#### 1 Fields

Fields are an abstract structure that describes sets of "numbers" and their operations.

## Definition 1.1 (Fields)

A set  $\mathcal{F}$  together with two binary operations

• 
$$+: \mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{F}$$
 (Addition)

• 
$$\cdot : \mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{F}$$
 (Multiplication)

• 
$$x + (y + z) = (x + y) + z$$
 (Associativity)

• 
$$x(yz) = (xy)z$$
 (Associativity)

• 
$$x + y = y + x$$
 (Commutatitivity)

• 
$$xy = yx$$
 (Commutatitivity)

• 
$$\exists 0 \in \mathcal{F} \text{ such that } x + 0 = x \ \forall x \in \mathcal{F}$$
 (Neutral additive element)

• 
$$\exists 1 \in \mathcal{F}$$
 such that  $x \cdot 1 = x \ \forall x \in \mathcal{F}$  (Neutral scalar multiplication element)

• 
$$\forall x \in \mathcal{F} \exists -x \in \mathcal{F} \quad x + (-x) = 0$$
 (Additive Inverse)

• 
$$\forall x \in \mathcal{F} \exists -x \in \mathcal{F} \quad x + (-x) = 0$$
 (Additive Inverse)  
•  $\forall y \in \mathcal{F} \setminus \{0\} \exists y^{-1} \exists \mathcal{F} \quad yy^{-1} = 1$  (Multiplicative inverse)  
•  $x(y+z) = xy + xz$  (Distributivity)

• 
$$x(y+z) = xy + xz$$
 (Distributivity)

Example of fields: Rational numbers  $\mathbb{Q}$ , real numbers  $\mathbb{R}$  and complex numbers  $\mathbb{C}$ . Another example is the set  $\mathcal{F} = \{0,1\}$ . The set  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2}) = \{a + b\sqrt{2} : a, b \in \mathbb{Q}\}$  is also an example.

#### Definition 1.2

For any field  $\mathcal{F}$  we denote the set of n-tuples by  $\mathcal{F}^n$ . We define two important operations

- Addition: Given two elements  $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_n)$   $y = (y_1, \ldots, y_n)$   $x + y = (x_1 + \cdots + y_n)$
- Scalar multiplication: Given an element  $\lambda \in \mathcal{F}$  and a *n*-tuple  $x \in \mathcal{F}^n$  we define  $\lambda x := (\lambda x_1, \dots, \lambda x_n)$

We often write  $0 \in \mathcal{F}^n$  for the *n*-tuple consisting of *n* zeros.

Let 
$$x \in \mathcal{F}^n$$
 we define  $-x := (-x_1, \dots, -x_n)$  and we see that  $x + (-x) = 0$ .

For any  $x \in \mathcal{F}^n$  we have 0 + x = x

# 2 Vector Spaces

## Definition 2.1 (Vector Space)

Let V be a set and  $\mathcal{F}$  be a field.

Let  $+: V \times V \to V$  and  $\cdot: \mathcal{F} \times V \to V$  be two binary operations. We say V is a vector space (with respect to these operations) over  $\mathcal{F}$ , or an  $\mathcal{F}$ -vector space (VS) if

- Addition is commutative:  $\forall u, v \in V \quad u+v=v+u$ .
- Addition is associative:  $\forall u, v, w \in V \quad u + (v + w) = (u + v) + w$ .
- Multiplication is associative:  $\forall \lambda, \mu \in \mathcal{F} \ \forall v \in V \quad (\lambda \mu)v = \lambda(\mu v).$
- Neutral additive:  $\exists 0 \in V \text{ such that } \forall v \in V \quad 0 + v = v.$
- Inverse addition:  $\forall v \in V \exists -v \in V \quad v + (-v) = 0.$
- Neutral scalar multiplication:  $1 \in \mathcal{F}$  it holds that  $1 \cdot v = v \quad v \in V$ .
- Distributivity:  $\forall u, v \in V \ \forall \lambda \mu \in \mathcal{F} \ \lambda(u+v) = \lambda u + \lambda v \text{ and } (\lambda + \mu)v = \lambda v + \mu v.$

