

Assignment_DEA_4

Madhusudhan Masineni

2022-10-25

install and load packages

```
#install.packages('Benchmarking')  
#install.packages('tidyverse')  
library(Benchmarking)
```

```
## Loading required package: lpSolveAPI
```

```
## Loading required package: ucminf
```

```
## Loading required package: quadprog
```

```
##
```

```
## Loading Benchmarking version 0.30h, (Revision 244, 2022/05/05 16:31:31) ...
```

```
## Build 2022/05/05 16:31:40
```

```
library(tidyverse)
```

```
## -- Attaching packages ----- tidyverse 1.3.2 --
```

```
## v ggplot2 3.3.6      v purrr   0.3.5
```

```
## v tibble  3.1.8      v dplyr  1.0.10
```

```
## v tidyr   1.2.1      v stringr 1.4.1
```

```
## v readr   2.1.3      v forcats 0.5.2
```

```
## -- Conflicts ----- tidyverse_conflicts() --
```

```
## x dplyr::filter() masks stats::filter()
```

```
## x dplyr::lag()    masks stats::lag()
```

```
library(lpSolve)  
library(lpSolveAPI)
```

Hope Valley Health Care Association

1. The Hope Valley Health Care Association owns and operates six nursing homes in adjoining states. An evaluation of their efficiency has been undertaken using two inputs and two outputs. The inputs are staffing labor (measured in average hours per day) and the cost of supplies (in thousands of dollars per day). The outputs are the number of patient-days reimbursed by third-party sources and the number of patient-days reimbursed privately. A summary of performance data is shown in the table below.

```
setwd('C:\\Users\\12349\\Documents')
health <- read.lp("Health.lp")
solve(health)
```

```
## [1] 0
```

```
get.objective(health)
```

```
## [1] 1
```

```
get.variables(health)
```

```
## [1] 7.142857e-05 0.000000e+00 5.172414e-03 1.120690e+00
```

We put our inputs and outputs as vectors. we have 2 inputs (Staff hours, Supplies) and 2 outputs ("Reimbursed Patient_Days", "Privately Paid Patient_Day").

```
x <- matrix(c(150, 400, 320, 520, 350, 320, 0.2, 0.7, 1.2, 2.0, 1.2, 0.7), ncol = 2)
y <- matrix(c(14000, 14000, 42000, 28000, 19000, 14000, 3500, 21000, 10500, 42000, 25000, 15000), ncol = 2)
colnames(y) <- c("Reimbursed Patient_Days", "Privately Paid Patient_Days")
colnames(x) <- c("Staff_Hours", "Supplies")
Table <- cbind(x, y)
row.names(Table) = c("Fac1", "Fac2", "Fac3", "Fac4", "Fac5", "Fac6")
Table
```

##	Staff_Hours	Supplies	Reimbursed Patient_Days	Privately Paid Patient_Days
## Fac1	150	0.2	14000	3500
## Fac2	400	0.7	14000	21000
## Fac3	320	1.2	42000	10500
## Fac4	520	2.0	28000	42000
## Fac5	350	1.2	19000	25000
## Fac6	320	0.7	14000	15000

DEA Analysis under all DEA assumptions (FDH, CRS, VRS, IRS, DRS, and FRH)

```
CRS <- dea(x,y, RTS = "crs")
print(CRS)
```

```
## [1] 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 0.9775 0.8675
```

CRS - Facilities 1,2,3,4 are efficient whereas facilities 5,6 have efficiency rates of 98% and 87% respectively.

```
peers(CRS)
```

```
##      peer1 peer2 peer3
## [1,]     1    NA    NA
## [2,]     2    NA    NA
## [3,]     3    NA    NA
## [4,]     4    NA    NA
## [5,]     1     2     4
## [6,]     1     2     4
```

```
CRS_Weights <- lambda(CRS)
CRS_Weights
```

```
##           L1           L2 L3           L4
## [1,] 1.0000000 0.00000000 0 0.0000000
## [2,] 0.0000000 1.00000000 0 0.0000000
## [3,] 0.0000000 0.00000000 1 0.0000000
## [4,] 0.0000000 0.00000000 0 1.0000000
## [5,] 0.2000000 0.08048142 0 0.5383307
## [6,] 0.3428571 0.39499264 0 0.1310751
```

