad x_2 = 95, \quad x_3 = 240, \quad x_4 = 20 \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} x_4 = \\ x_5 = \end{array} \right.$$

$$Z = (3 \times 0) + (2 \times 95) + (5 \times 240)$$

$$= 1390 \quad \$40$$

Increase in optimal sol.

Selection of operation 2 over operation 1 is preferred

## 2) Addition of new constraint

✓ 3 constraints in Toy Co

4<sup>th</sup> constraint → operation 4

$$\underline{4^{th} \rightarrow 3x_1 + x_2 + x_3 \leq 500}$$

Current optimal solution.

$$\underline{x_1 = 0 \quad x_2 = 100 \quad x_3 = 230}$$

$$Z = 1350$$

if the constraint is Redundant  
'no change in optimum'

$$\begin{aligned} & (3 \times 0) + (100) + (230) \\ & = \underline{330 \leq 500} \quad \checkmark \\ & \text{True} \end{aligned}$$

Redundant Constraint ✓

No Change in optimal value.

eg: 4<sup>th</sup> constraint

$$3x_1 + 3x_2 + x_3 \leq 500$$

$$530 \leq 500 \quad \times \quad (\text{not true})$$

Change in optimal value ✓  
 { we have to add constraint  
 & we have to solve  
 dual simplex method  
                    X