## Example 2.1

- a)  $\mathcal{F}^n$  is an  $\mathcal{F}$ -VS, it holds that  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$  especially  $\mathcal{F}$  is an  $\mathcal{F}$ -VS.
  - b)  $V = \{0\} \subseteq \mathcal{F} \text{ is an } \mathcal{F}\text{-VS}.$
  - c)  $\mathcal{F}^{\infty} := \{(x_1, x_2, \dots) : x_i \in \mathcal{F} \mid i \in \mathbb{N} \}$ , the set of all infinite sequences is an  $\mathcal{F}\text{-VS}$
- d) Let  $V := \{f : S \to \mathcal{F}\}$  be the set of functions from a set S into  $\mathcal{F}$  then V is an  $\mathcal{F}$ -VS with  $f, g \in V$  for which  $(f + g)(s) := f(s) + g(s) \forall s \in S$ . Similarly  $\forall \lambda \in \mathcal{F} \quad (\lambda f)(s) = \lambda(f(s))$ . Sometimes you will see this notation:

$$V = \mathcal{F}^S$$

Example.  $\mathbb{R}^{[0,1]}$ .

#### Theorem 2.1

Let V be an  $\mathcal{F}\text{-VS}$ . Then the additive neutral element is unique.

### Proof 2.1

Suppose there is another additive neutral element: 0 and 0' are both neutral. Then

$$0 = 0 + 0'$$
 since  $0'$  is neutral  
=  $0'$  since 0 is neutral

Hence 0 = 0' and there is an unique neutral.

## Theorem 2.2

Let V be an  $\mathcal{F}\text{-VS}$ . Then every element in V has a unique additive inverse.

## Proof 2.2

Let  $v \in V$  and suppose w and w' are both additive inverse for v.

$$w' = 0 + w' = (w + v) + w' = w + (v + w') = w + 0 = w$$

September 9, 2019

We will from now on decide the unique inverse of v be -v and write w + (-v) := w - v.

## Theorem 2.3

Let V be an  $\mathcal{F}$ -VS. Then  $\forall v \in V$ 

$$0 \in \mathcal{F} \quad v = 0 \in V$$

## Proof 2.3

We see that

$$0 \cdot v = (0+0)v = 0v + 0v$$

Add -0v on both sides

$$0 = 0v$$
.

## Theorem 2.4

Theorem 2.4 Let V be an  $\mathcal{F}$ -VS. Then  $\forall \lambda \in \mathcal{F}$ 

$$\lambda \cdot 0 = 0.$$

$$\lambda 0 = \lambda (0+0) = \lambda 0 + \lambda 0$$

Add  $-\lambda 0$  on both sides

$$0 = \lambda 0$$
.

### Theorem 2.5

Let V be an  $\mathcal{F}$ -VS and  $-1 \in \mathcal{F}$  is the additive inverse of the multiplicative neutral in  $\mathcal{F}$ . Then

$$(-1)v = -v \quad \forall v \in V$$

## Proof 2.5

$$1 \cdot v + (-1)v = (1-1) \cdot v = 0v = 0$$

by Theorem 2.3.

For any VS V the subset  $\{0\}$  is also a VS. We generalise this notion.

## Definition 2.2 (Subspaces)

Let V be an  $\mathcal{F}$ -VS then a subset  $U \subseteq V$  is called a subspace if U is also an  $\mathcal{F}$ -VS with respect to the same operations.

## Theorem 2.6 (Proposition)

A subset  $U \subseteq V$  of an  $\mathcal{F}\text{-VS }V$  is a subspace iff (=if and only if)

- $0 \in U$
- $\bullet \ \forall u, w \in U \ u + w \in U$
- $\bullet \ \forall \lambda \in \mathcal{F} \ \forall u \in U \ \lambda u \in U$

#### Proof 2.6

 $\Rightarrow$  If U is a VS then all these conditions hold.

 $\Leftarrow$  Condition 1 implies neutral additive of VS.

By condition 3 we know that  $(-1)u \in U$ , (-1)u = -u and thereby implies the additive inverse of VS.

## Example 2.2

1) For any VS V,  $\{0\}$  and V itself are subspaces.

