

ESSMD, 2014 – Country Data

Study Documentation

June 16, 2017

Metadata Production

Identification	ESSMD-2014-cntry
----------------	------------------

Table of Contents

Overview	4
Files Description	6
ESSMD 2014 cntry	6
Variables Group(s)	7
ID variables	7
Demography	7
Economy	7
Education	7
Composite measures	7
Political Intitutions	7
Health	7
Crime	7
Social expenditure	7
GDP per capita	12
Median age	12
Old-age-dependency ratio	14
Population size	16
Population by citizenship - Foreigners	18
Human development index (HDI)	19
Multidimensional Poverty Index (MPI)	23
Health workforce	24
Life expectancy	30
Intentional homicide rate	32
Corruption Perceptions Index	35
Health expenditure	38
United Nations Statistics Division	43
EUROSTAT	47
UNESCO	49
EUROSTAT	64
Gender Inequality Index (GII)	78
Fertility rate	79
United Nations Population Division	79
Eurostat	81
Unemployment	82
Area of the region	90
Population density	92
Births	93
Deaths	94
Migration	95
Change of population	97
IMF conditionality	99
Bertelsmann index	100
Freedom in the world	103
Ethnolinguistic Fractionalization	107
CIRI Human Rights Project	108
Democracy Barometer	131
Worldwide Governance Indicators	144
Comparative Political Dataset	148
Database of Political Institutions	167
Infant mortality	177
Crime recorded by police	179
Balance and crude rates	187
Gini coefficient	189
Prison population	191

ESSMD, 2014 – Country Data

Overview

Identification	ESSMD-2014-cntry
-----------------------	------------------

Abstract

ESS Multilevel Data

The purpose of the ESS MD is to make it easier for the research community to analyse the ESS-respondents with reference to the context they live in.

There are numerous sources containing contextual data. The ESS MD does not contain everything available. The selection of variables is based on the recommendations in the report of the ESS Upgrade and Planning Group on Contextual Data: 'Conceptual and Statistical Issues in Multilevel Analysis'.

Citation Requirement

Users are obligated to refer to producer and distributor of the data by writing the following in forewords or footnotes of publications: "(Some of) the data applied in the analysis in this publication is based on the ESS Multilevel Data. The data is provided by [Original data source], and prepared and made available by NSD - Norwegian Centre for Research Data. Neither [Original data source] nor NSD are responsible for the analyses/interpretation of the data presented here."

Country Level Data

The resource contains contextual information on all countries covered by the ESS survey.

The country level data have been compiled from various well-known sources:

- Eurostat (<http://epp.eurostat.ec.europa.eu/portal/page/portal/eurostat/home/>)
- OECD (<http://stats.oecd.org/index.aspx>)
- UNESCO (http://www.uis.unesco.org/ev.php?ID=2867_201&ID2=DO_TOPIC)
- The United Nations (<http://data.un.org/>)
- World Bank (<http://data.worldbank.org/indicator>)
- World Health Organization (<http://www.who.int/gho/en/index.html>)
- Freedom House (<http://www.freedomhouse.org/template.cfm?page=1>)
- Bertelsmann Stiftung (<http://www.bti-project.org>)
- The CIRI Human Rights Project (<http://www.humanrightsdata.com/>)
- Democracy Barometer (<http://www.democracybarometer.org/>)
- The Comparative Political Data Sets (http://www.ipw.unibe.ch/content/team/klaus_armingeon/comparative_political_data_sets/index_eng.html)
- Database of Political Institutions (<http://econ.worldbank.org/WBSITE/EXTERNAL/EXTDEC/EXTRESEARCH/0,,contentMDK:20649465~pagePK:64214825~piPK:64214943~theSitePK:469382,00.html>)
- Transparency International (<http://www.transparency.org/>)

And the following topics are covered:

- Demography: Area of the region, Median age, Old-age-dependency ratio, Population size, Population by citizenship - Foreigners, Population density, Ethnolinguistic Fractionalization and Demographic balance and crude rates
- Economy: GDP per capita, Gini coefficient, Social expenditure and Unemployment
- Education: Education statistics
- Composite Measures: Bertelsmann Transformation Index, CIRI Human Rights Project, Democracy Barometer, Freedom in the world, Gender Inequality Index (GII), Human development index (HDI), Multidimensional Poverty Index (MPI) and Worldwide Governance Indicators
- Political institutions: Comparative Political Data Set and Database of Political Institutions
- Health: Fertility rate, Life expectancy, Infant mortality rate, Health expenditure and Health workforce
- Crime: International homicide rate, Corruption Perceptions Index, Crimes recorded by the police, and Prison population

For further information on the data and data sources, see the documentation of variable groups.

In case of any discrepancy between the data presented here and the data presented on the original data sources' websites or publications, the user should rely on the data presented by the original data source.

<u>Countries</u>	
-------------------------	--

Files Description

Dataset contains 1 file(s)

ESSMD_2014_cntry	
# Cases	40
# Variable(s)	2881
File Structure	Type: relational Key(s): cntry (Country)

Variables Group(s)

Dataset contains 50 group(s) total - showing a subset of 50

Group ID variables

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	cntry	Country	discrete	character-8	40	0	-

Group Demography

Subgroup(s)	Median age , Old-age-dependency ratio , Population size , Population by citizenship - Foreigners , Area of the region , Population density , Ethnolinguistic Fractionalization , Balance and crude rates
--------------------	--

Group Economy

Subgroup(s)	Social expenditure , GDP per capita , Unemployment , IMF conditionality , Gini coefficient
--------------------	--

Group Education

Subgroup(s)	UNESCO , EUROSTAT
--------------------	-------------------

Group Composite measures

Subgroup(s)	Human development index (HDI) , Multidimensional Poverty Index (MPI) , Gender Inequality Index (GII) , Bertelsmann index , Freedom in the world , CIRI Human Rights Project , Democracy Barometer , Worldwide Governance Indicators
--------------------	---

Group Political Institutions

Subgroup(s)	Comparative Political Dataset , Database of Political Institutions
--------------------	--

Group Health

Subgroup(s)	Health workforce , Life expectancy , Health expenditure , Fertility rate , Infant mortality
--------------------	---

Group Crime

Subgroup(s)	Intentional homicide rate , Corruption Perceptions Index , Crime recorded by police , Prison population
--------------------	---

Group Social expenditure

OECD

The Statistics Directorate collects economic statistics from across the OECD. These are standardised to make them internationally comparable and are published in both printed and electronic form. The data presented here have been compiled by the ESS MDR from Social Expenditure under Social Protection under Social and Welfare Statistics, OECD.Stat Extracts (<http://stats.oecd.org>). For additional information on the data see Ladaïque and Adema (2009) (http://www.oecd-ilibrary.org/social-issues-migration-health/how-expensive-is-the-welfare-state_220615515052).

Variable information

Short description

Social expenditure (in percentage of GDP or GNI) has been compiled from the OECD Social Expenditure Database (SOCX). The indicator measures public social expenditure as a percentage rate of GDP or GNI by the total of branches, type of expenditure and programme. The OECD Social Expenditure Database (SOCX) has been developed in order to serve a growing need for indicators of social policy. It includes reliable and internationally comparable statistics on public and mandatory and voluntary private social expenditure at programme level. SOCX provides a unique tool for monitoring trends in aggregate social expenditure and analysing changes in its composition. It covers 30 OECD countries for the period 1980-2005; this version also includes estimates of net total social spending for 2005 for 26 OECD countries (see Chapter 5 and Annexes 2 & 3 in Adema and Ladaïque (2009)). The main social policy areas are as follows: Old age, Survivors, Incapacity-related benefits, Health, Family, Active labor market programmes, Unemployment, Housing, and Other social policy areas. SOCX 2008 aggregated data are described in Adema and Ladaïque (2009) (http://www.oecd-ilibrary.org/social-issues-migration-health/how-expensive-is-the-welfare-state_220615515052) (see particularly sections 3.3, Annex 1 and Annex 4).

Geographical coverage

Data available only for the ESS countries that are members of OECD. This means that no data is available for Albania, Bulgaria, Cyprus, Lithuania, Russian Federation, Ukraine and Kosovo.

Time coverage

1980-2013 (Data for 2010-2013 are estimates).

Reference period

The recording period with respect to the social expenditure data is not the same for each country. Most countries report data by calendar year (1 January to 31 December), except for the United Kingdom, where the data reported pertain to a financial year which differs from the calendar year. Adopting the same convention as for national accounts, year "n" is taken to mean the year in which a financial year begins, whether it starts on 1 January, 1 April, 1 July or 1 October. In cases where the financial year for social expenditure does not coincide with the calendar year, the relevant periods have been taken on a prorata temporis basis when using GDP (available for calendar years) and the GDP deflator, see below. For all other countries, GDP data refer to the calendar year. In the United Kingdom, the financial year (n) runs from 1 April (n) to 31 March (n+1) for social expenditure, requiring an adjustment for GDP ($"n" = 0.75 * \text{GDP}(n) + 0.25 * \text{GDP}(n+1)$).

Data sources and compilation practices

The nature of SOCX data-processing is not straightforward as data do not derive from one all-encompassing questionnaire, but are taken from different sources in different formats. For the 22 ESS countries covered by the OECD data (EU-19, Iceland, Norway and Switzerland), data on social expenditure is provided by EUROSTAT as based on the information in their ESSPROS database. ESSPROS can be downloaded via the EUROSTAT website (<http://epp.eurostat.ec.europa.eu>). This is not an ideal way to collect data, not least because it limits interaction with data producers in European OECD countries. However, there has been little choice in the matter. From the start, OECD member states that also belong to the EU have insisted on providing data to the OECD via EUROSTAT in order to avoid having to deal with multiple social spending questionnaires. This is understandable, but does mean that a) information is only received from EUROSTAT once it has 'validated' the data for individual countries and b) data received in ESSPROS format has to be made compatible with information for non-European OECD countries. Furthermore, as ESSPROS data do not include all public spending on health and/or spending on active labour market policies, all individual country files are inevitably built from different sources.

Last update

November, 2012.

Date of extraction

10/09/2014.

Missing values

Data is missing for the following observations:

- Czech Republic: 1980-1989 (Social expenditure in percentage of GDP)
- Czech Republic: 1980-1991 (Social expenditure in percentage of GNI)
- Estonia: 1980-1998
- Hungary: 1980-1998

- Iceland: 1980-1989
- Israel: 1980-1994
- Norway: 1981-1984, 1986-1987
- Poland: 1980-1989
- Slovakia: 1980-1994
- Slovenia: 1980-1989
- Switzerland: 2009

Variables

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	c_soexgdp_1980	[COUNTRY] Social expenditure in percentage of GDP 1980	continuous	numeric-5.2	18	22	-
2	c_soexgdp_1981	[COUNTRY] Social expenditure in percentage of GDP 1981	continuous	numeric-5.2	16	24	-
3	c_soexgdp_1982	[COUNTRY] Social expenditure in percentage of GDP 1982	continuous	numeric-5.2	16	24	-
4	c_soexgdp_1983	[COUNTRY] Social expenditure in percentage of GDP 1983	continuous	numeric-5.2	16	24	-
5	c_soexgdp_1984	[COUNTRY] Social expenditure in percentage of GDP 1984	continuous	numeric-5.2	16	24	-
6	c_soexgdp_1985	[COUNTRY] Social expenditure in percentage of GDP 1985	continuous	numeric-5.2	18	22	-
7	c_soexgdp_1986	[COUNTRY] Social expenditure in percentage of GDP 1986	continuous	numeric-5.2	16	24	-
8	c_soexgdp_1987	[COUNTRY] Social expenditure in percentage of GDP 1987	continuous	numeric-5.2	16	24	-
9	c_soexgdp_1988	[COUNTRY] Social expenditure in percentage of GDP 1988	continuous	numeric-5.2	17	23	-
10	c_soexgdp_1989	[COUNTRY] Social expenditure in percentage of GDP 1989	continuous	numeric-5.2	17	23	-
11	c_soexgdp_1990	[COUNTRY] Social expenditure in percentage of GDP 1990	continuous	numeric-5.2	22	18	-
12	c_soexgdp_1991	[COUNTRY] Social expenditure in percentage of GDP 1991	continuous	numeric-5.2	22	18	-
13	c_soexgdp_1992	[COUNTRY] Social expenditure in percentage of GDP 1992	continuous	numeric-5.2	22	18	-
14	c_soexgdp_1993	[COUNTRY] Social expenditure in percentage of GDP 1993	continuous	numeric-5.2	22	18	-
15	c_soexgdp_1994	[COUNTRY] Social expenditure in percentage of GDP 1994	continuous	numeric-5.2	22	18	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
16	c_soexgdp_1995	[COUNTRY] Social expenditure in percentage of GDP 1995	continuous	numeric-5.2	24	16	-
17	c_soexgdp_1996	[COUNTRY] Social expenditure in percentage of GDP 1996	continuous	numeric-5.2	24	16	-
18	c_soexgdp_1997	[COUNTRY] Social expenditure in percentage of GDP 1997	continuous	numeric-5.2	24	16	-
19	c_soexgdp_1998	[COUNTRY] Social expenditure in percentage of GDP 1998	continuous	numeric-5.2	24	16	-
20	c_soexgdp_1999	[COUNTRY] Social expenditure in percentage of GDP 1999	continuous	numeric-5.2	26	14	-
21	c_soexgdp_2000	[COUNTRY] Social expenditure in percentage of GDP 2000	continuous	numeric-5.2	25	15	-
22	c_soexgdp_2001	[COUNTRY] Social expenditure in percentage of GDP 2001	continuous	numeric-5.2	25	15	-
23	c_soexgdp_2002	[COUNTRY] Social expenditure in percentage of GDP 2002	continuous	numeric-5.2	25	15	-
24	c_soexgdp_2003	[COUNTRY] Social expenditure in percentage of GDP 2003	continuous	numeric-5.2	25	15	-
25	c_soexgdp_2004	[COUNTRY] Social expenditure in percentage of GDP 2004	continuous	numeric-5.2	25	15	-
26	c_soexgdp_2005	[COUNTRY] Social expenditure in percentage of GDP 2005	continuous	numeric-5.2	26	14	-
27	c_soexgdp_2006	[COUNTRY] Social expenditure in percentage of GDP 2006	continuous	numeric-5.2	26	14	-
28	c_soexgdp_2007	[COUNTRY] Social expenditure in percentage of GDP 2007	continuous	numeric-5.2	26	14	-
29	c_soexgdp_2008	[COUNTRY] Social expenditure in percentage of GDP 2008	continuous	numeric-5.2	26	14	-
30	c_soexgdp_2009	[COUNTRY] Social expenditure in percentage of GDP 2009	continuous	numeric-5.2	25	15	-
31	c_soexgdp_2010	[COUNTRY] Social expenditure in percentage of GDP 2010 (estimate)	continuous	numeric-5.2	25	15	-
32	c_soexgdp_2011	[COUNTRY] Social expenditure in percentage of GDP 2011 (estimate)	continuous	numeric-5.2	25	15	-
33	c_soexgdp_2012	[COUNTRY] Social expenditure in percentage of GDP 2012 (estimate)	continuous	numeric-5.2	25	15	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
34	c_soexgdp_2013	[COUNTRY] Social expenditure in percentage of GDP 2013 (estimate)	continuous	numeric-5.2	25	15	-
35	c_soexgni_1980	[COUNTRY] Social expenditure in percentage of GNI 1980	continuous	numeric-5.2	17	23	-
36	c_soexgni_1981	[COUNTRY] Social expenditure in percentage of GNI 1981	continuous	numeric-5.2	15	25	-
37	c_soexgni_1982	[COUNTRY] Social expenditure in percentage of GNI 1982	continuous	numeric-5.2	15	25	-
38	c_soexgni_1983	[COUNTRY] Social expenditure in percentage of GNI 1983	continuous	numeric-5.2	15	25	-
39	c_soexgni_1984	[COUNTRY] Social expenditure in percentage of GNI 1984	continuous	numeric-5.2	15	25	-
40	c_soexgni_1985	[COUNTRY] Social expenditure in percentage of GNI 1985	continuous	numeric-5.2	17	23	-
41	c_soexgni_1986	[COUNTRY] Social expenditure in percentage of GNI 1986	continuous	numeric-5.2	15	25	-
42	c_soexgni_1987	[COUNTRY] Social expenditure in percentage of GNI 1987	continuous	numeric-5.2	15	25	-
43	c_soexgni_1988	[COUNTRY] Social expenditure in percentage of GNI 1988	continuous	numeric-5.2	16	24	-
44	c_soexgni_1989	[COUNTRY] Social expenditure in percentage of GNI 1989	continuous	numeric-5.2	16	24	-
45	c_soexgni_1990	[COUNTRY] Social expenditure in percentage of GNI 1990	continuous	numeric-5.2	19	21	-
46	c_soexgni_1991	[COUNTRY] Social expenditure in percentage of GNI 1991	continuous	numeric-5.2	20	20	-
47	c_soexgni_1992	[COUNTRY] Social expenditure in percentage of GNI 1992	continuous	numeric-5.2	21	19	-
48	c_soexgni_1993	[COUNTRY] Social expenditure in percentage of GNI 1993	continuous	numeric-5.2	21	19	-
49	c_soexgni_1994	[COUNTRY] Social expenditure in percentage of GNI 1994	continuous	numeric-5.2	21	19	-
50	c_soexgni_1995	[COUNTRY] Social expenditure in percentage of GNI 1995	continuous	numeric-5.2	23	17	-
51	c_soexgni_1996	[COUNTRY] Social expenditure in percentage of GNI 1996	continuous	numeric-5.2	23	17	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
52	c_soexgni_1997	[COUNTRY] Social expenditure in percentage of GNI 1997	continuous	numeric-5.2	23	17	-
53	c_soexgni_1998	[COUNTRY] Social expenditure in percentage of GNI 1998	continuous	numeric-5.2	23	17	-
54	c_soexgni_1999	[COUNTRY] Social expenditure in percentage of GNI 1999	continuous	numeric-5.2	25	15	-
55	c_soexgni_2000	[COUNTRY] Social expenditure in percentage of GNI 2000	continuous	numeric-5.2	25	15	-
56	c_soexgni_2001	[COUNTRY] Social expenditure in percentage of GNI 2001	continuous	numeric-5.2	25	15	-
57	c_soexgni_2002	[COUNTRY] Social expenditure in percentage of GNI 2002	continuous	numeric-5.2	25	15	-
58	c_soexgni_2003	[COUNTRY] Social expenditure in percentage of GNI 2003	continuous	numeric-5.2	25	15	-
59	c_soexgni_2004	[COUNTRY] Social expenditure in percentage of GNI 2004	continuous	numeric-5.2	25	15	-
60	c_soexgni_2005	[COUNTRY] Social expenditure in percentage of GNI 2005	continuous	numeric-5.2	25	15	-
61	c_soexgni_2006	[COUNTRY] Social expenditure in percentage of GNI 2006	continuous	numeric-5.2	25	15	-
62	c_soexgni_2007	[COUNTRY] Social expenditure in percentage of GNI 2007	continuous	numeric-5.2	25	15	-
63	c_soexgni_2008	[COUNTRY] Social expenditure in percentage of GNI 2008	continuous	numeric-5.2	25	15	-
64	c_soexgni_2009	[COUNTRY] Social expenditure in percentage of GNI 2009	continuous	numeric-5.2	24	16	-

Group GDP per capita

Subgroup(s)	United Nations Statistics Division , EUROSTAT
--------------------	---

Group Median age

Data source

Eurostat

Eurostat is the statistical office of the European Union situated in Luxembourg. Its task is to provide the European Union with statistics at European level that enable comparisons between countries and regions. The data presented here have been compiled by the ESS MDR from the Eurostat dissemination database (<http://epp.eurostat.ec.europa.eu/portal/page/portal/eurostat/home>). For data documentation see Reference Metadata in Euro SDMX Metadata Structure (ESMS) (http://epp.eurostat.ec.europa.eu/cache/ITY_SDDS/EN/demo_pop_esms.htm).

Variable information**Short description**

Median age is the age that divides the population in two parts of equal size, that is, there are as many persons with ages above the median as there are with ages below the median.

Geographical coverage

All ESS countries covered, except for Israel and Kosovo.

Time coverage

1990-2012.

Last update

27/06/2013.

Date of extraction

22/01/2014.

Missing values

Data is missing for the following observations:

- Albania: 1990-2003, 2008-2012
- France: 1990
- Russian Federation: 1990-2005, 2012
- Ukraine: 1990-2005

Variables

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	c_medage_1990	[COUNTRY] Median age in years 1990	continuous	numeric-5.2	29	11	-
2	c_medage_1991	[COUNTRY] Median age in years 1991	continuous	numeric-5.2	30	10	-
3	c_medage_1992	[COUNTRY] Median age in years 1992	continuous	numeric-5.2	30	10	-
4	c_medage_1993	[COUNTRY] Median age in years 1993	continuous	numeric-5.2	30	10	-
5	c_medage_1994	[COUNTRY] Median age in years 1994	continuous	numeric-5.2	30	10	-
6	c_medage_1995	[COUNTRY] Median age in years 1995	continuous	numeric-5.2	30	10	-
7	c_medage_1996	[COUNTRY] Median age in years 1996	continuous	numeric-5.2	30	10	-
8	c_medage_1997	[COUNTRY] Median age in years 1997	continuous	numeric-5.2	30	10	-
9	c_medage_1998	[COUNTRY] Median age in years 1998	continuous	numeric-5.2	30	10	-
10	c_medage_1999	[COUNTRY] Median age in years 1999	continuous	numeric-5.2	30	10	-
11	c_medage_2000	[COUNTRY] Median age in years 2000	continuous	numeric-5.2	30	10	-
12	c_medage_2001	[COUNTRY] Median age in years 2001	continuous	numeric-5.2	30	10	-
13	c_medage_2002	[COUNTRY] Median age in years 2002	continuous	numeric-5.2	31	9	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
14	c_medage_2003	[COUNTRY] Median age in years 2003	continuous	numeric-5.2	31	9	-
15	c_medage_2004	[COUNTRY] Median age in years 2004	continuous	numeric-5.2	32	8	-
16	c_medage_2005	[COUNTRY] Median age in years 2005	continuous	numeric-5.2	32	8	-
17	c_medage_2006	[COUNTRY] Median age in years 2006	continuous	numeric-5.2	34	6	-
18	c_medage_2007	[COUNTRY] Median age in years 2007	continuous	numeric-5.2	33	7	-
19	c_medage_2008	[COUNTRY] Median age in years 2008	continuous	numeric-5.2	33	7	-
20	c_medage_2009	[COUNTRY] Median age in years 2009	continuous	numeric-5.2	33	7	-
21	c_medage_2010	[COUNTRY] Median age in years 2010	continuous	numeric-5.2	33	7	-
22	c_medage_2011	[COUNTRY] Median age in years 2011	continuous	numeric-5.2	33	7	-
23	c_medage_2012	[COUNTRY] Median age in years 2012	continuous	numeric-5.2	32	8	-

Group Old-age-dependency ratio

Data source

Eurostat

Eurostat is the statistical office of the European Union situated in Luxembourg. Its task is to provide the European Union with statistics at European level that enable comparisons between countries and regions. The data presented here have been compiled by the ESS MDR from the Eurostat dissemination database (<http://epp.eurostat.ec.europa.eu/portal/page/portal/eurostat/home>). For data documentation see Reference Metadata in Euro SDMX Metadata Structure (ESMS) (http://epp.eurostat.ec.europa.eu/cache/ITY_SDDS/EN/demo_pop_esms.htm).

Variable information

Short description

Ratio between the total number of elderly persons of an age when they are generally economically inactive (aged 65 and over) and the number of persons of working age (from 15 to 64).

Geographical coverage

All ESS countries covered, except for Israel and Kosovo.

Time coverage

1990-2013.

Last update

24/02/2014.

Date of extraction

07/03/2014.

Missing values

Data is missing for the following observations:

- Albania: 1990-2003, 2008-2013
- France: 1990
- Russian Federation: 1990-2005, 2012-2013

• Ukraine: 1990-2005

Variables

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	c_olddeprat_1990	[COUNTRY] Old-age-dependency ratio 1990	continuous	numeric-5.2	29	11	-
2	c_olddeprat_1991	[COUNTRY] Old-age-dependency ratio 1991	continuous	numeric-5.2	30	10	-
3	c_olddeprat_1992	[COUNTRY] Old-age-dependency ratio 1992	continuous	numeric-5.2	30	10	-
4	c_olddeprat_1993	[COUNTRY] Old-age-dependency ratio 1993	continuous	numeric-5.2	30	10	-
5	c_olddeprat_1994	[COUNTRY] Old-age-dependency ratio 1994	continuous	numeric-5.2	30	10	-
6	c_olddeprat_1995	[COUNTRY] Old-age-dependency ratio 1995	continuous	numeric-5.2	30	10	-
7	c_olddeprat_1996	[COUNTRY] Old-age-dependency ratio 1996	continuous	numeric-5.2	30	10	-
8	c_olddeprat_1997	[COUNTRY] Old-age-dependency ratio 1997	continuous	numeric-5.2	30	10	-
9	c_olddeprat_1998	[COUNTRY] Old-age-dependency ratio 1998	continuous	numeric-5.2	30	10	-
10	c_olddeprat_1999	[COUNTRY] Old-age-dependency ratio 1999	continuous	numeric-5.2	30	10	-
11	c_olddeprat_2000	[COUNTRY] Old-age-dependency ratio 2000	continuous	numeric-5.2	30	10	-
12	c_olddeprat_2001	[COUNTRY] Old-age-dependency ratio 2001	continuous	numeric-5.2	30	10	-
13	c_olddeprat_2002	[COUNTRY] Old-age-dependency ratio 2002	continuous	numeric-5.2	31	9	-
14	c_olddeprat_2003	[COUNTRY] Old-age-dependency ratio 2003	continuous	numeric-5.2	31	9	-
15	c_olddeprat_2004	[COUNTRY] Old-age-dependency ratio 2004	continuous	numeric-5.2	32	8	-
16	c_olddeprat_2005	[COUNTRY] Old-age-dependency ratio 2005	continuous	numeric-5.2	32	8	-
17	c_olddeprat_2006	[COUNTRY] Old-age-dependency ratio 2006	continuous	numeric-5.2	34	6	-
18	c_olddeprat_2007	[COUNTRY] Old-age-dependency ratio 2007	continuous	numeric-5.2	33	7	-
19	c_olddeprat_2008	[COUNTRY] Old-age-dependency ratio 2008	continuous	numeric-5.2	33	7	-
20	c_olddeprat_2009	[COUNTRY] Old-age-dependency ratio 2009	continuous	numeric-5.2	33	7	-
21	c_olddeprat_2010	[COUNTRY] Old-age-dependency ratio 2010	continuous	numeric-5.2	33	7	-
22	c_olddeprat_2011	[COUNTRY] Old-age-dependency ratio 2011	continuous	numeric-5.2	33	7	-
23	c_olddeprat_2012	[COUNTRY] Old-age-dependency ratio 2012	continuous	numeric-5.2	32	8	-
24	c_olddeprat_2013	[COUNTRY] Old-age-dependency ratio 2013	continuous	numeric-5.2	32	8	-

Group Population size

Data source

Eurostat

Eurostat is the statistical office of the European Union situated in Luxembourg. Its task is to provide the European Union with statistics at European level that enable comparisons between countries and regions. The data presented here have been compiled by the ESS MDR from the Eurostat dissemination database (<http://epp.eurostat.ec.europa.eu/portal/page/portal/eurostat/home>). For data documentation see Reference Metadata in Euro SDMX Metadata Structure (ESMS) (http://epp.eurostat.ec.europa.eu/cache/ITY_SDDS/EN/demo_pop_esms.htm).

Variable information

Short description

Total population on 1 January. In accordance with the United Nations international recommendations, the definition of the 'usual residence' is based on a 12 month reference period, in other words, those included should have lived in their place of usual residence for a continuous period of at least 12 months before the reference date, or arrived in their place of usual residence during the 12 months before the reference date with the intention of staying there for at least one year. However, countries may report to Eurostat population figures based on data from their most recent census, adjusted by the components of population change that have been produced since the last census, or, alternatively, population figures that are based on the registered/legal population.

Geographical coverage

All ESS countries covered, except for Israel.

Time coverage

2000-2013.

Last update

05/03/2014.

Date of extraction

07/03/2014.

Missing values

Data is missing for the following observations:

- Albania: 2010, 2012-2013
- Kosovo: 2000-2005 (Population size - Male/Female)
- Kosovo: 2000-2002 (Population size - Total)
- Russian Federation: 2012-2013 (Population size - Male/Female)
- Russian Federation: 2013 (Population size - Total)

Variables

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	c_tpopsz_2000	[COUNTRY] Population size - Total 2000	continuous	numeric-9.0	34	6	-
2	c_tpopsz_2001	[COUNTRY] Population size - Total 2001	continuous	numeric-9.0	34	6	-
3	c_tpopsz_2002	[COUNTRY] Population size - Total 2002	continuous	numeric-9.0	34	6	-
4	c_tpopsz_2003	[COUNTRY] Population size - Total 2003	continuous	numeric-9.0	35	5	-
5	c_tpopsz_2004	[COUNTRY] Population size - Total 2004	continuous	numeric-9.0	35	5	-
6	c_tpopsz_2005	[COUNTRY] Population size - Total 2005	continuous	numeric-9.0	35	5	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
7	c_tpopsz_2006	[COUNTRY] Population size - Total 2006	continuous	numeric-9.0	35	5	-
8	c_tpopsz_2007	[COUNTRY] Population size - Total 2007	continuous	numeric-9.0	35	5	-
9	c_tpopsz_2008	[COUNTRY] Population size - Total 2008	continuous	numeric-9.0	35	5	-
10	c_tpopsz_2009	[COUNTRY] Population size - Total 2009	continuous	numeric-9.0	35	5	-
11	c_tpopsz_2010	[COUNTRY] Population size - Total 2010	continuous	numeric-9.0	34	6	-
12	c_tpopsz_2011	[COUNTRY] Population size - Total 2011	continuous	numeric-9.0	35	5	-
13	c_tpopsz_2012	[COUNTRY] Population size - Total 2012	continuous	numeric-9.0	34	6	-
14	c_tpopsz_2013	[COUNTRY] Population size - Total 2013	continuous	numeric-8.0	33	7	-
15	c_fpopsz_2000	[COUNTRY] Population size - Female 2000	continuous	numeric-8.0	34	6	-
16	c_fpopsz_2001	[COUNTRY] Population size - Female 2001	continuous	numeric-8.0	34	6	-
17	c_fpopsz_2002	[COUNTRY] Population size - Female 2002	continuous	numeric-8.0	34	6	-
18	c_fpopsz_2003	[COUNTRY] Population size - Female 2003	continuous	numeric-8.0	34	6	-
19	c_fpopsz_2004	[COUNTRY] Population size - Female 2004	continuous	numeric-8.0	34	6	-
20	c_fpopsz_2005	[COUNTRY] Population size - Female 2005	continuous	numeric-8.0	34	6	-
21	c_fpopsz_2006	[COUNTRY] Population size - Female 2006	continuous	numeric-8.0	35	5	-
22	c_fpopsz_2007	[COUNTRY] Population size - Female 2007	continuous	numeric-8.0	35	5	-
23	c_fpopsz_2008	[COUNTRY] Population size - Female 2008	continuous	numeric-8.0	35	5	-
24	c_fpopsz_2009	[COUNTRY] Population size - Female 2009	continuous	numeric-8.0	35	5	-
25	c_fpopsz_2010	[COUNTRY] Population size - Female 2010	continuous	numeric-8.0	34	6	-
26	c_fpopsz_2011	[COUNTRY] Population size - Female 2011	continuous	numeric-8.0	35	5	-
27	c_fpopsz_2012	[COUNTRY] Population size - Female 2012	continuous	numeric-8.0	33	7	-
28	c_fpopsz_2013	[COUNTRY] Population size - Female 2013	continuous	numeric-8.0	33	7	-
29	c_mpopsz_2000	[COUNTRY] Population size - Male 2000	continuous	numeric-8.0	34	6	-
30	c_mpopsz_2001	[COUNTRY] Population size - Male 2001	continuous	numeric-8.0	34	6	-
31	c_mpopsz_2002	[COUNTRY] Population size - Male 2002	continuous	numeric-8.0	34	6	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
32	c_mpopsz_2003	[COUNTRY] Population size - Male 2003	continuous	numeric-8.0	34	6	-
33	c_mpopsz_2004	[COUNTRY] Population size - Male 2004	continuous	numeric-8.0	34	6	-
34	c_mpopsz_2005	[COUNTRY] Population size - Male 2005	continuous	numeric-8.0	34	6	-
35	c_mpopsz_2006	[COUNTRY] Population size - Male 2006	continuous	numeric-8.0	35	5	-
36	c_mpopsz_2007	[COUNTRY] Population size - Male 2007	continuous	numeric-8.0	35	5	-
37	c_mpopsz_2008	[COUNTRY] Population size - Male 2008	continuous	numeric-8.0	35	5	-
38	c_mpopsz_2009	[COUNTRY] Population size - Male 2009	continuous	numeric-8.0	35	5	-
39	c_mpopsz_2010	[COUNTRY] Population size - Male 2010	continuous	numeric-8.0	34	6	-
40	c_mpopsz_2011	[COUNTRY] Population size - Male 2011	continuous	numeric-8.0	35	5	-
41	c_mpopsz_2012	[COUNTRY] Population size - Male 2012	continuous	numeric-8.0	33	7	-
42	c_mpopsz_2013	[COUNTRY] Population size - Male 2013	continuous	numeric-8.0	33	7	-

Group Population by citizenship - Foreigners

Data source

Eurostat

Eurostat is the statistical office of the European Union situated in Luxembourg. Its task is to provide the European Union with statistics at European level that enable comparisons between countries and regions. The data presented here have been compiled by the ESS MDR from the Eurostat dissemination database (<http://epp.eurostat.ec.europa.eu/portal/page/portal/eurostat/home>). For data documentation see Reference Metadata in Euro SDMX Metadata Structure (ESMS) (http://epp.eurostat.ec.europa.eu/cache/ITY_SDDS/EN/migr_stock_esms.htm). See also the Annex (http://epp.eurostat.ec.europa.eu/cache/ITY_SDDS/Annexes/migr_stock_esms_an1.pdf).

Variable information

Short description

Total number of foreigners including citizens of other EU Member States and non-EU citizens, usually resident in the reporting country by January the 1st. "Citizenship" denotes the particular legal bond between an individual and his or her State, acquired by birth or naturalization, whether by declaration, choice, marriage or other means according to national legislation.

Geographical coverage

All ESS countries covered, except for Albania, Israel, Russian Federation, Ukraine and Kosovo.

Time coverage

1998-2012.

Last update

13/01/2014.

Date of extraction

21/01/2014.

Missing values

Data is missing for the following observations:

- Bulgaria: 1998-2000, 2002-2005
- Estonia: 1998-1999, 2001-2005
- France: 1998, 2000-2002, 2004
- Iceland: 2007
- Ireland: 1998-1999
- Italy: 2001-2002
- Lithuania: 1998-2000, 2002-2004
- Norway: 1999
- Poland: 1998-2000
- Portugal: 2004-2005
- Slovakia: 1998-2002
- United Kingdom: 2002

Variables

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	c_popfor_1998	[COUNTRY] Population by citizenship - Foreigners 1998	continuous	numeric-7.0	21	19	-
2	c_popfor_1999	[COUNTRY] Population by citizenship - Foreigners 1999	continuous	numeric-7.0	20	20	-
3	c_popfor_2000	[COUNTRY] Population by citizenship - Foreigners 2000	continuous	numeric-7.0	22	18	-
4	c_popfor_2001	[COUNTRY] Population by citizenship - Foreigners 2001	continuous	numeric-7.0	25	15	-
5	c_popfor_2002	[COUNTRY] Population by citizenship - Foreigners 2002	continuous	numeric-7.0	21	19	-
6	c_popfor_2003	[COUNTRY] Population by citizenship - Foreigners 2003	continuous	numeric-7.0	24	16	-
7	c_popfor_2004	[COUNTRY] Population by citizenship - Foreigners 2004	continuous	numeric-7.0	24	16	-
8	c_popfor_2005	[COUNTRY] Population by citizenship - Foreigners 2005	continuous	numeric-7.0	25	15	-
9	c_popfor_2006	[COUNTRY] Population by citizenship - Foreigners 2006	continuous	numeric-7.0	30	10	-
10	c_popfor_2007	[COUNTRY] Population by citizenship - Foreigners 2007	continuous	numeric-7.0	29	11	-
11	c_popfor_2008	[COUNTRY] Population by citizenship - Foreigners 2008	continuous	numeric-7.0	31	9	-
12	c_popfor_2009	[COUNTRY] Population by citizenship - Foreigners 2009	continuous	numeric-7.0	30	10	-
13	c_popfor_2010	[COUNTRY] Population by citizenship - Foreigners 2010	continuous	numeric-7.0	29	11	-
14	c_popfor_2011	[COUNTRY] Population by citizenship - Foreigners 2011	continuous	numeric-7.0	29	11	-
15	c_popfor_2012	[COUNTRY] Population by citizenship - Foreigners 2012	continuous	numeric-7.0	30	10	-

Group Human development index (HDI)

Data source

United Nations

The Human Development Report is an independent report. It is commissioned by the United Nations Development Programme (UNDP) and is the product of a selected team of leading scholars, development practitioners and members of the Human Development Report Office of UNDP. The data presented here have been compiled by the ESS MDR from the Human Development Reports (<http://hdr.undp.org/en/reports/>). The timeseries compatible HDI are found in Human Development Report 2013 (<https://data.undp.org/dataset/Table-2-Human-Development-Index-trends/efc4-gjvq>). For more information on the HDI see Human Development Index (HDI) (<http://hdr.undp.org/en/content/human-development-index-hdi>). Information on the calculation of HDI is found in the technical notes of HDR 2013 (http://hdr.undp.org/sites/default/files/hdr_2013_en_technotes.pdf).

Variable information

Short description

The Human Development Index (HDI) is a summary measure of human development. It measures the average achievements in a country in three basic dimensions of human development: a long and healthy life (health), access to knowledge (education) and a decent standard of living (income). The education component of the HDI is measured by mean of years of schooling for adults aged 25 years and expected years of schooling for children of school entering age. Mean years of schooling is estimated based on educational attainment data from censuses and surveys available in the UNESCO Institute for Statistics database and Barro and Lee (2010) methodology. Expected years of schooling estimates are based on enrolment by age at all levels of education and population of official school age for each level of education. Expected years of schooling is capped at 18 years. The indicators are normalized using a minimum value of zero and maximum values are set to the actual observed maximum value of mean years of schooling from the countries in the time series, 1980–2010, that is 13.1 years estimated for Czech Republic in 2005. Expected years of schooling is maximized by its cap at 18 years. The education index is the geometric mean of two indices. The life expectancy at birth component of the HDI is calculated using a minimum value of 20 years and maximum value of 83.4 years. This is the observed maximum value of the indicators from the countries in the time series, 1980–2010. Thus, the longevity component for a country where life expectancy birth is 55 years would be 0.552. For the wealth component, the goalpost for minimum income is \$100 (PPP) and the maximum is \$107,721 (PPP), both estimated during the same period, 1980–2011. The decent standard of living component is measured by GNI per capita (PPP\$) instead of GDP per capita (PPP\$). The HDI uses the logarithm of income, to reflect the diminishing importance of income with increasing GNI. The scores for the three HDI dimension indices are then aggregated into a composite index using geometric mean.

Geographical coverage

All ESS countries covered, except for Kosovo.

Time coverage

- Human development index, HDR: The years 1992–2012 are covered, with the exception of 1996, 2006, 2008 and 2009
- Human development index, trends: The years 1980, 1985, 1990, 1995, 2000, 2005–2008 and 2010–2012

As is discussed below, under Comparability, the Human Development Index - Timeseries variables should be used instead of Human Development Index - Human Development Reports variables if one intends to analyze trends in HDI over time and across Human Development Reports.

Data sources

The Human Development Report Office strives to include as many UN member countries as possible in the HDI. For a country to be included, data ideally should be available from the relevant international data agencies for all four components of the index (the primary sources of data are the United Nations Population Division for life expectancy at birth, the UNESCO Institute for Statistics for the adult literacy rate and combined gross enrolment ratio for primary, secondary and tertiary schools and the World Bank for GDP per capita [PPP US\$]). But for a significant number of countries data are missing for one or more of these components. Striving to include as many UN member countries as possible and in response to the desire of countries to be included in the HDI, the Human Development Report Office makes every effort in these cases to identify other reasonable estimates, working with international data agencies, the UN Regional Commissions, national statistical offices and UNDP country offices. In a few cases the Human Development Report Office has attempted to make an estimate in consultation with regional and national statistical offices or other experts. HDRO is primarily a user, not a producer, of statistics. It relies on international data agencies with the mandate, resources and expertise to collect and compile international data on specific statistical indicators.