The weights for facility 5 are 0.20, 0.08, 0.54. The weights for facility 6 are 0.34, 0.39, 0.13

```
FDH <- dea(x,y, RTS= "fdh")
FDH #all facilities are efficient
```

```
## [1] 1 1 1 1 1 1
```

```
peers(FDH) #the peer for each facility is itself
```

```
##      peer1
## [1,]     1
## [2,]     2
## [3,]     3
## [4,]     4
## [5,]     5
## [6,]     6
```

```
FDH_Weights <- lambda(FDH)
FDH_Weights
```

```
##      L1 L2 L3 L4 L5 L6
## [1,]  1  0  0  0  0  0
## [2,]  0  1  0  0  0  0
## [3,]  0  0  1  0  0  0
## [4,]  0  0  0  1  0  0
## [5,]  0  0  0  0  1  0
## [6,]  0  0  0  0  0  1
```

```
VRS <- dea(x,y, RTS = "vrs")
VRS #All facilities are efficient except for facility 6
```

```
## [1] 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 0.8963
```

```
peers(VRS) #peers for facility 6 are 1,2,5
```

```
##      peer1 peer2 peer3
## [1,]     1    NA    NA
## [2,]     2    NA    NA
## [3,]     3    NA    NA
## [4,]     4    NA    NA
## [5,]     5    NA    NA
## [6,]     1     2     5
```

```
VRS_Weights <- lambda(VRS)
VRS_Weights
```

```
##      L1      L2 L3 L4      L5
## [1,] 1.0000000 0.0000000  0  0 0.0000000
## [2,] 0.0000000 1.0000000  0  0 0.0000000
## [3,] 0.0000000 0.0000000  1  0 0.0000000
## [4,] 0.0000000 0.0000000  0  1 0.0000000
## [5,] 0.0000000 0.0000000  0  0 1.0000000
## [6,] 0.4014399 0.3422606  0  0 0.2562995
```

```
IRS <- dea(x,y, RTS= "irs")
IRS #All facilities are efficient except for facility
```

```
## [1] 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 0.8963
```

```
peers(IRS)
```

```
##      peer1 peer2 peer3
## [1,]     1    NA    NA
## [2,]     2    NA    NA
## [3,]     3    NA    NA
## [4,]     4    NA    NA
## [5,]     5    NA    NA
## [6,]     1     2     5
```

```
IRS_Weights <- lambda(IRS)
IRS_Weights
```

```
##           L1           L2 L3 L4           L5
## [1,] 1.0000000 0.0000000 0 0 0.0000000
## [2,] 0.0000000 1.0000000 0 0 0.0000000
## [3,] 0.0000000 0.0000000 1 0 0.0000000
## [4,] 0.0000000 0.0000000 0 1 0.0000000
## [5,] 0.0000000 0.0000000 0 0 1.0000000
## [6,] 0.4014399 0.3422606 0 0 0.2562995
```

```
DRS <- dea(x,y, RTS= "drs")
DRS #All facilities are efficient except for facility 5,6
```

```
## [1] 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 0.9775 0.8675
```

```
peers(DRS) # The peers units for for facilities 5,6 are 1,2,4
```

```
##      peer1 peer2 peer3
## [1,]     1    NA    NA
## [2,]     2    NA    NA
## [3,]     3    NA    NA
## [4,]     4    NA    NA
## [5,]     1     2     4
## [6,]     1     2     4
```

```
DRS_Weights <- lambda(DRS)
DRS_Weights
```

```
##           L1           L2 L3           L4
## [1,] 1.0000000 0.00000000 0 0.0000000
## [2,] 0.0000000 1.00000000 0 0.0000000
## [3,] 0.0000000 0.00000000 1 0.0000000
## [4,] 0.0000000 0.00000000 0 1.0000000
## [5,] 0.2000000 0.08048142 0 0.5383307
## [6,] 0.3428571 0.39499264 0 0.1310751
```

```
FRH <- dea(x,y, RTS= "add")
FRH #all facilities are efficient
```

```
## [1] 1 1 1 1 1 1
```

```
peers(FRH) #the peer unit for each facility is itself
```

```
##      peer1
## [1,]     1
## [2,]     2
## [3,]     3
## [4,]     4
## [5,]     5
## [6,]     6
```

```
FRH_Weights <- lambda(FRH)
FRH_Weights
```

```
##      L1 L2 L3 L4 L5 L6
## [1,]  1  0  0  0  0  0
## [2,]  0  1  0  0  0  0
## [3,]  0  0  1  0  0  0
## [4,]  0  0  0  1  0  0
## [5,]  0  0  0  0  1  0
## [6,]  0  0  0  0  0  1
```

```
as.data.frame(Table)
```

```
##      Staff_Hours Supplies Reimbursed Patient_Days Privately Paid Patient_Days
## Fac1          150      0.2             14000             3500
## Fac2          400      0.7             14000             21000
## Fac3          320      1.2             42000             10500
## Fac4          520      2.0             28000             42000
## Fac5          350      1.2             19000             25000
## Fac6          320      0.7             14000             15000
```