2) The set of all polynomials with coefficients in some field  $\mathcal{F}$  is a VS, called  $\mathcal{F}[x]$ . For every  $0 \leq d \in \mathbb{N}_0$  the set of polynomials of degree at most d is a subspace.

- 3) We have seen that  $\mathbb{R}^{[0,1]}$  is a  $\mathbb{R}$ -VS. The sets of continuous or differentiable functions form subspaces.
- 4) We can classify all subspaces of  $\mathbb{R}^3$  in a hierarchy:  $\mathbb{R}^3 >$  planes containing the origin > lines going through the origin >  $\{0\}$ .

## Definition 2.3

Let  $U_1, U_2, \ldots, U_m$  be subspaces of a VS V. Then we define their sum.  $U_1 + \cdots + U_m := \{u_1 + \cdots + u_m : u_i \in U_i\}$ 

$$U_1 + \dots + U_m := \{u_1 + \dots + u_m : u_i \in U_i\}$$

## Theorem 2.7 (Proposition)

Finite sums of subspaces are subspaces again.

#### Proof 2.7

We only need to show this for two subspaces.

Let  $U_1, U_2 \subseteq V$  be subspaces. Then since  $0 \in U_1$  and  $0 \in U_2 \Rightarrow 0 + 0 = 0 \in U_1 + U_2$ . Let  $u_1 + u_2, u_1' + u_2' \in U_1 + U_2$  then  $u_1 + u_2 + u_1' + u_2' = (u_1 + u_1') + (u_2 + u_2') \in U_1 + U_2$ Let  $\lambda \in \mathcal{F}$  then

$$\lambda(u_1 + u_2) = \lambda u_1 + \lambda u_2 \in U_1 + U_2.$$

## Theorem 2.8 (Proposition)

Let  $U_1, U_2 \subseteq V$  be subspaces, then  $U_1 + U_2$  is the smallest subspace of V containing

We see that  $U_1 \subseteq U_1 + U_2$  because  $\forall u_1 \in U_1 \quad u_1 + 0 = u_1 \in U_1 + U_2$ , the same applies

Assume there exists  $W \subseteq U_1 + U_2$  that contains  $U_1$  and  $U_2$ . Then there must exist an element  $u_1 + u_2 \notin W$ . But  $u_1 \in W$  and  $u_2 \in W \to W$  is not a subspace.

EX: Functions and reals can be split into subspaces of even and odd reals.

EX: 
$$L_1, L_2$$
 lies in  $\mathbb{R}^n$   $L_1 + L_2 = \begin{cases} P \text{ plane} \\ L_1 \text{ if } L_1 = L_2 \end{cases}$ 

EX: P is a plane in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  and L is a line in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ :

$$P + L = \begin{cases} \mathbb{R}^3 & \text{if } L \subsetneq P \\ P & \text{if } L \subseteq P \end{cases}$$

**Definition 2.4 (Direct Sum)** Let  $U_1, \ldots, U_m \subseteq V$  be subspaces. Then their sum is called a direct sum if  $U_1 + \cdots + U_m$ has a unique representation as a sum  $u_1 + \cdots + u_m$ . We then write  $U_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus U_m$  for

## Theorem 2.9 (Proposition)

The sum  $U_1 + \cdots + U_m$  is direct iff there is a unique way to write 0 as a sum  $u_1 + \cdots + u_m$ .

#### Proof 2.9

 $\Rightarrow$  check

If the sum is not direct then there exists an element that has two different represen-

$$u_1 + \dots + u_m = u_1' + \dots + u_m'$$

where not all  $u_i = u'_i$ . Then

$$(u_1 - u'_1) + (u_2 - u'_2) + \dots + (u_m - u'_m) = 0$$

and at least one different  $u_i - u'_i \neq 0$ .

# Theorem 2.10 (Lemma)

U+W is direct iff

$$U \cap W = \{0\}$$

⇒: Let  $v \in U \cap W$  and  $v \neq 0$  then 0

$$0 + 0 = 0 = v + (-v)$$

and hence the sum is not direct. 
$$\Leftarrow: \ 0 = u + w \Rightarrow -u \in U - w \in W \Rightarrow u = w = 0$$

#### 3 Bases and Dimension

A list is an n-tuple.