Data revision

A significant methodological refinement in the HDI occurred in the 1999 edition of the Human Development Report. For more details see Technical note 1 HDR 1999 (<http://hdr.undp.org/en/media/TechNote1999.pdf>).

- Methodological changes: Until 1999, in calculating the HDI, income above the cut-off point of world average per capita income has been discounted using a drastic discounting formula. In the 1999 methodology this discounting was made more gradual by taking the logarithm of income throughout.
- New and improved data series. The 1999 HDI is based on improved life expectancy data from the United Nations Population Division and revised data on adult literacy and combined gross primary, secondary and tertiary enrolment ratios from UNESCO. Data on purchasing power parities (PPP) have been updated by the World Bank following the more comprehensive 1997–98 surveys by the International Comparison Programme (ICP). Because of these changes, the 1999 HDI is not comparable with the 1998 HDI.

Comparability

As international data agencies continually improve their data series, including updating historical data periodically, the year-to-year changes in the HDI values and rankings across editions of the Human Development Report often reflect revisions to data—both specific to a country and relative to other countries—rather than real changes in a country. In addition, occasional changes in country coverage could affect the HDI ranking of a country. For example, a country's HDI rank could drop considerably between two consecutive Reports, but when comparable revised data are used to reconstruct the HDI for recent years, the HDI rank and value may actually show an improvement. For these reasons HDI trend analysis should not be based on data from different editions of the Report, the Human Development Index - Human Development Reports, but instead rely on Human Development Index - Timeseries, which provides up-to-date HDI trends based on consistent data time series and methodology.

Last update

March, 2013.

Date of extraction

17/01/2014.

Missing values

Data is missing for the following observations:

Human development index, HDR:

- Slovenia: 1992-1993

Human development index, trends:

- Albania: 1980-1985
- Czech Republic: 1980-1990
- Estonia: 1980-1985
- Lithuania: 1980-1985
- Poland: 1980-1990
- Russian Federation: 1980-1985
- Slovakia: 1980-1985
- Slovenia: 1980-1990
- Ukraine: 1980-1985

Variables

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	c_hdihdr_1992	[COUNTRY] Human development index (HDI) 1992	continuous	numeric-5.3	33	7	-
2	c_hdihdr_1993	[COUNTRY] Human development index (HDI) 1993	continuous	numeric-5.3	33	7	-
3	c_hdihdr_1994	[COUNTRY] Human development index (HDI) 1994	continuous	numeric-5.3	35	5	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
4	c_hdihdr_1995	[COUNTRY] Human development index (HDI) 1995	continuous	numeric-5.3	35	5	-
5	c_hdihdr_1997	[COUNTRY] Human development index (HDI) 1997	continuous	numeric-5.3	35	5	-
6	c_hdihdr_1998	[COUNTRY] Human development index (HDI) 1998	continuous	numeric-5.3	35	5	-
7	c_hdihdr_1999	[COUNTRY] Human development index (HDI) 1999	continuous	numeric-5.3	35	5	-
8	c_hdihdr_2000	[COUNTRY] Human development index (HDI) 2000	continuous	numeric-5.3	35	5	-
9	c_hdihdr_2001	[COUNTRY] Human development index (HDI) 2001	continuous	numeric-5.3	35	5	-
10	c_hdihdr_2002	[COUNTRY] Human development index (HDI) 2002	continuous	numeric-5.3	35	5	-
11	c_hdihdr_2003	[COUNTRY] Human development index (HDI) 2003	continuous	numeric-5.3	35	5	-
12	c_hdihdr_2004	[COUNTRY] Human development index (HDI) 2004	continuous	numeric-5.3	35	5	-
13	c_hdihdr_2005	[COUNTRY] Human development index (HDI) 2005	continuous	numeric-5.3	35	5	-
14	c_hdihdr_2007	[COUNTRY] Human development index (HDI) 2007	continuous	numeric-5.3	35	5	-
15	c_hdihdr_2010	[COUNTRY] Human development index (HDI) 2010	continuous	numeric-5.3	35	5	-
16	c_hdihdr_2011	[COUNTRY] Human development index (HDI) 2011	continuous	numeric-5.3	35	5	-
17	c_hdihdr_2012	[COUNTRY] Human development index (HDI) 2012	continuous	numeric-5.3	35	5	-
18	c_hditr_1980	[COUNTRY] Human development index, trends 1980	continuous	numeric-5.3	24	16	-
19	c_hditr_1985	[COUNTRY] Human development index, trends 1985	continuous	numeric-5.3	23	17	-
20	c_hditr_1990	[COUNTRY] Human development index, trends 1990	continuous	numeric-5.3	32	8	-
21	c_hditr_1995	[COUNTRY] Human development index, trends 1995	continuous	numeric-5.3	35	5	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
22	c_hditr_2000	[COUNTRY] Human development index, trends 2000	continuous	numeric-5.3	35	5	-
23	c_hditr_2005	[COUNTRY] Human development index, trends 2005	continuous	numeric-5.3	35	5	-
24	c_hditr_2006	[COUNTRY] Human development index, trends 2006	continuous	numeric-5.3	35	5	-
25	c_hditr_2007	[COUNTRY] Human development index, trends 2007	continuous	numeric-5.3	35	5	-
26	c_hditr_2008	[COUNTRY] Human development index, trends 2008	continuous	numeric-5.3	35	5	-
27	c_hditr_2010	[COUNTRY] Human development index, trends 2010	continuous	numeric-5.3	35	5	-
28	c_hditr_2011	[COUNTRY] Human development index, trends 2011	continuous	numeric-5.3	35	5	-
29	c_hditr_2012	[COUNTRY] Human development index, trends 2012	continuous	numeric-5.3	35	5	-

Group Multidimensional Poverty Index (MPI)

Data source

United Nations

The Human Development Report is an independent report. It is commissioned by the United Nations Development Programme (UNDP) and is the product of a selected team of leading scholars, development practitioners and members of the Human Development Report Office of UNDP. The data presented here have been compiled by the ESS MD from the Human Development Report 2013 (<http://hdr.undp.org/en/reports/>). Please see Multidimensional Poverty Index (<http://hdr.undp.org/en/statistics/mpi/>) for more information about the variable. Technical information is found in the technical notes of HDR 2013 (http://hdr.undp.org/sites/default/files/hdr_2013_en_technotes.pdf).

Variable information

Short description

The Oxford Poverty and Human Development Initiative (OPHI) (<http://www.ophi.org.uk/>) of Oxford University and the Human Development Report Office of the United Nations Development Programme (UNDP) launched a new poverty measure in July 2010 that gives a “multidimensional” picture of people living in poverty which its creators say could help target development resources more effectively. The Multidimensional Poverty Index (MPI) identifies multiple deprivations at the individual level in health, education and standard of living. It uses micro data from household surveys, and all the indicators needed to construct the measure must come from the same survey. Each person in a given household is classified as poor or nonpoor depending on the number of deprivations his or her household experiences. These data are then aggregated into the national measure of poverty. The MPI replaced the Human poverty index (HPI), which had been published since 1997. Pioneering in its day, the HPI used country averages to reflect aggregate deprivations in health, education, and standard of living. It could not identify specific individuals, households or larger groups of people as jointly deprived. The MPI addresses this shortcoming by capturing how many people experience overlapping deprivations (incidence) and how many deprivations they face on average (intensity). The MPI can be broken down by indicator to show how the composition of multidimensional poverty changes for different regions, ethnic groups and so on—with useful implications for policy. For more details regarding the MPI measurement, please see Alkire and Santos (2010) (<http://www.ophi.org.uk/wp-content/uploads/ophi-wp38.pdf>).

Data sources

The MPI relies on three main databases that are publicly available and comparable for most developing countries: the Demographic and Health Survey (DHS), the Multiple Indicators Cluster Survey (MICS), and the World Health Survey (WHS). It is important to note that the surveys used to measure MPI are taken in different years and some countries do not have recent data. The MPI relies on the most recent and reliable data available since 2005. The difference in dates limits direct cross country comparisons, as circumstances may have improved, or deteriorated, in the intervening years.

Geographical coverage

The following countries are covered in the ESS MD: Albania, Czech Republic, Estonia, Hungary, Russian Federation, Slovenia, Slovakia and Ukraine.

Time coverage and comparability

As explained above, the surveys used to calculate MPI is collected over the period 2005-2013. This limits direct cross country comparisons, as circumstances may have improved, or deteriorated, in the intervening years. The MPI have been estimated over time, however only for countries not covered in the ESS. Please see page 51 of Alkire and Santos (2010) (<http://www.ophi.org.uk/wp-content/uploads/ophi-wp38.pdf>) for more information regarding this.

Last update

March, 2013.

Date of extraction

30/01/2014.

Variables

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	c_mpi_2012	[COUNTRY] Multidimensional Poverty Index (MPI) 2012	continuous	numeric-5.3	11	29	-

Group Health workforce

Data source

Eurostat

Eurostat is the statistical office of the European Union situated in Luxembourg. Its task is to provide the European Union with statistics at European level that enable comparisons between countries and regions. The data presented here have been compiled by the ESS MDR from the Eurostat dissemination database (<http://epp.eurostat.ec.europa.eu/portal/page/portal/eurostat/home>). For data documentation see Reference Metadata in Euro SDMX Metadata Structure (ESMS) (http://epp.eurostat.ec.europa.eu/cache/ITY_SDDS/EN/demoreg_esms.htm).

Variable information

Short description

These variables reports the rate of of health personnel per 100 000 inhabitants. In the context of comparing health care services across Member States, Eurostat gives preference to the concept 'practising', as it best describes the availability of health care resources. Common definitions for the different categories of health care professionals (doctors, dentists, etc.) were agreed with OECD and WHO. The detailed definitions are available in CIRCA. (http://circa.europa.eu/Public/irc/dsis/health/library?l=/methodologiessandsdatasc/health_care/estat-oecd-definitions-c/_EN_1.0_&a=i).

Geographical coverage

All ESS countries covered, except for Albania, Israel, Russian Federation, Ukraine and Kosovo.

Time coverage

1993-2011.

Last update

30/01/2014.

Date of extraction

14/03/2014.

Missing values

Data is missing for the following country-years (relevant variables in parenthesis):

- Belgium: 1993-2011 (all years) (Nurses and midwives)
- Bulgaria: 1993-1999 (Nurses and midwives)
- Bulgaria: 2000-2011 (Pharmacists)
- Bulgaria: 1993-1994 (Physiotherapists)
- Cyprus: 1993-2011 (all years) (Nurses and midwives)
- Czech Republic: 1993-1999 (Nurses and midwives)
- Denmark: 2010-2011
- Estonia: 1993-1994 (Pharmacists, Physiotherapists)
- Finland: 1993-1999, 2011 (Dentists, Nurses and midwives, Pharmacists, Physiotherapists)
- Finland: 1993-1994 (Physicians or doctors)
- France: 1993-1997 (Nurses and midwives)
- Germany: 1993-1999 (Nurses and midwives, Physiotherapists)
- Hungary: 1993 (Physiotherapists)
- Iceland: 1995-1996 (Nurses and midwives)
- Iceland: 2002-2003 (Physiotherapists)
- Ireland: 1993 (Nurses and midwives)
- Italy: 1993-2007 (Nurses and midwives)
- Italy: 1993-2010 (Physiotherapists)
- Lithuania: 1993 (Pharmacists)
- Netherlands: 1993-1994, 1996-1998, 2011 (Dentists)
- Netherlands: 1993-1998, 2011 (Nurses and midwives, Physiotherapists, Physicians or doctors)
- Netherlands: 2011 (Pharmacists)
- Norway: 1993-2001 (Nurses and midwives, Pharmacists)
- Norway: 1999, 2001 (Physiotherapists)
- Poland: 1993-1999 (Nurses and midwives)
- Portugal: 1993-2003 (Nurses and midwives)
- Portugal: 1993-1998 (Pharmacists, Physiotherapists)
- Slovakia: 1993-1999 (Nurses and midwives)
- Slovakia: 1993 (Pharmacists, Physicians or doctors)
- Slovakia: 1993-2008 (Physiotherapists)
- Slovenia: 1993-1997 (Dentists, Pharmacists, Physicians or doctors)
- Slovenia: 1993-2002 (Nurses and midwives)
- Spain: 1993-2005 (Physiotherapists)
- Spain: 1993-1994 (Physicians or doctors)
- Sweden: 1993-1994, 2011 (Dentists, Nurses and midwives)
- Sweden: 1999, 2011 (Pharmacists)
- Sweden: 2011 (Physiotherapists, Physicians or doctors)
- Switzerland: 1993-2004 (Nurses and midwives)
- Switzerland: 1993-2011 (all years) (Physiotherapists)
- Switzerland: 1993-2002 (Physicians or doctors)
- United Kingdom: 1993-2006 (Dentists, Nurses and midwives)
- United Kingdom: 1993-2001 (Pharmacists)
- United Kingdom: 1993-1999 (Physiotherapist)

Variables

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	c_derape_1993	[COUNTRY] Dentists, rate per 100 000 inhabitants 1993	continuous	numeric-6.2	25	15	-
2	c_derape_1994	[COUNTRY] Dentists, rate per 100 000 inhabitants 1994	continuous	numeric-6.2	26	14	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
3	c_derape_1995	[COUNTRY] Dentists, rate per 100 000 inhabitants 1995	continuous	numeric-6.2	28	12	-
4	c_derape_1996	[COUNTRY] Dentists, rate per 100 000 inhabitants 1996	continuous	numeric-6.2	27	13	-
5	c_derape_1997	[COUNTRY] Dentists, rate per 100 000 inhabitants 1997	continuous	numeric-6.2	27	13	-
6	c_derape_1998	[COUNTRY] Dentists, rate per 100 000 inhabitants 1998	continuous	numeric-6.2	28	12	-
7	c_derape_1999	[COUNTRY] Dentists, rate per 100 000 inhabitants 1999	continuous	numeric-6.2	29	11	-
8	c_derape_2000	[COUNTRY] Dentists, rate per 100 000 inhabitants 2000	continuous	numeric-6.2	30	10	-
9	c_derape_2001	[COUNTRY] Dentists, rate per 100 000 inhabitants 2001	continuous	numeric-6.2	30	10	-
10	c_derape_2002	[COUNTRY] Dentists, rate per 100 000 inhabitants 2002	continuous	numeric-6.2	30	10	-
11	c_derape_2003	[COUNTRY] Dentists, rate per 100 000 inhabitants 2003	continuous	numeric-6.2	30	10	-
12	c_derape_2004	[COUNTRY] Dentists, rate per 100 000 inhabitants 2004	continuous	numeric-6.2	30	10	-
13	c_derape_2005	[COUNTRY] Dentists, rate per 100 000 inhabitants 2005	continuous	numeric-6.2	30	10	-
14	c_derape_2006	[COUNTRY] Dentists, rate per 100 000 inhabitants 2006	continuous	numeric-6.2	30	10	-
15	c_derape_2007	[COUNTRY] Dentists, rate per 100 000 inhabitants 2007	continuous	numeric-6.2	31	9	-
16	c_derape_2008	[COUNTRY] Dentists, rate per 100 000 inhabitants 2008	continuous	numeric-6.2	31	9	-
17	c_derape_2009	[COUNTRY] Dentists, rate per 100 000 inhabitants 2009	continuous	numeric-6.2	31	9	-
18	c_derape_2010	[COUNTRY] Dentists, rate per 100 000 inhabitants 2010	continuous	numeric-6.2	30	10	-
19	c_derape_2011	[COUNTRY] Dentists, rate per 100 000 inhabitants 2011	continuous	numeric-6.2	27	13	-
20	c_nurape_1993	[COUNTRY] Nurses and midwives, rate per 100 000 inhabitants 1993	continuous	numeric-7.2	7	33	-
21	c_nurape_1994	[COUNTRY] Nurses and midwives, rate per 100 000 inhabitants 1994	continuous	numeric-7.2	8	32	-
22	c_nurape_1995	[COUNTRY] Nurses and midwives, rate per 100 000 inhabitants 1995	continuous	numeric-7.2	9	31	-
23	c_nurape_1996	[COUNTRY] Nurses and midwives, rate per 100 000 inhabitants 1996	continuous	numeric-7.2	10	30	-
24	c_nurape_1997	[COUNTRY] Nurses and midwives, rate per 100 000 inhabitants 1997	continuous	numeric-7.2	12	28	-
25	c_nurape_1998	[COUNTRY] Nurses and midwives, rate per 100 000 inhabitants 1998	continuous	numeric-7.2	13	27	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
26	c_nurape_1999	[COUNTRY] Nurses and midwives, rate per 100 000 inhabitants 1999	continuous	numeric-7.2	14	26	-
27	c_nurape_2000	[COUNTRY] Nurses and midwives, rate per 100 000 inhabitants 2000	continuous	numeric-7.2	23	17	-
28	c_nurape_2001	[COUNTRY] Nurses and midwives, rate per 100 000 inhabitants 2001	continuous	numeric-7.2	23	17	-
29	c_nurape_2002	[COUNTRY] Nurses and midwives, rate per 100 000 inhabitants 2002	continuous	numeric-7.2	24	16	-
30	c_nurape_2003	[COUNTRY] Nurses and midwives, rate per 100 000 inhabitants 2003	continuous	numeric-7.2	25	15	-
31	c_nurape_2004	[COUNTRY] Nurses and midwives, rate per 100 000 inhabitants 2004	continuous	numeric-7.2	26	14	-
32	c_nurape_2005	[COUNTRY] Nurses and midwives, rate per 100 000 inhabitants 2005	continuous	numeric-7.2	27	13	-
33	c_nurape_2006	[COUNTRY] Nurses and midwives, rate per 100 000 inhabitants 2006	continuous	numeric-7.2	27	13	-
34	c_nurape_2007	[COUNTRY] Nurses and midwives, rate per 100 000 inhabitants 2007	continuous	numeric-7.2	27	13	-
35	c_nurape_2008	[COUNTRY] Nurses and midwives, rate per 100 000 inhabitants 2008	continuous	numeric-7.2	28	12	-
36	c_nurape_2009	[COUNTRY] Nurses and midwives, rate per 100 000 inhabitants 2009	continuous	numeric-7.2	27	13	-
37	c_nurape_2010	[COUNTRY] Nurses and midwives, rate per 100 000 inhabitants 2010	continuous	numeric-7.2	25	15	-
38	c_nurape_2011	[COUNTRY] Nurses and midwives, rate per 100 000 inhabitants 2011	continuous	numeric-7.2	23	17	-
39	c_pharape_1993	[COUNTRY] Pharmacists, rate per 100 000 inhabitants 1993	continuous	numeric-6.2	21	19	-
40	c_pharape_1994	[COUNTRY] Pharmacists, rate per 100 000 inhabitants 1994	continuous	numeric-6.2	23	17	-
41	c_pharape_1995	[COUNTRY] Pharmacists, rate per 100 000 inhabitants 1995	continuous	numeric-6.2	24	16	-
42	c_pharape_1996	[COUNTRY] Pharmacists, rate per 100 000 inhabitants 1996	continuous	numeric-6.2	24	16	-
43	c_pharape_1997	[COUNTRY] Pharmacists, rate per 100 000 inhabitants 1997	continuous	numeric-6.2	24	16	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
44	c_pharape_1998	[COUNTRY] Pharmacists, rate per 100 000 inhabitants 1998	continuous	numeric-6.2	25	15	-
45	c_pharape_1999	[COUNTRY] Pharmacists, rate per 100 000 inhabitants 1999	continuous	numeric-6.2	25	15	-
46	c_pharape_2000	[COUNTRY] Pharmacists, rate per 100 000 inhabitants 2000	continuous	numeric-6.2	26	14	-
47	c_pharape_2001	[COUNTRY] Pharmacists, rate per 100 000 inhabitants 2001	continuous	numeric-6.2	26	14	-
48	c_pharape_2002	[COUNTRY] Pharmacists, rate per 100 000 inhabitants 2002	continuous	numeric-6.2	28	12	-
49	c_pharape_2003	[COUNTRY] Pharmacists, rate per 100 000 inhabitants 2003	continuous	numeric-6.2	29	11	-
50	c_pharape_2004	[COUNTRY] Pharmacists, rate per 100 000 inhabitants 2004	continuous	numeric-6.2	30	10	-
51	c_pharape_2005	[COUNTRY] Pharmacists, rate per 100 000 inhabitants 2005	continuous	numeric-6.2	30	10	-
52	c_pharape_2006	[COUNTRY] Pharmacists, rate per 100 000 inhabitants 2006	continuous	numeric-6.2	30	10	-
53	c_pharape_2007	[COUNTRY] Pharmacists, rate per 100 000 inhabitants 2007	continuous	numeric-6.2	30	10	-
54	c_pharape_2008	[COUNTRY] Pharmacists, rate per 100 000 inhabitants 2008	continuous	numeric-6.2	30	10	-
55	c_pharape_2009	[COUNTRY] Pharmacists, rate per 100 000 inhabitants 2009	continuous	numeric-6.2	30	10	-
56	c_pharape_2010	[COUNTRY] Pharmacists, rate per 100 000 inhabitants 2010	continuous	numeric-6.2	28	12	-
57	c_pharape_2011	[COUNTRY] Pharmacists, rate per 100 000 inhabitants 2011	continuous	numeric-6.2	25	15	-
58	c_phorape_1993	[COUNTRY] Physioterapists, rate per 100 000 inhabitants 1993	continuous	numeric-6.2	14	26	-
59	c_phorape_1994	[COUNTRY] Physioterapists, rate per 100 000 inhabitants 1994	continuous	numeric-6.2	15	25	-
60	c_phorape_1995	[COUNTRY] Physioterapists, rate per 100 000 inhabitants 1995	continuous	numeric-6.2	17	23	-
61	c_phorape_1996	[COUNTRY] Physioterapists, rate per 100 000 inhabitants 1996	continuous	numeric-6.2	17	23	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
62	c_phorape_1997	[COUNTRY] Physiotherapists, rate per 100 000 inhabitants 1997	continuous	numeric-6.2	17	23	-
63	c_phorape_1998	[COUNTRY] Physiotherapists, rate per 100 000 inhabitants 1998	continuous	numeric-6.2	18	22	-
64	c_phorape_1999	[COUNTRY] Physiotherapists, rate per 100 000 inhabitants 1999	continuous	numeric-6.2	19	21	-
65	c_phorape_2000	[COUNTRY] Physiotherapists, rate per 100 000 inhabitants 2000	continuous	numeric-6.2	23	17	-
66	c_phorape_2001	[COUNTRY] Physiotherapists, rate per 100 000 inhabitants 2001	continuous	numeric-6.2	22	18	-
67	c_phorape_2002	[COUNTRY] Physiotherapists, rate per 100 000 inhabitants 2002	continuous	numeric-6.2	22	18	-
68	c_phorape_2003	[COUNTRY] Physiotherapists, rate per 100 000 inhabitants 2003	continuous	numeric-6.2	22	18	-
69	c_phorape_2004	[COUNTRY] Physiotherapists, rate per 100 000 inhabitants 2004	continuous	numeric-6.2	23	17	-
70	c_phorape_2005	[COUNTRY] Physiotherapists, rate per 100 000 inhabitants 2005	continuous	numeric-6.2	25	15	-
71	c_phorape_2006	[COUNTRY] Physiotherapists, rate per 100 000 inhabitants 2006	continuous	numeric-6.2	26	14	-
72	c_phorape_2007	[COUNTRY] Physiotherapists, rate per 100 000 inhabitants 2007	continuous	numeric-6.2	27	13	-
73	c_phorape_2008	[COUNTRY] Physiotherapists, rate per 100 000 inhabitants 2008	continuous	numeric-6.2	28	12	-
74	c_phorape_2009	[COUNTRY] Physiotherapists, rate per 100 000 inhabitants 2009	continuous	numeric-6.2	29	11	-
75	c_phorape_2010	[COUNTRY] Physiotherapists, rate per 100 000 inhabitants 2010	continuous	numeric-6.2	28	12	-
76	c_phorape_2011	[COUNTRY] Physiotherapists, rate per 100 000 inhabitants 2011	continuous	numeric-6.2	26	14	-
77	c_phyrape_1993	[COUNTRY] Physicians or doctors, rate per 100 000 inhabitants 1993	continuous	numeric-6.2	25	15	-
78	c_phyrape_1994	[COUNTRY] Physicians or doctors, rate per 100 000 inhabitants 1994	continuous	numeric-6.2	26	14	-
79	c_phyrape_1995	[COUNTRY] Physicians or doctors, rate per 100 000 inhabitants 1995	continuous	numeric-6.2	28	12	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
80	c_phyrape_1996	[COUNTRY] Physicians or doctors, rate per 100 000 inhabitants 1996	continuous	numeric-6.2	28	12	-
81	c_phyrape_1997	[COUNTRY] Physicians or doctors, rate per 100 000 inhabitants 1997	continuous	numeric-6.2	28	12	-
82	c_phyrape_1998	[COUNTRY] Physicians or doctors, rate per 100 000 inhabitants 1998	continuous	numeric-6.2	29	11	-
83	c_phyrape_1999	[COUNTRY] Physicians or doctors, rate per 100 000 inhabitants 1999	continuous	numeric-6.2	30	10	-
84	c_phyrape_2000	[COUNTRY] Physicians or doctors, rate per 100 000 inhabitants 2000	continuous	numeric-6.2	30	10	-
85	c_phyrape_2001	[COUNTRY] Physicians or doctors, rate per 100 000 inhabitants 2001	continuous	numeric-6.2	30	10	-
86	c_phyrape_2002	[COUNTRY] Physicians or doctors, rate per 100 000 inhabitants 2002	continuous	numeric-6.2	30	10	-
87	c_phyrape_2003	[COUNTRY] Physicians or doctors, rate per 100 000 inhabitants 2003	continuous	numeric-6.2	31	9	-
88	c_phyrape_2004	[COUNTRY] Physicians or doctors, rate per 100 000 inhabitants 2004	continuous	numeric-6.2	31	9	-
89	c_phyrape_2005	[COUNTRY] Physicians or doctors, rate per 100 000 inhabitants 2005	continuous	numeric-6.2	31	9	-
90	c_phyrape_2006	[COUNTRY] Physicians or doctors, rate per 100 000 inhabitants 2006	continuous	numeric-6.2	31	9	-
91	c_phyrape_2007	[COUNTRY] Physicians or doctors, rate per 100 000 inhabitants 2007	continuous	numeric-6.2	31	9	-
92	c_phyrape_2008	[COUNTRY] Physicians or doctors, rate per 100 000 inhabitants 2008	continuous	numeric-6.2	31	9	-
93	c_phyrape_2009	[COUNTRY] Physicians or doctors, rate per 100 000 inhabitants 2009	continuous	numeric-6.2	31	9	-
94	c_phyrape_2010	[COUNTRY] Physicians or doctors, rate per 100 000 inhabitants 2010	continuous	numeric-6.2	30	10	-
95	c_phyrape_2011	[COUNTRY] Physicians or doctors, rate per 100 000 inhabitants 2011	continuous	numeric-6.2	28	12	-

Group Life expectancy

Data source

WHO

WHO is the directing and coordinating authority for health within the United Nations system. It is responsible for providing leadership on global health matters, shaping the health research agenda, setting norms and standards, articulating evidence-

based policy options, providing technical support to countries and monitoring and assessing health trends. The data presented here have been compiled by the ESS MDR from the Global Health Observatory (GHO) (<http://www.who.int/gho/en/index.html>). For more relevant information see Modified Logit Life Table System: Principles, Empirical Validation and Application (Murray et al, 2003) (http://www.who.int/healthinfo/paper39.pdf_blank) and WHO Mortality Database (<http://www.who.int/healthinfo/morttables/en/index.html>).

Variable information

Short description

Average number of years that a newborn is expected to live if current mortality rates continue to apply. WHO computes life expectancy at birth from a period life table. Life expectancy at birth reflects the overall mortality level of a population. It summarizes the mortality pattern that prevails across all age groups - children and adolescents, adults and the elderly.

Data sources

Civil registration with complete coverage are the preferred data sources. Household surveys and Population census are other possible data sources

Measurement method

Life expectancy at birth is an output of a life table. To construct life table, agespecific mortality rates, derived from number of death of civil registration and population, are required. In case of inadequate sources of age-specific mortality rates, a life table could be derived from estimated under-5 mortality rates and adult mortality rates from household surveys or population census using life table models.

Method of estimation

When mortality data from civil registration are available, their quality is assessed; they are adjusted for the level of completeness of registration if necessary and they are directly used to construct the life tables. WHO has developed a model life table using a modified logit system based on about 1800 life tables from vital registration judged to be of good quality to project life tables and to estimate life table using limited number of parameter as input.

For projections of countries where the civil registration provides a time series of annual life tables, parameters from the life tables, adjusted if necessary, were projected using a weighted regression model, giving more weight to recent years. Projected values of the two life table parameters were then applied to the modified logit life table model, where the most recent national data provided an age pattern, to predict the full life tables. In case of inadequate sources of age-specific mortality rates, the life table is derived from estimated under-5 mortality rates and adult mortality rates, or from under-5 mortality rates only, using a modified logit model to which a global standard (defined as the average of all the 1800 life tables) is applied.

Geographical coverage

All ESS countries covered, except for Kosovo.

Time coverage

1990, 2000, 2007-2009, 2011.

Date of extraction

Data covering the years 1990, 2000 and 2011 were extracted 30/01/2014.

Data covering 2009 were extracted 01/08/2012.

Data covering the years 2007-2008 were extracted 22/01/2010.

Missing values

Data is missing for the following observations:

- Albania: 2007-2009
- Lithuania: 2008

Variables

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
---	------	-------	------	--------	-------	---------	----------

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	c_liex_1990	[COUNTRY] Life expectancy at birth (years) both sexes 1990	continuous	numeric-2.0	35	5	-
2	c_liex_2000	[COUNTRY] Life expectancy at birth (years) both sexes 2000	continuous	numeric-2.0	35	5	-
3	c_liex_2007	[COUNTRY] Life expectancy at birth (years) both sexes 2007	continuous	numeric-2.0	33	7	-
4	c_liex_2008	[COUNTRY] Life expectancy at birth (years) both sexes 2008	continuous	numeric-2.0	33	7	-
5	c_liex_2009	[COUNTRY] Life expectancy at birth (years) both sexes 2009	continuous	numeric-2.0	34	6	-
6	c_liex_2011	[COUNTRY] Life expectancy at birth (years) both sexes 2011	continuous	numeric-2.0	35	5	-

Group Intentional homicide rate

Data source

United Nations

UNODC is a global leader in the fight against illicit drugs and international crime. Established in 1997 through a merger between the United Nations Drug Control Programme and the Centre for International Crime Prevention, UNODC operates in all regions of the world through an extensive network of field offices. UNODC is mandated to assist Member States in their struggle against illicit drugs, crime and terrorism. The data presented here have been compiled by the ESS MDR from the International Homicide Statistics (IHS) (<http://www.unodc.org/gsh/en/data.html>).

Variable information

Short description

Intentional homicide is defined as unlawful death purposefully inflicted on a person by another person. Data represent the number of homicides per 100,000 population as captured by different sources of national and international administrative statistics.

Geographical coverage

All ESS countries covered, except for Kosovo.

Time coverage

1995-2011.

Concepts

The term 'intentional homicide' captures a wide range of acts, including domestic disputes that end in a killing, interpersonal violence, violent conflicts over land resources, inter-gang violence over turf or control, and predatory violence and killing by armed groups. Whilst the term is broad, however, it does not capture all intentional killing. In particular, deaths arising from armed conflict are usually considered separately. The difference is often described by the organization of the killing. Individuals or small groups usually commit homicide, whereas the killing in armed conflict is usually committed by more or less cohesive groups of up to several hundred members.

Despite the conceptual distinction, there is often little difference in intensity between large-scale criminal violence and low-level armed conflict, and the line between the two is often blurred. As a result, intentional homicide data should be interpreted with particular caution in countries affected by armed conflict. Recording of the number of homicides in such situations becomes complicated by two factors. Firstly, difficulties in applying the legal term of 'homicide' to persons who take up arms and engage in a level of internal armed conflict. Secondly, it is unlikely that the police and justice case recording systems work properly in a situation of internal armed conflict, whilst health data may tend to capture both conflict and non-conflict

deaths without distinction. As a result, levels of recorded homicide in situations of civil war or instability may appear either unusually high (where conflict related deaths are recorded as homicide) or, paradoxically, unusually low (where deaths cease to be classified and recorded).

Definitions

Due to the fact that this dataset uses multiple sources – both national and international, and criminal justice and public health based – homicide is defined for the purposes of the dataset in its most basic sense as unlawful death purposefully inflicted on a person by another person. Certain data sources used may have applied a more specific definition. The definition adopted by this dataset nonetheless represents the most basic commonality between the different data sources.

A comparative analysis of homicide statistics must be conducted cautiously. Aside from the difficulties of identifying non-conflict related deaths, legal definitions of homicide vary among countries, and may or may not include crimes such as assault leading to death, euthanasia, infanticide, or assistance with suicide. The comparison of intentional homicide figures between countries and regions is, to some extent, a comparison not only of the level of intended killing of persons, but also of the extent to which countries and regions deem that a killing should be classified as such. In essence, societies define those killings that it perceives as acceptable and those that it does not.

Further, identical definitions cannot be applied by both criminal justice and medical or public health data sources. These systems measure slightly different phenomenon. Most importantly, the medical or public health system cannot determine the legal existence of an act of intentional homicide; merely the fact that a person has been killed by act of violence that appears to have been carried out intentionally.

Data sources

Data included in the UNODC Homicide Statistics (2013) dataset are sourced from either criminal justice or public health systems. In the former, data are generated by law enforcement or criminal justice authorities in the process of recording and investigating a crime event, whereas in the latter, data are produced by health authorities certifying the cause of death of an individual.

Last update
2013.

Date of extraction
20/01/2014.

Missing values

Data is missing for the following observations:

- Belgium: 1995-1999
- Cyprus: 1995-2003
- Netherlands: 2011
- Portugal: 1995
- Russian Federation: 1995-2003
- Ukraine: 2011
- United Kingdom: 1995-2011 (all years) (Intentional homicide, rate)

Variables

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	c_ihscount_1995	[COUNTRY] Intentional homicide, count per 100 000 population - 1995	discrete	numeric-4.0	30	10	-
2	c_ihscount_1996	[COUNTRY] Intentional homicide, count per 100 000 population - 1996	continuous	numeric-4.0	31	9	-
3	c_ihscount_1997	[COUNTRY] Intentional homicide, count per 100 000 population - 1997	continuous	numeric-4.0	31	9	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
4	c_ihscount_1998	[COUNTRY] Intentional homicide, count per 100 000 population - 1998	continuous	numeric-4.0	31	9	-
5	c_ihscount_1999	[COUNTRY] Intentional homicide, count per 100 000 population - 1999	continuous	numeric-4.0	31	9	-
6	c_ihscount_2000	[COUNTRY] Intentional homicide, count per 100 000 population - 2000	continuous	numeric-4.0	32	8	-
7	c_ihscount_2001	[COUNTRY] Intentional homicide, count per 100 000 population - 2001	continuous	numeric-4.0	32	8	-
8	c_ihscount_2002	[COUNTRY] Intentional homicide, count per 100 000 population - 2002	continuous	numeric-4.0	32	8	-
9	c_ihscount_2003	[COUNTRY] Intentional homicide, count per 100 000 population - 2003	continuous	numeric-4.0	33	7	-
10	c_ihscount_2004	[COUNTRY] Intentional homicide, count per 100 000 population - 2004	continuous	numeric-5.0	35	5	-
11	c_ihscount_2005	[COUNTRY] Intentional homicide, count per 100 000 population - 2005	continuous	numeric-5.0	35	5	-
12	c_ihscount_2006	[COUNTRY] Intentional homicide, count per 100 000 population - 2006	continuous	numeric-5.0	35	5	-
13	c_ihscount_2007	[COUNTRY] Intentional homicide, count per 100 000 population - 2007	continuous	numeric-5.0	35	5	-
14	c_ihscount_2008	[COUNTRY] Intentional homicide, count per 100 000 population - 2008	continuous	numeric-5.0	35	5	-
15	c_ihscount_2009	[COUNTRY] Intentional homicide, count per 100 000 population - 2009	continuous	numeric-5.0	34	6	-
16	c_ihscount_2010	[COUNTRY] Intentional homicide, count per 100 000 population - 2010	continuous	numeric-5.0	34	6	-
17	c_ihscount_2011	[COUNTRY] Intentional homicide, count per 100 000 population - 2011	continuous	numeric-5.0	31	9	-
18	c_ihsrate_1995	[COUNTRY] Intentional homicide, rate per 100 000 population - 1995	continuous	numeric-5.2	29	11	-
19	c_ihsrate_1996	[COUNTRY] Intentional homicide, rate per 100 000 population - 1996	continuous	numeric-5.2	30	10	-
20	c_ihsrate_1997	[COUNTRY] Intentional homicide, rate per 100 000 population - 1997	continuous	numeric-5.2	30	10	-
21	c_ihsrate_1998	[COUNTRY] Intentional homicide, rate per 100 000 population - 1998	continuous	numeric-5.2	30	10	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
22	c_ihsrate_1999	[COUNTRY] Intentional homicide, rate per 100 000 population - 1999	continuous	numeric-5.2	30	10	-
23	c_ihsrate_2000	[COUNTRY] Intentional homicide, rate per 100 000 population - 2000	continuous	numeric-5.2	31	9	-
24	c_ihsrate_2001	[COUNTRY] Intentional homicide, rate per 100 000 population - 2001	continuous	numeric-5.2	31	9	-
25	c_ihsrate_2002	[COUNTRY] Intentional homicide, rate per 100 000 population - 2002	continuous	numeric-5.2	31	9	-
26	c_ihsrate_2003	[COUNTRY] Intentional homicide, rate per 100 000 population - 2003	continuous	numeric-5.2	32	8	-
27	c_ihsrate_2004	[COUNTRY] Intentional homicide, rate per 100 000 population - 2004	continuous	numeric-5.2	34	6	-
28	c_ihsrate_2005	[COUNTRY] Intentional homicide, rate per 100 000 population - 2005	continuous	numeric-5.2	34	6	-
29	c_ihsrate_2006	[COUNTRY] Intentional homicide, rate per 100 000 population - 2006	continuous	numeric-5.2	34	6	-
30	c_ihsrate_2007	[COUNTRY] Intentional homicide, rate per 100 000 population - 2007	continuous	numeric-5.2	34	6	-
31	c_ihsrate_2008	[COUNTRY] Intentional homicide, rate per 100 000 population - 2008	continuous	numeric-5.2	34	6	-
32	c_ihsrate_2009	[COUNTRY] Intentional homicide, rate per 100 000 population - 2009	continuous	numeric-5.2	33	7	-
33	c_ihsrate_2010	[COUNTRY] Intentional homicide, rate per 100 000 population - 2010	continuous	numeric-5.2	33	7	-
34	c_ihsrate_2011	[COUNTRY] Intentional homicide, rate per 100 000 population - 2011	continuous	numeric-4.2	30	10	-

Group Corruption Perceptions Index

Data source

Transparency International

Transparency International is a global network including more than 90 locally established national chapters and chapters-in-formation. These bodies fight corruption in the national arena in a number of ways. They bring together relevant players from government, civil society, business and the media to promote transparency in elections, in public administration, in procurement and in business. TI's global network of chapters and contacts also use advocacy campaigns to lobby governments to implement anti-corruption reforms. The data presented here have been compiled by the ESS MDR from Transparency International (<http://www.transparency.org/>). For more information on the Corruption Perceptions Index see Corruption perceptions index (CPI) (<http://cpi.transparency.org/cpi2013/>).

Variable information

Short description

Transparency International's Corruptions Perceptions Index (TI-CPI) is an aggregate indicator that ranks countries in terms of the degree to which corruption is perceived to exist among public officials and politicians. It is a composite index drawing on corruption-related data by a variety of independent and reputable institutions. The main reason for using an aggregated index of individual sources is that a combination of sources measuring the same phenomenon is more reliable than each source taken separately.

Geographical coverage

All ESS countries covered.

Time coverage

1998-2014.