#3. Summarize your results in a tabular format

```
df<-data.frame (CRS = c(1.0000, 1.0000, 1.0000, 1.0000, 0.9775, 0.8675),
FDH= c(1,1,1,1,1,1), VRS= c(1.0000, 1.0000, 1.0000, 1.0000, 1.0000, 0.8963),IRS =c( 1.0000, 1.0000, 1.0000, 1.0000, 1.0000, 0.8675),
df
```

```
##      CRS FDH      VRS      IRS      DRS FRH
## 1 1.0000   1 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000   1
## 2 1.0000   1 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000   1
## 3 1.0000   1 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000   1
## 4 1.0000   1 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000   1
## 5 0.9775   1 1.0000 1.0000 0.9775   1
## 6 0.8675   1 0.8963 0.8963 0.8675   1
```

#The efficiency results at each facility in every DEA assumption

#Observation - CRS and DRS give same results, FDH and FRH gave same results, and finally both VRS and IRS gave same results as well.

```
results <- cbind(Table, df)
results[,-c(1:4)]
```

```
##      CRS FDH      VRS      IRS      DRS FRH
## Fac1 1.0000   1 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000   1
## Fac2 1.0000   1 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000   1
## Fac3 1.0000   1 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000   1
## Fac4 1.0000   1 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000   1
## Fac5 0.9775   1 1.0000 1.0000 0.9775   1
## Fac6 0.8675   1 0.8963 0.8963 0.8675   1
```

#Summary of the weights assigned to each Facility in every DEA assumption

```
Weights_tbl <- cbind(FDH_Weights, CRS_Weights, VRS_Weights, IRS_Weights, DRS_Weights, FRH_Weights)
row.names(Weights_tbl) <- c("Fac1", "Fac2", "Fac3", "Fac4", "Fac5", "Fac6")
colnames(Weights_tbl) <- c("FDH", "FDH", "FDH", "FDH", "FDH", "FDH", "CRS", "CRS", "CRS", "CRS", "VRS", "VRS", "VRS", "VRS", "VRS", "VRS", "IRS", "IRS", "IRS", "IRS", "FRH", "FRH", "FRH", "FRH", "FRH", "FRH", "DRS", "DRS", "DRS", "DRS", "DRS", "DRS")
as.data.frame(Weights_tbl)
```

##	FDH	FDH	FDH	FDH	FDH	FDH	CRS	CRS	CRS	CRS	CRS	VRS
## Fac1	1	0	0	0	0	0	1.0000000	0.0000000	0	0.0000000	1.0000000	
## Fac2	0	1	0	0	0	0	0.0000000	1.0000000	0	0.0000000	0.0000000	
## Fac3	0	0	1	0	0	0	0.0000000	0.0000000	1	0.0000000	0.0000000	
## Fac4	0	0	0	1	0	0	0.0000000	0.0000000	0	1.0000000	0.0000000	
## Fac5	0	0	0	0	1	0	0.2000000	0.08048142	0	0.5383307	0.0000000	
## Fac6	0	0	0	0	0	1	0.3428571	0.39499264	0	0.1310751	0.4014399	

##	VRS	VRS	VRS	VRS	IRS	IRS	IRS	IRS	IRS	IRS
## Fac1	0.0000000	0	0	0.0000000	1.0000000	0.0000000	0	0	0.0000000	
## Fac2	1.0000000	0	0	0.0000000	0.0000000	1.0000000	0	0	0.0000000	
## Fac3	0.0000000	1	0	0.0000000	0.0000000	0.0000000	1	0	0.0000000	
## Fac4	0.0000000	0	1	0.0000000	0.0000000	0.0000000	0	1	0.0000000	
## Fac5	0.0000000	0	0	1.0000000	0.0000000	0.0000000	0	0	1.0000000	
## Fac6	0.3422606	0	0	0.2562995	0.4014399	0.3422606	0	0	0.2562995	