## Definition 3.1 (2.3 and 2.5)

Let  $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_m$  be a list of vectors in an  $\mathcal{F}$ -VS. Then for any  $\lambda_i \in \mathcal{F}$  we call  $\lambda_1 \mathbf{v}_1 + \dots + \lambda_m \mathbf{v}_m$  $\lambda_m \mathbf{v}_m$  a linear combination of  $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_m$ . (Note that  $\lambda_i$  can be zero)

The set of all linear combinations is called the span of  $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_m$  and denoted span $(\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_m)$ . For consistency we let  $span() = \{0\}.$ 

# Theorem 3.1 (Proposition 2.7)

Let  $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_m$  is a list of vectors. Then  $\mathrm{span}(\mathbf{v}_i)$  is a subspace and it is the smallest subspace containing all  $\mathbf{v}_i$ .

#### Proof 3.1

We show that span is a subspace.

- 1.  $0 \in \operatorname{span}(\mathbf{v}_i)$ , just let  $\lambda_i = 0 \ \forall i$ 2.  $\sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i \mathbf{v}_i + \sum \mu_i \mathbf{v}_i = \sum (\lambda_i + \mu_i) \mathbf{v}_i \in \operatorname{span}(\mathbf{v}_i)$ 3.  $\sum \lambda_i \mathbf{v}_i = \sum (\mu \lambda_i) \mathbf{v}_i \in \operatorname{span}(\mathbf{v}_i)$

$$\mathbf{v}_i = 0\mathbf{v}_1 + 0\mathbf{v}_2 + \dots + 1\mathbf{v}_i + \dots + 0\mathbf{v}_m \in \operatorname{span}(\mathbf{v}_i)$$

Assume  $W \subseteq \operatorname{span}(\mathbf{v}_i)$  such that  $\mathbf{v}_i \in W \ \forall i$ . Then  $\exists x \in \operatorname{span}(\mathbf{v}_i) \backslash W \quad x = \sum \lambda_i \mathbf{v}_i \in W$ which is a contradiction.

## Definition 3.2 (2.17)

We say a list  $\mathbf{v}_i$  of vectors is <u>linearly independent</u> if  $0 = \lambda_1 \mathbf{v}_1 + \dots + \lambda_m \mathbf{v}_m \Rightarrow \forall \lambda_i = 0.$ 

$$0 = \lambda_1 \mathbf{v}_1 + \dots + \lambda_m \mathbf{v}_m \Rightarrow \forall \, \lambda_i = 0$$

## Theorem 3.2 (Lemma 20)

A list  $\mathbf{v}_i$  is linearly independent iff every vector in span $(\mathbf{v}_i)$  has a unique representation as a linear combination.

### Proof 3.2

$$\Rightarrow (\text{direct proof}) \text{ Assume that } \sum \lambda_i \mathbf{v}_i = \sum \mu_i \mathbf{v}_i \text{ then}$$

$$\sum (\lambda_i - \mu_i) \mathbf{v}_i = 0 \Rightarrow \lambda_i - \mu_i = 0 \Rightarrow \lambda_i = \mu_i$$

because  $\mathbf{v}_i$  is linear independent.

Remark:

- 1. If a list  $\mathbf{v}_i$  is linearly dependent then there exist  $\lambda_i$  not all zero, such that  $\sum \lambda_i \mathbf{v}_i = 0$
- 2. A single **v** is linearly dependant iff  $\mathbf{v} = 0$ . Because then  $1\mathbf{v} = 1 \cdot 0 = 0$ , note that  $1 \in \mathcal{F}, v \in V, 0 \in V.$

## Definition 3.3 (2.27)

Let V be an  $\mathcal{F}$ -VS. Then

- 1. A list  $\mathbf{v}_i$  such that  $V = \operatorname{span}(\mathbf{v}_i)$  is called a generating set (spanning set). If the list is finite (always assumed here) then we say V is finitely generated.
- 2. A list  $\mathbf{v}_i$  is called a basis for V if it is a linearly independent generating set.