Comparability

The CPI was originally not designed to allow for country scores to be compared over time. This is because the index draws on a country's rank in the original data sources, rather than its score. A rank only delivers relative information – and therefore a ranking is a one off assessment. A country's rank in a given data source can change a) if perceptions of corruption in other countries included in that source change or b) if countries are added or removed from that data source. As part of an update to the methodology used to calculate the CPI in 2012 a new scale of 0-100 was established. Using this scale CPI scores can be compared from one year to the next. The update in the methodology has not been applied retroactively, so CPI scores before 2012 are not comparable over time.

Data sources

All sources of information used to construct the CPI are produced by reputable organisations and data gathering organisations. To be included in the CPI, a source must measure the overall extent of corruption (frequency and/or size of corrupt transactions) in the public and political sectors and provide a ranking of countries, that is to say, measure perceptions of corruption in at least a few different countries. Also, the methodology used to assess these perceptions has to be the same for all assessed countries in order for the source to be selected. The number of surveys/assessments included might vary from one year to another depending on their availability at the time of the development of the index.

There are two different types of sources. The first one is business people opinion surveys. The second one is assessments (scores) of a country's performance as provided by a group of country/risk/expert analysts. For opinion surveys, when multiple years of the same survey are available, data for the last two years are included. The reason is that this smooths abrupt changes. For scores provided by experts, only the most recent iteration of the assessment is included. The reason is that these scores are generally peer reviewed and therefore scores do not change abruptly. Unlike opinion surveys, expert opinions are subject to, and draw heavily on, peer reviewed. Consequently, they are less prone to erratic fluctuations from one year to another than surveys. Furthermore, as the last iteration of the expert assessment presents the most updated information about the perception of prevalence of corruption in the country, so including assessment from previous years would not add any additional information.

Data are either donated to Transparency International by the institutions producing the data for the purpose of building this index or are available in the public domain.

Calculation

The calculation of the index entails the following steps:

- Each data source is summarised to provide a single score for each country included in that source. Where more than one question is asked, the simple average across questions is calculated. Where the data source is a survey, the average across all responses is calculated for each country.
- Because each of the sources uses its own scaling system, the data must be standardised to the 0-100 CPI scale before entering into the index. For more detailed information on this process see Transparency International Corruption Perceptions Index 2012 Methodological Brief (http://transparency.ch/de/PDF_files/CPI/CPI2012_Technical_Methodology_Note.pdf) The data for 2011 and earlier uses a 0 - 10 scale.
- The final CPI score for a country is the average of these transformed values for all sources where it appears. Only countries assessed by 3 or more sources are included in the index.

Last update

September, 2014.

Date of extraction
30/09/2014.

Missing values

Data is missing for the following observations:

- Albania: 1998, 2000-2001
- Cyprus: 1998-2002
- Kosovo: 1998-2009
- Lithuania: 1998

Variables

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	c_ticpi_1998	[COUNTRY] Transparency International - Corruption Perceptions Index score 1998	continuous	numeric-5.2	30	10	-
2	c_ticpi_1999	[COUNTRY] Transparency International - Corruption Perceptions Index score 1999	continuous	numeric-5.2	34	6	-
3	c_ticpi_2000	[COUNTRY] Transparency International - Corruption Perceptions Index score 2000	continuous	numeric-5.2	33	7	-
4	c_ticpi_2001	[COUNTRY] Transparency International - Corruption Perceptions Index score 2001	continuous	numeric-4.2	33	7	-
5	c_ticpi_2002	[COUNTRY] Transparency International - Corruption Perceptions Index score 2002	continuous	numeric-4.2	34	6	-
6	c_ticpi_2003	[COUNTRY] Transparency International - Corruption Perceptions Index score 2003	continuous	numeric-4.2	35	5	-
7	c_ticpi_2004	[COUNTRY] Transparency International - Corruption Perceptions Index score 2004	continuous	numeric-4.2	35	5	-
8	c_ticpi_2005	[COUNTRY] Transparency International - Corruption Perceptions Index score 2005	continuous	numeric-4.2	35	5	-
9	c_ticpi_2006	[COUNTRY] Transparency International - Corruption Perceptions Index score 2006	continuous	numeric-4.2	35	5	-
10	c_ticpi_2007	[COUNTRY] Transparency International - Corruption Perceptions Index score 2007	continuous	numeric-4.2	35	5	-
11	c_ticpi_2008	[COUNTRY] Transparency International - Corruption Perceptions Index score 2008	continuous	numeric-4.2	35	5	-
12	c_ticpi_2009	[COUNTRY] Transparency International - Corruption Perceptions Index score 2009	continuous	numeric-4.2	35	5	-
13	c_ticpi_2010	[COUNTRY] Transparency International - Corruption Perceptions Index score 2010	continuous	numeric-4.2	36	4	-
14	c_ticpi_2011	[COUNTRY] Transparency International - Corruption Perceptions Index score 2011	continuous	numeric-4.2	36	4	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
15	c_ticpi_2012	[COUNTRY] Transparency International - Corruption Perceptions Index score 2012	continuous	numeric-2.0	36	4	-
16	c_ticpi_2013	[COUNTRY] Transparency International - Corruption Perceptions Index score 2013	continuous	numeric-2.0	36	4	-

Group Health expenditure

Data source

WHO

WHO is the directing and coordinating authority for health within the United Nations system. It is responsible for providing leadership on global health matters, shaping the health research agenda, setting norms and standards, articulating evidence-based policy options, providing technical support to countries and monitoring and assessing health trends. The data presented here have been compiled by the ESS MDR from the Global Health Observatory (<http://apps.who.int/ghodata/>). For more information see National health accounts (NHA) (WHO website) (<http://www.who.int/nha/en/>), The World Health Report 2006: Working together for health (WHO, 2006) (<http://www.who.int/whr/2006/en/>), Guide to producing national health accounts – with special applications for lowincome and middle-income countries (WHO-World Bank-USAID, 2003) (<http://www.who.int/nha/create/en/>) and A System of Health Accounts (OECD, 2000) (http://www.oecd.org/document/8/0,2340,en_2649_33929_2742536_1_1_1_1,00.html).

Variable information

Short description

- Total expenditure on health as a percentage of gross domestic product: Level of total expenditure on health (THE) expressed as a percentage of gross domestic product (GDP).
- General government expenditure on health as a percentage of total government expenditure: Level of general government expenditure on health (GGHE) expressed as a percentage of total government expenditure.
- Per capita total expenditure on health (PPP int, \$): Per capita total expenditure on health (THE) expressed in PPP international dollar.

Background

Health financing is a critical component of health systems. National health accounts (NHA) provide a large set of indicators on the basis of expenditure information collected within a internationally recognized framework. NHA are a synthesis of the financing and spending flows recorded in the operation of a health system, from funding sources and agents to the distribution of funds between providers, and functions of health systems and benefits across geographical, demographic, socioeconomic and epidemiological dimensions.

Definition of terms

General government expenditure on health (GGHE): The sum of outlays for health maintenance, restoration or enhancement paid for in cash or supplied in kind by government entities, such as the Ministry of Health, other ministries, parastatal organizations or social security agencies (without double counting government transfers to social security and extrabudgetary funds). It includes transfer payments to households to offset medical care costs and extrabudgetary funds to finance health services and goods. The revenue base of these entities may comprise multiple sources, including external funds.

General government expenditure (GGE): The consolidated outlays of all levels of government: territorial authorities (Central/Federal Government, Provincial/Regional/State/District authorities, Municipal/ Local governments), social security institutions and extrabudgetary funds, including capital outlays. It is provided by the Central Bank/Ministry of Finance to the International Monetary Fund or by the United Nations Statistics Department.

Gross domestic product (GDP): The value of all goods and services provided in a country by residents and non-residents without regard to their allocation among domestic and foreign claims. In the context of National Health Account (NHA), expenditure-based GDP is the total final expenditure at purchasers' prices. For NHA indicators reporting by WHO, GDP data is provided by the United Nations Statistical Department, otherwise by the International Monetary Fund or the World Bank.

Private expenditure on health: The sum of outlays for health by private entities, such as commercial or mutual health insurance, non-profit institutions serving households, resident corporations and quasi-corporations not controlled by government with a health services delivery or financing, and households.

Total expenditure on health (THE): Funds mobilized by the system. The sum of General Government Expenditure on Health and Private Expenditure on Health.

International dollar rate / PPP: A common currency unit that takes into account differences in relative purchasing power annual average.

Geographical coverage

All ESS countries covered, except for Kosovo.

Time coverage

1995-2011.

Data sources

These data are generated from sources that WHO has been collecting for over ten years. The most comprehensive and consistent data on health financing is generated from national health accounts that collect expenditure information within a internationally-recognized framework. Not all countries have or update national health accounts and in these instances, data is obtained through technical contacts in-country or from publicly-available documents and reports. Missing values are estimated using various accounting techniques depending on the data available for each country.

The principal international references used are the International Monetary Fund (IMF), government financial statistics and international financial statistics; OECD health data; and the United Nations national accounts statistics. National sources include national health accounts reports, public expenditure reports, statistical yearbooks and other periodicals, budgetary documents, national accounts reports, central bank reports, nongovernmental organization reports, academic studies, reports and data provided by central statistical offices and ministries, and statistical data on official web sites.

WHO sends estimates to the respective Ministries of Health every year for validation.

Unit of measure

- Total expenditure on health as a percentage of gross domestic product: Percentage.
- General government expenditure on health as a percentage of total government expenditure: Percentage.
- Per capita total expenditure on health (PPP int, \$): PPP international dollar.

Comments and limitations

Data on estimated health expenditure are collected by triangulating information from several sources to ensure that the outlays constitute the bulk of the government/private expenditure on health. Some figures may be underestimated when it is not possible to obtain data on expenditure for local government, nongovernmental organizations or insurance.

Date of extraction

28/01/2014.

Variables

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	c_gegoexhe_1995	[COUNTRY] General government expenditure on health as a percentage of total gov	continuous	numeric-5.2	35	5	-
2	c_gegoexhe_1996	[COUNTRY] General government expenditure on health as a percentage of total gov	continuous	numeric-5.2	35	5	-
3	c_gegoexhe_1997	[COUNTRY] General government expenditure on	continuous	numeric-5.2	35	5	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
		health as a percentage of total gov					
4	c_gegoexhe_1998	[COUNTRY] General government expenditure on health as a percentage of total gov	continuous	numeric-5.2	35	5	-
5	c_gegoexhe_1999	[COUNTRY] General government expenditure on health as a percentage of total gov	continuous	numeric-5.2	35	5	-
6	c_gegoexhe_2000	[COUNTRY] General government expenditure on health as a percentage of total gov	continuous	numeric-5.2	35	5	-
7	c_gegoexhe_2001	[COUNTRY] General government expenditure on health as a percentage of total gov	continuous	numeric-5.2	35	5	-
8	c_gegoexhe_2002	[COUNTRY] General government expenditure on health as a percentage of total gov	continuous	numeric-5.2	35	5	-
9	c_gegoexhe_2003	[COUNTRY] General government expenditure on health as a percentage of total gov	continuous	numeric-5.2	35	5	-
10	c_gegoexhe_2004	[COUNTRY] General government expenditure on health as a percentage of total gov	continuous	numeric-5.2	35	5	-
11	c_gegoexhe_2005	[COUNTRY] General government expenditure on health as a percentage of total gov	continuous	numeric-5.2	35	5	-
12	c_gegoexhe_2006	[COUNTRY] General government expenditure on health as a percentage of total gov	continuous	numeric-5.2	35	5	-
13	c_gegoexhe_2007	[COUNTRY] General government expenditure on health as a percentage of total gov	continuous	numeric-5.2	35	5	-
14	c_gegoexhe_2008	[COUNTRY] General government expenditure on health as a percentage of total gov	continuous	numeric-5.2	35	5	-
15	c_gegoexhe_2009	[COUNTRY] General government expenditure on health as a percentage of total gov	continuous	numeric-5.2	35	5	-
16	c_gegoexhe_2010	[COUNTRY] General government expenditure on health as a percentage of total gov	continuous	numeric-5.2	35	5	-
17	c_gegoexhe_2011	[COUNTRY] General government expenditure on health as a percentage of total gov	continuous	numeric-5.2	35	5	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
18	c_pcexhe_1995	[COUNTRY] Per capita total expenditure on health (PPP int, \$) 1995	continuous	numeric-7.2	35	5	-
19	c_pcexhe_1996	[COUNTRY] Per capita total expenditure on health (PPP int, \$) 1996	continuous	numeric-7.2	35	5	-
20	c_pcexhe_1997	[COUNTRY] Per capita total expenditure on health (PPP int, \$) 1997	continuous	numeric-7.2	35	5	-
21	c_pcexhe_1998	[COUNTRY] Per capita total expenditure on health (PPP int, \$) 1998	continuous	numeric-7.2	35	5	-
22	c_pcexhe_1999	[COUNTRY] Per capita total expenditure on health (PPP int, \$) 1999	continuous	numeric-7.2	35	5	-
23	c_pcexhe_2000	[COUNTRY] Per capita total expenditure on health (PPP int, \$) 2000	continuous	numeric-7.2	35	5	-
24	c_pcexhe_2001	[COUNTRY] Per capita total expenditure on health (PPP int, \$) 2001	continuous	numeric-7.2	35	5	-
25	c_pcexhe_2002	[COUNTRY] Per capita total expenditure on health (PPP int, \$) 2002	continuous	numeric-7.2	35	5	-
26	c_pcexhe_2003	[COUNTRY] Per capita total expenditure on health (PPP int, \$) 2003	continuous	numeric-7.2	35	5	-
27	c_pcexhe_2004	[COUNTRY] Per capita total expenditure on health (PPP int, \$) 2004	continuous	numeric-7.2	35	5	-
28	c_pcexhe_2005	[COUNTRY] Per capita total expenditure on health (PPP int, \$) 2005	continuous	numeric-7.2	35	5	-
29	c_pcexhe_2006	[COUNTRY] Per capita total expenditure on health (PPP int, \$) 2006	continuous	numeric-7.2	35	5	-
30	c_pcexhe_2007	[COUNTRY] Per capita total expenditure on health (PPP int, \$) 2007	continuous	numeric-7.2	35	5	-
31	c_pcexhe_2008	[COUNTRY] Per capita total expenditure on health (PPP int, \$) 2008	continuous	numeric-7.2	35	5	-
32	c_pcexhe_2009	[COUNTRY] Per capita total expenditure on health (PPP int, \$) 2009	continuous	numeric-7.2	35	5	-
33	c_pcexhe_2010	[COUNTRY] Per capita total expenditure on health (PPP int, \$) 2010	continuous	numeric-7.2	35	5	-
34	c_pcexhe_2011	[COUNTRY] Per capita total expenditure on health (PPP int, \$) 2011	continuous	numeric-7.2	35	5	-
35	c_toexhegdp_1995	[COUNTRY] Total expenditure on health as a percentage of gross domestic product	continuous	numeric-5.2	35	5	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
36	c_toexhegdp_199	[COUNTRY] Total expenditure on health as a percentage of gross domestic product	continuous	numeric-5.2	35	5	-
37	c_toexhegdp_199	[COUNTRY] Total expenditure on health as a percentage of gross domestic product	continuous	numeric-5.2	35	5	-
38	c_toexhegdp_199	[COUNTRY] Total expenditure on health as a percentage of gross domestic product	continuous	numeric-5.2	35	5	-
39	c_toexhegdp_199	[COUNTRY] Total expenditure on health as a percentage of gross domestic product	continuous	numeric-5.2	35	5	-
40	c_toexhegdp_200	[COUNTRY] Total expenditure on health as a percentage of gross domestic product	continuous	numeric-5.2	35	5	-
41	c_toexhegdp_200	[COUNTRY] Total expenditure on health as a percentage of gross domestic product	continuous	numeric-5.2	35	5	-
42	c_toexhegdp_200	[COUNTRY] Total expenditure on health as a percentage of gross domestic product	continuous	numeric-5.2	35	5	-
43	c_toexhegdp_200	[COUNTRY] Total expenditure on health as a percentage of gross domestic product	continuous	numeric-5.2	35	5	-
44	c_toexhegdp_200	[COUNTRY] Total expenditure on health as a percentage of gross domestic product	continuous	numeric-5.2	35	5	-
45	c_toexhegdp_200	[COUNTRY] Total expenditure on health as a percentage of gross domestic product	continuous	numeric-5.2	35	5	-
46	c_toexhegdp_200	[COUNTRY] Total expenditure on health as a percentage of gross domestic product	continuous	numeric-5.2	35	5	-
47	c_toexhegdp_200	[COUNTRY] Total expenditure on health as a percentage of gross domestic product	continuous	numeric-5.2	35	5	-
48	c_toexhegdp_200	[COUNTRY] Total expenditure on health as a percentage of gross domestic product	continuous	numeric-5.2	35	5	-
49	c_toexhegdp_200	[COUNTRY] Total expenditure on health as a percentage of gross domestic product	continuous	numeric-5.2	35	5	-
50	c_toexhegdp_201	[COUNTRY] Total expenditure on health as a	continuous	numeric-5.2	35	5	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
		percentage of gross domestic product					
51	c_toexhegdp_201	[COUNTRY] Total expenditure on health as a percentage of gross domestic product	continuous	numeric-5.2	35	5	-

Group United Nations Statistics Division

Data source

United Nations

The UN statistics Division compile and disseminate global statistical information, develop standards and norms for statistical activities, and support countries' efforts to strengthen their national statistical systems. they facilitate the coordination of international statistical activities and support the functioning of the UN Statistical Commission as the apex entity of the global statistical system. The data presented here have been compiled by the ESS MDR from UNdata (<http://data.un.org/>). The data is also available via the United Nations Statistics Division (<http://unstats.un.org/unsd/snaama/Introduction.asp>). For further information on metadata for each country, see the National Accounts Estimates of Main Aggregates (<http://unstats.un.org/unsd/snaama/metasearch.asp>).

Variable information

Short description

GDP (Gross domestic product) per capita is GDP per head calculated as the aggregate of production (GDP) divided by the population size. GDP at current prices is GDP at prices of the current reporting period. Also known as nominal GDP. GNI (Gross national income) is GDP less net taxes on production and imports, less compensation of employees and property income payable to the rest of the world plus the corresponding items receivable from the rest of the world (in other words, GDP less primary incomes payable to non-resident units plus primary incomes receivable from non-resident units); an alternative approach to measuring GNI at market prices is as the aggregate value of the balances of gross primary incomes for all sectors; (note that GNI is identical to gross national product (GNP) as previously used in national accounts generally).

Geographical coverage

All ESS countries covered.

Time coverage

1990-2012.

Data sources and compilation practices

The contents of the National Accounts Main Aggregates database are based on the official data reported to UNSD through the annual National Accounts Questionnaire, supplemented with data estimates for any years and countries with incomplete or inconsistent information. Estimates are done when no official data are available. The final aggregates are provided in national currency and in United States dollars. The main aggregates in the database include Gross Domestic Product (GDP) by type of expenditure and Gross Value Added by kind of economic activity, both at current and at constant market prices. It also contains Gross National Income (GNI) at current prices and analytical indicators and ratios that reflect economic structure and trends of countries and areas. These analytical indicators are: annual per capita GDP and GNI (calculated using actual population or annual population estimates from the UN Population Division); annual growth rates of GDP; annual shares in total GDP and total Gross Value added of their respective components; and price trends as defined by the implicit price deflators of GDP.

The methodology applied to arrive at the complete and consistent set of time series of main national accounts aggregates and their related growth rates and index numbers is as follows: annual collections of the national accounts statistics reported to the United Nations Statistics Division by the countries in form of the National Accounts Questionnaires are supplemented by estimates based on data and proxy economic indicators derived from national and international sources.

The sequence or priority of data sources sought to supplement reported data is as follows:

- Publications or internet websites of National Statistical Offices;
- Publications or internet websites of Central banks or relevant government ministries;

- Economic surveys and estimates prepared by the Economic Commissions of the United Nations;
- Estimates and indicators available from other international organizations such as the International Monetary Fund (IMF), the World Bank and other international institutions;
- Studies prepared by experts under the United Nations technical cooperation programmes;
- Economic reports and studies by regional development banks

For a majority of countries, both the national accounts statistics reported by the countries and the proxy indicators may be based on different series due to multiple data configurations and/or characteristics. Before merging these into one data file, all inconsistencies in series and coverages are smoothed out by linking the multiple series into one homogeneous set of data from which the analytical measures are derived.

The current and constant price series are converted into US Dollars by applying the corresponding exchange rates as reported by the IMF. These are annual period-averages of the exchange rates communicated to the IMF by the monetary authority of each member country. The IMF distinguishes between the following three categories of exchange rates:

- market rates, largely determined by market forces;
- official rates, determined by government authorities; and
- principal rates, for countries maintaining multiple exchange rates arrangements

The preference is always market rates, only when these are not available other rates are used. For countries not reported by the IMF, the exchange rates used are the annual average of United Nations operational rates of exchange which were established for accounting purposes and which are applied in official transactions of the United Nations with these countries. These exchange rates are based on official, commercial and/or tourist rates of exchange.

Last update
16/12/2013.

Date of extraction
24/01/2014.

Variables

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	c_gdppc_1990	[COUNTRY] GDP per capita at current prices, US Dollars 1990	continuous	numeric-8.2	36	4	-
2	c_gdppc_1991	[COUNTRY] GDP per capita at current prices, US Dollars 1991	continuous	numeric-8.2	36	4	-
3	c_gdppc_1992	[COUNTRY] GDP per capita at current prices, US Dollars 1992	continuous	numeric-8.2	36	4	-
4	c_gdppc_1993	[COUNTRY] GDP per capita at current prices, US Dollars 1993	continuous	numeric-8.2	36	4	-
5	c_gdppc_1994	[COUNTRY] GDP per capita at current prices, US Dollars 1994	continuous	numeric-8.2	36	4	-
6	c_gdppc_1995	[COUNTRY] GDP per capita at current prices, US Dollars 1995	continuous	numeric-8.2	36	4	-
7	c_gdppc_1996	[COUNTRY] GDP per capita at current prices, US Dollars 1996	continuous	numeric-8.2	36	4	-
8	c_gdppc_1997	[COUNTRY] GDP per capita at current prices, US Dollars 1997	continuous	numeric-8.2	36	4	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
9	c_gdppc_1998	[COUNTRY] GDP per capita at current prices, US Dollars 1998	continuous	numeric-8.2	36	4	-
10	c_gdppc_1999	[COUNTRY] GDP per capita at current prices, US Dollars 1999	continuous	numeric-8.2	36	4	-
11	c_gdppc_2000	[COUNTRY] GDP per capita at current prices, US Dollars 2000	continuous	numeric-8.2	36	4	-
12	c_gdppc_2001	[COUNTRY] GDP per capita at current prices, US Dollars 2001	continuous	numeric-8.2	36	4	-
13	c_gdppc_2002	[COUNTRY] GDP per capita at current prices, US Dollars 2002	continuous	numeric-8.2	36	4	-
14	c_gdppc_2003	[COUNTRY] GDP per capita at current prices, US Dollars 2003	continuous	numeric-8.2	36	4	-
15	c_gdppc_2004	[COUNTRY] GDP per capita at current prices, US Dollars 2004	continuous	numeric-8.2	36	4	-
16	c_gdppc_2005	[COUNTRY] GDP per capita at current prices, US Dollars 2005	continuous	numeric-8.2	36	4	-
17	c_gdppc_2006	[COUNTRY] GDP per capita at current prices, US Dollars 2006	continuous	numeric-8.2	36	4	-
18	c_gdppc_2007	[COUNTRY] GDP per capita at current prices, US Dollars 2007	continuous	numeric-9.2	36	4	-
19	c_gdppc_2008	[COUNTRY] GDP per capita at current prices, US Dollars 2008	continuous	numeric-9.2	36	4	-
20	c_gdppc_2009	[COUNTRY] GDP per capita at current prices, US Dollars 2009	continuous	numeric-8.2	36	4	-
21	c_gdppc_2010	[COUNTRY] GDP per capita at current prices, US Dollars 2010	continuous	numeric-9.2	36	4	-
22	c_gdppc_2011	[COUNTRY] GDP per capita at current prices, US Dollars 2011	continuous	numeric-9.2	36	4	-
23	c_gdppc_2012	[COUNTRY] GDP per capita at current prices, US Dollars 2012	continuous	numeric-9.2	36	4	-
24	c_gnipc_1990	[COUNTRY] GNI per capita at current prices, US Dollars 1990	continuous	numeric-8.2	36	4	-
25	c_gnipc_1991	[COUNTRY] GNI per capita at current prices, US Dollars 1991	continuous	numeric-8.2	36	4	-
26	c_gnipc_1992	[COUNTRY] GNI per capita at current prices, US Dollars 1992	continuous	numeric-8.2	36	4	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
27	c_gnipc_1993	[COUNTRY] GNI per capita at current prices, US Dollars 1993	continuous	numeric-8.2	36	4	-
28	c_gnipc_1994	[COUNTRY] GNI per capita at current prices, US Dollars 1994	continuous	numeric-8.2	36	4	-
29	c_gnipc_1995	[COUNTRY] GNI per capita at current prices, US Dollars 1995	continuous	numeric-8.2	36	4	-
30	c_gnipc_1996	[COUNTRY] GNI per capita at current prices, US Dollars 1996	continuous	numeric-8.2	36	4	-
31	c_gnipc_1997	[COUNTRY] GNI per capita at current prices, US Dollars 1997	continuous	numeric-8.2	36	4	-
32	c_gnipc_1998	[COUNTRY] GNI per capita at current prices, US Dollars 1998	continuous	numeric-8.2	36	4	-
33	c_gnipc_1999	[COUNTRY] GNI per capita at current prices, US Dollars 1999	continuous	numeric-8.2	36	4	-
34	c_gnipc_2000	[COUNTRY] GNI per capita at current prices, US Dollars 2000	continuous	numeric-8.2	36	4	-
35	c_gnipc_2001	[COUNTRY] GNI per capita at current prices, US Dollars 2001	continuous	numeric-8.2	36	4	-
36	c_gnipc_2002	[COUNTRY] GNI per capita at current prices, US Dollars 2002	continuous	numeric-8.2	36	4	-
37	c_gnipc_2003	[COUNTRY] GNI per capita at current prices, US Dollars 2003	continuous	numeric-8.2	36	4	-
38	c_gnipc_2004	[COUNTRY] GNI per capita at current prices, US Dollars 2004	continuous	numeric-8.2	36	4	-
39	c_gnipc_2005	[COUNTRY] GNI per capita at current prices, US Dollars 2005	continuous	numeric-8.2	36	4	-
40	c_gnipc_2006	[COUNTRY] GNI per capita at current prices, US Dollars 2006	continuous	numeric-8.2	36	4	-
41	c_gnipc_2007	[COUNTRY] GNI per capita at current prices, US Dollars 2007	continuous	numeric-8.2	36	4	-
42	c_gnipc_2008	[COUNTRY] GNI per capita at current prices, US Dollars 2008	continuous	numeric-8.2	36	4	-
43	c_gnipc_2009	[COUNTRY] GNI per capita at current prices, US Dollars 2009	continuous	numeric-8.2	36	4	-
44	c_gnipc_2010	[COUNTRY] GNI per capita at current prices, US Dollars 2010	continuous	numeric-8.2	36	4	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
45	c_gnipc_2011	[COUNTRY] GNI per capita at current prices, US Dollars 2011	continuous	numeric-8.2	36	4	-
46	c_gnipc_2012	[COUNTRY] GNI per capita at current prices, US Dollars 2012	continuous	numeric-9.2	36	4	-

Group EUROSTAT

Data source

Eurostat

Eurostat is the statistical office of the European Union situated in Luxembourg. Its task is to provide the European Union with statistics at European level that enable comparisons between countries and regions. The data presented here have been compiled by the ESS MDR from the Eurostat dissemination database (<http://epp.eurostat.ec.europa.eu/portal/page/portal/eurostat/home>). For data documentation see Reference Metadata in Euro SDMX Metadata Structure (ESMS) (http://epp.eurostat.ec.europa.eu/cache/ITY_SDDS/EN/nama_esms.htm).

Variable information

Short description

Gross domestic product (GDP) is an indicator calculated by Eurostat based on data from the European System of Accounts ESA 1995, using a harmonized methodology. The ESA95 indicators are sent to Eurostat by the National Statistical Institutes. GDP (gross domestic product) is an indicator of the economic activity of a country or a region. It reflects the total value of all goods and services produced less the value of goods and services used for intermediate consumption in their production.

Geographical coverage

All ESS countries covered, except for Albania, Israel, Russian Federation, Ukraine and Kosovo.

Time coverage

2000-2011.

Last update

20/12/2013.

Date of extraction

09/01/2014.

Variables

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	c_gdp_eurhab_20	[COUNTRY] GDP at current market prices - Euro per inhabitant 2000	continuous	numeric-5.0	33	7	-
2	c_gdp_eurhab_20	[COUNTRY] GDP at current market prices - Euro per inhabitant 2001	continuous	numeric-5.0	33	7	-
3	c_gdp_eurhab_20	[COUNTRY] GDP at current market prices - Euro per inhabitant 2002	continuous	numeric-5.0	33	7	-
4	c_gdp_eurhab_20	[COUNTRY] GDP at current market prices - Euro per inhabitant 2003	continuous	numeric-5.0	33	7	-
5	c_gdp_eurhab_20	[COUNTRY] GDP at current market prices - Euro per inhabitant 2004	continuous	numeric-5.0	33	7	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
6	c_gdp_eurhab_20	[COUNTRY] GDP at current market prices - Euro per inhabitant 2005	continuous	numeric-5.0	33	7	-
7	c_gdp_eurhab_20	[COUNTRY] GDP at current market prices - Euro per inhabitant 2006	continuous	numeric-5.0	33	7	-
8	c_gdp_eurhab_20	[COUNTRY] GDP at current market prices - Euro per inhabitant 2007	continuous	numeric-5.0	33	7	-
9	c_gdp_eurhab_20	[COUNTRY] GDP at current market prices - Euro per inhabitant 2008	continuous	numeric-5.0	33	7	-
10	c_gdp_eurhab_20	[COUNTRY] GDP at current market prices - Euro per inhabitant 2009	continuous	numeric-5.0	33	7	-
11	c_gdp_eurhab_20	[COUNTRY] GDP at current market prices - Euro per inhabitant 2010	continuous	numeric-5.0	33	7	-
12	c_gdp_eurhab_20	[COUNTRY] GDP at current market prices - Euro per inhabitant 2011	continuous	numeric-5.0	33	7	-
13	c_gdp_eurhabeu_2	[COUNTRY] GDP at current market prices - Euro per inhabitant in percentage of th	discrete	numeric-3.0	33	7	-
14	c_gdp_eurhabeu_2	[COUNTRY] GDP at current market prices - Euro per inhabitant in percentage of th	continuous	numeric-3.0	33	7	-
15	c_gdp_eurhabeu_2	[COUNTRY] GDP at current market prices - Euro per inhabitant in percentage of th	continuous	numeric-3.0	33	7	-
16	c_gdp_eurhabeu_2	[COUNTRY] GDP at current market prices - Euro per inhabitant in percentage of th	continuous	numeric-3.0	33	7	-
17	c_gdp_eurhabeu_2	[COUNTRY] GDP at current market prices - Euro per inhabitant in percentage of th	continuous	numeric-3.0	33	7	-
18	c_gdp_eurhabeu_2	[COUNTRY] GDP at current market prices - Euro per inhabitant in percentage of th	continuous	numeric-3.0	33	7	-
19	c_gdp_eurhabeu_2	[COUNTRY] GDP at current market prices - Euro per inhabitant in percentage of th	continuous	numeric-3.0	33	7	-
20	c_gdp_eurhabeu_2	[COUNTRY] GDP at current market prices - Euro per inhabitant in percentage of th	continuous	numeric-3.0	33	7	-
21	c_gdp_eurhabeu_2	[COUNTRY] GDP at current market prices - Euro per inhabitant in percentage of th	continuous	numeric-3.0	33	7	-
22	c_gdp_eurhabeu_2	[COUNTRY] GDP at current market prices - Euro per inhabitant in percentage of th	continuous	numeric-3.0	33	7	-
23	c_gdp_eurhabeu_2	[COUNTRY] GDP at current market prices - Euro per inhabitant in percentage of th	continuous	numeric-3.0	33	7	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
24	c_gdp_eurhabeu_2	[COUNTRY] GDP at current market prices - Euro per inhabitant in percentage of th	continuous	numeric-3.0	33	7	-

Group UNESCO

Data source

UNESCO

The UNESCO Institute for Statistics (UIS) was established in July 1999 to meet the growing needs of UNESCO Member States and the international community for a wider range of policy-relevant, timely, and reliable statistics in the fields of education, science and technology, culture and communication. The UNESCO Institute for Statistics is hosted by the University of Montreal in Canada. The data presented here have been compiled by the ESS MDR from the UNESCO Institute for Statistics (UIS) (http://www.uis.unesco.org/ev.php?ID=2867_201&ID2=DO_TOPIC). For more information on concepts and definitions see UIS's Glossary (<http://www.uis.unesco.org/glossary/>). For more information on technical guidelines on education statistics see UIS's websites (http://www.uis.unesco.org/ev.php?URL_ID=5189&URL_DO=DO_TOPIC&URL_SECTION=201).

Variable information

Short description

- Gross enrolment ratio (GER) by level of education (ISCED): Total enrolment in a specific level of education, regardless of age, expressed as a percentage of the eligible official school-age population corresponding to the same level of education in a given school year. For the tertiary level, the population used is that of the five-year age group following on from the secondary school leaving. The purpose of the measure is to show the general level of participation in a given level of education. It indicates the capacity of the education system to enrol students of a particular age group. It can also be a complementary indicator to net enrolment rate (NER) by indicating the extent of over-aged and under-aged enrolment. GER is calculated by dividing the number of pupils (or students) enrolled in a given level of education regardless of age by the population of the age group which officially corresponds to the given level of education, and multiply the result by 100.
- Net enrolment ratio (NER) by level of education (ISCED): Enrolment of the official age group for a given level of education expressed as a percentage of the corresponding population. To show the extent of coverage in a given level of education of children and youths belonging to the official age group corresponding to the given level of education. Divide the number of pupils (or students) enrolled who are of the official age group for a given level of education by the population for the same age group and multiply the result by 100.

Interpretation

- Gross enrolment ratio (GER) by level of education (ISCED): A high GER generally indicates a high degree of participation, whether the pupils belong to the official age group or not. A GER value approaching or exceeding 100% indicates that a country is, in principle, able to accommodate all of its school-age population, but it does not indicate the proportion already enrolled. The achievement of a GER of 100% is therefore a necessary but not sufficient condition for enrolling all eligible children in school. When the GER exceeds 90% for a particular level of education, the aggregate number of places for pupils is approaching the number required for universal access of the official age group. However, this is a meaningful interpretation only if one can expect the under-aged and over-aged enrolments to decline in the future to free places for pupils from the expected age group.
- Net enrolment ratio (NER) by level of education (ISCED): A high NER denotes a high degree of coverage for the official school-age population. The theoretical maximum value is 100%. Increasing trends can be considered as reflecting improving coverage at the specified level of education. When the NER is compared with the GER, the difference between the two highlights the incidence of under-aged and over-aged enrolment. If the NER is below 100%, then the complement, i.e. the difference with 100%, provides a measure of the proportion of children not enrolled at the specified level of education. However, since some of these children/youth could be enrolled at other levels of education, this difference should in no way be considered as indicating the percentage of students not enrolled. To measure universal primary education, for example, adjusted primary NER is calculated on the basis of the percentage of children in the official primary school age range who are enrolled in either primary or secondary education. A more precise complementary indicator is the age-specific enrolment ratio (ASER) which shows the participation in education of the population of each particular age, regardless of the level of education.

Geographical coverage

All ESS countries covered, except for Kosovo.

Time coverage

1997-2011.

Data sources and compilation practices

Raw data presented at UIS's websites or used for calculating indicators are essentially based on data provided by UNESCO Member States through the UIS annual data collection. The following data sources are used:

- School register,
- School survey or census for data on enrolment by level of education,
- Population censuses or estimates for school-age population normally obtained from the central statistical office

Limitations

GER can exceed 100% due to the inclusion of over-aged and under-aged pupils/students because of early or late entrants, and grade repetition. In this case, a rigorous interpretation of GER needs additional information to assess the extent of repetition, late entrants, etc.

For tertiary education, NER is not pertinent because of the difficulties in determining an appropriate age group due to the wide variations in the duration of programmes at this level of education. As regards primary and secondary education, difficulties may arise when calculating a NER that approaches 100% if:

- the reference date for entry to primary education does not coincide with the birth dates of all of the cohort eligible to enrol at this level of education;
- a significant portion of the population starts primary school earlier than the prescribed age and consequently finishes earlier as well;
- there is an increase in the entrance age to primary education but the duration remains unchanged. N.B. Although the NER cannot exceed 100%, values up to 105% have been obtained reflecting inconsistencies in the enrolment and/or population data.

Concepts and definitions

Level of education - ISCED (International Standard Classification of Education): A classification system that provides a framework for the comprehensive statistical description of national educational systems and a methodology that translates national educational programmes into internationally comparable levels of education. The basic unit of classification in ISCED is the educational programme. ISCED also classifies programmes by field of study, programme orientation and destination.

- ISCED 0: Pre primary education
- ISCED 1: Primary education
- ISCED 2: Lower Secondary Education
- ISCED 2A, ISCED 2B, ISCED 2C, ISCED 3: Upper secondary education
- ISCED 3A, ISCED 3B, ISCED 3C, ISCED 4: Post-secondary non tertiary education
- ISCED 4A ISCED 4B ISCED 5: First stage of tertiary education
- ISCED 5A ISCED 5B ISCED 6: Second stage of tertiary education

Data comparability

The world's education systems vary widely in terms of structure and curricular content. Consequently, it can be difficult for national policymakers to compare their own education systems with those of other countries in order to learn from their experiences.

UNESCO developed the International Standard Classification of Education (ISCED) to facilitate comparisons of education statistics and indicators of different countries on the basis of uniform and internationally agreed definitions. First developed in the 1970s, the current version, known as ISCED 1997, was formally adopted in November 1997. However, classification issues continue to arise, especially as education systems expand and diversify. In response, Member States requested that the UIS propose a revised ISCED to the UNESCO General Conference in 2011. The revisions will be based on the conclusions of the regional consultations with experts in 2009 and 2010.

Last update

January, 2014.

Date of extraction

27/01/2014.