##	DRS	DRS	DRS	DRS	FRH	FRH	FRH	FRH	FRH	FRH
## Fac1	1.0000000	0.0000000	0	0.0000000	1	0	0	0	0	0
## Fac2	0.0000000	1.0000000	0	0.0000000	0	1	0	0	0	0
## Fac3	0.0000000	0.0000000	1	0.0000000	0	0	1	0	0	0
## Fac4	0.0000000	0.0000000	0	1.0000000	0	0	0	1	0	0
## Fac5	0.2000000	0.08048142	0	0.5383307	0	0	0	0	1	0
## Fac6	0.3428571	0.39499264	0	0.1310751	0	0	0	0	0	1

#The above table summarizes the weights for each facility under each DEA assumption

Summary for Q1

Under FDH and FRH all facilities are efficient,

Under CRS and DRS all facilities were efficient except for Facility 5,6.

Under VRS and IRS assumptions all except for facility 6 were efficient.

The peer units for efficient facilities are themselves.

Under VRS and IRS assumption the peers unit for inefficient facilities were 1,2 and 5.

Under CRS and DRS, the peers unites were 1,2,and 4. #Q2 - The Research and Development Division of the Emax Corporation has developed threenew products. A decision now needs to be made on which mix of these products should be produced. Management wants primary consideration given to three factors: total profit, stability in the workforce, and achieving an increase in the company's earnings next year from the \$75 million achieved this year. In particular, using the units given in the following table, they want to #Maximize $Z = P - 6C - 3D$, where #P = total (discounted) profit over the life of the new products, #C = change (in either direction) in the current level of employment, #D = decrease (if any) in next year's earnings from the current year's level. #The amount of any increase in earnings does not

enter into Z, because management is concerned primarily with just achieving some increase to keep the stockholders happy. (It has mixed feelings about a large increase that then would be difficult to surpass in subsequent years.)

1. Define $y1+$ and $y1-$, respectively, as the amount over (if any) and the amount under (if any) the employment level goal. Define $y2+$ and $y2-$ in the same way for the goal regarding earnings next year. Define $x1$, $x2$, and $x3$ as the production rates of Products 1, 2, and 3, respectively. With these definitions, use the goal programming technique to express $y1+$, $y1-$, $y2+$ and $y2-$ algebraically in terms of $x1$, $x2$, and $x3$. Also express P in terms of $x1$, $x2$, and $x3$.

Answer -

$$y1 = y1p - y1m = 6 x1 + 4 x2 + 5 x3 - 50$$

$$y2 = y2p - y2m = 8 x1 + 7 x2 + 5 x3 - 75$$

$$P = 20 x1 + 15 x2 + 25 x3$$

$y1p$ is going over the employment level goal and the weighted penalty is 6

$y1m$ is going under the employment level goal and the weighted penalty is 6

$y2p$ is going over the earnings goal for next year- no penalty

$y2m$ is going under the earnings goal for next year and the penalty is 3.

$x1$ is the quantity of product 1 to be produced

$x2$ is the quantity of product 2 to be produced

$x3$ is the quantity of product 3 to be produced

2. Express management's objective function in terms of x_1 , x_2 , x_3 , y_{1+} , y_{1-} , y_{2+} and y_{2-} .

Answer - Objective function

$$\max Z: 20x_1 + 15x_2 + 25x_3 - 6y_{1p} - 6y_{1m} - 3y_{2m}$$

3. Formulate and solve the linear programming model. What are your findings?

Answer -

$$\text{Objective Function - maxZ: } 20x_1 + 15x_2 + 25x_3 - 6y_{1p} - 6y_{1m} - 3y_{2m}$$

Constraints

$$6x_1 + 4x_2 + 5x_3 - y_{1p} + y_{1m} = 50$$

$$8x_1 + 7x_2 + 5x_3 - y_{2p} + y_{2m} = 75$$

$$x_1, x_2, x_3, y_{1p}, y_{1m}, y_{2p}, y_{2m} \geq 0$$

```
Emax <- read.lp("Emax.lp")
solve(Emax)
```

```
## [1] 0
```

```
get.objective(Emax)
```

```
## [1] 225
```

```
get.variables(Emax)
```

```
## [1] 0 0 15 25 0 0 0
```

$$Z = 225$$

$$x_1 = 0, x_2 = 0, x_3 = 15, y_{1p} = 25, y_{1m} = 0, y_{2m} = 0$$