### Example 3.1

1.

$$\lambda_1 \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} + \lambda_2 \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \Rightarrow \lambda_1 = 0 = \lambda_2$$

- 2. Let  $V = \mathcal{F}^n$  and denote by  $e_i$  the vector with a one in the *i*-th coordinate and zero elsewhere.
- 3. Let  $V = \mathbb{R}[x]^{\leq m} (= \mathcal{P}_m(\mathbb{R}))$  then  $1, x, x^2, \dots, x^m$  are a basis with m+1 elements.

The  $e_1, \ldots e_n$  are the so-called standard basis vectors.

Let  $\mathbf{v}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{v}_m$  be linearly dependent. Then  $\exists j$  such that  $\mathbf{v}_j \in \operatorname{span}(\mathbf{v}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{v}_{j-1})$  and  $\mathbf{v}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{v}_m$  without  $\mathbf{v}_j$  spans the same space.

### Proof 3.3

Since  $\mathbf{v}_i$  is linearly dependent  $\exists \lambda_i \in \mathcal{F}$ , not all zero such that  $\sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i \mathbf{v}_i = 0$ . Let j be the max index such that  $\lambda_j \neq 0$ . Then

$$\sum_{i=1}^{j-1} -\frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_j} \mathbf{v}_i = \mathbf{v}_j \Rightarrow \mathbf{v}_j \in \operatorname{span}(\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_{j-1}). \tag{1}$$

Let  $\sum_{i=1}^{m} \mu_i \mathbf{v}_i \in \text{span}(\mathbf{v}_i)$ . Substitute equation (1) for  $\mathbf{v}_j$ 

$$\mu_1 \mathbf{v}_1 + \dots + \mu_j \mathbf{v}_j + \dots + \mu_m \mathbf{v}_m = \mu_1 \mathbf{v}_1 + \dots + \mu_j \left( \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} -\frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_j} \mathbf{v}_i \right) + \dots + \mu_m \mathbf{v}_m$$

$$= \left( \mu_1 - \frac{\mu_j \lambda_1}{\lambda_j} \right) \mathbf{v}_1 + \left( \mu_2 - \frac{\mu_j \lambda_2}{\lambda_j} \right) \mathbf{v}_2 + \dots + \left( \mu_{j-1} - \frac{\mu_j \lambda_{j-1}}{\lambda_j} \right) \mathbf{v}_{j-1} + \mu_{j+1}$$

## Theorem 3.4 (Steinitz)

Let V be a finitely generated VS. Then the length of any linear independent list is smaller or equal to the length of any generating list.

#### Proof 3.4

Let  $\mathbf{u}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{u}_m$  be linearly independent and  $\mathbf{w}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{w}_n$  a generating set.

$$\operatorname{span}(\mathbf{w}_i) = V, \mathbf{u}_1 \in V.$$

Then  $(\mathbf{u}_1\mathbf{w}_1,\dots,\mathbf{u}_1\mathbf{w}_m)$  is linearly dependent. Then for  $\sum \lambda_j\mathbf{w}_j=\mathbf{u}_1$  wlog  $\lambda_1\neq 0 \Rightarrow \frac{1}{\lambda_1}\mathbf{u}_1-\frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1}\mathbf{w}_2-\frac{\lambda_m}{\lambda_1}=\mathbf{w}_1$  (without loss of generality) point being

$$\operatorname{span}(\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{w}_2, \dots, \mathbf{w}_n) = \operatorname{span}(\mathbf{w}_1, \dots, \mathbf{w}_n) = V.$$

The new list  $S_1 = (\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{w}_2, \dots, \mathbf{w}_n)$  also spans V. Then  $(\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2, \mathbf{w}_2, \dots, \mathbf{w}_n)$  and

$$\mathbf{u}_2 = \lambda_1 \mathbf{u}_1 + \lambda_2 \mathbf{w}_2 + \dots + \lambda_n \mathbf{w}_n$$

assume  $\lambda_2 \neq 0$  and thus an element  $\mathbf{w}_2$  can be pulled out of the set without loss:

$$\Rightarrow S_2 = (\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2, \mathbf{w}_3, \dots, \mathbf{w}_n)$$

also spans V and we can keep going.

Remark: This shows that no list that is bigger than a generating set can be linearly independent. Also any list that is shorter than a linearly independent list can not generate the whole space.

### Theorem 3.5 (Basis)

A list of vectors is a basis for V iff every  $\mathbf{v} \in V$  can be uniquely be written as a linear combination.