Missing values

Data is missing for the following observations:

Adjusted net enrolment rate: Primary

- Albania: 1997-1998, 2002, 2004-2011
- Belgium: 1997-1998
- Cyprus: 1998
- Czech Republic: 1997-2011 (all years)
- Denmark: 1997, 2003, 2011
- Estonia: 1997, 2011
- France: 1998
- Germany: 1997-1998
- Israel: 1997-1998, 2011
- Italy: 1998
- Lithuania: 1997
- Netherlands: 1998
- Poland: 1997-1998
- Portugal: 1997-1999, 2001, 2003, 2007-2009, 2011
- Russian Federation: 1997-2005, 2010-2011
- Slovakia: 1997-2011 (all years)
- Spain: 1998
- Sweden: 1998
- Switzerland: 1997-1998
- Ukraine: 1997-2001
- United Kingdom: 1997

Gross enrolment ratio: All levels combined (except pre-primary)

- Albania: 2004-2011
- Belgium: 1997-1998
- Cyprus: 1998
- Denmark: 1997, 2003, 2011
- Estonia: 1997, 2011
- Germany: 1998-2010
- Iceland: 1997
- Israel: 1997-1998, 2010-2011
- Portugal: 1997, 2011
- Russian Federation: 2000-2002, 2004, 2010-2011
- Switzerland: 1997
- Ukraine: 1997-1998
- United Kingdom: 1997

Gross enrolment ratio: ISCED 5 and 6

- Belgium: 1997-1998
- Cyprus: 1998
- Denmark: 1997, 2011
- Estonia: 2011
- Germany: 1998-2010
- Israel: 1997, 2010-2011
- Portugal: 1997, 2011
- Russian Federation: 2010-2011
- Switzerland: 1997
- Ukraine: 1997

Gross enrolment ratio: Lower secondary - All programmes

- Albania: 1997, 2009-2011
- Belgium: 1997-1998
- Cyprus: 1998
- Czech Republic: 1997
- Denmark: 1997, 2011
- Estonia: 1997, 2011
- Iceland: 1997
- Israel: 1997-1998, 2011
- Poland: 1997
- Portugal: 1997, 2011
- Russian Federation: 1997, 2010-2011
- Slovenia: 2000-2004
- Switzerland: 1997
- Ukraine: 1997-1998

Gross enrolment ratio: Pre-primary

- Belgium: 1997-1998
- Cyprus: 1998
- Denmark: 1997, 2011
- Estonia: 2011
- Iceland: 1997
- Ireland: 1998-2009
- Israel: 1997-1998, 2011
- Portugal: 1997, 2011
- Russian Federation: 1997-1998, 2010-2011
- Slovenia: 2000-2004
- Switzerland: 1997
- Ukraine: 1997
- United Kingdom: 1997

Gross enrolment ratio: Primary and secondary combined

- Albania: 2004-2011
- Belgium: 1997-1998
- Cyprus: 1998
- Denmark: 1997, 2003, 2011
- Estonia: 1997, 2011
- Iceland: 1997
- Israel: 1997-1998, 2011
- Portugal: 1997, 2011
- Russian Federation: 2000-2002, 2004, 2010-2011
- Switzerland: 1997
- Ukraine: 1997-1998
- United Kingdom: 1997

Gross enrolment ratio: Primary

- Albania: 2004-2011
- Belgium: 1997-1998
- Cyprus: 1998
- Denmark: 1997, 2003, 2011
- Estonia: 2011
- Israel: 1997-1998, 2011
- Portugal: 1997, 2011
- Russian Federation: 2004, 2010-2011
- Slovenia: 2000-2004

- Switzerland: 1997
- United Kingdom: 1997

Gross enrolment ratio: Secondary - All programmes

- Albania: 2009-2011
- Belgium: 1997-1998
- Cyprus: 1998
- Denmark: 1997, 2011
- Estonia: 1997, 2011
- Iceland: 1997
- Israel: 1997-1998, 2011
- Portugal: 1997, 2011
- Russian Federation: 2000-2002, 2010-2011
- Slovenia: 2000-2004
- Switzerland: 1997
- Ukraine: 1997-1998

Gross enrolment ratio: Upper secondary - All programmes

- Albania: 1997
- Belgium: 1997-1998
- Cyprus: 1998
- Czech Republic: 1997
- Denmark: 1997, 2011
- Estonia: 1997, 2011
- Iceland: 1997
- Israel: 1997, 2011
- Poland: 1997
- Portugal: 1997, 2011
- Russian Federation: 1997, 2000-2002, 2010-2011
- Slovenia: 2000-2004
- Switzerland: 1997
- Ukraine: 1997-1998

Net enrolment rate: Pre-primary

- Albania: 1997-1998, 2002
- Belgium: 1997-1998
- Bulgaria: 1997-1998
- Cyprus: 1997-1998
- Czech Republic: 1997-2011 (all years)
- Denmark: 1997-1998, 2003, 2011
- Estonia: 1997-1998, 2011
- Finland: 1997
- France: 1997-1998, 2005-2006
- Germany: 1997-2011 (all years)
- Hungary: 1997
- Iceland: 1997
- Ireland: 1997-2009
- Israel: 1997-1998, 2011
- Italy: 1997-1998
- Lithuania: 1997-1998
- Netherlands: 1997-1998
- Norway: 1997
- Poland: 1997
- Portugal: 1997-1998, 2011
- Russian Federation: 1997-2002, 2005-2007, 2010-2011
- Slovakia: 1997-2011 (all years)

- Slovenia: 1997-1998, 2000-2004
- Spain: 1997-1998
- Sweden: 1997-1998, 2007
- Switzerland: 1997-1998
- Ukraine: 1997-2011 (all years)
- United Kingdom: 1997

Net enrolment rate: Primary

- Albania: 1997-1998, 2002, 2004-2011
- Belgium: 1997-1998
- Cyprus: 1998
- Czech Republic: 1997-2011 (all years)
- Denmark: 1997, 2003, 2011
- Estonia: 1997, 2011
- France: 1998
- Germany: 1997-1998
- Israel: 1997-1998, 2011
- Italy: 1998
- Lithuania: 1997
- Netherlands: 1998
- Poland: 1997-1998
- Portugal: 1997-1999, 2001, 2003, 2007-2009, 2011
- Russian Federation: 1997-2005, 2010-2011
- Slovakia: 1997-2011 (all years)
- Spain: 1998
- Sweden: 1998
- Switzerland: 1997-1998
- Ukraine: 1997-2001
- United Kingdom: 1997

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	c_adjnerpri_1997	[COUNTRY] Adjusted net enrolment rate: Primary 1997	continuous	numeric-5.2	16	24	-
2	c_adjnerpri_1998	[COUNTRY] Adjusted net enrolment rate: Primary 1998	continuous	numeric-5.2	14	26	-
3	c_adjnerpri_1999	[COUNTRY] Adjusted net enrolment rate: Primary 1999	continuous	numeric-5.2	29	11	-
4	c_adjnerpri_2000	[COUNTRY] Adjusted net enrolment rate: Primary 2000	continuous	numeric-5.2	29	11	-
5	c_adjnerpri_2001	[COUNTRY] Adjusted net enrolment rate: Primary 2001	continuous	numeric-5.2	28	12	-
6	c_adjnerpri_2002	[COUNTRY] Adjusted net enrolment rate: Primary 2002	continuous	numeric-5.2	29	11	-
7	c_adjnerpri_2003	[COUNTRY] Adjusted net enrolment rate: Primary 2003	continuous	numeric-5.2	28	12	-
8	c_adjnerpri_2004	[COUNTRY] Adjusted net enrolment rate: Primary 2004	continuous	numeric-5.2	28	12	-
9	c_adjnerpri_2005	[COUNTRY] Adjusted net enrolment rate: Primary 2005	continuous	numeric-5.2	29	11	-
10	c_adjnerpri_2006	[COUNTRY] Adjusted net enrolment rate: Primary 2006	continuous	numeric-5.2	30	10	-
11	c_adjnerpri_2007	[COUNTRY] Adjusted net enrolment rate: Primary 2007	continuous	numeric-5.2	29	11	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
12	c_adjnerpri_2008	[COUNTRY] Adjusted net enrolment rate: Primary 2008	continuous	numeric-5.2	29	11	-
13	c_adjnerpri_2009	[COUNTRY] Adjusted net enrolment rate: Primary 2009	continuous	numeric-5.2	28	12	-
14	c_adjnerpri_2010	[COUNTRY] Adjusted net enrolment rate: Primary 2010	continuous	numeric-5.2	30	10	-
15	c_adjnerpri_2011	[COUNTRY] Adjusted net enrolment rate: Primary 2011	continuous	numeric-5.2	25	15	-
16	c_gerall_1997	[COUNTRY] Gross enrolment ratio: All levels combined (except pre-primary) 1997	continuous	numeric-5.2	26	14	-
17	c_gerall_1998	[COUNTRY] Gross enrolment ratio: All levels combined (except pre-primary) 1998	continuous	numeric-6.2	29	11	-
18	c_gerall_1999	[COUNTRY] Gross enrolment ratio: All levels combined (except pre-primary) 1999	continuous	numeric-6.2	34	6	-
19	c_gerall_2000	[COUNTRY] Gross enrolment ratio: All levels combined (except pre-primary) 2000	continuous	numeric-6.2	32	8	-
20	c_gerall_2001	[COUNTRY] Gross enrolment ratio: All levels combined (except pre-primary) 2001	continuous	numeric-6.2	33	7	-
21	c_gerall_2002	[COUNTRY] Gross enrolment ratio: All levels combined (except pre-primary) 2002	continuous	numeric-6.2	33	7	-
22	c_gerall_2003	[COUNTRY] Gross enrolment ratio: All levels combined (except pre-primary) 2003	continuous	numeric-6.2	33	7	-
23	c_gerall_2004	[COUNTRY] Gross enrolment ratio: All levels combined (except pre-primary) 2004	continuous	numeric-6.2	30	10	-
24	c_gerall_2005	[COUNTRY] Gross enrolment ratio: All levels combined (except pre-primary) 2005	continuous	numeric-6.2	32	8	-
25	c_gerall_2006	[COUNTRY] Gross enrolment ratio: All levels combined (except pre-primary) 2006	continuous	numeric-6.2	33	7	-
26	c_gerall_2007	[COUNTRY] Gross enrolment ratio: All levels combined (except pre-primary) 2007	continuous	numeric-6.2	32	8	-
27	c_gerall_2008	[COUNTRY] Gross enrolment ratio: All levels combined (except pre-primary) 2008	continuous	numeric-6.2	32	8	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
28	c_gerall_2009	[COUNTRY] Gross enrolment ratio: All levels combined (except pre-primary) 2009	continuous	numeric-6.2	31	9	-
29	c_gerall_2010	[COUNTRY] Gross enrolment ratio: All levels combined (except pre-primary) 2010	continuous	numeric-6.2	30	10	-
30	c_gerall_2011	[COUNTRY] Gross enrolment ratio: All levels combined (except pre-primary) 2011	continuous	numeric-6.2	27	13	-
31	c_gerisc5_6_19	[COUNTRY] Gross enrolment ratio: ISCED 5 and 6 1997	continuous	numeric-5.2	29	11	-
32	c_gerisc5_6_19	[COUNTRY] Gross enrolment ratio: ISCED 5 and 6 1998	continuous	numeric-5.2	32	8	-
33	c_gerisc5_6_19	[COUNTRY] Gross enrolment ratio: ISCED 5 and 6 1999	continuous	numeric-5.2	34	6	-
34	c_gerisc5_6_20	[COUNTRY] Gross enrolment ratio: ISCED 5 and 6 2000	continuous	numeric-5.2	33	7	-
35	c_gerisc5_6_20	[COUNTRY] Gross enrolment ratio: ISCED 5 and 6 2001	continuous	numeric-5.2	34	6	-
36	c_gerisc5_6_20	[COUNTRY] Gross enrolment ratio: ISCED 5 and 6 2002	continuous	numeric-5.2	34	6	-
37	c_gerisc5_6_20	[COUNTRY] Gross enrolment ratio: ISCED 5 and 6 2003	continuous	numeric-5.2	34	6	-
38	c_gerisc5_6_20	[COUNTRY] Gross enrolment ratio: ISCED 5 and 6 2004	continuous	numeric-5.2	32	8	-
39	c_gerisc5_6_20	[COUNTRY] Gross enrolment ratio: ISCED 5 and 6 2005	continuous	numeric-5.2	33	7	-
40	c_gerisc5_6_20	[COUNTRY] Gross enrolment ratio: ISCED 5 and 6 2006	continuous	numeric-5.2	34	6	-
41	c_gerisc5_6_20	[COUNTRY] Gross enrolment ratio: ISCED 5 and 6 2007	continuous	numeric-5.2	33	7	-
42	c_gerisc5_6_20	[COUNTRY] Gross enrolment ratio: ISCED 5 and 6 2008	continuous	numeric-5.2	33	7	-
43	c_gerisc5_6_20	[COUNTRY] Gross enrolment ratio: ISCED 5 and 6 2009	continuous	numeric-5.2	32	8	-
44	c_gerisc5_6_20	[COUNTRY] Gross enrolment ratio: ISCED 5 and 6 2010	continuous	numeric-5.2	31	9	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
45	c_geriscsd5_6_20	[COUNTRY] Gross enrolment ratio: ISCED 5 and 6 2011	continuous	numeric-5.2	28	12	-
46	c_gerlowsec_1997	[COUNTRY] Gross enrolment ratio: Lower secondary - All programmes 1997	continuous	numeric-6.2	23	17	-
47	c_gerlowsec_1998	[COUNTRY] Gross enrolment ratio: Lower secondary - All programmes 1998	continuous	numeric-6.2	30	10	-
48	c_gerlowsec_1999	[COUNTRY] Gross enrolment ratio: Lower secondary - All programmes 1999	continuous	numeric-6.2	35	5	-
49	c_gerlowsec_2000	[COUNTRY] Gross enrolment ratio: Lower secondary - All programmes 2000	continuous	numeric-6.2	34	6	-
50	c_gerlowsec_2001	[COUNTRY] Gross enrolment ratio: Lower secondary - All programmes 2001	continuous	numeric-6.2	34	6	-
51	c_gerlowsec_2002	[COUNTRY] Gross enrolment ratio: Lower secondary - All programmes 2002	continuous	numeric-6.2	34	6	-
52	c_gerlowsec_2003	[COUNTRY] Gross enrolment ratio: Lower secondary - All programmes 2003	continuous	numeric-6.2	34	6	-
53	c_gerlowsec_2004	[COUNTRY] Gross enrolment ratio: Lower secondary - All programmes 2004	continuous	numeric-6.2	33	7	-
54	c_gerlowsec_2005	[COUNTRY] Gross enrolment ratio: Lower secondary - All programmes 2005	continuous	numeric-6.2	35	5	-
55	c_gerlowsec_2006	[COUNTRY] Gross enrolment ratio: Lower secondary - All programmes 2006	continuous	numeric-6.2	35	5	-
56	c_gerlowsec_2007	[COUNTRY] Gross enrolment ratio: Lower secondary - All programmes 2007	continuous	numeric-6.2	35	5	-
57	c_gerlowsec_2008	[COUNTRY] Gross enrolment ratio: Lower secondary - All programmes 2008	continuous	numeric-6.2	34	6	-
58	c_gerlowsec_2009	[COUNTRY] Gross enrolment ratio: Lower secondary - All programmes 2009	continuous	numeric-6.2	32	8	-
59	c_gerlowsec_2010	[COUNTRY] Gross enrolment ratio: Lower	continuous	numeric-6.2	33	7	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
		secondary - All programmes 2010					
60	c_gerlowsec_2011	[COUNTRY] Gross enrolment ratio: Lower secondary - All programmes 2011	continuous	numeric-6.2	28	12	-
61	c_gerprepri_1997	[COUNTRY] Gross enrolment ratio: Pre-primary 1997	continuous	numeric-6.2	26	14	-
62	c_gerprepri_1998	[COUNTRY] Gross enrolment ratio: Pre-primary 1998	continuous	numeric-6.2	30	10	-
63	c_gerprepri_1999	[COUNTRY] Gross enrolment ratio: Pre-primary 1999	continuous	numeric-6.2	34	6	-
64	c_gerprepri_2000	[COUNTRY] Gross enrolment ratio: Pre-primary 2000	continuous	numeric-6.2	33	7	-
65	c_gerprepri_2001	[COUNTRY] Gross enrolment ratio: Pre-primary 2001	continuous	numeric-6.2	33	7	-
66	c_gerprepri_2002	[COUNTRY] Gross enrolment ratio: Pre-primary 2002	continuous	numeric-6.2	33	7	-
67	c_gerprepri_2003	[COUNTRY] Gross enrolment ratio: Pre-primary 2003	continuous	numeric-6.2	33	7	-
68	c_gerprepri_2004	[COUNTRY] Gross enrolment ratio: Pre-primary 2004	continuous	numeric-6.2	32	8	-
69	c_gerprepri_2005	[COUNTRY] Gross enrolment ratio: Pre-primary 2005	continuous	numeric-6.2	34	6	-
70	c_gerprepri_2006	[COUNTRY] Gross enrolment ratio: Pre-primary 2006	continuous	numeric-6.2	34	6	-
71	c_gerprepri_2007	[COUNTRY] Gross enrolment ratio: Pre-primary 2007	continuous	numeric-6.2	34	6	-
72	c_gerprepri_2008	[COUNTRY] Gross enrolment ratio: Pre-primary 2008	continuous	numeric-6.2	33	7	-
73	c_gerprepri_2009	[COUNTRY] Gross enrolment ratio: Pre-primary 2009	continuous	numeric-6.2	32	8	-
74	c_gerprepri_2010	[COUNTRY] Gross enrolment ratio: Pre-primary 2010	continuous	numeric-6.2	34	6	-
75	c_gerprepri_2011	[COUNTRY] Gross enrolment ratio: Pre-primary 2011	continuous	numeric-6.2	29	11	-
76	c_gerpresec_1997	[COUNTRY] Gross enrolment ratio: Primary and secondary combined 1997	continuous	numeric-6.2	26	14	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
77	c_gerpresec_1998	[COUNTRY] Gross enrolment ratio: Primary and secondary combined 1998	continuous	numeric-6.2	30	10	-
78	c_gerpresec_1999	[COUNTRY] Gross enrolment ratio: Primary and secondary combined 1999	continuous	numeric-6.2	35	5	-
79	c_gerpresec_2000	[COUNTRY] Gross enrolment ratio: Primary and secondary combined 2000	continuous	numeric-6.2	34	6	-
80	c_gerpresec_2001	[COUNTRY] Gross enrolment ratio: Primary and secondary combined 2001	continuous	numeric-6.2	34	6	-
81	c_gerpresec_2002	[COUNTRY] Gross enrolment ratio: Primary and secondary combined 2002	continuous	numeric-6.2	34	6	-
82	c_gerpresec_2003	[COUNTRY] Gross enrolment ratio: Primary and secondary combined 2003	continuous	numeric-6.2	34	6	-
83	c_gerpresec_2004	[COUNTRY] Gross enrolment ratio: Primary and secondary combined 2004	continuous	numeric-6.2	32	8	-
84	c_gerpresec_2005	[COUNTRY] Gross enrolment ratio: Primary and secondary combined 2005	continuous	numeric-6.2	34	6	-
85	c_gerpresec_2006	[COUNTRY] Gross enrolment ratio: Primary and secondary combined 2006	continuous	numeric-6.2	34	6	-
86	c_gerpresec_2007	[COUNTRY] Gross enrolment ratio: Primary and secondary combined 2007	continuous	numeric-6.2	34	6	-
87	c_gerpresec_2008	[COUNTRY] Gross enrolment ratio: Primary and secondary combined 2008	continuous	numeric-6.2	33	7	-
88	c_gerpresec_2009	[COUNTRY] Gross enrolment ratio: Primary and secondary combined 2009	continuous	numeric-6.2	32	8	-
89	c_gerpresec_2010	[COUNTRY] Gross enrolment ratio: Primary and secondary combined 2010	continuous	numeric-6.2	33	7	-
90	c_gerpresec_2011	[COUNTRY] Gross enrolment ratio: Primary and secondary combined 2011	continuous	numeric-6.2	28	12	-
91	c_gerpri_1997	[COUNTRY] Gross enrolment ratio: Primary 1997	continuous	numeric-6.2	29	11	-
92	c_gerpri_1998	[COUNTRY] Gross enrolment ratio: Primary 1998	continuous	numeric-6.2	31	9	-
93	c_gerpri_1999	[COUNTRY] Gross enrolment ratio: Primary 1999	continuous	numeric-6.2	35	5	-
94	c_gerpri_2000	[COUNTRY] Gross enrolment ratio: Primary 2000	continuous	numeric-6.2	34	6	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
95	c_gerpri_2001	[COUNTRY] Gross enrolment ratio: Primary 2001	continuous	numeric-6.2	34	6	-
96	c_gerpri_2002	[COUNTRY] Gross enrolment ratio: Primary 2002	continuous	numeric-6.2	34	6	-
97	c_gerpri_2003	[COUNTRY] Gross enrolment ratio: Primary 2003	continuous	numeric-6.2	33	7	-
98	c_gerpri_2004	[COUNTRY] Gross enrolment ratio: Primary 2004	continuous	numeric-6.2	31	9	-
99	c_gerpri_2005	[COUNTRY] Gross enrolment ratio: Primary 2005	continuous	numeric-6.2	34	6	-
100	c_gerpri_2006	[COUNTRY] Gross enrolment ratio: Primary 2006	continuous	numeric-6.2	34	6	-
101	c_gerpri_2007	[COUNTRY] Gross enrolment ratio: Primary 2007	continuous	numeric-6.2	34	6	-
102	c_gerpri_2008	[COUNTRY] Gross enrolment ratio: Primary 2008	continuous	numeric-6.2	33	7	-
103	c_gerpri_2009	[COUNTRY] Gross enrolment ratio: Primary 2009	continuous	numeric-6.2	32	8	-
104	c_gerpri_2010	[COUNTRY] Gross enrolment ratio: Primary 2010	continuous	numeric-6.2	33	7	-
105	c_gerpri_2011	[COUNTRY] Gross enrolment ratio: Primary 2011	continuous	numeric-6.2	28	12	-
106	c_gersec_1997	[COUNTRY] Gross enrolment ratio: Secondary - All programmes 1997	continuous	numeric-6.2	27	13	-
107	c_gersec_1998	[COUNTRY] Gross enrolment ratio: Secondary - All programmes 1998	continuous	numeric-6.2	30	10	-
108	c_gersec_1999	[COUNTRY] Gross enrolment ratio: Secondary - All programmes 1999	continuous	numeric-6.2	35	5	-
109	c_gersec_2000	[COUNTRY] Gross enrolment ratio: Secondary - All programmes 2000	continuous	numeric-6.2	33	7	-
110	c_gersec_2001	[COUNTRY] Gross enrolment ratio: Secondary - All programmes 2001	continuous	numeric-6.2	33	7	-
111	c_gersec_2002	[COUNTRY] Gross enrolment ratio: Secondary - All programmes 2002	continuous	numeric-6.2	33	7	-
112	c_gersec_2003	[COUNTRY] Gross enrolment ratio: Secondary - All programmes 2003	continuous	numeric-6.2	34	6	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
113	c_gersec_2004	[COUNTRY] Gross enrolment ratio: Secondary - All programmes 2004	continuous	numeric-6.2	33	7	-
114	c_gersec_2005	[COUNTRY] Gross enrolment ratio: Secondary - All programmes 2005	continuous	numeric-6.2	35	5	-
115	c_gersec_2006	[COUNTRY] Gross enrolment ratio: Secondary - All programmes 2006	continuous	numeric-6.2	35	5	-
116	c_gersec_2007	[COUNTRY] Gross enrolment ratio: Secondary - All programmes 2007	continuous	numeric-6.2	35	5	-
117	c_gersec_2008	[COUNTRY] Gross enrolment ratio: Secondary - All programmes 2008	continuous	numeric-6.2	34	6	-
118	c_gersec_2009	[COUNTRY] Gross enrolment ratio: Secondary - All programmes 2009	continuous	numeric-6.2	32	8	-
119	c_gersec_2010	[COUNTRY] Gross enrolment ratio: Secondary - All programmes 2010	continuous	numeric-6.2	33	7	-
120	c_gersec_2011	[COUNTRY] Gross enrolment ratio: Secondary - All programmes 2011	continuous	numeric-6.2	28	12	-
121	c_gerupsec_1997	[COUNTRY] Gross enrolment ratio: Upper secondary - All programmes 1997	continuous	numeric-6.2	23	17	-
122	c_gerupsec_1998	[COUNTRY] Gross enrolment ratio: Upper secondary - All programmes 1998	continuous	numeric-6.2	31	9	-
123	c_gerupsec_1999	[COUNTRY] Gross enrolment ratio: Upper secondary - All programmes 1999	continuous	numeric-6.2	35	5	-
124	c_gerupsec_2000	[COUNTRY] Gross enrolment ratio: Upper secondary - All programmes 2000	continuous	numeric-6.2	33	7	-
125	c_gerupsec_2001	[COUNTRY] Gross enrolment ratio: Upper secondary - All programmes 2001	continuous	numeric-6.2	33	7	-
126	c_gerupsec_2002	[COUNTRY] Gross enrolment ratio: Upper secondary - All programmes 2002	continuous	numeric-6.2	33	7	-
127	c_gerupsec_2003	[COUNTRY] Gross enrolment ratio: Upper secondary - All programmes 2003	continuous	numeric-6.2	34	6	-
128	c_gerupsec_2004	[COUNTRY] Gross enrolment ratio: Upper secondary - All programmes 2004	continuous	numeric-6.2	33	7	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
129	c_gerupsec_2005	[COUNTRY] Gross enrolment ratio: Upper secondary - All programmes 2005	continuous	numeric-6.2	35	5	-
130	c_gerupsec_2006	[COUNTRY] Gross enrolment ratio: Upper secondary - All programmes 2006	continuous	numeric-6.2	35	5	-
131	c_gerupsec_2007	[COUNTRY] Gross enrolment ratio: Upper secondary - All programmes 2007	continuous	numeric-6.2	35	5	-
132	c_gerupsec_2008	[COUNTRY] Gross enrolment ratio: Upper secondary - All programmes 2008	continuous	numeric-6.2	34	6	-
133	c_gerupsec_2009	[COUNTRY] Gross enrolment ratio: Upper secondary - All programmes 2009	continuous	numeric-6.2	33	7	-
134	c_gerupsec_2010	[COUNTRY] Gross enrolment ratio: Upper secondary - All programmes 2010	continuous	numeric-6.2	34	6	-
135	c_gerupsec_2011	[COUNTRY] Gross enrolment ratio: Upper secondary - All programmes 2011	continuous	numeric-6.2	29	11	-
136	c_nerprepri_1997	[COUNTRY] Net enrolment rate: Pre-primary 1997	discrete	numeric-1.0	0	40	-
137	c_nerprepri_1998	[COUNTRY] Net enrolment rate: Pre-primary 1998	continuous	numeric-5.2	7	33	-
138	c_nerprepri_1999	[COUNTRY] Net enrolment rate: Pre-primary 1999	continuous	numeric-5.2	27	13	-
139	c_nerprepri_2000	[COUNTRY] Net enrolment rate: Pre-primary 2000	continuous	numeric-5.2	26	14	-
140	c_nerprepri_2001	[COUNTRY] Net enrolment rate: Pre-primary 2001	continuous	numeric-5.2	26	14	-
141	c_nerprepri_2002	[COUNTRY] Net enrolment rate: Pre-primary 2002	continuous	numeric-5.2	25	15	-
142	c_nerprepri_2003	[COUNTRY] Net enrolment rate: Pre-primary 2003	continuous	numeric-5.2	26	14	-
143	c_nerprepri_2004	[COUNTRY] Net enrolment rate: Pre-primary 2004	continuous	numeric-5.2	27	13	-
144	c_nerprepri_2005	[COUNTRY] Net enrolment rate: Pre-primary 2005	continuous	numeric-5.2	27	13	-
145	c_nerprepri_2006	[COUNTRY] Net enrolment rate: Pre-primary 2006	continuous	numeric-5.2	27	13	-
146	c_nerprepri_2007	[COUNTRY] Net enrolment rate: Pre-primary 2007	continuous	numeric-5.2	27	13	-
147	c_nerprepri_2008	[COUNTRY] Net enrolment rate: Pre-primary 2008	continuous	numeric-5.2	28	12	-
148	c_nerprepri_2009	[COUNTRY] Net enrolment rate: Pre-primary 2009	continuous	numeric-5.2	27	13	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
149	c_nerprepri_2010	[COUNTRY] Net enrolment rate: Pre-primary 2010	continuous	numeric-5.2	29	11	-
150	c_nerprepri_2011	[COUNTRY] Net enrolment rate: Pre-primary 2011	continuous	numeric-5.2	24	16	-
151	c_nerpri_1997	[COUNTRY] Net enrolment rate: Primary 1997	continuous	numeric-5.2	16	24	-
152	c_nerpri_1998	[COUNTRY] Net enrolment rate: Primary 1998	continuous	numeric-5.2	14	26	-
153	c_nerpri_1999	[COUNTRY] Net enrolment rate: Primary 1999	continuous	numeric-5.2	29	11	-
154	c_nerpri_2000	[COUNTRY] Net enrolment rate: Primary 2000	continuous	numeric-5.2	29	11	-
155	c_nerpri_2001	[COUNTRY] Net enrolment rate: Primary 2001	continuous	numeric-5.2	28	12	-
156	c_nerpri_2002	[COUNTRY] Net enrolment rate: Primary 2002	continuous	numeric-5.2	29	11	-
157	c_nerpri_2003	[COUNTRY] Net enrolment rate: Primary 2003	continuous	numeric-5.2	28	12	-
158	c_nerpri_2004	[COUNTRY] Net enrolment rate: Primary 2004	continuous	numeric-5.2	28	12	-
159	c_nerpri_2005	[COUNTRY] Net enrolment rate: Primary 2005	continuous	numeric-5.2	29	11	-
160	c_nerpri_2006	[COUNTRY] Net enrolment rate: Primary 2006	continuous	numeric-5.2	30	10	-
161	c_nerpri_2007	[COUNTRY] Net enrolment rate: Primary 2007	continuous	numeric-5.2	29	11	-
162	c_nerpri_2008	[COUNTRY] Net enrolment rate: Primary 2008	continuous	numeric-5.2	29	11	-
163	c_nerpri_2009	[COUNTRY] Net enrolment rate: Primary 2009	continuous	numeric-5.2	28	12	-
164	c_nerpri_2010	[COUNTRY] Net enrolment rate: Primary 2010	continuous	numeric-5.2	30	10	-
165	c_nerpri_2011	[COUNTRY] Net enrolment rate: Primary 2011	continuous	numeric-5.2	25	15	-
166	c_nersec_1997	[COUNTRY] Net enrolment rate: Secondary - All programmes 1997	continuous	numeric-5.2	14	26	-
167	c_nersec_1998	[COUNTRY] Net enrolment rate: Secondary - All programmes 1998	continuous	numeric-5.2	13	27	-
168	c_nersec_1999	[COUNTRY] Net enrolment rate: Secondary - All programmes 1999	continuous	numeric-5.2	25	15	-
169	c_nersec_2000	[COUNTRY] Net enrolment rate: Secondary - All programmes 2000	continuous	numeric-5.2	27	13	-
170	c_nersec_2001	[COUNTRY] Net enrolment rate: Secondary - All programmes 2001	continuous	numeric-5.2	27	13	-
171	c_nersec_2002	[COUNTRY] Net enrolment rate: Secondary - All programmes 2002	continuous	numeric-5.2	27	13	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
172	c_nersec_2003	[COUNTRY] Net enrolment rate: Secondary - All programmes 2003	continuous	numeric-5.2	27	13	-
173	c_nersec_2004	[COUNTRY] Net enrolment rate: Secondary - All programmes 2004	continuous	numeric-5.2	26	14	-
174	c_nersec_2005	[COUNTRY] Net enrolment rate: Secondary - All programmes 2005	continuous	numeric-5.2	28	12	-
175	c_nersec_2006	[COUNTRY] Net enrolment rate: Secondary - All programmes 2006	continuous	numeric-5.2	26	14	-
176	c_nersec_2007	[COUNTRY] Net enrolment rate: Secondary - All programmes 2007	continuous	numeric-5.2	25	15	-
177	c_nersec_2008	[COUNTRY] Net enrolment rate: Secondary - All programmes 2008	continuous	numeric-5.2	26	14	-
178	c_nersec_2009	[COUNTRY] Net enrolment rate: Secondary - All programmes 2009	continuous	numeric-5.2	25	15	-
179	c_nersec_2010	[COUNTRY] Net enrolment rate: Secondary - All programmes 2010	continuous	numeric-5.2	24	16	-
180	c_nersec_2011	[COUNTRY] Net enrolment rate: Secondary - All programmes 2011	continuous	numeric-5.2	21	19	-

Group EUROSTAT

Data source

Eurostat

Eurostat is the statistical office of the European Union situated in Luxembourg. Its task is to provide the European Union with statistics at European level that enable comparisons between countries and regions. The data presented here have been compiled by the ESS MD from the Eurostat dissemination database (<http://epp.eurostat.ec.europa.eu/portal/page/portal/eurostat/home>). For data documentation see Regional education statistics (http://epp.eurostat.ec.europa.eu/cache/ITY_SDDS/en/reg_educ_esms.htm). Further documentation on regional educational statistics (and other regional statistics) is available via European Regional and Urban Statistics Reference Guide (<http://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/ramon/statmanuals/files/KS-RA-10-008-EN.pdf>)

Variable information

Short description

These variables report the total number of students (male + female) by level of education (International Standard Classification of Education (ISCED), UNESCO 1997).

Geographical coverage

All ESS countries covered, except for Albania, Israel, Russian Federation, Ukraine and Kosovo.

Time coverage

1998-2012.

Data sources and compilation practices

The source of data is the joint UIS (UNESCO Institute of Statistics)/OECD/Eurostat (UOE) questionnaires on education statistics, which constitute the core database on education. The UOE data collection is an administrative data collection that is administered jointly by the United Nations Educational, Scientific, and Cultural Organisation - Institute for Statistics

(UNESCO-UIS), the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD), and the Statistical Office of the European Union (EUROSTAT). Data on regional enrolments are collected additionally by Eurostat.

Countries provide data on the basis of commonly agreed definitions.

Moreover, auxiliary data from statistics on demography (e.g. population) are used to calculate some of the indicators.

Concepts and definitions

ISCED97, International Standard Classification of Education - 1997:

- ISCED0: Pre-primary education - level 0 (ISCED 1997)
- ISCED1: Primary education or first stage of basic education - Level 1 (ISCED 1997)
- ISCED2: Lower secondary or second stage of basic education - Level 2 (ISCED 1997)
- ISCED3: Upper secondary education - Level 3 (ISCED 1997)
- ISCED3GEN: Upper secondary education - Level 3 - general programmes (ISCED 1997)
- ISCED3VPV: Upper secondary education - Level 3 - pre-vocational and vocational programmes (ISCED 1997)
- ISCED4: Post-secondary non-tertiary education - Level 4 (ISCED 1997)
- ISCED4GEN: Post-secondary non-tertiary education - Level 4 - general programmes (ISCED 1997)
- ISCED4VPV: Post-secondary non-tertiary education - Level 4 - vocational and pre-vocational programmes (ISCED 1997)
- ISCED5_6: Tertiary education - Levels 5-6 (ISCED 1997)
- ISCED5a: Tertiary programmes with academic orientation (ISCED 1997)
- ISCED5b: Tertiary programmes with occupation orientation (ISCED 1997)
- ISCED6: Second stage of tertiary education leading to an advanced research qualification - Level 6 (ISCED 1997)

Statistical population

The statistics contained in this dataset refer to education in the ordinary school and university system, as defined in the International Standard Classification of Education (ISCED). The data cover full- and part-time-students in public and private establishments. They cover school-based general education and vocational education/training (including combined school- and work-based programmes such as dual system apprenticeship). Exclusively (initial and continuing) work-based training is not included in the statistics.

Last update

20/12/2013.

Date of extraction

09/01/2014.

Missing values

Significant and non-systematic missing data across regions, years and variables for all countries.

Variables

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	c_isced0_1998	[COUNTRY] Number of students by preprimary education (ISCED 0) 1998	continuous	numeric-7.0	23	17	-
2	c_isced0_1999	[COUNTRY] Number of students by preprimary education (ISCED 0) 1999	continuous	numeric-7.0	26	14	-
3	c_isced0_2000	[COUNTRY] Number of students by preprimary education (ISCED 0) 2000	continuous	numeric-7.0	26	14	-
4	c_isced0_2001	[COUNTRY] Number of students by preprimary education (ISCED 0) 2001	continuous	numeric-7.0	26	14	-
5	c_isced0_2002	[COUNTRY] Number of students by preprimary education (ISCED 0) 2002	continuous	numeric-7.0	25	15	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
6	c_isced0_2003	[COUNTRY] Number of students by preprimary education (ISCED 0) 2003	continuous	numeric-7.0	27	13	-
7	c_isced0_2004	[COUNTRY] Number of students by preprimary education (ISCED 0) 2004	continuous	numeric-7.0	27	13	-
8	c_isced0_2005	[COUNTRY] Number of students by preprimary education (ISCED 0) 2005	continuous	numeric-7.0	27	13	-
9	c_isced0_2006	[COUNTRY] Number of students by preprimary education (ISCED 0) 2006	continuous	numeric-7.0	24	16	-
10	c_isced0_2007	[COUNTRY] Number of students by preprimary education (ISCED 0) 2007	continuous	numeric-7.0	31	9	-
11	c_isced0_2008	[COUNTRY] Number of students by preprimary education (ISCED 0) 2008	continuous	numeric-7.0	34	6	-
12	c_isced0_2009	[COUNTRY] Number of students by preprimary education (ISCED 0) 2009	continuous	numeric-7.0	34	6	-
13	c_isced0_2010	[COUNTRY] Number of students by preprimary education (ISCED 0) 2010	continuous	numeric-7.0	34	6	-
14	c_isced0_2011	[COUNTRY] Number of students by preprimary education (ISCED 0) 2011	continuous	numeric-7.0	34	6	-
15	c_isced0_2012	[COUNTRY] Number of students by preprimary education (ISCED 0) 2012	continuous	numeric-7.0	7	33	-
16	c_isced1_1998	[COUNTRY] Number of students by primary education (ISCED 1) 1998	continuous	numeric-7.0	23	17	-
17	c_isced1_1999	[COUNTRY] Number of students by primary education (ISCED 1) 1999	continuous	numeric-7.0	26	14	-
18	c_isced1_2000	[COUNTRY] Number of students by primary education (ISCED 1) 2000	continuous	numeric-7.0	26	14	-
19	c_isced1_2001	[COUNTRY] Number of students by primary education (ISCED 1) 2001	continuous	numeric-7.0	26	14	-
20	c_isced1_2002	[COUNTRY] Number of students by primary education (ISCED 1) 2002	continuous	numeric-7.0	25	15	-
21	c_isced1_2003	[COUNTRY] Number of students by primary education (ISCED 1) 2003	continuous	numeric-7.0	27	13	-
22	c_isced1_2004	[COUNTRY] Number of students by primary education (ISCED 1) 2004	continuous	numeric-7.0	27	13	-
23	c_isced1_2005	[COUNTRY] Number of students by primary education (ISCED 1) 2005	continuous	numeric-7.0	27	13	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
24	c_isced1_2006	[COUNTRY] Number of students by primary education (ISCED 1) 2006	continuous	numeric-7.0	25	15	-
25	c_isced1_2007	[COUNTRY] Number of students by primary education (ISCED 1) 2007	continuous	numeric-8.0	31	9	-
26	c_isced1_2008	[COUNTRY] Number of students by primary education (ISCED 1) 2008	continuous	numeric-8.0	34	6	-
27	c_isced1_2009	[COUNTRY] Number of students by primary education (ISCED 1) 2009	continuous	numeric-8.0	34	6	-
28	c_isced1_2010	[COUNTRY] Number of students by primary education (ISCED 1) 2010	continuous	numeric-8.0	34	6	-
29	c_isced1_2011	[COUNTRY] Number of students by primary education (ISCED 1) 2011	continuous	numeric-8.0	34	6	-
30	c_isced1_2012	[COUNTRY] Number of students by primary education (ISCED 1) 2012	continuous	numeric-7.0	7	33	-
31	c_isced13_1998	[COUNTRY] Number of students by primary and secondary education (ISCED 1-3) 1998	continuous	numeric-8.0	23	17	-
32	c_isced13_1999	[COUNTRY] Number of students by primary and secondary education (ISCED 1-3) 1999	continuous	numeric-8.0	26	14	-
33	c_isced13_2000	[COUNTRY] Number of students by primary and secondary education (ISCED 1-3) 2000	continuous	numeric-8.0	26	14	-
34	c_isced13_2001	[COUNTRY] Number of students by primary and secondary education (ISCED 1-3) 2001	continuous	numeric-8.0	26	14	-
35	c_isced13_2002	[COUNTRY] Number of students by primary and secondary education (ISCED 1-3) 2002	continuous	numeric-8.0	25	15	-
36	c_isced13_2003	[COUNTRY] Number of students by primary and secondary education (ISCED 1-3) 2003	continuous	numeric-8.0	27	13	-
37	c_isced13_2004	[COUNTRY] Number of students by primary and secondary education (ISCED 1-3) 2004	continuous	numeric-8.0	27	13	-
38	c_isced13_2005	[COUNTRY] Number of students by primary and secondary education (ISCED 1-3) 2005	continuous	numeric-8.0	27	13	-
39	c_isced13_2006	[COUNTRY] Number of students by primary and secondary education (ISCED 1-3) 2006	continuous	numeric-8.0	25	15	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
40	c_isced13_2007	[COUNTRY] Number of students by primary and secondary education (ISCED 1-3) 2007	continuous	numeric-8.0	31	9	-
41	c_isced13_2008	[COUNTRY] Number of students by primary and secondary education (ISCED 1-3) 2008	continuous	numeric-8.0	34	6	-
42	c_isced13_2009	[COUNTRY] Number of students by primary and secondary education (ISCED 1-3) 2009	continuous	numeric-8.0	34	6	-
43	c_isced13_2010	[COUNTRY] Number of students by primary and secondary education (ISCED 1-3) 2010	continuous	numeric-8.0	34	6	-
44	c_isced13_2011	[COUNTRY] Number of students by primary and secondary education (ISCED 1-3) 2011	continuous	numeric-8.0	34	6	-
45	c_isced13_2012	[COUNTRY] Number of students by primary and secondary education (ISCED 1-3) 2012	continuous	numeric-7.0	7	33	-
46	c_isced2_1998	[COUNTRY] Number of students by lower secondary education (ISCED 2) 1998	continuous	numeric-7.0	22	18	-
47	c_isced2_1999	[COUNTRY] Number of students by lower secondary education (ISCED 2) 1999	continuous	numeric-7.0	25	15	-
48	c_isced2_2000	[COUNTRY] Number of students by lower secondary education (ISCED 2) 2000	continuous	numeric-7.0	26	14	-
49	c_isced2_2001	[COUNTRY] Number of students by lower secondary education (ISCED 2) 2001	continuous	numeric-7.0	26	14	-
50	c_isced2_2002	[COUNTRY] Number of students by lower secondary education (ISCED 2) 2002	continuous	numeric-7.0	25	15	-
51	c_isced2_2003	[COUNTRY] Number of students by lower secondary education (ISCED 2) 2003	continuous	numeric-7.0	27	13	-
52	c_isced2_2004	[COUNTRY] Number of students by lower secondary education (ISCED 2) 2004	continuous	numeric-7.0	27	13	-
53	c_isced2_2005	[COUNTRY] Number of students by lower secondary education (ISCED 2) 2005	continuous	numeric-7.0	27	13	-
54	c_isced2_2006	[COUNTRY] Number of students by lower secondary education (ISCED 2) 2006	continuous	numeric-7.0	25	15	-
55	c_isced2_2007	[COUNTRY] Number of students by lower secondary education (ISCED 2) 2007	continuous	numeric-7.0	30	10	-
56	c_isced2_2008	[COUNTRY] Number of students by lower secondary education (ISCED 2) 2008	continuous	numeric-7.0	33	7	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
57	c_iscsed2_2009	[COUNTRY] Number of students by lower secondary education (ISCED 2) 2009	continuous	numeric-7.0	33	7	-
58	c_iscsed2_2010	[COUNTRY] Number of students by lower secondary education (ISCED 2) 2010	continuous	numeric-7.0	33	7	-
59	c_iscsed2_2011	[COUNTRY] Number of students by lower secondary education (ISCED 2) 2011	continuous	numeric-7.0	33	7	-
60	c_iscsed2_2012	[COUNTRY] Number of students by lower secondary education (ISCED 2) 2012	continuous	numeric-7.0	7	33	-
61	c_iscsed3_1998	[COUNTRY] Number of students by upper secondary education (ISCED 3) 1998	continuous	numeric-7.0	23	17	-
62	c_iscsed3_1999	[COUNTRY] Number of students by upper secondary education (ISCED 3) 1999	continuous	numeric-7.0	26	14	-
63	c_iscsed3_2000	[COUNTRY] Number of students by upper secondary education (ISCED 3) 2000	continuous	numeric-7.0	26	14	-
64	c_iscsed3_2001	[COUNTRY] Number of students by upper secondary education (ISCED 3) 2001	continuous	numeric-7.0	26	14	-
65	c_iscsed3_2002	[COUNTRY] Number of students by upper secondary education (ISCED 3) 2002	continuous	numeric-7.0	25	15	-
66	c_iscsed3_2003	[COUNTRY] Number of students by upper secondary education (ISCED 3) 2003	continuous	numeric-7.0	27	13	-
67	c_iscsed3_2004	[COUNTRY] Number of students by upper secondary education (ISCED 3) 2004	continuous	numeric-7.0	27	13	-
68	c_iscsed3_2005	[COUNTRY] Number of students by upper secondary education (ISCED 3) 2005	continuous	numeric-7.0	27	13	-
69	c_iscsed3_2006	[COUNTRY] Number of students by upper secondary education (ISCED 3) 2006	continuous	numeric-7.0	25	15	-
70	c_iscsed3_2007	[COUNTRY] Number of students by upper secondary education (ISCED 3) 2007	continuous	numeric-7.0	31	9	-
71	c_iscsed3_2008	[COUNTRY] Number of students by upper secondary education (ISCED 3) 2008	continuous	numeric-7.0	34	6	-
72	c_iscsed3_2009	[COUNTRY] Number of students by upper secondary education (ISCED 3) 2009	continuous	numeric-7.0	34	6	-
73	c_iscsed3_2010	[COUNTRY] Number of students by upper secondary education (ISCED 3) 2010	continuous	numeric-7.0	34	6	-
74	c_iscsed3_2011	[COUNTRY] Number of students by upper secondary education (ISCED 3) 2011	continuous	numeric-7.0	34	6	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
75	c_iscsed3_2012	[COUNTRY] Number of students by upper secondary education (ISCED 3) 2012	continuous	numeric-7.0	7	33	-
76	c_iscsed3gen_1998	[COUNTRY] Number of students by upper secondary education - general programmes (continuous	numeric-6.0	1	39	-
77	c_iscsed3gen_2001	[COUNTRY] Number of students by upper secondary education - general programmes (continuous	numeric-7.0	1	39	-
78	c_iscsed3gen_2004	[COUNTRY] Number of students by upper secondary education - general programmes (continuous	numeric-7.0	27	13	-
79	c_iscsed3gen_2005	[COUNTRY] Number of students by upper secondary education - general programmes (continuous	numeric-7.0	27	13	-
80	c_iscsed3gen_2006	[COUNTRY] Number of students by upper secondary education - general programmes (continuous	numeric-7.0	25	15	-
81	c_iscsed3gen_2007	[COUNTRY] Number of students by upper secondary education - general programmes (continuous	numeric-7.0	31	9	-
82	c_iscsed3gen_2008	[COUNTRY] Number of students by upper secondary education - general programmes (continuous	numeric-7.0	34	6	-
83	c_iscsed3gen_2009	[COUNTRY] Number of students by upper secondary education - general programmes (continuous	numeric-7.0	34	6	-
84	c_iscsed3gen_2010	[COUNTRY] Number of students by upper secondary education - general programmes (continuous	numeric-7.0	34	6	-
85	c_iscsed3gen_2011	[COUNTRY] Number of students by upper secondary education - general programmes (continuous	numeric-7.0	34	6	-
86	c_iscsed3gen_2012	[COUNTRY] Number of students by upper secondary education - general programmes (continuous	numeric-6.0	7	33	-
87	c_iscsed3vpv_1998	[COUNTRY] Number of students by upper secondary education - pre-vocational and v	continuous	numeric-6.0	1	39	-
88	c_iscsed3vpv_2001	[COUNTRY] Number of students by upper secondary education - pre-vocational and v	continuous	numeric-6.0	1	39	-
89	c_iscsed3vpv_2004	[COUNTRY] Number of students by upper secondary	continuous	numeric-7.0	27	13	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
		education - pre-vocational and v					
90	c_iscsed3vpv_2005	[COUNTRY] Number of students by upper secondary education - pre-vocational and v	continuous	numeric-7.0	27	13	-
91	c_iscsed3vpv_2006	[COUNTRY] Number of students by upper secondary education - pre-vocational and v	continuous	numeric-7.0	25	15	-
92	c_iscsed3vpv_2007	[COUNTRY] Number of students by upper secondary education - pre-vocational and v	continuous	numeric-7.0	31	9	-
93	c_iscsed3vpv_2008	[COUNTRY] Number of students by upper secondary education - pre-vocational and v	continuous	numeric-7.0	34	6	-
94	c_iscsed3vpv_2009	[COUNTRY] Number of students by upper secondary education - pre-vocational and v	continuous	numeric-7.0	34	6	-
95	c_iscsed3vpv_2010	[COUNTRY] Number of students by upper secondary education - pre-vocational and v	continuous	numeric-7.0	34	6	-
96	c_iscsed3vpv_2011	[COUNTRY] Number of students by upper secondary education - pre-vocational and v	continuous	numeric-7.0	34	6	-
97	c_iscsed3vpv_2012	[COUNTRY] Number of students by upper secondary education - pre-vocational and v	continuous	numeric-6.0	7	33	-
98	c_iscsed4_1998	[COUNTRY] Number of students by post-secondary non-tertiary education (ISCED 4)	continuous	numeric-6.0	20	20	-
99	c_iscsed4_1999	[COUNTRY] Number of students by post-secondary non-tertiary education (ISCED 4)	continuous	numeric-6.0	23	17	-
100	c_iscsed4_2000	[COUNTRY] Number of students by post-secondary non-tertiary education (ISCED 4)	continuous	numeric-6.0	23	17	-
101	c_iscsed4_2001	[COUNTRY] Number of students by post-secondary non-tertiary education (ISCED 4)	continuous	numeric-6.0	23	17	-
102	c_iscsed4_2002	[COUNTRY] Number of students by post-secondary non-tertiary education (ISCED 4)	continuous	numeric-6.0	23	17	-
103	c_iscsed4_2003	[COUNTRY] Number of students by post-secondary non-tertiary education (ISCED 4)	continuous	numeric-6.0	24	16	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
104	c_iscsd4_2004	[COUNTRY] Number of students by post-secondary non-tertiary education (ISCED 4)	continuous	numeric-6.0	24	16	-
105	c_iscsd4_2005	[COUNTRY] Number of students by post-secondary non-tertiary education (ISCED 4)	continuous	numeric-6.0	24	16	-
106	c_iscsd4_2006	[COUNTRY] Number of students by post-secondary non-tertiary education (ISCED 4)	continuous	numeric-6.0	23	17	-
107	c_iscsd4_2007	[COUNTRY] Number of students by post-secondary non-tertiary education (ISCED 4)	continuous	numeric-6.0	26	14	-
108	c_iscsd4_2008	[COUNTRY] Number of students by post-secondary non-tertiary education (ISCED 4)	continuous	numeric-6.0	30	10	-
109	c_iscsd4_2009	[COUNTRY] Number of students by post-secondary non-tertiary education (ISCED 4)	continuous	numeric-6.0	30	10	-
110	c_iscsd4_2010	[COUNTRY] Number of students by post-secondary non-tertiary education (ISCED 4)	continuous	numeric-6.0	30	10	-
111	c_iscsd4_2011	[COUNTRY] Number of students by post-secondary non-tertiary education (ISCED 4)	continuous	numeric-6.0	29	11	-
112	c_iscsd4_2012	[COUNTRY] Number of students by post-secondary non-tertiary education (ISCED 4)	continuous	numeric-6.0	6	34	-
113	c_iscsd4gen_2002	[COUNTRY] Number of students by post-secondary non-tertiary education - general	continuous	numeric-4.0	1	39	-
114	c_iscsd4gen_2004	[COUNTRY] Number of students by post-secondary non-tertiary education - general	continuous	numeric-5.0	8	32	-
115	c_iscsd4gen_2005	[COUNTRY] Number of students by post-secondary non-tertiary education - general	continuous	numeric-5.0	8	32	-
116	c_iscsd4gen_2006	[COUNTRY] Number of students by post-secondary non-tertiary education - general	continuous	numeric-5.0	6	34	-
117	c_iscsd4gen_2007	[COUNTRY] Number of students by post-secondary non-tertiary education - general	continuous	numeric-5.0	9	31	-
118	c_iscsd4gen_2008	[COUNTRY] Number of students by post-secondary	continuous	numeric-5.0	11	29	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
		non-tertiary education - general					
119	c_isc4gen_2009	[COUNTRY] Number of students by post-secondary non-tertiary education - general	continuous	numeric-5.0	11	29	-
120	c_isc4gen_2010	[COUNTRY] Number of students by post-secondary non-tertiary education - general	continuous	numeric-6.0	12	28	-
121	c_isc4gen_2011	[COUNTRY] Number of students by post-secondary non-tertiary education - general	continuous	numeric-6.0	10	30	-
122	c_isc4gen_2012	[COUNTRY] Number of students by post-secondary non-tertiary education - general	continuous	numeric-5.0	2	38	-
123	c_isc4vpv_2001	[COUNTRY] Number of students by post-secondary non-tertiary education - pre-voca	continuous	numeric-5.0	1	39	-
124	c_isc4vpv_2002	[COUNTRY] Number of students by post-secondary non-tertiary education - pre-voca	continuous	numeric-4.0	1	39	-
125	c_isc4vpv_2004	[COUNTRY] Number of students by post-secondary non-tertiary education - pre-voca	continuous	numeric-6.0	22	18	-
126	c_isc4vpv_2005	[COUNTRY] Number of students by post-secondary non-tertiary education - pre-voca	continuous	numeric-6.0	22	18	-
127	c_isc4vpv_2006	[COUNTRY] Number of students by post-secondary non-tertiary education - pre-voca	continuous	numeric-6.0	22	18	-
128	c_isc4vpv_2007	[COUNTRY] Number of students by post-secondary non-tertiary education - pre-voca	continuous	numeric-6.0	23	17	-
129	c_isc4vpv_2008	[COUNTRY] Number of students by post-secondary non-tertiary education - pre-voca	continuous	numeric-6.0	26	14	-
130	c_isc4vpv_2009	[COUNTRY] Number of students by post-secondary non-tertiary education - pre-voca	continuous	numeric-6.0	27	13	-
131	c_isc4vpv_2010	[COUNTRY] Number of students by post-secondary non-tertiary education - pre-voca	continuous	numeric-6.0	26	14	-
132	c_isc4vpv_2011	[COUNTRY] Number of students by post-secondary non-tertiary education - pre-voca	continuous	numeric-6.0	26	14	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
133	c_iscsed4vpv_2012	[COUNTRY] Number of students by post-secondary non-tertiary education - pre-voca	continuous	numeric-6.0	6	34	-
134	c_iscsed56_1998	[COUNTRY] Number of students in tertiary education (ISCED 5_6) 1998	continuous	numeric-7.0	23	17	-
135	c_iscsed56_1999	[COUNTRY] Number of students in tertiary education (ISCED 5_6) 1999	continuous	numeric-7.0	26	14	-
136	c_iscsed56_2000	[COUNTRY] Number of students in tertiary education (ISCED 5_6) 2000	continuous	numeric-7.0	26	14	-
137	c_iscsed56_2001	[COUNTRY] Number of students in tertiary education (ISCED 5_6) 2001	continuous	numeric-7.0	26	14	-
138	c_iscsed56_2002	[COUNTRY] Number of students in tertiary education (ISCED 5_6) 2002	continuous	numeric-7.0	25	15	-
139	c_iscsed56_2003	[COUNTRY] Number of students in tertiary education (ISCED 5_6) 2003	continuous	numeric-7.0	27	13	-
140	c_iscsed56_2004	[COUNTRY] Number of students in tertiary education (ISCED 5_6) 2004	continuous	numeric-7.0	26	14	-
141	c_iscsed56_2005	[COUNTRY] Number of students in tertiary education (ISCED 5_6) 2005	continuous	numeric-7.0	26	14	-
142	c_iscsed56_2006	[COUNTRY] Number of students in tertiary education (ISCED 5_6) 2006	continuous	numeric-7.0	25	15	-
143	c_iscsed56_2007	[COUNTRY] Number of students in tertiary education (ISCED 5_6) 2007	continuous	numeric-7.0	30	10	-
144	c_iscsed56_2008	[COUNTRY] Number of students in tertiary education (ISCED 5_6) 2008	continuous	numeric-7.0	34	6	-
145	c_iscsed56_2009	[COUNTRY] Number of students in tertiary education (ISCED 5_6) 2009	continuous	numeric-7.0	33	7	-
146	c_iscsed56_2010	[COUNTRY] Number of students in tertiary education (ISCED 5_6) 2010	continuous	numeric-7.0	33	7	-
147	c_iscsed56_2011	[COUNTRY] Number of students in tertiary education (ISCED 5_6) 2011	continuous	numeric-7.0	34	6	-
148	c_iscsed56_2012	[COUNTRY] Number of students in tertiary education (ISCED 5_6) 2012	continuous	numeric-7.0	7	33	-
149	c_iscsed5A_1998	[COUNTRY] Number of students by tertiary programmes with academic orientation (I	continuous	numeric-7.0	23	17	-
150	c_iscsed5A_1999	[COUNTRY] Number of students by tertiary	continuous	numeric-7.0	25	15	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
		programmes with academic orientation (I					
151	c_isc5A_2000	[COUNTRY] Number of students by tertiary programmes with academic orientation (I	continuous	numeric-7.0	26	14	-
152	c_isc5A_2001	[COUNTRY] Number of students by tertiary programmes with academic orientation (I	continuous	numeric-7.0	26	14	-
153	c_isc5A_2002	[COUNTRY] Number of students by tertiary programmes with academic orientation (I	continuous	numeric-7.0	25	15	-
154	c_isc5A_2003	[COUNTRY] Number of students by tertiary programmes with academic orientation (I	continuous	numeric-7.0	27	13	-
155	c_isc5A_2004	[COUNTRY] Number of students by tertiary programmes with academic orientation (I	continuous	numeric-7.0	26	14	-
156	c_isc5A_2005	[COUNTRY] Number of students by tertiary programmes with academic orientation (I	continuous	numeric-7.0	26	14	-
157	c_isc5A_2006	[COUNTRY] Number of students by tertiary programmes with academic orientation (I	continuous	numeric-7.0	25	15	-
158	c_isc5A_2007	[COUNTRY] Number of students by tertiary programmes with academic orientation (I	continuous	numeric-7.0	30	10	-
159	c_isc5A_2008	[COUNTRY] Number of students by tertiary programmes with academic orientation (I	continuous	numeric-7.0	34	6	-
160	c_isc5A_2009	[COUNTRY] Number of students by tertiary programmes with academic orientation (I	continuous	numeric-7.0	33	7	-
161	c_isc5A_2010	[COUNTRY] Number of students by tertiary programmes with academic orientation (I	continuous	numeric-7.0	33	7	-
162	c_isc5A_2011	[COUNTRY] Number of students by tertiary programmes with academic orientation (I	continuous	numeric-7.0	34	6	-
163	c_isc5A_2012	[COUNTRY] Number of students by tertiary programmes with academic orientation (I	continuous	numeric-7.0	7	33	-
164	c_isc5B_1998	[COUNTRY] Number of students by tertiary programmes with occupation orientation	continuous	numeric-6.0	21	19	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
165	c_isc5B_1999	[COUNTRY] Number of students by tertiary programmes with occupation orientation	continuous	numeric-6.0	24	16	-
166	c_isc5B_2000	[COUNTRY] Number of students by tertiary programmes with occupation orientation	continuous	numeric-6.0	26	14	-
167	c_isc5B_2001	[COUNTRY] Number of students by tertiary programmes with occupation orientation	continuous	numeric-6.0	26	14	-
168	c_isc5B_2002	[COUNTRY] Number of students by tertiary programmes with occupation orientation	continuous	numeric-6.0	25	15	-
169	c_isc5B_2003	[COUNTRY] Number of students by tertiary programmes with occupation orientation	continuous	numeric-6.0	27	13	-
170	c_isc5B_2004	[COUNTRY] Number of students by tertiary programmes with occupation orientation	continuous	numeric-6.0	25	15	-
171	c_isc5B_2005	[COUNTRY] Number of students by tertiary programmes with occupation orientation	continuous	numeric-6.0	25	15	-
172	c_isc5B_2006	[COUNTRY] Number of students by tertiary programmes with occupation orientation	continuous	numeric-6.0	23	17	-
173	c_isc5B_2007	[COUNTRY] Number of students by tertiary programmes with occupation orientation	continuous	numeric-6.0	29	11	-
174	c_isc5B_2008	[COUNTRY] Number of students by tertiary programmes with occupation orientation	continuous	numeric-6.0	31	9	-
175	c_isc5B_2009	[COUNTRY] Number of students by tertiary programmes with occupation orientation	continuous	numeric-6.0	32	8	-
176	c_isc5B_2010	[COUNTRY] Number of students by tertiary programmes with occupation orientation	continuous	numeric-7.0	32	8	-
177	c_isc5B_2011	[COUNTRY] Number of students by tertiary programmes with occupation orientation	continuous	numeric-7.0	33	7	-
178	c_isc5B_2012	[COUNTRY] Number of students by tertiary programmes with occupation orientation	continuous	numeric-6.0	7	33	-
179	c_isc6_1998	[COUNTRY] Number of students by second stage of	continuous	numeric-5.0	17	23	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
		tertiary education leading to an					
180	c_iscsed6_1999	[COUNTRY] Number of students by second stage of tertiary education leading to an	continuous	numeric-5.0	19	21	-
181	c_iscsed6_2000	[COUNTRY] Number of students by second stage of tertiary education leading to an	continuous	numeric-5.0	21	19	-
182	c_iscsed6_2001	[COUNTRY] Number of students by second stage of tertiary education leading to an	continuous	numeric-5.0	22	18	-
183	c_iscsed6_2002	[COUNTRY] Number of students by second stage of tertiary education leading to an	continuous	numeric-5.0	21	19	-
184	c_iscsed6_2003	[COUNTRY] Number of students by second stage of tertiary education leading to an	continuous	numeric-5.0	25	15	-
185	c_iscsed6_2004	[COUNTRY] Number of students by second stage of tertiary education leading to an	continuous	numeric-6.0	24	16	-
186	c_iscsed6_2005	[COUNTRY] Number of students by second stage of tertiary education leading to an	continuous	numeric-5.0	25	15	-
187	c_iscsed6_2006	[COUNTRY] Number of students by second stage of tertiary education leading to an	continuous	numeric-5.0	23	17	-
188	c_iscsed6_2007	[COUNTRY] Number of students by second stage of tertiary education leading to an	continuous	numeric-5.0	29	11	-
189	c_iscsed6_2008	[COUNTRY] Number of students by second stage of tertiary education leading to an	continuous	numeric-5.0	33	7	-
190	c_iscsed6_2009	[COUNTRY] Number of students by second stage of tertiary education leading to an	continuous	numeric-5.0	32	8	-
191	c_iscsed6_2010	[COUNTRY] Number of students by second stage of tertiary education leading to an	continuous	numeric-5.0	32	8	-
192	c_iscsed6_2011	[COUNTRY] Number of students by second stage of tertiary education leading to an	continuous	numeric-5.0	32	8	-
193	c_iscsed6_2012	[COUNTRY] Number of students by second stage of tertiary education leading to an	continuous	numeric-5.0	7	33	-

Group Gender Inequality Index (GII)

Data source

United Nations

The Human Development Report is an independent report. It is commissioned by the United Nations Development Programme (UNDP) and is the product of a selected team of leading scholars, development practitioners and members of the Human Development Report Office of UNDP. The data presented here have been compiled by the ESS MD from the Human Development Report 2013 (<http://hdr.undp.org/en/reports/>). For more information on the variable see Gender Inequality Index (GII) (<http://hdr.undp.org/en/statistics/gii/>). Information on the calculation of the GII is found in the technical notes of HDR 2013 (http://hdr.undp.org/sites/default/files/hdr_2013_en_technotes.pdf).

Variable information

Short description

The Gender Inequality Index (GII) was introduced in 2010 and reflects women's disadvantage in three dimensions: reproductive health, empowerment and the labour market for as many countries as data of reasonable quality allow. The index shows the loss in human development due to inequality between female and male achievements in these dimensions. It ranges from 0, which indicates that women and men fare equally, to 1, which indicates that women fare as poorly as possible in all measured dimensions. The health dimension is measured by two indicators: maternal mortality ratio and the adolescent fertility rate. The empowerment dimension is also measured by two indicators: the share of parliamentary seats held by each sex and by secondary and higher education attainment levels. The labour dimension is measured by women's participation in the work force. The Gender Inequality Index is designed to reveal the extent to which national achievements in these aspects of human development are eroded by gender inequality, and to provide empirical foundations for policy analysis and advocacy efforts.

The GII has replaced the Gender-related Development Index (GDI) and Gender Empowerment Measure (GEM) used in previous Human Development Reports.

Geographical coverage

All ESS countries covered, except for Kosovo.

Time coverage

1995-2012 (five-year intervals only, prior to 2010).

Data sources

The Gender Inequality Index relies on data from major publicly available databases, including the maternal mortality ratio from UNICEF's The State of the World's Children; adolescent fertility rates from the UN Department of Economic and Social Affairs' World Population Prospects; educational attainment statistics from the UNESCO Institute for Statistics educational attainment tables and the Barro-Lee data sets; parliamentary representation from the International Parliamentary Union; and labour market participation from the International Labour Organization's LABORSTA database.

Data limitations and comparability

The Gender Inequality Index faces major data limitations, which constrains the choice of indicators. For example, we use national parliamentary representation that excludes participation at the local government level and elsewhere in community and public life. The labour market dimension lacks information on incomes, employment and on unpaid work by women. The Index misses other important dimensions, such as time use the fact that many women have the additional burden of care giving and housekeeping, which cut into leisure time and increase stress and physical exhaustion. Asset ownership, gender-based violence and participation in community decision-making are also not captured, mainly due to limited data availability. As with any other global composite index, this measure is constrained by the need for international comparability. But it could be readily adapted for use at the national or local level.

Last update

March, 2013.

Date of extraction

17/01/2014.

Missing values

Data is missing for the following observations:

- Albania: 2000
- Bulgaria: 2000
- Cyprus: 2000
- Estonia: 2010
- Hungary: 2000
- Lithuania: 2000
- Slovenia: 2000
- Ukraine: 2000

Variables

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	c_gii_1995	[COUNTRY] Gender Inequality Index (GII), trends 1995	continuous	numeric-5.3	35	5	-
2	c_gii_2000	[COUNTRY] Gender Inequality Index (GII), trends 2000	continuous	numeric-5.3	25	15	-
3	c_gii_2005	[COUNTRY] Gender Inequality Index (GII), trends 2005	continuous	numeric-5.3	35	5	-
4	c_gii_2010	[COUNTRY] Gender Inequality Index (GII), trends 2010	continuous	numeric-5.3	34	6	-
5	c_gii_2011	[COUNTRY] Gender Inequality Index (GII), trends 2011	continuous	numeric-5.3	35	5	-
6	c_gii_2012	[COUNTRY] Gender Inequality Index (GII), trends 2012	continuous	numeric-5.3	35	5	-

Group Fertility rate

Subgroup(s)	United Nations Population Division , Eurostat
--------------------	---

Group United Nations Population Division**Data source**

United Nations Population Division

The United Nations Population Division (<http://www.un.org/esa/population/unpop.htm>) is responsible for monitoring and appraisal of the broad range of areas in the field of population. The data on fertility presented in the ESS MD is compiled from the World Population Prospects; The 2012 Revision (<http://esa.un.org/unpd/wpp/Excel-Data/fertility.htm>). This revision cover the twenty-third round of global demographic estimates and projections undertaken by the Population Division of the United Nations Department of Economic and Social Affairs of the United Nations Secretariat. It contains estimates and projections of 60 demographic indicators such as birth rates, deaths rates, infant mortality rates and life expectancy. The world population prospects are used widely throughout the United Nations and by many international organizations, research centers, academic researchers and the media. Please see the Frequently Asked Questions (<http://esa.un.org/unpd/wpp/Other-Information/faq.htm>) on the Population Division website for more information regarding the data.

Variable information

Short description

- The average number of children a hypothetical cohort of women would have at the end of their reproductive period if they were subject during their whole lives to the fertility rates of a given period and if they were not subject to mortality. It is

expressed as children per woman. The data on total fertility from World Population Prospects is only available in five year estimation intervals.

- Adolescent fertility rate (per 1000 women): The number of births to women in a particular age group, divided by the number of women in that age group. The age groups used here is 15-19. The data refer to five-year periods running from 1 July to 30 June of the initial and final years. The data on adolescent fertility from World Population Prospects is only available in five year estimation intervals.

Geographical coverage

All ESS countries covered, except for Kosovo.

Time coverage

- Total fertility rate (per woman): 1990-2010. The data on total fertility from the World Population Prospects is only available in five year estimation intervals. In this resource, the original coding "1990-1995" has been recoded to 1995, and "1995-2000" has been recoded to 2000. The same has been applied to "2000-2005" and to "2005-2010".

- Adolescent fertility rate (per 1000 women): 2000-2010. The data on age specific fertility rates from the World Population Prospects is only available in five year estimation intervals. In this resource, the original coding "1995-2000" has been recoded to 2000, and "2000-2005" has been coded to 2005. The same has been applied to "2005-2010".

Data sources

The fertility estimates for the World Population Prospects are based on the following sources:

- Vital Registers: Most developed and some developing countries have vital registration systems, which record the number of births and the age of the mother. This information can be combined with estimates of the female population by age to calculate age-specific fertility rates and total fertility. Countries either report the (age-specific) rates or the number of births by age of mother.
- Surveys: Most developing countries do not have vital registration systems, or they have only vital registration in urban areas. In those countries surveys are typically used to estimate fertility. The surveys are carried out by various institutions, from National Statistical Offices to international organizations. The most widely used surveys are the Demographic and Health Surveys (DHS) and the Multi-Indicator Cluster Surveys (MICS). In some countries, there are also Demographic Surveillance Sites (DSS), which provide in-depth fertility and health information for particular areas within these countries. Data from surveys are typically available in the form of birth histories (either full histories or histories truncated for the last 5 years) or as the number of "children ever born". Various indirect methods can be used to analyze and adjust fertility estimates from these surveys - particularly for historical time periods.
- Censuses: The third major source of fertility information are the censuses, which typically provide information on the number of children ever born, or on the number of children born during the past 12 or 24 months for each woman age 15 to 49 enumerated. Census data, which provide full records for everyone living in a particular household, also allow application of various indirect measures to estimate fertility, such as the "own children method", which is based on the children of the women living in the household.
- Adjustments: Fertility information from all data sources, including censuses, may require adjustments - particularly for historical time series. There are also various demographic techniques to adjust under-reporting in particular age-groups. One particular method attempts to reconstruct the fertility of cohorts across different censuses.

Last update

13/06/2013.

Date of extraction

27/01/2014.

Variables

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	c_adfer_2000	[COUNTRY] Adolescent fertility rate (per 1000 women) 2000	continuous	numeric-5.2	35	5	-
2	c_adfer_2005	[COUNTRY] Adolescent fertility rate (per 1000 women) 2005	continuous	numeric-5.2	35	5	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
3	c_adfer_2010	[COUNTRY] Adolescent fertility rate (per 1000 women) 2010	continuous	numeric-5.2	35	5	-
4	c_totfer_1990	[COUNTRY] Total fertility rate (per woman) 1990	continuous	numeric-4.2	35	5	-
5	c_totfer_1995	[COUNTRY] Total fertility rate (per woman) 1995	continuous	numeric-4.2	35	5	-
6	c_totfer_2000	[COUNTRY] Total fertility rate (per woman) 2000	continuous	numeric-4.2	35	5	-
7	c_totfer_2005	[COUNTRY] Total fertility rate (per woman) 2005	continuous	numeric-4.2	35	5	-
8	c_totfer_2010	[COUNTRY] Total fertility rate (per woman) 2010	continuous	numeric-4.2	35	5	-

Group Eurostat

Data source

Eurostat

Eurostat is the statistical office of the European Union situated in Luxembourg. Its task is to provide the European Union with statistics at European level that enable comparisons between countries and regions. The data presented here have been compiled by the ESS MDR from the Eurostat dissemination database (<http://epp.eurostat.ec.europa.eu/portal/page/portal/eurostat/home>). For data documentation see Reference Metadata in Euro SDMX Metadata Structure (ESMS) (http://epp.eurostat.ec.europa.eu/cache/ITY_SDDS/EN/demoreg_esms.htm).

Variable information

Short description

The variable reports the total fertility rate by the mean number of children that would be born alive to a woman during her lifetime if she were to pass through her childbearing years conforming to the fertility rates by age of a given year.

Geographical coverage

All ESS countries covered, except for Israel and Kosovo.

Time coverage

1990-2012.

Last update

28/02/2014.

Date of extraction

07/03/2014.

Missing values

Data is missing for the following observations:

- Albania: 1990-2003, 2007-2012
- France: 1990-1997
- Germany: 1990-1999
- Russian Federation: 1990-2005, 2010-2012
- Ukraine: 1990-2005, 2009

Variables

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	c_fera_1990	[COUNTRY] Fertility rates 1990	continuous	numeric-4.2	26	14	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
2	c_fera_1991	[COUNTRY] Fertility rates 1991	continuous	numeric-4.2	26	14	-
3	c_fera_1992	[COUNTRY] Fertility rates 1992	continuous	numeric-4.2	26	14	-
4	c_fera_1993	[COUNTRY] Fertility rates 1993	continuous	numeric-4.2	26	14	-
5	c_fera_1994	[COUNTRY] Fertility rates 1994	continuous	numeric-4.2	26	14	-
6	c_fera_1995	[COUNTRY] Fertility rates 1995	continuous	numeric-4.2	26	14	-
7	c_fera_1996	[COUNTRY] Fertility rates 1996	continuous	numeric-4.2	26	14	-
8	c_fera_1997	[COUNTRY] Fertility rates 1997	continuous	numeric-4.2	26	14	-
9	c_fera_1998	[COUNTRY] Fertility rates 1998	continuous	numeric-4.2	27	13	-
10	c_fera_1999	[COUNTRY] Fertility rates 1999	continuous	numeric-4.2	27	13	-
11	c_fera_2000	[COUNTRY] Fertility rates 2000	continuous	numeric-4.2	29	11	-
12	c_fera_2001	[COUNTRY] Fertility rates 2001	continuous	numeric-4.2	30	10	-
13	c_fera_2002	[COUNTRY] Fertility rates 2002	continuous	numeric-4.2	30	10	-
14	c_fera_2003	[COUNTRY] Fertility rates 2003	continuous	numeric-4.2	30	10	-
15	c_fera_2004	[COUNTRY] Fertility rates 2004	continuous	numeric-4.2	31	9	-
16	c_fera_2005	[COUNTRY] Fertility rates 2005	continuous	numeric-4.2	31	9	-
17	c_fera_2006	[COUNTRY] Fertility rates 2006	continuous	numeric-4.2	33	7	-
18	c_fera_2007	[COUNTRY] Fertility rates 2007	continuous	numeric-4.2	32	8	-
19	c_fera_2008	[COUNTRY] Fertility rates 2008	continuous	numeric-4.2	33	7	-
20	c_fera_2009	[COUNTRY] Fertility rates 2009	continuous	numeric-4.2	32	8	-
21	c_fera_2010	[COUNTRY] Fertility rates 2010	continuous	numeric-4.2	32	8	-
22	c_fera_2011	[COUNTRY] Fertility rates 2011	continuous	numeric-4.2	32	8	-
23	c_fera_2012	[COUNTRY] Fertility rates 2012	continuous	numeric-4.2	32	8	-

Group Unemployment

Data source

Eurostat

Eurostat is the statistical office of the European Union situated in Luxembourg. Its task is to provide the European Union with statistics at European level that enable comparisons between countries and regions. The data presented here have

been compiled by the ESS MDR from the Eurostat dissemination database (<http://epp.eurostat.ec.europa.eu/portal/page/portal/eurostat/home>). For data documentation see Reference Metadata in Euro SDMX Metadata Structure (ESMS) (http://epp.eurostat.ec.europa.eu/cache/ITY_SDDS/EN/reg_lmk_esms.htm).

Variable information

Short description

Unemployed persons comprise persons aged 15-74 (16 to 74 in ES, UK, IS and NO) who were (all three conditions must be fulfilled simultaneously):

- without work during the reference week;
- available for work at the time (i.e. were available for paid employment or self-employment before the end of the two weeks following the reference week);
- actively seeking work (i.e. had taken specific steps in the four-week period ending with the reference week to seek paid employment or self-employment), or who found a job to start within a period of at most three months.

Long-term unemployment rate represents the share of unemployed persons since 12 months or more in the total number of active persons in the labour market. Active persons are those who are either employed or unemployed.

Geographical coverage

All ESS countries covered, except for Albania, Israel, Russian Federation, Ukraine and Kosovo.

Time coverage

1999-2012.

Last update

14/02/2014.

Date of extraction

05/03/2014.