#### Proof 3.5

Lemma 20. If you can write every element uniquely then you can write zero uniquely.

### Theorem 3.6

Let span( $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n$ ) = V. Then there is a subset of  $\mathbf{v}_i$  that is a basis.

## Proof 3.6

We construct the basis in n-steps.

We add a vector  $\mathbf{v}_i$  to our basis if  $\mathbf{v}_i \notin \operatorname{span}(\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_{i-1})$ . Let  $\mathbf{w}_1, \dots, \mathbf{w}_m$  be the basis acquired this way. Assume

$$\sum \lambda_i \mathbf{w}_i = 0.$$

Let j be max such that  $\lambda_j \neq 0$  then  $\sum_{i=1}^{j-1} \lambda_i \mathbf{w}_i = \lambda_j \mathbf{w}_j$ , contradiction.

Therefore  $\mathbf{w}_i$  is linearly independent and it still spans V.

## Theorem 3.7 (Corollary)

Every finitely generated VS has a basis.

## Theorem 3.8 (Corollary)

Every linearly independent set can be extended to a basis.

## Proof 3.7

Let  $(\mathbf{u}_1, \dots, \mathbf{u}_m)$  be linearly independent and let  $(\mathbf{w}_1, \dots, \mathbf{w}_n)$  be a generated set. Then  $(\mathbf{u}_1, \dots, \mathbf{u}_m, \mathbf{w}_1, \dots, \mathbf{w}_n)$  is a generating set. Use Theorem 3.6 to acquire a basis.

## Theorem 3.9 (2.35)

Every basis of a finitely generated VS has the same length.

Let  $B_1$  and  $B_2$  be two bases. Since  $B_1$  is linearly independent and  $B_2$  generates V.

$$|B_1| \le |B_2|$$
$$|B_2| \le |B_1|$$
$$\Rightarrow |B_1| = |B_2|$$

Definition 3.4 (Dimension) Let V be an  $\mathcal{F} ext{-VS}$ . Then we define dimension as

$$\dim_{\mathcal{F}}(V) = \begin{cases} \text{length of the basis if } V \text{ is finitely generated} \\ \infty \quad \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Theorem 3.10 (Corollary) Let  $U \subseteq V$  be a subspace. Then  $\dim(U) \leq \dim(V)$ .

## Proof 3.9

A basis of U is a linear set in V. Hence it is shorter or equal in length to any generating set of V, especially a basis of V.

## Theorem 3.11 (Corollary 2.39)

A linearly independent list of size  $\dim(V)$  is already a basis.

We can extend the list to a basis. But it is already of length  $\dim(V)$  hence nothing is

## Theorem 3.12 (Corollary 2.42)

Let  $\dim(V) = n$  then every generating set of length n is already a basis.

Two sets A, B with size |A|, |B|. The union has size:  $|A \cup B| = |A| + |B| - |A \cap B|$ 

## Theorem 3.13

Let A, B be subspaces of a finite dimensional space V. Then  $\dim(A+B) = \dim(A) + \dim(B) - \dim(A \cap B)$ .

## Proof 3.11

Let  $c_1, \ldots, c_l$  be a basis for  $A \cap B$ . We extend to a basis  $c_1, \ldots, c_l, a_1, \ldots, a_m$  of A and to a basis  $c_1, \ldots, c_l, b_1, \ldots, b_n$  of B.

We want to show that  $c_i a_j b_k$  is a basis for A + B. This is a generating set, now we need to check that it is linearly independent.

Now let

$$0 = \sum \alpha_i a_i + \sum \beta_j b_j + \sum \mu_k c_k$$

$$-\sum \alpha_i a_i = \sum \beta_j b_j + \sum \mu_k c_k \in A \cap B$$

$$-\sum \alpha_i a_i = \sum \delta_k c_k$$

$$0 = \sum \alpha_i a_i + \sum \delta_k c_k$$

$$\Rightarrow \alpha_i = 0 \quad \delta_k = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow 0 = \sum (\beta_j b_j + \sum \gamma_k c_k)$$

$$\Rightarrow \beta_j = 0 \quad \gamma_k = 0$$