Missing values

Data is missing for the following observations:

- Bulgaria: 1999
- Cyprus: 1999
- Netherlands: 2000-2001 (Long-term unemployment (12 months and more) in 1000s, Long-term Unemployment rates (12 months and more), Long-term unemployment share)

Variables

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	c_loun_1999	[COUNTRY] Long-term unemployment (12 months and more) in 1000s 1999	continuous	numeric-7.2	27	13	-
2	c_loun_2000	[COUNTRY] Long-term unemployment (12 months and more) in 1000s 2000	continuous	numeric-7.2	29	11	-
3	c_loun_2001	[COUNTRY] Long-term unemployment (12 months and more) in 1000s 2001	continuous	numeric-7.2	29	11	-
4	c_loun_2002	[COUNTRY] Long-term unemployment (12 months and more) in 1000s 2002	continuous	numeric-7.2	31	9	-
5	c_loun_2003	[COUNTRY] Long-term unemployment (12 months and more) in 1000s 2003	continuous	numeric-7.2	31	9	-
6	c_loun_2004	[COUNTRY] Long-term unemployment (12 months and more) in 1000s 2004	continuous	numeric-7.2	31	9	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
7	c_loun_2005	[COUNTRY] Long-term unemployment (12 months and more) in 1000s 2005	continuous	numeric-7.2	31	9	-
8	c_loun_2006	[COUNTRY] Long-term unemployment (12 months and more) in 1000s 2006	continuous	numeric-7.2	32	8	-
9	c_loun_2007	[COUNTRY] Long-term unemployment (12 months and more) in 1000s 2007	continuous	numeric-7.2	32	8	-
10	c_loun_2008	[COUNTRY] Long-term unemployment (12 months and more) in 1000s 2008	continuous	numeric-7.2	32	8	-
11	c_loun_2009	[COUNTRY] Long-term unemployment (12 months and more) in 1000s 2009	continuous	numeric-7.2	32	8	-
12	c_loun_2010	[COUNTRY] Long-term unemployment (12 months and more) in 1000s 2010	continuous	numeric-7.2	32	8	-
13	c_loun_2011	[COUNTRY] Long-term unemployment (12 months and more) in 1000s 2011	continuous	numeric-7.2	32	8	-
14	c_loun_2012	[COUNTRY] Long-term unemployment (12 months and more) in 1000s 2012	continuous	numeric-7.2	32	8	-
15	c_lounra_1999	[COUNTRY] Long-term Unemployment rates (12 months and more) 1999	continuous	numeric-4.2	27	13	-
16	c_lounra_2000	[COUNTRY] Long-term Unemployment rates (12 months and more) 2000	continuous	numeric-5.2	29	11	-
17	c_lounra_2001	[COUNTRY] Long-term Unemployment rates (12 months and more) 2001	continuous	numeric-5.2	29	11	-
18	c_lounra_2002	[COUNTRY] Long-term Unemployment rates (12 months and more) 2002	continuous	numeric-5.2	31	9	-
19	c_lounra_2003	[COUNTRY] Long-term Unemployment rates (12 months and more) 2003	continuous	numeric-5.2	31	9	-
20	c_lounra_2004	[COUNTRY] Long-term Unemployment rates (12 months and more) 2004	continuous	numeric-5.2	31	9	-
21	c_lounra_2005	[COUNTRY] Long-term Unemployment rates (12 months and more) 2005	continuous	numeric-5.2	31	9	-
22	c_lounra_2006	[COUNTRY] Long-term Unemployment rates (12 months and more) 2006	continuous	numeric-5.2	32	8	-
23	c_lounra_2007	[COUNTRY] Long-term Unemployment rates (12 months and more) 2007	continuous	numeric-4.2	32	8	-
24	c_lounra_2008	[COUNTRY] Long-term Unemployment rates (12 months and more) 2008	continuous	numeric-4.2	32	8	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
25	c_lounra_2009	[COUNTRY] Long-term Unemployment rates (12 months and more) 2009	continuous	numeric-4.2	32	8	-
26	c_lounra_2010	[COUNTRY] Long-term Unemployment rates (12 months and more) 2010	continuous	numeric-4.2	32	8	-
27	c_lounra_2011	[COUNTRY] Long-term Unemployment rates (12 months and more) 2011	continuous	numeric-4.2	32	8	-
28	c_lounra_2012	[COUNTRY] Long-term Unemployment rates (12 months and more) 2012	continuous	numeric-5.2	32	8	-
29	c_lounsh_1999	[COUNTRY] Long-term unemployment share 1999	continuous	numeric-5.2	27	13	-
30	c_lounsh_2000	[COUNTRY] Long-term unemployment share 2000	continuous	numeric-5.2	29	11	-
31	c_lounsh_2001	[COUNTRY] Long-term unemployment share 2001	continuous	numeric-5.2	29	11	-
32	c_lounsh_2002	[COUNTRY] Long-term unemployment share 2002	continuous	numeric-5.2	31	9	-
33	c_lounsh_2003	[COUNTRY] Long-term unemployment share 2003	continuous	numeric-5.2	31	9	-
34	c_lounsh_2004	[COUNTRY] Long-term unemployment share 2004	continuous	numeric-5.2	31	9	-
35	c_lounsh_2005	[COUNTRY] Long-term unemployment share 2005	continuous	numeric-5.2	31	9	-
36	c_lounsh_2006	[COUNTRY] Long-term unemployment share 2006	continuous	numeric-5.2	32	8	-
37	c_lounsh_2007	[COUNTRY] Long-term unemployment share 2007	continuous	numeric-5.2	32	8	-
38	c_lounsh_2008	[COUNTRY] Long-term unemployment share 2008	continuous	numeric-5.2	32	8	-
39	c_lounsh_2009	[COUNTRY] Long-term unemployment share 2009	continuous	numeric-5.2	32	8	-
40	c_lounsh_2010	[COUNTRY] Long-term unemployment share 2010	continuous	numeric-5.2	32	8	-
41	c_lounsh_2011	[COUNTRY] Long-term unemployment share 2011	continuous	numeric-5.2	32	8	-
42	c_lounsh_2012	[COUNTRY] Long-term unemployment share 2012	continuous	numeric-5.2	32	8	-
43	c_un1524_1999	[COUNTRY] Unemployment by age 15-24 years in 1000s 1999	continuous	numeric-6.2	27	13	-
44	c_un1524_2000	[COUNTRY] Unemployment by age 15-24 years in 1000s 2000	continuous	numeric-6.2	30	10	-
45	c_un1524_2001	[COUNTRY] Unemployment by age 15-24 years in 1000s 2001	continuous	numeric-6.2	30	10	-
46	c_un1524_2002	[COUNTRY] Unemployment by age 15-24 years in 1000s 2002	continuous	numeric-6.2	31	9	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
47	c_un1524_2003	[COUNTRY] Unemployment by age 15-24 years in 1000s 2003	continuous	numeric-6.2	31	9	-
48	c_un1524_2004	[COUNTRY] Unemployment by age 15-24 years in 1000s 2004	continuous	numeric-6.2	31	9	-
49	c_un1524_2005	[COUNTRY] Unemployment by age 15-24 years in 1000s 2005	continuous	numeric-6.2	31	9	-
50	c_un1524_2006	[COUNTRY] Unemployment by age 15-24 years in 1000s 2006	continuous	numeric-6.2	32	8	-
51	c_un1524_2007	[COUNTRY] Unemployment by age 15-24 years in 1000s 2007	continuous	numeric-6.2	32	8	-
52	c_un1524_2008	[COUNTRY] Unemployment by age 15-24 years in 1000s 2008	continuous	numeric-6.2	32	8	-
53	c_un1524_2009	[COUNTRY] Unemployment by age 15-24 years in 1000s 2009	continuous	numeric-6.2	32	8	-
54	c_un1524_2010	[COUNTRY] Unemployment by age 15-24 years in 1000s 2010	continuous	numeric-6.2	32	8	-
55	c_un1524_2011	[COUNTRY] Unemployment by age 15-24 years in 1000s 2011	continuous	numeric-6.2	32	8	-
56	c_un1524_2012	[COUNTRY] Unemployment by age 15-24 years in 1000s 2012	continuous	numeric-6.2	32	8	-
57	c_unall_1999	[COUNTRY] Unemployment by all ages in 1000s 1999	continuous	numeric-7.2	27	13	-
58	c_unall_2000	[COUNTRY] Unemployment by all ages in 1000s 2000	continuous	numeric-7.2	30	10	-
59	c_unall_2001	[COUNTRY] Unemployment by all ages in 1000s 2001	continuous	numeric-7.2	30	10	-
60	c_unall_2002	[COUNTRY] Unemployment by all ages in 1000s 2002	continuous	numeric-7.2	31	9	-
61	c_unall_2003	[COUNTRY] Unemployment by all ages in 1000s 2003	continuous	numeric-7.2	31	9	-
62	c_unall_2004	[COUNTRY] Unemployment by all ages in 1000s 2004	continuous	numeric-7.2	31	9	-
63	c_unall_2005	[COUNTRY] Unemployment by all ages in 1000s 2005	continuous	numeric-7.2	31	9	-
64	c_unall_2006	[COUNTRY] Unemployment by all ages in 1000s 2006	continuous	numeric-7.2	32	8	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
65	c_unall_2007	[COUNTRY] Unemployment by all ages in 1000s 2007	continuous	numeric-7.2	32	8	-
66	c_unall_2008	[COUNTRY] Unemployment by all ages in 1000s 2008	continuous	numeric-7.2	32	8	-
67	c_unall_2009	[COUNTRY] Unemployment by all ages in 1000s 2009	continuous	numeric-7.2	32	8	-
68	c_unall_2010	[COUNTRY] Unemployment by all ages in 1000s 2010	continuous	numeric-7.2	32	8	-
69	c_unall_2011	[COUNTRY] Unemployment by all ages in 1000s 2011	continuous	numeric-7.2	32	8	-
70	c_unall_2012	[COUNTRY] Unemployment by all ages in 1000s 2012	continuous	numeric-7.2	32	8	-
71	c_uno25_1999	[COUNTRY] Unemployment by age - 25 years and over in 1000s 1999	continuous	numeric-7.2	27	13	-
72	c_uno25_2000	[COUNTRY] Unemployment by age - 25 years and over in 1000s 2000	continuous	numeric-7.2	30	10	-
73	c_uno25_2001	[COUNTRY] Unemployment by age - 25 years and over in 1000s 2001	continuous	numeric-7.2	30	10	-
74	c_uno25_2002	[COUNTRY] Unemployment by age - 25 years and over in 1000s 2002	continuous	numeric-7.2	31	9	-
75	c_uno25_2003	[COUNTRY] Unemployment by age - 25 years and over in 1000s 2003	continuous	numeric-7.2	31	9	-
76	c_uno25_2004	[COUNTRY] Unemployment by age - 25 years and over in 1000s 2004	continuous	numeric-7.2	31	9	-
77	c_uno25_2005	[COUNTRY] Unemployment by age - 25 years and over in 1000s 2005	continuous	numeric-7.2	31	9	-
78	c_uno25_2006	[COUNTRY] Unemployment by age - 25 years and over in 1000s 2006	continuous	numeric-7.2	32	8	-
79	c_uno25_2007	[COUNTRY] Unemployment by age - 25 years and over in 1000s 2007	continuous	numeric-7.2	32	8	-
80	c_uno25_2008	[COUNTRY] Unemployment by age - 25 years and over in 1000s 2008	continuous	numeric-7.2	32	8	-
81	c_uno25_2009	[COUNTRY] Unemployment by age - 25 years and over in 1000s 2009	continuous	numeric-7.2	32	8	-
82	c_uno25_2010	[COUNTRY] Unemployment by age - 25 years and over in 1000s 2010	continuous	numeric-7.2	32	8	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
83	c_uno25_2011	[COUNTRY] Unemployment by age - 25 years and over in 1000s 2011	continuous	numeric-7.2	32	8	-
84	c_uno25_2012	[COUNTRY] Unemployment by age - 25 years and over in 1000s 2012	continuous	numeric-7.2	32	8	-
85	c_unra1524_1999	[COUNTRY] Unemployment rates by age 15-24 years in % 1999	continuous	numeric-5.2	27	13	-
86	c_unra1524_2000	[COUNTRY] Unemployment rates by age 15-24 years in % 2000	continuous	numeric-5.2	30	10	-
87	c_unra1524_2001	[COUNTRY] Unemployment rates by age 15-24 years in % 2001	continuous	numeric-5.2	30	10	-
88	c_unra1524_2002	[COUNTRY] Unemployment rates by age 15-24 years in % 2002	continuous	numeric-5.2	31	9	-
89	c_unra1524_2003	[COUNTRY] Unemployment rates by age 15-24 years in % 2003	continuous	numeric-5.2	31	9	-
90	c_unra1524_2004	[COUNTRY] Unemployment rates by age 15-24 years in % 2004	continuous	numeric-5.2	31	9	-
91	c_unra1524_2005	[COUNTRY] Unemployment rates by age 15-24 years in % 2005	continuous	numeric-5.2	31	9	-
92	c_unra1524_2006	[COUNTRY] Unemployment rates by age 15-24 years in % 2006	continuous	numeric-5.2	32	8	-
93	c_unra1524_2007	[COUNTRY] Unemployment rates by age 15-24 years in % 2007	continuous	numeric-5.2	32	8	-
94	c_unra1524_2008	[COUNTRY] Unemployment rates by age 15-24 years in % 2008	continuous	numeric-5.2	32	8	-
95	c_unra1524_2009	[COUNTRY] Unemployment rates by age 15-24 years in % 2009	continuous	numeric-5.2	32	8	-
96	c_unra1524_2010	[COUNTRY] Unemployment rates by age 15-24 years in % 2010	continuous	numeric-5.2	32	8	-
97	c_unra1524_2011	[COUNTRY] Unemployment rates by age 15-24 years in % 2011	continuous	numeric-5.2	32	8	-
98	c_unra1524_2012	[COUNTRY] Unemployment rates by age 15-24 years in % 2012	continuous	numeric-5.2	32	8	-
99	c_unraall_1999	[COUNTRY] Unemployment rates by all ages in % 1999	continuous	numeric-5.2	27	13	-
100	c_unraall_2000	[COUNTRY] Unemployment rates by all ages in % 2000	continuous	numeric-5.2	30	10	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
101	c_unraall_2001	[COUNTRY] Unemployment rates by all ages in % 2001	continuous	numeric-5.2	30	10	-
102	c_unraall_2002	[COUNTRY] Unemployment rates by all ages in % 2002	continuous	numeric-5.2	31	9	-
103	c_unraall_2003	[COUNTRY] Unemployment rates by all ages in % 2003	continuous	numeric-5.2	31	9	-
104	c_unraall_2004	[COUNTRY] Unemployment rates by all ages in % 2004	continuous	numeric-5.2	31	9	-
105	c_unraall_2005	[COUNTRY] Unemployment rates by all ages in % 2005	continuous	numeric-5.2	31	9	-
106	c_unraall_2006	[COUNTRY] Unemployment rates by all ages in % 2006	continuous	numeric-5.2	32	8	-
107	c_unraall_2007	[COUNTRY] Unemployment rates by all ages in % 2007	continuous	numeric-5.2	32	8	-
108	c_unraall_2008	[COUNTRY] Unemployment rates by all ages in % 2008	continuous	numeric-5.2	32	8	-
109	c_unraall_2009	[COUNTRY] Unemployment rates by all ages in % 2009	continuous	numeric-5.2	32	8	-
110	c_unraall_2010	[COUNTRY] Unemployment rates by all ages in % 2010	continuous	numeric-5.2	32	8	-
111	c_unraall_2011	[COUNTRY] Unemployment rates by all ages in % 2011	continuous	numeric-5.2	32	8	-
112	c_unraall_2012	[COUNTRY] Unemployment rates by all ages in % 2012	continuous	numeric-5.2	32	8	-
113	c_unrao25_1999	[COUNTRY] Unemployment rates by age - 25 years and over in % 1999	continuous	numeric-5.2	27	13	-
114	c_unrao25_2000	[COUNTRY] Unemployment rates by age - 25 years and over in % 2000	continuous	numeric-5.2	30	10	-
115	c_unrao25_2001	[COUNTRY] Unemployment rates by age - 25 years and over in % 2001	continuous	numeric-5.2	30	10	-
116	c_unrao25_2002	[COUNTRY] Unemployment rates by age - 25 years and over in % 2002	continuous	numeric-5.2	31	9	-
117	c_unrao25_2003	[COUNTRY] Unemployment rates by age - 25 years and over in % 2003	continuous	numeric-5.2	31	9	-
118	c_unrao25_2004	[COUNTRY] Unemployment rates by age - 25 years and over in % 2004	continuous	numeric-5.2	31	9	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
119	c_unrao25_2005	[COUNTRY] Unemployment rates by age - 25 years and over in % 2005	continuous	numeric-5.2	31	9	-
120	c_unrao25_2006	[COUNTRY] Unemployment rates by age - 25 years and over in % 2006	continuous	numeric-5.2	32	8	-
121	c_unrao25_2007	[COUNTRY] Unemployment rates by age - 25 years and over in % 2007	continuous	numeric-5.2	32	8	-
122	c_unrao25_2008	[COUNTRY] Unemployment rates by age - 25 years and over in % 2008	continuous	numeric-4.2	32	8	-
123	c_unrao25_2009	[COUNTRY] Unemployment rates by age - 25 years and over in % 2009	continuous	numeric-5.2	32	8	-
124	c_unrao25_2010	[COUNTRY] Unemployment rates by age - 25 years and over in % 2010	continuous	numeric-5.2	32	8	-
125	c_unrao25_2011	[COUNTRY] Unemployment rates by age - 25 years and over in % 2011	continuous	numeric-5.2	32	8	-
126	c_unrao25_2012	[COUNTRY] Unemployment rates by age - 25 years and over in % 2012	continuous	numeric-5.2	32	8	-

Group Area of the region

Data source

Eurostat

Eurostat is the statistical office of the European Union situated in Luxembourg. Its task is to provide the European Union with statistics at European level that enable comparisons between countries and regions. The data presented here have been compiled by the ESS MDR from the Eurostat dissemination database (<http://epp.eurostat.ec.europa.eu/portal/page/portal/eurostat/home>). For data documentation see Reference Metadata in Euro SDMX Metadata Structure (ESMS) (http://epp.eurostat.ec.europa.eu/cache/ITY_SDDS/EN/demoreg_esms.htm).

Variable information

Short description

Surface in km2 on January 1st (total surface including inland water; land area).

Geographical coverage

All ESS countries covered, except for Albania, Israel, Russian Federation, Ukraine and Kosovo.

Time coverage

1990-2012.

Last update

27/06/2013.

Date of extraction

14/01/2014.

Missing values

Data is missing for the following observations:

- Bulgaria: 1990
- Germany: 1990-1996

- Lithuania: 1990-1994
- Poland: 1990-1997
- Slovenia: 1990-1996
- Spain: 1990-1997
- Sweden: 1990-1994

Variables

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	c_area_1990	[COUNTRY] Area (km2) 1990	continuous	numeric-9.2	24	16	-
2	c_area_1991	[COUNTRY] Area (km2) 1991	continuous	numeric-9.2	27	13	-
3	c_area_1992	[COUNTRY] Area (km2) 1992	continuous	numeric-9.2	27	13	-
4	c_area_1993	[COUNTRY] Area (km2) 1993	continuous	numeric-9.2	27	13	-
5	c_area_1994	[COUNTRY] Area (km2) 1994	continuous	numeric-9.2	27	13	-
6	c_area_1995	[COUNTRY] Area (km2) 1995	continuous	numeric-9.2	29	11	-
7	c_area_1996	[COUNTRY] Area (km2) 1996	continuous	numeric-9.2	29	11	-
8	c_area_1997	[COUNTRY] Area (km2) 1997	continuous	numeric-9.2	31	9	-
9	c_area_1998	[COUNTRY] Area (km2) 1998	continuous	numeric-9.2	33	7	-
10	c_area_1999	[COUNTRY] Area (km2) 1999	continuous	numeric-9.2	32	8	-
11	c_area_2000	[COUNTRY] Area (km2) 2000	continuous	numeric-9.2	33	7	-
12	c_area_2001	[COUNTRY] Area (km2) 2001	continuous	numeric-9.2	32	8	-
13	c_area_2002	[COUNTRY] Area (km2) 2002	continuous	numeric-9.2	33	7	-
14	c_area_2003	[COUNTRY] Area (km2) 2003	continuous	numeric-9.2	33	7	-
15	c_area_2004	[COUNTRY] Area (km2) 2004	continuous	numeric-9.2	32	8	-
16	c_area_2005	[COUNTRY] Area (km2) 2005	continuous	numeric-9.2	34	6	-
17	c_area_2006	[COUNTRY] Area (km2) 2006	continuous	numeric-9.2	34	6	-
18	c_area_2007	[COUNTRY] Area (km2) 2007	continuous	numeric-9.2	35	5	-
19	c_area_2008	[COUNTRY] Area (km2) 2008	continuous	numeric-9.2	35	5	-
20	c_area_2009	[COUNTRY] Area (km2) 2009	continuous	numeric-9.2	35	5	-
21	c_area_2010	[COUNTRY] Area (km2) 2010	continuous	numeric-9.2	35	5	-
22	c_area_2011	[COUNTRY] Area (km2) 2011	continuous	numeric-9.2	35	5	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
23	c_area_2012	[COUNTRY] Area (km2) 2012	continuous	numeric-9.2	34	6	-

Group Population density

Data source

Eurostat

Eurostat is the statistical office of the European Union situated in Luxembourg. Its task is to provide the European Union with statistics at European level that enable comparisons between countries and regions. The data presented here have been compiled by the ESS MDR from the Eurostat dissemination database (<http://epp.eurostat.ec.europa.eu/portal/page/portal/eurostat/home>). For data documentation see Reference Metadata in Euro SDMX Metadata Structure (ESMS) (http://epp.eurostat.ec.europa.eu/cache/ITY_SDDS/EN/demoreg_esms.htm).

Variable information

Short description

Total population divided by the surface area. For calculation of population density, the land area concept (excluding inland water bodies like lakes or rivers) should be used wherever available. In several countries the total area, including area of lakes and rivers, is used because it is the only concept for which data are available.

Geographical coverage

All ESS countries covered, except for Albania, Israel, Russian Federation, Ukraine and Kosovo.

Time coverage

1990-2011.

Last update

27/06/2013.

Date of extraction

08/01/2014.

Missing values

Data is missing for the following observations:

- Bulgaria: 1990
- Czech Republic: 1990-1991
- Germany: 1990-1996
- Lithuania: 1990-1994
- Poland: 1990-1997
- Slovenia: 1990-1996
- Spain: 1990-1997
- Sweden: 1990-1991
- United Kingdom: 2011

Variables

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	c_pode_1990	[COUNTRY] Population density 1990	continuous	numeric-7.2	22	18	-
2	c_pode_1991	[COUNTRY] Population density 1991	continuous	numeric-7.2	25	15	-
3	c_pode_1992	[COUNTRY] Population density 1992	continuous	numeric-7.2	27	13	-
4	c_pode_1993	[COUNTRY] Population density 1993	continuous	numeric-7.2	27	13	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
5	c_pode_1994	[COUNTRY] Population density 1994	continuous	numeric-7.2	28	12	-
6	c_pode_1995	[COUNTRY] Population density 1995	continuous	numeric-7.2	29	11	-
7	c_pode_1996	[COUNTRY] Population density 1996	continuous	numeric-7.2	29	11	-
8	c_pode_1997	[COUNTRY] Population density 1997	continuous	numeric-7.2	31	9	-
9	c_pode_1998	[COUNTRY] Population density 1998	continuous	numeric-7.2	33	7	-
10	c_pode_1999	[COUNTRY] Population density 1999	continuous	numeric-7.2	32	8	-
11	c_pode_2000	[COUNTRY] Population density 2000	continuous	numeric-7.2	34	6	-
12	c_pode_2001	[COUNTRY] Population density 2001	continuous	numeric-7.2	33	7	-
13	c_pode_2002	[COUNTRY] Population density 2002	continuous	numeric-7.2	34	6	-
14	c_pode_2003	[COUNTRY] Population density 2003	continuous	numeric-7.2	34	6	-
15	c_pode_2004	[COUNTRY] Population density 2004	continuous	numeric-7.2	33	7	-
16	c_pode_2005	[COUNTRY] Population density 2005	continuous	numeric-7.2	35	5	-
17	c_pode_2006	[COUNTRY] Population density 2006	continuous	numeric-7.2	35	5	-
18	c_pode_2007	[COUNTRY] Population density 2007	continuous	numeric-7.2	34	6	-
19	c_pode_2008	[COUNTRY] Population density 2008	continuous	numeric-7.2	35	5	-
20	c_pode_2009	[COUNTRY] Population density 2009	continuous	numeric-7.2	35	5	-
21	c_pode_2010	[COUNTRY] Population density 2010	continuous	numeric-7.2	35	5	-
22	c_pode_2011	[COUNTRY] Population density 2011	continuous	numeric-7.2	33	7	-

Group Births

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	c_lbirth_2000	[COUNTRY] Live births (total) 2000	continuous	numeric-7.0	34	6	-
2	c_lbirth_2001	[COUNTRY] Live births (total) 2001	continuous	numeric-7.0	34	6	-
3	c_lbirth_2002	[COUNTRY] Live births (total) 2002	continuous	numeric-7.0	35	5	-
4	c_lbirth_2003	[COUNTRY] Live births (total) 2003	continuous	numeric-7.0	35	5	-
5	c_lbirth_2004	[COUNTRY] Live births (total) 2004	continuous	numeric-7.0	35	5	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
6	c_lbirth_2005	[COUNTRY] Live births (total) 2005	continuous	numeric-7.0	35	5	-
7	c_lbirth_2006	[COUNTRY] Live births (total) 2006	continuous	numeric-7.0	35	5	-
8	c_lbirth_2007	[COUNTRY] Live births (total) 2007	continuous	numeric-7.0	35	5	-
9	c_lbirth_2008	[COUNTRY] Live births (total) 2008	continuous	numeric-7.0	35	5	-
10	c_lbirth_2009	[COUNTRY] Live births (total) 2009	continuous	numeric-7.0	34	6	-
11	c_lbirth_2010	[COUNTRY] Live births (total) 2010	continuous	numeric-7.0	34	6	-
12	c_lbirth_2011	[COUNTRY] Live births (total) 2011	continuous	numeric-7.0	35	5	-
13	c_lbirth_2012	[COUNTRY] Live births (total) 2012	continuous	numeric-7.0	33	7	-
14	c_gbirthrt_2000	[COUNTRY] Crude birth rate 2000	continuous	numeric-5.2	34	6	-
15	c_gbirthrt_2001	[COUNTRY] Crude birth rate 2001	continuous	numeric-5.2	34	6	-
16	c_gbirthrt_2002	[COUNTRY] Crude birth rate 2002	continuous	numeric-5.2	34	6	-
17	c_gbirthrt_2003	[COUNTRY] Crude birth rate 2003	continuous	numeric-5.2	35	5	-
18	c_gbirthrt_2004	[COUNTRY] Crude birth rate 2004	continuous	numeric-5.2	35	5	-
19	c_gbirthrt_2005	[COUNTRY] Crude birth rate 2005	continuous	numeric-5.2	35	5	-
20	c_gbirthrt_2006	[COUNTRY] Crude birth rate 2006	continuous	numeric-5.2	35	5	-
21	c_gbirthrt_2007	[COUNTRY] Crude birth rate 2007	continuous	numeric-5.2	35	5	-
22	c_gbirthrt_2008	[COUNTRY] Crude birth rate 2008	continuous	numeric-5.2	35	5	-
23	c_gbirthrt_2009	[COUNTRY] Crude birth rate 2009	continuous	numeric-5.2	34	6	-
24	c_gbirthrt_2010	[COUNTRY] Crude birth rate 2010	continuous	numeric-5.2	33	7	-
25	c_gbirthrt_2011	[COUNTRY] Crude birth rate 2011	continuous	numeric-5.2	34	6	-
26	c_gbirthrt_2012	[COUNTRY] Crude birth rate 2012	continuous	numeric-5.2	33	7	-

Group Deaths

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	c_death_2000	[COUNTRY] Deaths (total) 2000	continuous	numeric-7.0	34	6	-
2	c_death_2001	[COUNTRY] Deaths (total) 2001	continuous	numeric-7.0	34	6	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
3	c_death_2002	[COUNTRY] Deaths (total) 2002	continuous	numeric-7.0	35	5	-
4	c_death_2003	[COUNTRY] Deaths (total) 2003	continuous	numeric-7.0	35	5	-
5	c_death_2004	[COUNTRY] Deaths (total) 2004	continuous	numeric-7.0	35	5	-
6	c_death_2005	[COUNTRY] Deaths (total) 2005	continuous	numeric-7.0	35	5	-
7	c_death_2006	[COUNTRY] Deaths (total) 2006	continuous	numeric-7.0	35	5	-
8	c_death_2007	[COUNTRY] Deaths (total) 2007	continuous	numeric-7.0	35	5	-
9	c_death_2008	[COUNTRY] Deaths (total) 2008	continuous	numeric-7.0	35	5	-
10	c_death_2009	[COUNTRY] Deaths (total) 2009	continuous	numeric-7.0	34	6	-
11	c_death_2010	[COUNTRY] Deaths (total) 2010	continuous	numeric-7.0	34	6	-
12	c_death_2011	[COUNTRY] Deaths (total) 2011	continuous	numeric-7.0	35	5	-
13	c_death_2012	[COUNTRY] Deaths (total) 2012	continuous	numeric-6.0	33	7	-
14	c_gdeathrt_2000	[COUNTRY] Crude death rate 2000	continuous	numeric-5.2	34	6	-
15	c_gdeathrt_2001	[COUNTRY] Crude death rate 2001	continuous	numeric-5.2	34	6	-
16	c_gdeathrt_2002	[COUNTRY] Crude death rate 2002	continuous	numeric-5.2	34	6	-
17	c_gdeathrt_2003	[COUNTRY] Crude death rate 2003	continuous	numeric-5.2	35	5	-
18	c_gdeathrt_2004	[COUNTRY] Crude death rate 2004	continuous	numeric-5.2	35	5	-
19	c_gdeathrt_2005	[COUNTRY] Crude death rate 2005	continuous	numeric-5.2	35	5	-
20	c_gdeathrt_2006	[COUNTRY] Crude death rate 2006	continuous	numeric-5.2	35	5	-
21	c_gdeathrt_2007	[COUNTRY] Crude death rate 2007	continuous	numeric-5.2	35	5	-
22	c_gdeathrt_2008	[COUNTRY] Crude death rate 2008	continuous	numeric-5.2	35	5	-
23	c_gdeathrt_2009	[COUNTRY] Crude death rate 2009	continuous	numeric-5.2	34	6	-
24	c_gdeathrt_2010	[COUNTRY] Crude death rate 2010	continuous	numeric-5.2	33	7	-
25	c_gdeathrt_2011	[COUNTRY] Crude death rate 2011	continuous	numeric-5.2	34	6	-
26	c_gdeathrt_2012	[COUNTRY] Crude death rate 2012	continuous	numeric-5.2	33	7	-

Group Migration

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	c_cnmigrat_2000	[COUNTRY] Net migration plus statistical adjustment 2000	continuous	numeric-7.0	34	6	-
2	c_cnmigrat_2001	[COUNTRY] Net migration plus statistical adjustment 2001	continuous	numeric-7.0	34	6	-
3	c_cnmigrat_2002	[COUNTRY] Net migration plus statistical adjustment 2002	continuous	numeric-7.0	34	6	-
4	c_cnmigrat_2003	[COUNTRY] Net migration plus statistical adjustment 2003	continuous	numeric-7.0	35	5	-
5	c_cnmigrat_2004	[COUNTRY] Net migration plus statistical adjustment 2004	continuous	numeric-7.0	35	5	-
6	c_cnmigrat_2005	[COUNTRY] Net migration plus statistical adjustment 2005	continuous	numeric-7.0	35	5	-
7	c_cnmigrat_2006	[COUNTRY] Net migration plus statistical adjustment 2006	continuous	numeric-7.0	35	5	-
8	c_cnmigrat_2007	[COUNTRY] Net migration plus statistical adjustment 2007	continuous	numeric-7.0	35	5	-
9	c_cnmigrat_2008	[COUNTRY] Net migration plus statistical adjustment 2008	continuous	numeric-7.0	35	5	-
10	c_cnmigrat_2009	[COUNTRY] Net migration plus statistical adjustment 2009	continuous	numeric-7.0	34	6	-
11	c_cnmigrat_2010	[COUNTRY] Net migration plus statistical adjustment 2010	continuous	numeric-8.0	33	7	-
12	c_cnmigrat_2011	[COUNTRY] Net migration plus statistical adjustment 2011	continuous	numeric-7.0	34	6	-
13	c_cnmigrat_2012	[COUNTRY] Net migration plus statistical adjustment 2012	continuous	numeric-7.0	33	7	-
14	c_cnmigratrt_2000	[COUNTRY] Crude rate of net migration plus statistical adjustment 2000	continuous	numeric-6.2	34	6	-
15	c_cnmigratrt_2001	[COUNTRY] Crude rate of net migration plus statistical adjustment 2001	continuous	numeric-6.2	34	6	-
16	c_cnmigratrt_2002	[COUNTRY] Crude rate of net migration plus statistical adjustment 2002	continuous	numeric-6.2	34	6	-
17	c_cnmigratrt_2003	[COUNTRY] Crude rate of net migration plus statistical adjustment 2003	continuous	numeric-6.2	35	5	-
18	c_cnmigratrt_2004	[COUNTRY] Crude rate of net migration plus statistical adjustment 2004	continuous	numeric-6.2	35	5	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
19	c_cnigratr_2005	[COUNTRY] Crude rate of net migration plus statistical adjustment 2005	continuous	numeric-6.2	35	5	-
20	c_cnigratr_2006	[COUNTRY] Crude rate of net migration plus statistical adjustment 2006	continuous	numeric-6.2	35	5	-
21	c_cnigratr_2007	[COUNTRY] Crude rate of net migration plus statistical adjustment 2007	continuous	numeric-6.2	35	5	-
22	c_cnigratr_2008	[COUNTRY] Crude rate of net migration plus statistical adjustment 2008	continuous	numeric-6.2	35	5	-
23	c_cnigratr_2009	[COUNTRY] Crude rate of net migration plus statistical adjustment 2009	continuous	numeric-6.2	34	6	-
24	c_cnigratr_2010	[COUNTRY] Crude rate of net migration plus statistical adjustment 2010	continuous	numeric-6.2	33	7	-
25	c_cnigratr_2011	[COUNTRY] Crude rate of net migration plus statistical adjustment 2011	continuous	numeric-6.2	34	6	-
26	c_cnigratr_2012	[COUNTRY] Crude rate of net migration plus statistical adjustment 2012	continuous	numeric-6.2	33	7	-

Group Change of population

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	c_natgrow_2000	[COUNTRY] Natural change of population 2000	continuous	numeric-7.0	34	6	-
2	c_natgrow_2001	[COUNTRY] Natural change of population 2001	continuous	numeric-7.0	34	6	-
3	c_natgrow_2002	[COUNTRY] Natural change of population 2002	continuous	numeric-7.0	35	5	-
4	c_natgrow_2003	[COUNTRY] Natural change of population 2003	continuous	numeric-7.0	35	5	-
5	c_natgrow_2004	[COUNTRY] Natural change of population 2004	continuous	numeric-7.0	35	5	-
6	c_natgrow_2005	[COUNTRY] Natural change of population 2005	continuous	numeric-7.0	35	5	-
7	c_natgrow_2006	[COUNTRY] Natural change of population 2006	continuous	numeric-7.0	35	5	-
8	c_natgrow_2007	[COUNTRY] Natural change of population 2007	continuous	numeric-7.0	35	5	-
9	c_natgrow_2008	[COUNTRY] Natural change of population 2008	continuous	numeric-7.0	35	5	-
10	c_natgrow_2009	[COUNTRY] Natural change of population 2009	continuous	numeric-7.0	34	6	-
11	c_natgrow_2010	[COUNTRY] Natural change of population 2010	continuous	numeric-7.0	34	6	-
12	c_natgrow_2011	[COUNTRY] Natural change of population 2011	continuous	numeric-7.0	35	5	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
13	c_natgrow_2012	[COUNTRY] Natural change of population 2012	continuous	numeric-7.0	33	7	-
14	c_grow_2000	[COUNTRY] Total population change 2000	continuous	numeric-8.0	34	6	-
15	c_grow_2001	[COUNTRY] Total population change 2001	continuous	numeric-7.0	34	6	-
16	c_grow_2002	[COUNTRY] Total population change 2002	continuous	numeric-7.0	34	6	-
17	c_grow_2003	[COUNTRY] Total population change 2003	continuous	numeric-7.0	35	5	-
18	c_grow_2004	[COUNTRY] Total population change 2004	continuous	numeric-7.0	35	5	-
19	c_grow_2005	[COUNTRY] Total population change 2005	continuous	numeric-7.0	35	5	-
20	c_grow_2006	[COUNTRY] Total population change 2006	continuous	numeric-7.0	35	5	-
21	c_grow_2007	[COUNTRY] Total population change 2007	continuous	numeric-7.0	35	5	-
22	c_grow_2008	[COUNTRY] Total population change 2008	continuous	numeric-7.0	35	5	-
23	c_grow_2009	[COUNTRY] Total population change 2009	continuous	numeric-8.0	34	6	-
24	c_grow_2010	[COUNTRY] Total population change 2010	continuous	numeric-8.0	33	7	-
25	c_grow_2011	[COUNTRY] Total population change 2011	continuous	numeric-8.0	34	6	-
26	c_grow_2012	[COUNTRY] Total population change 2012	continuous	numeric-7.0	33	7	-
27	c_natgrowrt_2000	[COUNTRY] Crude rate of natural change of population 2000	continuous	numeric-6.2	34	6	-
28	c_natgrowrt_2001	[COUNTRY] Crude rate of natural change of population 2001	continuous	numeric-6.2	34	6	-
29	c_natgrowrt_2002	[COUNTRY] Crude rate of natural change of population 2002	continuous	numeric-6.2	34	6	-
30	c_natgrowrt_2003	[COUNTRY] Crude rate of natural change of population 2003	continuous	numeric-6.2	35	5	-
31	c_natgrowrt_2004	[COUNTRY] Crude rate of natural change of population 2004	continuous	numeric-6.2	35	5	-
32	c_natgrowrt_2005	[COUNTRY] Crude rate of natural change of population 2005	continuous	numeric-6.2	35	5	-
33	c_natgrowrt_2006	[COUNTRY] Crude rate of natural change of population 2006	continuous	numeric-6.2	35	5	-
34	c_natgrowrt_2007	[COUNTRY] Crude rate of natural change of population 2007	continuous	numeric-6.2	35	5	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
35	c_natgrowrt_2008	[COUNTRY] Crude rate of natural change of population 2008	continuous	numeric-6.2	35	5	-
36	c_natgrowrt_2009	[COUNTRY] Crude rate of natural change of population 2009	continuous	numeric-6.2	34	6	-
37	c_natgrowrt_2010	[COUNTRY] Crude rate of natural change of population 2010	continuous	numeric-6.2	33	7	-
38	c_natgrowrt_2011	[COUNTRY] Crude rate of natural change of population 2011	continuous	numeric-6.2	34	6	-
39	c_natgrowrt_2012	[COUNTRY] Crude rate of natural change of population 2012	continuous	numeric-6.2	33	7	-
40	c_growrt_2000	[COUNTRY] Crude rate of total population change 2000	continuous	numeric-6.2	34	6	-
41	c_growrt_2001	[COUNTRY] Crude rate of total population change 2001	continuous	numeric-6.2	34	6	-
42	c_growrt_2002	[COUNTRY] Crude rate of total population change 2002	continuous	numeric-6.2	34	6	-
43	c_growrt_2003	[COUNTRY] Crude rate of total population change 2003	continuous	numeric-6.2	35	5	-
44	c_growrt_2004	[COUNTRY] Crude rate of total population change 2004	continuous	numeric-6.2	35	5	-
45	c_growrt_2005	[COUNTRY] Crude rate of total population change 2005	continuous	numeric-6.2	35	5	-
46	c_growrt_2006	[COUNTRY] Crude rate of total population change 2006	continuous	numeric-6.2	35	5	-
47	c_growrt_2007	[COUNTRY] Crude rate of total population change 2007	continuous	numeric-6.2	35	5	-
48	c_growrt_2008	[COUNTRY] Crude rate of total population change 2008	continuous	numeric-6.2	35	5	-
49	c_growrt_2009	[COUNTRY] Crude rate of total population change 2009	continuous	numeric-6.2	34	6	-
50	c_growrt_2010	[COUNTRY] Crude rate of total population change 2010	continuous	numeric-6.2	33	7	-
51	c_growrt_2011	[COUNTRY] Crude rate of total population change 2011	continuous	numeric-6.2	34	6	-
52	c_growrt_2012	[COUNTRY] Crude rate of total population change 2012	continuous	numeric-6.2	33	7	-

Group IMF conditionality

Data source

IMF

The International Monetary Fund (IMF) database Monitoring of Fund Arrangements (MONA) contains comparable information on the economic objectives and outcomes in Fund-supported arrangements. It tracks the performance of countries in terms of scheduled purchases and reviews, quantitative and structural conditionality, and macroeconomic indicators.

Variable information

Short description

When a country borrows from the IMF, its government agrees to adjust its economic policies. Conditionalities may involve relatively uncontroversial requirements to enhance aid effectiveness, such as anti-corruption measures, but they may involve highly controversial ones, such as austerity or the privatization of key public services.

Geographical coverage

All ESS countries covered.

Time coverage

2002-2013.

Data sources and compilation practices

The data has been compiled from the IMF MONA database. If a country participated in an IMF-supported loan arrangement on January 1st in a given year, the country has the value 1 on the imf_cond variable for that year.

Last update

01/07/2014.

Date of extraction

26/08/2014.

Variables

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	c_imf_cond_2002	[COUNTRY] Subject to IMF conditionality 2002	discrete	numeric-1.0	36	4	-
2	c_imf_cond_2003	[COUNTRY] Subject to IMF conditionality 2003	discrete	numeric-1.0	36	4	-
3	c_imf_cond_2004	[COUNTRY] Subject to IMF conditionality 2004	discrete	numeric-1.0	36	4	-
4	c_imf_cond_2005	[COUNTRY] Subject to IMF conditionality 2005	discrete	numeric-1.0	36	4	-
5	c_imf_cond_2006	[COUNTRY] Subject to IMF conditionality 2006	discrete	numeric-1.0	36	4	-
6	c_imf_cond_2007	[COUNTRY] Subject to IMF conditionality 2007	discrete	numeric-1.0	36	4	-
7	c_imf_cond_2008	[COUNTRY] Subject to IMF conditionality 2008	discrete	numeric-1.0	36	4	-
8	c_imf_cond_2009	[COUNTRY] Subject to IMF conditionality 2009	discrete	numeric-1.0	36	4	-
9	c_imf_cond_2010	[COUNTRY] Subject to IMF conditionality 2010	discrete	numeric-1.0	36	4	-
10	c_imf_cond_2011	[COUNTRY] Subject to IMF conditionality 2011	discrete	numeric-1.0	36	4	-
11	c_imf_cond_2012	[COUNTRY] Subject to IMF conditionality 2012	discrete	numeric-1.0	36	4	-
12	c_imf_cond_2013	[COUNTRY] Subject to IMF conditionality 2013	discrete	numeric-1.0	36	4	-
13	c_imf_cond_any	[COUNTRY] Subject to IMF conditionality any year 2002-2013	discrete	numeric-1.0	36	4	-

Group Bertelsmann index

Data source

Bertelsmann Stiftung

The Bertelsmann Stiftung's Transformation Index (BTI) analyzes and evaluates the quality of democracy, a market economy and political management in 129 developing and transition countries. It measures successes and setbacks on the path toward a democracy based on the rule of law and a socially responsible market economy. In-depth country reports provide the basis for assessing the state of transformation and persistent challenges, and to evaluate the ability of policymakers to carry out consistent and targeted reforms

Please see Methodology (www.bti-project.org/index/methodology/) for a detailed explanation the BTI's analytical framework.

Variable information**Short description**

Compiled from the BTI Transformation Index are five variables:

- Democracy Status is measured in terms of five criteria: Stateness, Political participation, Rule of law, Stability of democratic institutions and Political and social integration.
- Market Economy Status is measured in terms of seven criteria: Level of socioeconomic development, Organization of the market and competition, Currency and price stability, Private property, Welfare regime, Economic performance and Sustainability
- Management Performance is based in five criteria: Level of difficulty, Steering capability, Resource efficiency, Consensus-building and International cooperation.

In addition to these three indicators, there are two aggregated indices:

- The Status Index ranks the countries according to their quality of democracy and market economy as of January 31 in a given year. It is based in the average score for the dimensions of political (Democracy Status) and economic (Market Economy Status) transformation.
- The Management Index ranks the countries according to their leadership's political management performance. It is formed by calculating the average of scores given for the management criteria (Management Performance), which is then offset against the assigned level of difficulty.

Data sources

The Transformation Index is based on a qualitative expert survey in which written assessments are translated into numerical ratings and examined in a multi-stage review process so as to make them comparable both within and across regions. This method enables those factors of political and economic development that elude purely quantitative assessments to be captured in the experts' qualitative appraisals.

Geographical coverage

The following countries are covered in the ESS MD: Albania, Bulgaria, Czech Republic, Estonia, Hungary, Lithuania, Poland, Russian Federation, Slovenia, Slovakia, Ukraine and Kosovo.

Time coverage

The years 2003, 2006, 2008, 2010, 2012 and 2014. Data for Kosovo are only available for 2010, 2012 and 2014.

Last update

12/02/2014.

Date of extraction

15/07/2014.

Variables

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	c_statusindex_2003	[COUNTRY] BTI Status Index 2003	continuous	numeric-4.2	15	25	-
2	c_statusindex_2006	[COUNTRY] BTI Status Index 2006	continuous	numeric-4.2	15	25	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
3	c_statusindex_200	[COUNTRY] BTI Status Index 2008	continuous	numeric-4.2	15	25	-
4	c_statusindex_201	[COUNTRY] BTI Status Index 2010	continuous	numeric-4.2	16	24	-
5	c_statusindex_201	[COUNTRY] BTI Status Index 2012	continuous	numeric-4.2	16	24	-
6	c_statusindex_201	[COUNTRY] BTI Status Index 2014	continuous	numeric-4.2	16	24	-
7	c_democracystatu	[COUNTRY] BTI Democracy Status 2003	continuous	numeric-5.2	15	25	-
8	c_democracystatu	[COUNTRY] BTI Democracy Status 2006	continuous	numeric-4.2	15	25	-
9	c_democracystatu	[COUNTRY] BTI Democracy Status 2008	continuous	numeric-4.2	15	25	-
10	c_democracystatu	[COUNTRY] BTI Democracy Status 2010	continuous	numeric-4.2	16	24	-
11	c_democracystatu	[COUNTRY] BTI Democracy Status 2012	continuous	numeric-4.2	16	24	-
12	c_democracystatu	[COUNTRY] BTI Democracy Status 2014	continuous	numeric-4.2	16	24	-
13	c_marketconomy	[COUNTRY] BTI Market Economy Status 2003	continuous	numeric-4.2	15	25	-
14	c_marketconomy	[COUNTRY] BTI Market Economy Status 2006	continuous	numeric-4.2	15	25	-
15	c_marketconomy	[COUNTRY] BTI Market Economy Status 2008	continuous	numeric-4.2	15	25	-
16	c_marketconomy	[COUNTRY] BTI Market Economy Status 2010	continuous	numeric-4.2	16	24	-
17	c_marketconomy	[COUNTRY] BTI Market Economy Status 2012	continuous	numeric-4.2	16	24	-
18	c_marketconomy	[COUNTRY] BTI Market Economy Status 2014	continuous	numeric-4.2	16	24	-
19	c_managementind	[COUNTRY] BTI Management Index 2003	continuous	numeric-4.2	15	25	-
20	c_managementind	[COUNTRY] BTI Management Index 2006	continuous	numeric-4.2	15	25	-
21	c_managementind	[COUNTRY] BTI Management Index 2008	continuous	numeric-4.2	15	25	-
22	c_managementind	[COUNTRY] BTI Management Index 2010	continuous	numeric-4.2	16	24	-
23	c_managementind	[COUNTRY] BTI Management Index 2012	continuous	numeric-4.2	16	24	-
24	c_managementind	[COUNTRY] BTI Management Index 2014	continuous	numeric-4.2	16	24	-
25	c_managementper	[COUNTRY] BTI Management Performance 2003	continuous	numeric-4.2	15	25	-
26	c_managementper	[COUNTRY] BTI Management Performance 2006	continuous	numeric-4.2	15	25	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
27	c_managementper	[COUNTRY] BTI Management Performance 2008	continuous	numeric-4.2	15	25	-
28	c_managementper	[COUNTRY] BTI Management Performance 2010	continuous	numeric-4.2	16	24	-
29	c_managementper	[COUNTRY] BTI Management Performance 2012	continuous	numeric-4.2	16	24	-
30	c_managementper	[COUNTRY] BTI Management Performance 2014	continuous	numeric-4.2	16	24	-

Group Freedom in the world

Data source

Freedom House

Freedom House is an independent watchdog organization that supports the expansion of freedom around the world. Freedom House supports democratic change, monitors freedom, and advocates for democracy and human rights. The data presented here have been compiled by the ESS MDR from Freedom House (<http://www.freedomhouse.org/template.cfm?page=1>).

Variable information

Short description

The political rights and civil liberties categories contain numerical ratings between 1 and 7 for each country or territory, with 1 representing the most free and 7 the least free. The status designation of Free, Partly Free, or Not Free, which is determined by the combination of the political rights and civil liberties ratings, indicates the general state of freedom in a country or territory.

Data interpretation

Political Rights

- Rating of 1 – Countries and territories that receive a rating of 1 for political rights come closest to ensuring the freedoms embodied in the checklist questions, beginning with free and fair elections. Those who are elected rule, there are competitive parties or other political groupings, and the opposition plays an important role and has actual power. Minority groups have reasonable self-government or can participate in the government through informal consensus.
- Rating of 2 – Countries and territories rated 2 in political rights are less free than those rated 1. Such factors as political corruption, violence, political discrimination against minorities, and foreign or military influence on politics may be present and weaken the quality of freedom.
- Rating of 3, 4, 5 – The same conditions that undermine freedom in countries and territories with a rating of 2 may also weaken political rights in those with a rating of 3, 4, or 5. Other damaging elements can include civil war, heavy military involvement in politics, lingering royal power, unfair elections, and one-party dominance. However, states and territories in these categories may still enjoy some elements of political rights, including the freedom to organize quasi-political groups, reasonably free referendums, or other significant means of popular influence on government.
- Rating of 6 – Countries and territories with political rights rated 6 have systems ruled by military juntas, one-party dictatorships, religious hierarchies, or autocrats. These regimes may allow only a minimal manifestation of political rights, such as some degree of representation or autonomy for minorities. A few states are traditional monarchies that mitigate their relative lack of political rights through the use of consultation with their subjects, tolerance of political discussion, and acceptance of public petitions.
- Rating of 7 – For countries and territories with a rating of 7, political rights are absent or virtually nonexistent as a result of the extremely oppressive nature of the regime or severe oppression in combination with civil war. States and territories in this group may also be marked by extreme violence or warlord rule that dominates political power in the absence of an authoritative, functioning central government.

Civil Liberties

- Rating of 1 – Countries and territories that receive a rating of 1 come closest to ensuring the freedoms expressed in the civil liberties checklist, including freedom of expression, assembly, association, education, and religion. They are distinguished by an established and generally equitable system of rule of law. Countries and territories with this rating enjoy free economic activity and tend to strive for equality of opportunity.
- Rating of 2 – States and territories with a rating of 2 have deficiencies in a few aspects of civil liberties, but are still relatively free.
- Rating of 3, 4, 5 – Countries and territories that have received a rating of 3, 4, or 5 range from those that are in at least partial compliance with virtually all checklist standards to those with a combination of high or medium scores for some questions and low or very low scores on other questions. The level of oppression increases at each successive rating level, including in the areas of censorship, political terror, and the prevention of free association. There are also many cases in which groups opposed to the state engage in political terror that undermines other freedoms.
- Rating of 6 – People in countries and territories with a rating of 6 experience severely restricted rights of expression and association, and there are almost always political prisoners and other manifestations of political terror. These countries may be characterized by a few partial rights, such as some religious and social freedoms, some highly restricted private business activity, and relatively free private discussion.
- Rating of 7 – States and territories with a rating of 7 have virtually no freedom. An overwhelming and justified fear of repression characterizes these societies.

Countries and territories generally have ratings in political rights and civil liberties that are within two ratings numbers of each other. Without a well-developed civil society, it is difficult, if not impossible, to have an atmosphere supportive of political rights. Consequently, there is no country in the survey with a rating of 6 or 7 for civil liberties and, at the same time, a rating of 1 or 2 for political rights. For more detailed information on the ratings process behind these numbers see Methodology (<http://freedomhouse.org/report/freedom-world-2013/methodology#.VBgQBBDLIX5>).

Geographical coverage

All ESS countries are covered. Data from 1990 is missing for the post-Soviet countries of Estonia, Lithuania, Russia and Ukraine, as these countries were still a part of the Soviet Union. Data from 1990-1992 are missing for Czech Republic and Slovakia as these countries were still a part of Czechoslovakia. Data from before 2009 are missing for Kosovo.

Time coverage

1990-2012. (Freedom House provides data back to 1973).

Data sources

See Selected Sources (http://www.freedomhouse.org/template.cfm?page=351&ana_page=344&year=2008) for an overview of the various sources that were used for the Freedom in the World 2008 edition.

Data revision and comparability

The survey's methodology is reviewed periodically by an advisory committee of political scientists with expertise in methodological issues. Over the years, the committee has made a number of modest methodological changes to adapt to evolving ideas about political rights and civil liberties. At the same time, the time series data are not revised retroactively, and any changes to the methodology are introduced incrementally in order to ensure the comparability of the ratings from year to year. In the 2008 edition of the survey, two changes were made to the checklist question guidelines (the checklist questions are used by the analysts when scoring each of their countries, while the guidelines—in the form of bulleted sub-questions—provide general guidance to the analysts about issues they should consider when scoring each checklist question). First, one sub-question on government protections for asylum seekers and refugees was added to Civil Liberties checklist question F.4. Second, the fifth sub-question under Civil Liberties checklist question F.3 was reworded slightly to address the extent of violent crime committed by both state and nonstate actors (previously, the question focused only on nonstate actors). (The complete checklist questions and guidelines appear at the end of the methodology essay (http://www.freedomhouse.org/template.cfm?page=351&ana_page=341&year=2008).) Finally, Freedom in the World 2008 focuses on developments from January 1, 2007, through December 31, 2007. This time frame represents a change from the previous survey edition, which encompassed the 13-month period from December 1, 2005, through December 31, 2006. Beginning with the 2008 edition, each survey edition will cover a 12-month calendar year.

Adjustments made by the ESS MDR

ESS MDR has assigned numeric values to the original string variable Status. Not Free has been assigned with a value of 0, Partly Free a value of 1, and Free a value of 2.

Last update
11/01/2013.

Date of extraction
14/01/2014.

Variables

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	c_fhcl_1990	[COUNTRY] Freedom in the world - Civil liberties 1990	discrete	numeric-1.0	26	14	-
2	c_fhcl_1991	[COUNTRY] Freedom in the world - Civil liberties 1991	discrete	numeric-1.0	33	7	-
3	c_fhcl_1992	[COUNTRY] Freedom in the world - Civil liberties 1992	discrete	numeric-1.0	33	7	-
4	c_fhcl_1993	[COUNTRY] Freedom in the world - Civil liberties 1993	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
5	c_fhcl_1994	[COUNTRY] Freedom in the world - Civil liberties 1994	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
6	c_fhcl_1995	[COUNTRY] Freedom in the world - Civil liberties 1995	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
7	c_fhcl_1996	[COUNTRY] Freedom in the world - Civil liberties 1996	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
8	c_fhcl_1997	[COUNTRY] Freedom in the world - Civil liberties 1997	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
9	c_fhcl_1998	[COUNTRY] Freedom in the world - Civil liberties 1998	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
10	c_fhcl_1999	[COUNTRY] Freedom in the world - Civil liberties 1999	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
11	c_fhcl_2000	[COUNTRY] Freedom in the world - Civil liberties 2000	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
12	c_fhcl_2001	[COUNTRY] Freedom in the world - Civil liberties 2001	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
13	c_fhcl_2002	[COUNTRY] Freedom in the world - Civil liberties 2002	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
14	c_fhcl_2003	[COUNTRY] Freedom in the world - Civil liberties 2003	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
15	c_fhcl_2004	[COUNTRY] Freedom in the world - Civil liberties 2004	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
16	c_fhcl_2005	[COUNTRY] Freedom in the world - Civil liberties 2005	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
17	c_fhcl_2006	[COUNTRY] Freedom in the world - Civil liberties 2006	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
18	c_fhcl_2007	[COUNTRY] Freedom in the world - Civil liberties 2007	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
19	c_fhcl_2008	[COUNTRY] Freedom in the world - Civil liberties 2008	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
20	c_fhcl_2009	[COUNTRY] Freedom in the world - Civil liberties 2009	discrete	numeric-1.0	36	4	-
21	c_fhcl_2010	[COUNTRY] Freedom in the world - Civil liberties 2010	discrete	numeric-1.0	36	4	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
22	c_fhcl_2011	[COUNTRY] Freedom in the world - Civil liberties 2011	discrete	numeric-1.0	36	4	-
23	c_fhcl_2012	[COUNTRY] Freedom in the world - Civil liberties 2012	discrete	numeric-1.0	36	4	-
24	c_fhpr_1990	[COUNTRY] Freedom in the world - Political rights 1990	discrete	numeric-1.0	26	14	-
25	c_fhpr_1991	[COUNTRY] Freedom in the world - Political rights 1991	discrete	numeric-1.0	33	7	-
26	c_fhpr_1992	[COUNTRY] Freedom in the world - Political rights 1992	discrete	numeric-1.0	33	7	-
27	c_fhpr_1993	[COUNTRY] Freedom in the world - Political rights 1993	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
28	c_fhpr_1994	[COUNTRY] Freedom in the world - Political rights 1994	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
29	c_fhpr_1995	[COUNTRY] Freedom in the world - Political rights 1995	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
30	c_fhpr_1996	[COUNTRY] Freedom in the world - Political rights 1996	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
31	c_fhpr_1997	[COUNTRY] Freedom in the world - Political rights 1997	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
32	c_fhpr_1998	[COUNTRY] Freedom in the world - Political rights 1998	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
33	c_fhpr_1999	[COUNTRY] Freedom in the world - Political rights 1999	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
34	c_fhpr_2000	[COUNTRY] Freedom in the world - Political rights 2000	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
35	c_fhpr_2001	[COUNTRY] Freedom in the world - Political rights 2001	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
36	c_fhpr_2002	[COUNTRY] Freedom in the world - Political rights 2002	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
37	c_fhpr_2003	[COUNTRY] Freedom in the world - Political rights 2003	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
38	c_fhpr_2004	[COUNTRY] Freedom in the world - Political rights 2004	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
39	c_fhpr_2005	[COUNTRY] Freedom in the world - Political rights 2005	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
40	c_fhpr_2006	[COUNTRY] Freedom in the world - Political rights 2006	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
41	c_fhpr_2007	[COUNTRY] Freedom in the world - Political rights 2007	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
42	c_fhpr_2008	[COUNTRY] Freedom in the world - Political rights 2008	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
43	c_fhpr_2009	[COUNTRY] Freedom in the world - Political rights 2009	discrete	numeric-1.0	36	4	-
44	c_fhpr_2010	[COUNTRY] Freedom in the world - Political rights 2010	discrete	numeric-1.0	36	4	-
45	c_fhpr_2011	[COUNTRY] Freedom in the world - Political rights 2011	discrete	numeric-1.0	36	4	-
46	c_fhpr_2012	[COUNTRY] Freedom in the world - Political rights 2012	discrete	numeric-1.0	36	4	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
47	c_fhstanu_1990	[COUNTRY] Freedom in the world - Status numeric 1990	discrete	numeric-1.0	26	14	-
48	c_fhstanu_1991	[COUNTRY] Freedom in the world - Status numeric 1991	discrete	numeric-1.0	33	7	-
49	c_fhstanu_1992	[COUNTRY] Freedom in the world - Status numeric 1992	discrete	numeric-1.0	33	7	-
50	c_fhstanu_1993	[COUNTRY] Freedom in the world - Status numeric 1993	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
51	c_fhstanu_1994	[COUNTRY] Freedom in the world - Status numeric 1994	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
52	c_fhstanu_1995	[COUNTRY] Freedom in the world - Status numeric 1995	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
53	c_fhstanu_1996	[COUNTRY] Freedom in the world - Status numeric 1996	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
54	c_fhstanu_1997	[COUNTRY] Freedom in the world - Status numeric 1997	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
55	c_fhstanu_1998	[COUNTRY] Freedom in the world - Status numeric 1998	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
56	c_fhstanu_1999	[COUNTRY] Freedom in the world - Status numeric 1999	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
57	c_fhstanu_2000	[COUNTRY] Freedom in the world - Status numeric 2000	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
58	c_fhstanu_2001	[COUNTRY] Freedom in the world - Status numeric 2001	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
59	c_fhstanu_2002	[COUNTRY] Freedom in the world - Status numeric 2002	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
60	c_fhstanu_2003	[COUNTRY] Freedom in the world - Status numeric 2003	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
61	c_fhstanu_2004	[COUNTRY] Freedom in the world - Status numeric 2004	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
62	c_fhstanu_2005	[COUNTRY] Freedom in the world - Status numeric 2005	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
63	c_fhstanu_2006	[COUNTRY] Freedom in the world - Status numeric 2006	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
64	c_fhstanu_2007	[COUNTRY] Freedom in the world - Status numeric 2007	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
65	c_fhstanu_2008	[COUNTRY] Freedom in the world - Status numeric 2008	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
66	c_fhstanu_2009	[COUNTRY] Freedom in the world - Status numeric 2009	discrete	numeric-1.0	36	4	-
67	c_fhstanu_2010	[COUNTRY] Freedom in the world - Status numeric 2010	discrete	numeric-1.0	36	4	-
68	c_fhstanu_2011	[COUNTRY] Freedom in the world - Status numeric 2011	discrete	numeric-1.0	36	4	-
69	c_fhstanu_2012	[COUNTRY] Freedom in the world - Status numeric 2012	discrete	numeric-1.0	36	4	-

Group Ethnolinguistic Fractionalization

Data source

The Fractionalization dataset was compiled by Alberto Alesina and associates, and measures the degree of ethnic, linguistic and religious heterogeneity in various countries. The dataset was used in Alesina, Devleeschauwer, Easterly, Kurlat & Wacziarg (2003) to test the effects of fractionalization on the quality of institutions and economic growth. The data presented here have been compiled by the ESS MDR from the faculty web page (http://www.anderson.ucla.edu/faculty_pages/romain.wacziarg/papersum.html) of Romain Wacziarg.

Variable information

Short description

Fractionalization refers to the probability that two randomly selected people from a given country will not belong to the same ethnic/religious/linguistic group. The higher the number, the more fractionalized society. The definition of ethnicity involves a combination of racial and linguistic characteristics.

Geographical coverage

All ESS countries covered, except for Kosovo.

Time coverage

Data for only one year for each country. Language and religion indices are based on data from 2001. Most of the data used to compute the ethnic fractionalization index are from the 1990s, but for some countries older data are used (as far back as 1979).

Last update

2003.

Date of extraction

10/02/2014.

Literature

Variables

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	c_ethfrac	[COUNTRY] Ethnic Fractionalization	continuous	numeric-5.3	35	5	-
2	c_lngfrac	[COUNTRY] Linguistic Fractionalization	continuous	numeric-5.3	35	5	-
3	c_relfrac	[COUNTRY] Religious Fractionalization	continuous	numeric-5.3	35	5	-

Group CIRI Human Rights Project

Data source

CIRI Human Rights Project

The Cingranelli-Richards (CIRI) Human Rights dataset is compiled under the supervision of David L. Cingranelli and David L. Richards. The dataset contains standards-based quantitative information on government respect for internationally recognised human rights in most of the countries in the world, and has been used in several studies of human-rights practices.

Variable information

Short description

Compiled from the CIRI Human Rights dataset are 17 variables. Most variables range from 0 (frequent/severe human rights violations) to 2 (no human rights violations recorded). The variables concerning women's economic, political or social rights range from 0 to 3. Two indices are included, the Physical integrity rights index and the Empowerment rights index, which range from 0 to 8 and from 0 to 14, respectively.

Data interpretation

- Physical integrity rights index: This is an additive index constructed from the Torture, Extrajudicial Killing, Political Imprisonment, and Disappearance indicators.

- Disappearance: cases in which people have disappeared, political motivation appears likely, and the victims have not been found.
- Extrajudicial killing: killings by government officials without due process of law.
- Political imprisonment: the incarceration of people by government officials because of: their speech; their non-violent opposition to government policies or leaders; their religious beliefs; their non-violent religious practices including proselytizing; or their membership in a group, including an ethnic or racial group.
- Torture: the purposeful inflicting of extreme pain, whether mental or physical, by government officials or by private individuals at the instigation of government officials.
- Empowerment rights index: This is an additive index constructed from the Foreign Movement, Domestic Movement, Freedom of Speech, Freedom of Assembly & Association, Workers' Rights, Electoral Self-Determination, and Freedom of Religion indicators.
- Freedom of assembly and association: indicates the extent to which the freedoms of assembly and association are subject to actual governmental limitations or restrictions (as opposed to strictly legal protections).
- Freedom of foreign movement: citizens' freedom to leave and return to their country.
- Freedom of domestic movement: citizens' freedom to travel within their own country.
- Freedom of speech: the extent to which freedoms of speech and press are affected by government censorship, including ownership of media outlets.
- Electoral self-determination: to what extent citizens enjoy freedom of political choice and the legal right and ability in practice to change the laws and officials that govern them through free and fair elections.
- Freedom of religion: the extent to which the freedom of citizens to exercise and practice their religious beliefs is subject to actual government restrictions.
- Worker's rights: the extent to which workers enjoy freedom of association at their workplaces and the right to bargain collectively with their employers, as well as other internationally recognized rights at work, including a prohibition on the use of any form of forced or compulsory labor; a minimum age for the employment of children; and acceptable conditions of work with respect to minimum wages, hours of work, and occupational safety and health.
- Women's economic rights: include a number of internationally recognized rights, such as equal pay for equal work, free choice of profession or employment without the need to obtain a husband or male relative's consent, the right to gainful employment without the need to obtain a husband or male relative's consent, equality in hiring and promotion practices, job security (maternity leave, unemployment benefits, no arbitrary firing or layoffs, etc...), non-discrimination by employers, the right to be free from sexual harassment in the workplace, the right to work at night, the right to work in occupations classified as dangerous and the right to work in the military and the police force
- Women's political rights: include a number of internationally recognized rights, such as the right to vote, the right to run for political office, the right to hold elected and appointed government positions, the right to join political parties and the right to petition government officials
- Women's social rights: include a number of internationally recognized rights, such as the right to equal inheritance, the right to enter into marriage on a basis of equality with men, the right to travel abroad, the right to obtain a passport, the right to confer citizenship to children or a husband, the right to initiate a divorce, the right to own, acquire, manage, and retain property brought into marriage, the right to participate in social, cultural, and community activities, the right to an education, the freedom to choose a residence/domicile, freedom from female genital mutilation of children and of adults without their consent and freedom from forced sterilization
- Independence of the judiciary: the extent to which the judiciary is independent of control from other sources, such as another branch of the government or the military.

Data sources

The primary source is the US State Department Country Reports on Human Rights Practices. This source is used for all variables. These reports can be found online starting in 1993.

Reports covering the years from 1993 to 1999 (issued from 1994 to 2000) can be found here (http://www.state.gov/www/global/human_rights/hrp_reports_mainhp.html).

Reports covering the years 1999 to the present (released from 2000 on) can be found here (<http://www.state.gov/j/drl/rls/hrrpt/>).

For a group of four rights known as "Personal Integrity Rights" (the rights to freedom from extrajudicial killing, disappearance, torture, and political imprisonment) CIRI uses a second source, Amnesty International's Annual Report. Amnesty's Annual Reports can be found online here (<http://www.amnesty.org/en/library>) and here (<http://www.amnesty.org/en/annual-report/2012>).

Geographical coverage
All ESS countries covered.

Time coverage
1981-2011.

Last update
14/04/2014.

Date of extraction
22/04/2014.

Missing values

Data is missing for the following observations:

- Albania: 1985-1989 (Extrajudicial killing, Physical integrity rights index)
- Albania: 1991, 1994, 2003 (Women's social rights)
- Belgium: 1994 (Women's social rights)
- Denmark: 2004 (Women's social rights)
- Estonia: 2004 (Women's social rights)
- Germany: 2003-2004 (Women's social rights)
- Hungary: 1982 (Women's economic rights)
- Hungary: 1982-1985 (Women's social rights)
- Iceland: 2004 (Women's social rights)
- Ireland: 2003-2004 (Women's social rights)
- Israel: 1994-1995 (Women's social rights)
- Lithuania: 2003 (Women's social rights)
- Norway: 2003 (Women's social rights)
- Poland: 1985-1986 (Women's social rights)
- Poland: 1989 (Women's economic rights)
- Spain: 2003 (Women's social rights)
- Sweden: 2004 (Women's social rights)
- Ukraine: 2003 (Women's social rights)

Variables

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	c_assn_1981	[COUNTRY] Freedom of assembly and association 1981	discrete	numeric-1.0	26	14	-
2	c_assn_1982	[COUNTRY] Freedom of assembly and association 1982	discrete	numeric-1.0	26	14	-
3	c_assn_1983	[COUNTRY] Freedom of assembly and association 1983	discrete	numeric-1.0	26	14	-
4	c_assn_1984	[COUNTRY] Freedom of assembly and association 1984	discrete	numeric-1.0	26	14	-
5	c_assn_1985	[COUNTRY] Freedom of assembly and association 1985	discrete	numeric-1.0	26	14	-
6	c_assn_1986	[COUNTRY] Freedom of assembly and association 1986	discrete	numeric-1.0	26	14	-
7	c_assn_1987	[COUNTRY] Freedom of assembly and association 1987	discrete	numeric-1.0	26	14	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
8	c_assn_1988	[COUNTRY] Freedom of assembly and association 1988	discrete	numeric-1.0	26	14	-
9	c_assn_1989	[COUNTRY] Freedom of assembly and association 1989	discrete	numeric-1.0	26	14	-
10	c_assn_1990	[COUNTRY] Freedom of assembly and association 1990	discrete	numeric-1.0	26	14	-
11	c_assn_1991	[COUNTRY] Freedom of assembly and association 1991	discrete	numeric-1.0	26	14	-
12	c_assn_1992	[COUNTRY] Freedom of assembly and association 1992	discrete	numeric-1.0	33	7	-
13	c_assn_1993	[COUNTRY] Freedom of assembly and association 1993	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
14	c_assn_1994	[COUNTRY] Freedom of assembly and association 1994	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
15	c_assn_1995	[COUNTRY] Freedom of assembly and association 1995	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
16	c_assn_1996	[COUNTRY] Freedom of assembly and association 1996	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
17	c_assn_1997	[COUNTRY] Freedom of assembly and association 1997	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
18	c_assn_1998	[COUNTRY] Freedom of assembly and association 1998	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
19	c_assn_1999	[COUNTRY] Freedom of assembly and association 1999	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
20	c_assn_2000	[COUNTRY] Freedom of assembly and association 2000	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
21	c_assn_2001	[COUNTRY] Freedom of assembly and association 2001	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
22	c_assn_2002	[COUNTRY] Freedom of assembly and association 2002	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
23	c_assn_2003	[COUNTRY] Freedom of assembly and association 2003	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
24	c_assn_2004	[COUNTRY] Freedom of assembly and association 2004	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
25	c_assn_2005	[COUNTRY] Freedom of assembly and association 2005	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
26	c_assn_2006	[COUNTRY] Freedom of assembly and association 2006	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
27	c_assn_2007	[COUNTRY] Freedom of assembly and association 2007	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
28	c_assn_2008	[COUNTRY] Freedom of assembly and association 2008	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
29	c_assn_2009	[COUNTRY] Freedom of assembly and association 2009	discrete	numeric-1.0	36	4	-
30	c_assn_2010	[COUNTRY] Freedom of assembly and association 2010	discrete	numeric-1.0	36	4	-
31	c_assn_2011	[COUNTRY] Freedom of assembly and association 2011	discrete	numeric-1.0	36	4	-
32	c_disap_1981	[COUNTRY] Disappearance 1981	discrete	numeric-1.0	26	14	-
33	c_disap_1982	[COUNTRY] Disappearance 1982	discrete	numeric-1.0	26	14	-
34	c_disap_1983	[COUNTRY] Disappearance 1983	discrete	numeric-1.0	26	14	-
35	c_disap_1984	[COUNTRY] Disappearance 1984	discrete	numeric-1.0	26	14	-
36	c_disap_1985	[COUNTRY] Disappearance 1985	discrete	numeric-1.0	26	14	-
37	c_disap_1986	[COUNTRY] Disappearance 1986	discrete	numeric-1.0	26	14	-
38	c_disap_1987	[COUNTRY] Disappearance 1987	discrete	numeric-1.0	26	14	-
39	c_disap_1988	[COUNTRY] Disappearance 1988	discrete	numeric-1.0	26	14	-
40	c_disap_1989	[COUNTRY] Disappearance 1989	discrete	numeric-1.0	26	14	-
41	c_disap_1990	[COUNTRY] Disappearance 1990	discrete	numeric-1.0	26	14	-
42	c_disap_1991	[COUNTRY] Disappearance 1991	discrete	numeric-1.0	26	14	-
43	c_disap_1992	[COUNTRY] Disappearance 1992	discrete	numeric-1.0	33	7	-
44	c_disap_1993	[COUNTRY] Disappearance 1993	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
45	c_disap_1994	[COUNTRY] Disappearance 1994	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
46	c_disap_1995	[COUNTRY] Disappearance 1995	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
47	c_disap_1996	[COUNTRY] Disappearance 1996	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
48	c_disap_1997	[COUNTRY] Disappearance 1997	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
49	c_disap_1998	[COUNTRY] Disappearance 1998	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
50	c_disap_1999	[COUNTRY] Disappearance 1999	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
51	c_disap_2000	[COUNTRY] Disappearance 2000	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
52	c_disap_2001	[COUNTRY] Disappearance 2001	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
53	c_disap_2002	[COUNTRY] Disappearance 2002	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
54	c_disap_2003	[COUNTRY] Disappearance 2003	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
55	c_disap_2004	[COUNTRY] Disappearance 2004	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
56	c_disap_2005	[COUNTRY] Disappearance 2005	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
57	c_disap_2006	[COUNTRY] Disappearance 2006	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
58	c_disap_2007	[COUNTRY] Disappearance 2007	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
59	c_disap_2008	[COUNTRY] Disappearance 2008	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
60	c_disap_2009	[COUNTRY] Disappearance 2009	discrete	numeric-1.0	36	4	-
61	c_disap_2010	[COUNTRY] Disappearance 2010	discrete	numeric-1.0	36	4	-
62	c_disap_2011	[COUNTRY] Disappearance 2011	discrete	numeric-1.0	36	4	-
63	c_dommov_1981	[COUNTRY] Freedom of domestic movement 1981	discrete	numeric-1.0	26	14	-
64	c_dommov_1982	[COUNTRY] Freedom of domestic movement 1982	discrete	numeric-1.0	26	14	-
65	c_dommov_1983	[COUNTRY] Freedom of domestic movement 1983	discrete	numeric-1.0	29	11	-
66	c_dommov_1984	[COUNTRY] Freedom of domestic movement 1984	discrete	numeric-1.0	29	11	-
67	c_dommov_1985	[COUNTRY] Freedom of domestic movement 1985	discrete	numeric-1.0	29	11	-
68	c_dommov_1986	[COUNTRY] Freedom of domestic movement 1986	discrete	numeric-1.0	29	11	-
69	c_dommov_1987	[COUNTRY] Freedom of domestic movement 1987	discrete	numeric-1.0	29	11	-
70	c_dommov_1988	[COUNTRY] Freedom of domestic movement 1988	discrete	numeric-1.0	29	11	-
71	c_dommov_1989	[COUNTRY] Freedom of domestic movement 1989	discrete	numeric-1.0	29	11	-
72	c_dommov_1990	[COUNTRY] Freedom of domestic movement 1990	discrete	numeric-1.0	29	11	-
73	c_dommov_1991	[COUNTRY] Freedom of domestic movement 1991	discrete	numeric-1.0	29	11	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
74	c_dommov_1992	[COUNTRY] Freedom of domestic movement 1992	discrete	numeric-1.0	33	7	-
75	c_dommov_1993	[COUNTRY] Freedom of domestic movement 1993	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
76	c_dommov_1994	[COUNTRY] Freedom of domestic movement 1994	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
77	c_dommov_1995	[COUNTRY] Freedom of domestic movement 1995	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
78	c_dommov_1996	[COUNTRY] Freedom of domestic movement 1996	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
79	c_dommov_1997	[COUNTRY] Freedom of domestic movement 1997	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
80	c_dommov_1998	[COUNTRY] Freedom of domestic movement 1998	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
81	c_dommov_1999	[COUNTRY] Freedom of domestic movement 1999	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
82	c_dommov_2000	[COUNTRY] Freedom of domestic movement 2000	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
83	c_dommov_2001	[COUNTRY] Freedom of domestic movement 2001	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
84	c_dommov_2002	[COUNTRY] Freedom of domestic movement 2002	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
85	c_dommov_2003	[COUNTRY] Freedom of domestic movement 2003	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
86	c_dommov_2004	[COUNTRY] Freedom of domestic movement 2004	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
87	c_dommov_2005	[COUNTRY] Freedom of domestic movement 2005	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
88	c_dommov_2006	[COUNTRY] Freedom of domestic movement 2006	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
89	c_dommov_2007	[COUNTRY] Freedom of domestic movement 2007	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
90	c_dommov_2008	[COUNTRY] Freedom of domestic movement 2008	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
91	c_dommov_2009	[COUNTRY] Freedom of domestic movement 2009	discrete	numeric-1.0	36	4	-
92	c_dommov_2010	[COUNTRY] Freedom of domestic movement 2010	discrete	numeric-1.0	36	4	-
93	c_dommov_2011	[COUNTRY] Freedom of domestic movement 2011	discrete	numeric-1.0	36	4	-
94	c_elecsd_1981	[COUNTRY] Electoral self-determination 1981	discrete	numeric-1.0	26	14	-
95	c_elecsd_1982	[COUNTRY] Electoral self-determination 1982	discrete	numeric-1.0	26	14	-
96	c_elecsd_1983	[COUNTRY] Electoral self-determination 1983	discrete	numeric-1.0	26	14	-
97	c_elecsd_1984	[COUNTRY] Electoral self-determination 1984	discrete	numeric-1.0	26	14	-
98	c_elecsd_1985	[COUNTRY] Electoral self-determination 1985	discrete	numeric-1.0	26	14	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
99	c_elecsd_1986	[COUNTRY] Electoral self-determination 1986	discrete	numeric-1.0	26	14	-
100	c_elecsd_1987	[COUNTRY] Electoral self-determination 1987	discrete	numeric-1.0	26	14	-
101	c_elecsd_1988	[COUNTRY] Electoral self-determination 1988	discrete	numeric-1.0	26	14	-
102	c_elecsd_1989	[COUNTRY] Electoral self-determination 1989	discrete	numeric-1.0	26	14	-
103	c_elecsd_1990	[COUNTRY] Electoral self-determination 1990	discrete	numeric-1.0	26	14	-
104	c_elecsd_1991	[COUNTRY] Electoral self-determination 1991	discrete	numeric-1.0	26	14	-
105	c_elecsd_1992	[COUNTRY] Electoral self-determination 1992	discrete	numeric-1.0	33	7	-
106	c_elecsd_1993	[COUNTRY] Electoral self-determination 1993	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
107	c_elecsd_1994	[COUNTRY] Electoral self-determination 1994	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
108	c_elecsd_1995	[COUNTRY] Electoral self-determination 1995	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
109	c_elecsd_1996	[COUNTRY] Electoral self-determination 1996	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
110	c_elecsd_1997	[COUNTRY] Electoral self-determination 1997	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
111	c_elecsd_1998	[COUNTRY] Electoral self-determination 1998	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
112	c_elecsd_1999	[COUNTRY] Electoral self-determination 1999	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
113	c_elecsd_2000	[COUNTRY] Electoral self-determination 2000	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
114	c_elecsd_2001	[COUNTRY] Electoral self-determination 2001	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
115	c_elecsd_2002	[COUNTRY] Electoral self-determination 2002	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
116	c_elecsd_2003	[COUNTRY] Electoral self-determination 2003	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
117	c_elecsd_2004	[COUNTRY] Electoral self-determination 2004	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
118	c_elecsd_2005	[COUNTRY] Electoral self-determination 2005	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
119	c_elecsd_2006	[COUNTRY] Electoral self-determination 2006	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
120	c_elecsd_2007	[COUNTRY] Electoral self-determination 2007	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
121	c_elecsd_2008	[COUNTRY] Electoral self-determination 2008	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
122	c_elecsd_2009	[COUNTRY] Electoral self-determination 2009	discrete	numeric-1.0	36	4	-
123	c_elecsd_2010	[COUNTRY] Electoral self-determination 2010	discrete	numeric-1.0	36	4	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
124	c_electsd_2011	[COUNTRY] Electoral self-determination 2011	discrete	numeric-1.0	36	4	-
125	c_empinx_1981	[COUNTRY] Empowerment rights index 1981	discrete	numeric-2.0	26	14	-
126	c_empinx_1982	[COUNTRY] Empowerment rights index 1982	discrete	numeric-2.0	26	14	-
127	c_empinx_1983	[COUNTRY] Empowerment rights index 1983	discrete	numeric-2.0	26	14	-
128	c_empinx_1984	[COUNTRY] Empowerment rights index 1984	discrete	numeric-2.0	26	14	-
129	c_empinx_1985	[COUNTRY] Empowerment rights index 1985	discrete	numeric-2.0	26	14	-
130	c_empinx_1986	[COUNTRY] Empowerment rights index 1986	discrete	numeric-2.0	26	14	-
131	c_empinx_1987	[COUNTRY] Empowerment rights index 1987	discrete	numeric-2.0	26	14	-
132	c_empinx_1988	[COUNTRY] Empowerment rights index 1988	discrete	numeric-2.0	26	14	-
133	c_empinx_1989	[COUNTRY] Empowerment rights index 1989	discrete	numeric-2.0	26	14	-
134	c_empinx_1990	[COUNTRY] Empowerment rights index 1990	discrete	numeric-2.0	26	14	-
135	c_empinx_1991	[COUNTRY] Empowerment rights index 1991	discrete	numeric-2.0	26	14	-
136	c_empinx_1992	[COUNTRY] Empowerment rights index 1992	discrete	numeric-2.0	33	7	-
137	c_empinx_1993	[COUNTRY] Empowerment rights index 1993	discrete	numeric-2.0	35	5	-
138	c_empinx_1994	[COUNTRY] Empowerment rights index 1994	discrete	numeric-2.0	35	5	-
139	c_empinx_1995	[COUNTRY] Empowerment rights index 1995	discrete	numeric-2.0	35	5	-
140	c_empinx_1996	[COUNTRY] Empowerment rights index 1996	discrete	numeric-2.0	35	5	-
141	c_empinx_1997	[COUNTRY] Empowerment rights index 1997	discrete	numeric-2.0	35	5	-
142	c_empinx_1998	[COUNTRY] Empowerment rights index 1998	discrete	numeric-2.0	35	5	-
143	c_empinx_1999	[COUNTRY] Empowerment rights index 1999	discrete	numeric-2.0	35	5	-
144	c_empinx_2000	[COUNTRY] Empowerment rights index 2000	discrete	numeric-2.0	35	5	-
145	c_empinx_2001	[COUNTRY] Empowerment rights index 2001	discrete	numeric-2.0	35	5	-
146	c_empinx_2002	[COUNTRY] Empowerment rights index 2002	discrete	numeric-2.0	35	5	-
147	c_empinx_2003	[COUNTRY] Empowerment rights index 2003	discrete	numeric-2.0	35	5	-
148	c_empinx_2004	[COUNTRY] Empowerment rights index 2004	discrete	numeric-2.0	35	5	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
149	c_empinx_2005	[COUNTRY] Empowerment rights index 2005	discrete	numeric-2.0	35	5	-
150	c_empinx_2006	[COUNTRY] Empowerment rights index 2006	discrete	numeric-2.0	35	5	-
151	c_empinx_2007	[COUNTRY] Empowerment rights index 2007	discrete	numeric-2.0	35	5	-
152	c_empinx_2008	[COUNTRY] Empowerment rights index 2008	discrete	numeric-2.0	35	5	-
153	c_empinx_2009	[COUNTRY] Empowerment rights index 2009	discrete	numeric-2.0	36	4	-
154	c_empinx_2010	[COUNTRY] Empowerment rights index 2010	discrete	numeric-2.0	36	4	-
155	c_empinx_2011	[COUNTRY] Empowerment rights index 2011	discrete	numeric-2.0	36	4	-
156	c_formov_1981	[COUNTRY] Freedom of foreign movement 1981	discrete	numeric-1.0	26	14	-
157	c_formov_1982	[COUNTRY] Freedom of foreign movement 1982	discrete	numeric-1.0	26	14	-
158	c_formov_1983	[COUNTRY] Freedom of foreign movement 1983	discrete	numeric-1.0	29	11	-
159	c_formov_1984	[COUNTRY] Freedom of foreign movement 1984	discrete	numeric-1.0	29	11	-
160	c_formov_1985	[COUNTRY] Freedom of foreign movement 1985	discrete	numeric-1.0	29	11	-
161	c_formov_1986	[COUNTRY] Freedom of foreign movement 1986	discrete	numeric-1.0	29	11	-
162	c_formov_1987	[COUNTRY] Freedom of foreign movement 1987	discrete	numeric-1.0	29	11	-
163	c_formov_1988	[COUNTRY] Freedom of foreign movement 1988	discrete	numeric-1.0	29	11	-
164	c_formov_1989	[COUNTRY] Freedom of foreign movement 1989	discrete	numeric-1.0	29	11	-
165	c_formov_1990	[COUNTRY] Freedom of foreign movement 1990	discrete	numeric-1.0	29	11	-
166	c_formov_1991	[COUNTRY] Freedom of foreign movement 1991	discrete	numeric-1.0	29	11	-
167	c_formov_1992	[COUNTRY] Freedom of foreign movement 1992	discrete	numeric-1.0	33	7	-
168	c_formov_1993	[COUNTRY] Freedom of foreign movement 1993	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
169	c_formov_1994	[COUNTRY] Freedom of foreign movement 1994	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
170	c_formov_1995	[COUNTRY] Freedom of foreign movement 1995	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
171	c_formov_1996	[COUNTRY] Freedom of foreign movement 1996	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
172	c_formov_1997	[COUNTRY] Freedom of foreign movement 1997	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
173	c_formov_1998	[COUNTRY] Freedom of foreign movement 1998	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
174	c_formov_1999	[COUNTRY] Freedom of foreign movement 1999	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
175	c_formov_2000	[COUNTRY] Freedom of foreign movement 2000	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
176	c_formov_2001	[COUNTRY] Freedom of foreign movement 2001	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
177	c_formov_2002	[COUNTRY] Freedom of foreign movement 2002	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
178	c_formov_2003	[COUNTRY] Freedom of foreign movement 2003	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
179	c_formov_2004	[COUNTRY] Freedom of foreign movement 2004	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
180	c_formov_2005	[COUNTRY] Freedom of foreign movement 2005	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
181	c_formov_2006	[COUNTRY] Freedom of foreign movement 2006	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
182	c_formov_2007	[COUNTRY] Freedom of foreign movement 2007	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
183	c_formov_2008	[COUNTRY] Freedom of foreign movement 2008	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
184	c_formov_2009	[COUNTRY] Freedom of foreign movement 2009	discrete	numeric-1.0	36	4	-
185	c_formov_2010	[COUNTRY] Freedom of foreign movement 2010	discrete	numeric-1.0	36	4	-
186	c_formov_2011	[COUNTRY] Freedom of foreign movement 2011	discrete	numeric-1.0	36	4	-
187	c_injud_1981	[COUNTRY] Independence of the judiciary 1981	discrete	numeric-1.0	26	14	-
188	c_injud_1982	[COUNTRY] Independence of the judiciary 1982	discrete	numeric-1.0	26	14	-
189	c_injud_1983	[COUNTRY] Independence of the judiciary 1983	discrete	numeric-1.0	26	14	-
190	c_injud_1984	[COUNTRY] Independence of the judiciary 1984	discrete	numeric-1.0	26	14	-
191	c_injud_1985	[COUNTRY] Independence of the judiciary 1985	discrete	numeric-1.0	26	14	-
192	c_injud_1986	[COUNTRY] Independence of the judiciary 1986	discrete	numeric-1.0	26	14	-
193	c_injud_1987	[COUNTRY] Independence of the judiciary 1987	discrete	numeric-1.0	26	14	-
194	c_injud_1988	[COUNTRY] Independence of the judiciary 1988	discrete	numeric-1.0	26	14	-
195	c_injud_1989	[COUNTRY] Independence of the judiciary 1989	discrete	numeric-1.0	26	14	-
196	c_injud_1990	[COUNTRY] Independence of the judiciary 1990	discrete	numeric-1.0	26	14	-
197	c_injud_1991	[COUNTRY] Independence of the judiciary 1991	discrete	numeric-1.0	26	14	-
198	c_injud_1992	[COUNTRY] Independence of the judiciary 1992	discrete	numeric-1.0	33	7	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
199	c_injud_1993	[COUNTRY] Independence of the judiciary 1993	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
200	c_injud_1994	[COUNTRY] Independence of the judiciary 1994	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
201	c_injud_1995	[COUNTRY] Independence of the judiciary 1995	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
202	c_injud_1996	[COUNTRY] Independence of the judiciary 1996	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
203	c_injud_1997	[COUNTRY] Independence of the judiciary 1997	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
204	c_injud_1998	[COUNTRY] Independence of the judiciary 1998	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
205	c_injud_1999	[COUNTRY] Independence of the judiciary 1999	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
206	c_injud_2000	[COUNTRY] Independence of the judiciary 2000	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
207	c_injud_2001	[COUNTRY] Independence of the judiciary 2001	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
208	c_injud_2002	[COUNTRY] Independence of the judiciary 2002	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
209	c_injud_2003	[COUNTRY] Independence of the judiciary 2003	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
210	c_injud_2004	[COUNTRY] Independence of the judiciary 2004	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
211	c_injud_2005	[COUNTRY] Independence of the judiciary 2005	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
212	c_injud_2006	[COUNTRY] Independence of the judiciary 2006	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
213	c_injud_2007	[COUNTRY] Independence of the judiciary 2007	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
214	c_injud_2008	[COUNTRY] Independence of the judiciary 2008	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
215	c_injud_2009	[COUNTRY] Independence of the judiciary 2009	discrete	numeric-1.0	36	4	-
216	c_injud_2010	[COUNTRY] Independence of the judiciary 2010	discrete	numeric-1.0	36	4	-
217	c_injud_2011	[COUNTRY] Independence of the judiciary 2011	discrete	numeric-1.0	36	4	-
218	c_kill_1981	[COUNTRY] Extrajudicial killing 1981	discrete	numeric-1.0	26	14	-
219	c_kill_1982	[COUNTRY] Extrajudicial killing 1982	discrete	numeric-1.0	26	14	-
220	c_kill_1983	[COUNTRY] Extrajudicial killing 1983	discrete	numeric-1.0	26	14	-
221	c_kill_1984	[COUNTRY] Extrajudicial killing 1984	discrete	numeric-1.0	26	14	-
222	c_kill_1985	[COUNTRY] Extrajudicial killing 1985	discrete	numeric-1.0	25	15	-
223	c_kill_1986	[COUNTRY] Extrajudicial killing 1986	discrete	numeric-1.0	25	15	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
224	c_kill_1987	[COUNTRY] Extrajudicial killing 1987	discrete	numeric-1.0	25	15	-
225	c_kill_1988	[COUNTRY] Extrajudicial killing 1988	discrete	numeric-1.0	25	15	-
226	c_kill_1989	[COUNTRY] Extrajudicial killing 1989	discrete	numeric-1.0	25	15	-
227	c_kill_1990	[COUNTRY] Extrajudicial killing 1990	discrete	numeric-1.0	26	14	-
228	c_kill_1991	[COUNTRY] Extrajudicial killing 1991	discrete	numeric-1.0	26	14	-
229	c_kill_1992	[COUNTRY] Extrajudicial killing 1992	discrete	numeric-1.0	33	7	-
230	c_kill_1993	[COUNTRY] Extrajudicial killing 1993	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
231	c_kill_1994	[COUNTRY] Extrajudicial killing 1994	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
232	c_kill_1995	[COUNTRY] Extrajudicial killing 1995	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
233	c_kill_1996	[COUNTRY] Extrajudicial killing 1996	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
234	c_kill_1997	[COUNTRY] Extrajudicial killing 1997	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
235	c_kill_1998	[COUNTRY] Extrajudicial killing 1998	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
236	c_kill_1999	[COUNTRY] Extrajudicial killing 1999	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
237	c_kill_2000	[COUNTRY] Extrajudicial killing 2000	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
238	c_kill_2001	[COUNTRY] Extrajudicial killing 2001	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
239	c_kill_2002	[COUNTRY] Extrajudicial killing 2002	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
240	c_kill_2003	[COUNTRY] Extrajudicial killing 2003	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
241	c_kill_2004	[COUNTRY] Extrajudicial killing 2004	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
242	c_kill_2005	[COUNTRY] Extrajudicial killing 2005	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
243	c_kill_2006	[COUNTRY] Extrajudicial killing 2006	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
244	c_kill_2007	[COUNTRY] Extrajudicial killing 2007	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
245	c_kill_2008	[COUNTRY] Extrajudicial killing 2008	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
246	c_kill_2009	[COUNTRY] Extrajudicial killing 2009	discrete	numeric-1.0	36	4	-
247	c_kill_2010	[COUNTRY] Extrajudicial killing 2010	discrete	numeric-1.0	36	4	-
248	c_kill_2011	[COUNTRY] Extrajudicial killing 2011	discrete	numeric-1.0	36	4	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
249	c_physint_1981	[COUNTRY] Physical integrity rights index 1981	discrete	numeric-1.0	26	14	-
250	c_physint_1982	[COUNTRY] Physical integrity rights index 1982	discrete	numeric-1.0	26	14	-
251	c_physint_1983	[COUNTRY] Physical integrity rights index 1983	discrete	numeric-1.0	26	14	-
252	c_physint_1984	[COUNTRY] Physical integrity rights index 1984	discrete	numeric-1.0	26	14	-
253	c_physint_1985	[COUNTRY] Physical integrity rights index 1985	discrete	numeric-1.0	25	15	-
254	c_physint_1986	[COUNTRY] Physical integrity rights index 1986	discrete	numeric-1.0	25	15	-
255	c_physint_1987	[COUNTRY] Physical integrity rights index 1987	discrete	numeric-1.0	25	15	-
256	c_physint_1988	[COUNTRY] Physical integrity rights index 1988	discrete	numeric-1.0	25	15	-
257	c_physint_1989	[COUNTRY] Physical integrity rights index 1989	discrete	numeric-1.0	25	15	-
258	c_physint_1990	[COUNTRY] Physical integrity rights index 1990	discrete	numeric-1.0	26	14	-
259	c_physint_1991	[COUNTRY] Physical integrity rights index 1991	discrete	numeric-1.0	26	14	-
260	c_physint_1992	[COUNTRY] Physical integrity rights index 1992	discrete	numeric-1.0	33	7	-
261	c_physint_1993	[COUNTRY] Physical integrity rights index 1993	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
262	c_physint_1994	[COUNTRY] Physical integrity rights index 1994	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
263	c_physint_1995	[COUNTRY] Physical integrity rights index 1995	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
264	c_physint_1996	[COUNTRY] Physical integrity rights index 1996	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
265	c_physint_1997	[COUNTRY] Physical integrity rights index 1997	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
266	c_physint_1998	[COUNTRY] Physical integrity rights index 1998	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
267	c_physint_1999	[COUNTRY] Physical integrity rights index 1999	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
268	c_physint_2000	[COUNTRY] Physical integrity rights index 2000	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
269	c_physint_2001	[COUNTRY] Physical integrity rights index 2001	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
270	c_physint_2002	[COUNTRY] Physical integrity rights index 2002	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
271	c_physint_2003	[COUNTRY] Physical integrity rights index 2003	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
272	c_physint_2004	[COUNTRY] Physical integrity rights index 2004	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
273	c_physint_2005	[COUNTRY] Physical integrity rights index 2005	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
274	c_physint_2006	[COUNTRY] Physical integrity rights index 2006	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
275	c_physint_2007	[COUNTRY] Physical integrity rights index 2007	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
276	c_physint_2008	[COUNTRY] Physical integrity rights index 2008	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
277	c_physint_2009	[COUNTRY] Physical integrity rights index 2009	discrete	numeric-1.0	36	4	-
278	c_physint_2010	[COUNTRY] Physical integrity rights index 2010	discrete	numeric-1.0	36	4	-
279	c_physint_2011	[COUNTRY] Physical integrity rights index 2011	discrete	numeric-1.0	36	4	-
280	c_polpris_1981	[COUNTRY] Political imprisonment 1981	discrete	numeric-1.0	26	14	-
281	c_polpris_1982	[COUNTRY] Political imprisonment 1982	discrete	numeric-1.0	26	14	-
282	c_polpris_1983	[COUNTRY] Political imprisonment 1983	discrete	numeric-1.0	26	14	-
283	c_polpris_1984	[COUNTRY] Political imprisonment 1984	discrete	numeric-1.0	26	14	-
284	c_polpris_1985	[COUNTRY] Political imprisonment 1985	discrete	numeric-1.0	26	14	-
285	c_polpris_1986	[COUNTRY] Political imprisonment 1986	discrete	numeric-1.0	26	14	-
286	c_polpris_1987	[COUNTRY] Political imprisonment 1987	discrete	numeric-1.0	26	14	-
287	c_polpris_1988	[COUNTRY] Political imprisonment 1988	discrete	numeric-1.0	26	14	-
288	c_polpris_1989	[COUNTRY] Political imprisonment 1989	discrete	numeric-1.0	26	14	-
289	c_polpris_1990	[COUNTRY] Political imprisonment 1990	discrete	numeric-1.0	26	14	-
290	c_polpris_1991	[COUNTRY] Political imprisonment 1991	discrete	numeric-1.0	26	14	-
291	c_polpris_1992	[COUNTRY] Political imprisonment 1992	discrete	numeric-1.0	33	7	-
292	c_polpris_1993	[COUNTRY] Political imprisonment 1993	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
293	c_polpris_1994	[COUNTRY] Political imprisonment 1994	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
294	c_polpris_1995	[COUNTRY] Political imprisonment 1995	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
295	c_polpris_1996	[COUNTRY] Political imprisonment 1996	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
296	c_polpris_1997	[COUNTRY] Political imprisonment 1997	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
297	c_polpris_1998	[COUNTRY] Political imprisonment 1998	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
298	c_polpris_1999	[COUNTRY] Political imprisonment 1999	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
299	c_polpris_2000	[COUNTRY] Political imprisonment 2000	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
300	c_polpris_2001	[COUNTRY] Political imprisonment 2001	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
301	c_polpris_2002	[COUNTRY] Political imprisonment 2002	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
302	c_polpris_2003	[COUNTRY] Political imprisonment 2003	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
303	c_polpris_2004	[COUNTRY] Political imprisonment 2004	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
304	c_polpris_2005	[COUNTRY] Political imprisonment 2005	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
305	c_polpris_2006	[COUNTRY] Political imprisonment 2006	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
306	c_polpris_2007	[COUNTRY] Political imprisonment 2007	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
307	c_polpris_2008	[COUNTRY] Political imprisonment 2008	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
308	c_polpris_2009	[COUNTRY] Political imprisonment 2009	discrete	numeric-1.0	36	4	-
309	c_polpris_2010	[COUNTRY] Political imprisonment 2010	discrete	numeric-1.0	36	4	-
310	c_polpris_2011	[COUNTRY] Political imprisonment 2011	discrete	numeric-1.0	36	4	-
311	c_relfre_1981	[COUNTRY] Freedom of religion 1981	discrete	numeric-1.0	26	14	-
312	c_relfre_1982	[COUNTRY] Freedom of religion 1982	discrete	numeric-1.0	29	11	-
313	c_relfre_1983	[COUNTRY] Freedom of religion 1983	discrete	numeric-1.0	26	14	-
314	c_relfre_1984	[COUNTRY] Freedom of religion 1984	discrete	numeric-1.0	29	11	-
315	c_relfre_1985	[COUNTRY] Freedom of religion 1985	discrete	numeric-1.0	29	11	-
316	c_relfre_1986	[COUNTRY] Freedom of religion 1986	discrete	numeric-1.0	29	11	-
317	c_relfre_1987	[COUNTRY] Freedom of religion 1987	discrete	numeric-1.0	29	11	-
318	c_relfre_1988	[COUNTRY] Freedom of religion 1988	discrete	numeric-1.0	29	11	-
319	c_relfre_1989	[COUNTRY] Freedom of religion 1989	discrete	numeric-1.0	29	11	-
320	c_relfre_1990	[COUNTRY] Freedom of religion 1990	discrete	numeric-1.0	29	11	-
321	c_relfre_1991	[COUNTRY] Freedom of religion 1991	discrete	numeric-1.0	29	11	-
322	c_relfre_1992	[COUNTRY] Freedom of religion 1992	discrete	numeric-1.0	33	7	-
323	c_relfre_1993	[COUNTRY] Freedom of religion 1993	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
324	c_relfre_1994	[COUNTRY] Freedom of religion 1994	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
325	c_relfre_1995	[COUNTRY] Freedom of religion 1995	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
326	c_relfre_1996	[COUNTRY] Freedom of religion 1996	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
327	c_relfre_1997	[COUNTRY] Freedom of religion 1997	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
328	c_relfre_1998	[COUNTRY] Freedom of religion 1998	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
329	c_relfre_1999	[COUNTRY] Freedom of religion 1999	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
330	c_relfre_2000	[COUNTRY] Freedom of religion 2000	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
331	c_relfre_2001	[COUNTRY] Freedom of religion 2001	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
332	c_relfre_2002	[COUNTRY] Freedom of religion 2002	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
333	c_relfre_2003	[COUNTRY] Freedom of religion 2003	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
334	c_relfre_2004	[COUNTRY] Freedom of religion 2004	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
335	c_relfre_2005	[COUNTRY] Freedom of religion 2005	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
336	c_relfre_2006	[COUNTRY] Freedom of religion 2006	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
337	c_relfre_2007	[COUNTRY] Freedom of religion 2007	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
338	c_relfre_2008	[COUNTRY] Freedom of religion 2008	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
339	c_relfre_2009	[COUNTRY] Freedom of religion 2009	discrete	numeric-1.0	36	4	-
340	c_relfre_2010	[COUNTRY] Freedom of religion 2010	discrete	numeric-1.0	36	4	-
341	c_relfre_2011	[COUNTRY] Freedom of religion 2011	discrete	numeric-1.0	36	4	-
342	c_speech_1981	[COUNTRY] Freedom of speech 1981	discrete	numeric-1.0	26	14	-
343	c_speech_1982	[COUNTRY] Freedom of speech 1982	discrete	numeric-1.0	26	14	-
344	c_speech_1983	[COUNTRY] Freedom of speech 1983	discrete	numeric-1.0	26	14	-
345	c_speech_1984	[COUNTRY] Freedom of speech 1984	discrete	numeric-1.0	26	14	-
346	c_speech_1985	[COUNTRY] Freedom of speech 1985	discrete	numeric-1.0	26	14	-
347	c_speech_1986	[COUNTRY] Freedom of speech 1986	discrete	numeric-1.0	26	14	-
348	c_speech_1987	[COUNTRY] Freedom of speech 1987	discrete	numeric-1.0	26	14	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
349	c_speech_1988	[COUNTRY] Freedom of speech 1988	discrete	numeric-1.0	26	14	-
350	c_speech_1989	[COUNTRY] Freedom of speech 1989	discrete	numeric-1.0	26	14	-
351	c_speech_1990	[COUNTRY] Freedom of speech 1990	discrete	numeric-1.0	26	14	-
352	c_speech_1991	[COUNTRY] Freedom of speech 1991	discrete	numeric-1.0	26	14	-
353	c_speech_1992	[COUNTRY] Freedom of speech 1992	discrete	numeric-1.0	33	7	-
354	c_speech_1993	[COUNTRY] Freedom of speech 1993	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
355	c_speech_1994	[COUNTRY] Freedom of speech 1994	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
356	c_speech_1995	[COUNTRY] Freedom of speech 1995	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
357	c_speech_1996	[COUNTRY] Freedom of speech 1996	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
358	c_speech_1997	[COUNTRY] Freedom of speech 1997	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
359	c_speech_1998	[COUNTRY] Freedom of speech 1998	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
360	c_speech_1999	[COUNTRY] Freedom of speech 1999	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
361	c_speech_2000	[COUNTRY] Freedom of speech 2000	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
362	c_speech_2001	[COUNTRY] Freedom of speech 2001	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
363	c_speech_2002	[COUNTRY] Freedom of speech 2002	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
364	c_speech_2003	[COUNTRY] Freedom of speech 2003	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
365	c_speech_2004	[COUNTRY] Freedom of speech 2004	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
366	c_speech_2005	[COUNTRY] Freedom of speech 2005	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
367	c_speech_2006	[COUNTRY] Freedom of speech 2006	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
368	c_speech_2007	[COUNTRY] Freedom of speech 2007	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
369	c_speech_2008	[COUNTRY] Freedom of speech 2008	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
370	c_speech_2009	[COUNTRY] Freedom of speech 2009	discrete	numeric-1.0	36	4	-
371	c_speech_2010	[COUNTRY] Freedom of speech 2010	discrete	numeric-1.0	36	4	-
372	c_speech_2011	[COUNTRY] Freedom of speech 2011	discrete	numeric-1.0	36	4	-
373	c_tort_1981	[COUNTRY] Torture 1981	discrete	numeric-1.0	26	14	-
374	c_tort_1982	[COUNTRY] Torture 1982	discrete	numeric-1.0	26	14	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
375	c_tort_1983	[COUNTRY] Torture 1983	discrete	numeric-1.0	26	14	-
376	c_tort_1984	[COUNTRY] Torture 1984	discrete	numeric-1.0	26	14	-
377	c_tort_1985	[COUNTRY] Torture 1985	discrete	numeric-1.0	26	14	-
378	c_tort_1986	[COUNTRY] Torture 1986	discrete	numeric-1.0	26	14	-
379	c_tort_1987	[COUNTRY] Torture 1987	discrete	numeric-1.0	26	14	-
380	c_tort_1988	[COUNTRY] Torture 1988	discrete	numeric-1.0	26	14	-
381	c_tort_1989	[COUNTRY] Torture 1989	discrete	numeric-1.0	26	14	-
382	c_tort_1990	[COUNTRY] Torture 1990	discrete	numeric-1.0	26	14	-
383	c_tort_1991	[COUNTRY] Torture 1991	discrete	numeric-1.0	26	14	-
384	c_tort_1992	[COUNTRY] Torture 1992	discrete	numeric-1.0	33	7	-
385	c_tort_1993	[COUNTRY] Torture 1993	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
386	c_tort_1994	[COUNTRY] Torture 1994	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
387	c_tort_1995	[COUNTRY] Torture 1995	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
388	c_tort_1996	[COUNTRY] Torture 1996	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
389	c_tort_1997	[COUNTRY] Torture 1997	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
390	c_tort_1998	[COUNTRY] Torture 1998	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
391	c_tort_1999	[COUNTRY] Torture 1999	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
392	c_tort_2000	[COUNTRY] Torture 2000	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
393	c_tort_2001	[COUNTRY] Torture 2001	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
394	c_tort_2002	[COUNTRY] Torture 2002	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
395	c_tort_2003	[COUNTRY] Torture 2003	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
396	c_tort_2004	[COUNTRY] Torture 2004	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
397	c_tort_2005	[COUNTRY] Torture 2005	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
398	c_tort_2006	[COUNTRY] Torture 2006	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
399	c_tort_2007	[COUNTRY] Torture 2007	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
400	c_tort_2008	[COUNTRY] Torture 2008	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
401	c_tort_2009	[COUNTRY] Torture 2009	discrete	numeric-1.0	36	4	-
402	c_tort_2010	[COUNTRY] Torture 2010	discrete	numeric-1.0	36	4	-
403	c_tort_2011	[COUNTRY] Torture 2011	discrete	numeric-1.0	36	4	-
404	c_wecon_1981	[COUNTRY] Women's economic rights 1981	discrete	numeric-1.0	26	14	-
405	c_wecon_1982	[COUNTRY] Women's economic rights 1982	discrete	numeric-1.0	25	15	-
406	c_wecon_1983	[COUNTRY] Women's economic rights 1983	discrete	numeric-1.0	26	14	-
407	c_wecon_1984	[COUNTRY] Women's economic rights 1984	discrete	numeric-1.0	26	14	-
408	c_wecon_1985	[COUNTRY] Women's economic rights 1985	discrete	numeric-1.0	26	14	-
409	c_wecon_1986	[COUNTRY] Women's economic rights 1986	discrete	numeric-1.0	26	14	-
410	c_wecon_1987	[COUNTRY] Women's economic rights 1987	discrete	numeric-1.0	26	14	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
411	c_wecon_1988	[COUNTRY] Women's economic rights 1988	discrete	numeric-1.0	26	14	-
412	c_wecon_1989	[COUNTRY] Women's economic rights 1989	discrete	numeric-1.0	25	15	-
413	c_wecon_1990	[COUNTRY] Women's economic rights 1990	discrete	numeric-1.0	26	14	-
414	c_wecon_1991	[COUNTRY] Women's economic rights 1991	discrete	numeric-1.0	26	14	-
415	c_wecon_1992	[COUNTRY] Women's economic rights 1992	discrete	numeric-1.0	33	7	-
416	c_wecon_1993	[COUNTRY] Women's economic rights 1993	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
417	c_wecon_1994	[COUNTRY] Women's economic rights 1994	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
418	c_wecon_1995	[COUNTRY] Women's economic rights 1995	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
419	c_wecon_1996	[COUNTRY] Women's economic rights 1996	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
420	c_wecon_1997	[COUNTRY] Women's economic rights 1997	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
421	c_wecon_1998	[COUNTRY] Women's economic rights 1998	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
422	c_wecon_1999	[COUNTRY] Women's economic rights 1999	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
423	c_wecon_2000	[COUNTRY] Women's economic rights 2000	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
424	c_wecon_2001	[COUNTRY] Women's economic rights 2001	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
425	c_wecon_2002	[COUNTRY] Women's economic rights 2002	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
426	c_wecon_2003	[COUNTRY] Women's economic rights 2003	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
427	c_wecon_2004	[COUNTRY] Women's economic rights 2004	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
428	c_wecon_2005	[COUNTRY] Women's economic rights 2005	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
429	c_wecon_2006	[COUNTRY] Women's economic rights 2006	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
430	c_wecon_2007	[COUNTRY] Women's economic rights 2007	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
431	c_wecon_2008	[COUNTRY] Women's economic rights 2008	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
432	c_wecon_2009	[COUNTRY] Women's economic rights 2009	discrete	numeric-1.0	36	4	-
433	c_wecon_2010	[COUNTRY] Women's economic rights 2010	discrete	numeric-1.0	36	4	-
434	c_wecon_2011	[COUNTRY] Women's economic rights 2011	discrete	numeric-1.0	36	4	-
435	c_wopol_1981	[COUNTRY] Women's political rights 1981	discrete	numeric-1.0	26	14	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
436	c_wopol_1982	[COUNTRY] Women's political rights 1982	discrete	numeric-1.0	26	14	-
437	c_wopol_1983	[COUNTRY] Women's political rights 1983	discrete	numeric-1.0	26	14	-
438	c_wopol_1984	[COUNTRY] Women's political rights 1984	discrete	numeric-1.0	26	14	-
439	c_wopol_1985	[COUNTRY] Women's political rights 1985	discrete	numeric-1.0	26	14	-
440	c_wopol_1986	[COUNTRY] Women's political rights 1986	discrete	numeric-1.0	26	14	-
441	c_wopol_1987	[COUNTRY] Women's political rights 1987	discrete	numeric-1.0	26	14	-
442	c_wopol_1988	[COUNTRY] Women's political rights 1988	discrete	numeric-1.0	26	14	-
443	c_wopol_1989	[COUNTRY] Women's political rights 1989	discrete	numeric-1.0	26	14	-
444	c_wopol_1990	[COUNTRY] Women's political rights 1990	discrete	numeric-1.0	26	14	-
445	c_wopol_1991	[COUNTRY] Women's political rights 1991	discrete	numeric-1.0	26	14	-
446	c_wopol_1992	[COUNTRY] Women's political rights 1992	discrete	numeric-1.0	33	7	-
447	c_wopol_1993	[COUNTRY] Women's political rights 1993	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
448	c_wopol_1994	[COUNTRY] Women's political rights 1994	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
449	c_wopol_1995	[COUNTRY] Women's political rights 1995	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
450	c_wopol_1996	[COUNTRY] Women's political rights 1996	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
451	c_wopol_1997	[COUNTRY] Women's political rights 1997	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
452	c_wopol_1998	[COUNTRY] Women's political rights 1998	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
453	c_wopol_1999	[COUNTRY] Women's political rights 1999	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
454	c_wopol_2000	[COUNTRY] Women's political rights 2000	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
455	c_wopol_2001	[COUNTRY] Women's political rights 2001	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
456	c_wopol_2002	[COUNTRY] Women's political rights 2002	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
457	c_wopol_2003	[COUNTRY] Women's political rights 2003	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
458	c_wopol_2004	[COUNTRY] Women's political rights 2004	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
459	c_wopol_2005	[COUNTRY] Women's political rights 2005	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
460	c_wopol_2006	[COUNTRY] Women's political rights 2006	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
461	c_wopol_2007	[COUNTRY] Women's political rights 2007	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
462	c_wopol_2008	[COUNTRY] Women's political rights 2008	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
463	c_wopol_2009	[COUNTRY] Women's political rights 2009	discrete	numeric-1.0	36	4	-
464	c_wopol_2010	[COUNTRY] Women's political rights 2010	discrete	numeric-1.0	36	4	-
465	c_wopol_2011	[COUNTRY] Women's political rights 2011	discrete	numeric-1.0	36	4	-
466	c_worker_1981	[COUNTRY] Worker's rights 1981	discrete	numeric-1.0	26	14	-
467	c_worker_1982	[COUNTRY] Worker's rights 1982	discrete	numeric-1.0	26	14	-
468	c_worker_1983	[COUNTRY] Worker's rights 1983	discrete	numeric-1.0	26	14	-
469	c_worker_1984	[COUNTRY] Worker's rights 1984	discrete	numeric-1.0	26	14	-
470	c_worker_1985	[COUNTRY] Worker's rights 1985	discrete	numeric-1.0	26	14	-
471	c_worker_1986	[COUNTRY] Worker's rights 1986	discrete	numeric-1.0	26	14	-
472	c_worker_1987	[COUNTRY] Worker's rights 1987	discrete	numeric-1.0	26	14	-
473	c_worker_1988	[COUNTRY] Worker's rights 1988	discrete	numeric-1.0	26	14	-
474	c_worker_1989	[COUNTRY] Worker's rights 1989	discrete	numeric-1.0	26	14	-
475	c_worker_1990	[COUNTRY] Worker's rights 1990	discrete	numeric-1.0	26	14	-
476	c_worker_1991	[COUNTRY] Worker's rights 1991	discrete	numeric-1.0	26	14	-
477	c_worker_1992	[COUNTRY] Worker's rights 1992	discrete	numeric-1.0	33	7	-
478	c_worker_1993	[COUNTRY] Worker's rights 1993	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
479	c_worker_1994	[COUNTRY] Worker's rights 1994	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
480	c_worker_1995	[COUNTRY] Worker's rights 1995	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
481	c_worker_1996	[COUNTRY] Worker's rights 1996	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
482	c_worker_1997	[COUNTRY] Worker's rights 1997	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
483	c_worker_1998	[COUNTRY] Worker's rights 1998	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
484	c_worker_1999	[COUNTRY] Worker's rights 1999	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
485	c_worker_2000	[COUNTRY] Worker's rights 2000	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
486	c_worker_2001	[COUNTRY] Worker's rights 2001	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
487	c_worker_2002	[COUNTRY] Worker's rights 2002	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
488	c_worker_2003	[COUNTRY] Worker's rights 2003	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
489	c_worker_2004	[COUNTRY] Worker's rights 2004	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
490	c_worker_2005	[COUNTRY] Worker's rights 2005	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
491	c_worker_2006	[COUNTRY] Worker's rights 2006	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
492	c_worker_2007	[COUNTRY] Worker's rights 2007	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
493	c_worker_2008	[COUNTRY] Worker's rights 2008	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
494	c_worker_2009	[COUNTRY] Worker's rights 2009	discrete	numeric-1.0	36	4	-
495	c_worker_2010	[COUNTRY] Worker's rights 2010	discrete	numeric-1.0	36	4	-
496	c_worker_2011	[COUNTRY] Worker's rights 2011	discrete	numeric-1.0	36	4	-
497	c_wosoc_1981	[COUNTRY] Women's social rights 1981	discrete	numeric-1.0	26	14	-
498	c_wosoc_1982	[COUNTRY] Women's social rights 1982	discrete	numeric-1.0	24	16	-
499	c_wosoc_1983	[COUNTRY] Women's social rights 1983	discrete	numeric-1.0	24	16	-
500	c_wosoc_1984	[COUNTRY] Women's social rights 1984	discrete	numeric-1.0	24	16	-
501	c_wosoc_1985	[COUNTRY] Women's social rights 1985	discrete	numeric-1.0	24	16	-
502	c_wosoc_1986	[COUNTRY] Women's social rights 1986	discrete	numeric-1.0	25	15	-
503	c_wosoc_1987	[COUNTRY] Women's social rights 1987	discrete	numeric-1.0	26	14	-
504	c_wosoc_1988	[COUNTRY] Women's social rights 1988	discrete	numeric-1.0	26	14	-
505	c_wosoc_1989	[COUNTRY] Women's social rights 1989	discrete	numeric-1.0	26	14	-
506	c_wosoc_1990	[COUNTRY] Women's social rights 1990	discrete	numeric-1.0	26	14	-
507	c_wosoc_1991	[COUNTRY] Women's social rights 1991	discrete	numeric-1.0	25	15	-
508	c_wosoc_1992	[COUNTRY] Women's social rights 1992	discrete	numeric-1.0	32	8	-
509	c_wosoc_1993	[COUNTRY] Women's social rights 1993	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
510	c_wosoc_1994	[COUNTRY] Women's social rights 1994	discrete	numeric-1.0	32	8	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
511	c_wosoc_1995	[COUNTRY] Women's social rights 1995	discrete	numeric-1.0	34	6	-
512	c_wosoc_1996	[COUNTRY] Women's social rights 1996	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
513	c_wosoc_1997	[COUNTRY] Women's social rights 1997	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
514	c_wosoc_1998	[COUNTRY] Women's social rights 1998	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
515	c_wosoc_1999	[COUNTRY] Women's social rights 1999	discrete	numeric-1.0	34	6	-
516	c_wosoc_2000	[COUNTRY] Women's social rights 2000	discrete	numeric-1.0	34	6	-
517	c_wosoc_2001	[COUNTRY] Women's social rights 2001	discrete	numeric-1.0	34	6	-
518	c_wosoc_2002	[COUNTRY] Women's social rights 2002	discrete	numeric-1.0	34	6	-
519	c_wosoc_2003	[COUNTRY] Women's social rights 2003	discrete	numeric-1.0	27	13	-
520	c_wosoc_2004	[COUNTRY] Women's social rights 2004	discrete	numeric-1.0	27	13	-
521	c_wosoc_2007	[COUNTRY] Women's social rights 2007	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-

Group Democracy Barometer

Data source

Democracy Barometer

The Democracy Barometer is an instrument to measure the quality of established democracies. The Democracy Barometer project combines the efforts of researchers from the University of Zurich (UZH) and the Social Science Research Center Berlin.

Variable information

Short description

Compiled from the Democracy Barometer dataset are the following variables:

- **Individual Liberties:** Individual liberties primarily secure the inviolability of the private sphere. This requires the right to physical integrity and the right to free conduct of life.
- **Rule of Law:** Rule of law designates the independence, the primacy, and the absolute warrant of and by the law. This requires equality before the law and quality of the legal system.
- **Public Sphere:** The communication about politics and moral norms takes place in the public sphere. A vital civil society and a vivid public sphere requires freedom of association and freedom of opinion.
- **Competition:** Vertical control of the government is established via free, regular, and competitive elections. This requires vulnerability (uncertainty of the electoral outcome) and contestability (electoral competitors have to meet in order to be allowed to enter the race).
- **Mutual Constraints:** The horizontal and institutional dimension of control of the government is encompassed by mutual constraints of constitutional powers.
- **Governmental Capabilities:** Governmental capability entails the availability of resources and conditions for efficient implementation of policy.
- **Transparency:** Transparency requires no secrecy on the part of political representatives as well as provisions for a transparent political process.
- **Participation:** Citizens must have equal participation rights. All persons who are affected by a political decision should have the right to participate in shaping that decision.
- **Representation:** All citizens' preferences are adequately represented in the political decision-making process

Data sources

The Democracy Barometer is based on a large number of sources. See the 2014 Methodological paper (<http://www.democracybarometer.org/Data/Methodical%20Explanatory%201990-2012.pdf>) for an overview.

Geographical coverage

All ESS countries covered, except for Russia and Kosovo.

Time coverage and comparability

1990-2012.

Last update

March, 2014.

Date of extraction

14/07/2014.

Missing values

Data is missing for the following observations:

- Albania: 1990 (Individual Liberties, Competition, Mutual Constraints, Participation)
- Albania: 1990-2005 (Rule of Law)
- Albania: 1990-2012 (all years) (Public Sphere)
- Albania: 1990-1991 (Governmental Capabilities)
- Albania: 1990-1998 (Transparency)
- Albania: 1990-1999 (Representation)
- Slovakia: 1990-2012 (all years) (Competition, Mutual Constraints)
- Slovakia: 2008 (Governmental Capabilities)
- Slovenia: 1990 (all variables except Competition)
- Ukraine: 1990-1991 (Individual Liberties, Governmental Capabilities)
- Ukraine: 1990-1997 (Rule of Law, Transparency)
- Ukraine: 1990-2012 (all years) (Competition)
- Ukraine: 1990-2004 (Mutual Constraints)
- Ukraine: 1990-1993 (Participation)
- Ukraine: 1990-1996 (Representation)

Variables

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	c_indlib_1990	[COUNTRY] Democracy Barometer: Individual Liberties 1990	continuous	numeric-5.2	30	10	-
2	c_indlib_1991	[COUNTRY] Democracy Barometer: Individual Liberties 1991	continuous	numeric-5.2	32	8	-
3	c_indlib_1992	[COUNTRY] Democracy Barometer: Individual Liberties 1992	continuous	numeric-5.2	34	6	-
4	c_indlib_1993	[COUNTRY] Democracy Barometer: Individual Liberties 1993	continuous	numeric-5.2	34	6	-
5	c_indlib_1994	[COUNTRY] Democracy Barometer: Individual Liberties 1994	continuous	numeric-5.2	34	6	-
6	c_indlib_1995	[COUNTRY] Democracy Barometer: Individual Liberties 1995	continuous	numeric-5.2	34	6	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
7	c_indlib_1996	[COUNTRY] Democracy Barometer: Individual Liberties 1996	continuous	numeric-5.2	34	6	-
8	c_indlib_1997	[COUNTRY] Democracy Barometer: Individual Liberties 1997	continuous	numeric-5.2	34	6	-
9	c_indlib_1998	[COUNTRY] Democracy Barometer: Individual Liberties 1998	continuous	numeric-5.2	34	6	-
10	c_indlib_1999	[COUNTRY] Democracy Barometer: Individual Liberties 1999	continuous	numeric-5.2	34	6	-
11	c_indlib_2000	[COUNTRY] Democracy Barometer: Individual Liberties 2000	continuous	numeric-5.2	34	6	-
12	c_indlib_2001	[COUNTRY] Democracy Barometer: Individual Liberties 2001	continuous	numeric-5.2	34	6	-
13	c_indlib_2002	[COUNTRY] Democracy Barometer: Individual Liberties 2002	continuous	numeric-5.2	34	6	-
14	c_indlib_2003	[COUNTRY] Democracy Barometer: Individual Liberties 2003	continuous	numeric-5.2	34	6	-
15	c_indlib_2004	[COUNTRY] Democracy Barometer: Individual Liberties 2004	continuous	numeric-5.2	34	6	-
16	c_indlib_2005	[COUNTRY] Democracy Barometer: Individual Liberties 2005	continuous	numeric-5.2	34	6	-
17	c_indlib_2006	[COUNTRY] Democracy Barometer: Individual Liberties 2006	continuous	numeric-5.2	34	6	-
18	c_indlib_2007	[COUNTRY] Democracy Barometer: Individual Liberties 2007	continuous	numeric-5.2	34	6	-
19	c_indlib_2008	[COUNTRY] Democracy Barometer: Individual Liberties 2008	continuous	numeric-5.2	34	6	-
20	c_indlib_2009	[COUNTRY] Democracy Barometer: Individual Liberties 2009	continuous	numeric-6.2	34	6	-
21	c_indlib_2010	[COUNTRY] Democracy Barometer: Individual Liberties 2010	continuous	numeric-5.2	34	6	-
22	c_indlib_2011	[COUNTRY] Democracy Barometer: Individual Liberties 2011	continuous	numeric-5.2	34	6	-
23	c_indlib_2012	[COUNTRY] Democracy Barometer: Individual Liberties 2012	continuous	numeric-5.2	34	6	-
24	c_ruleoflaw_1990	[COUNTRY] Democracy Barometer: Rule of Law 1990	continuous	numeric-5.2	29	11	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
25	c_ruleoflaw_1991	[COUNTRY] Democracy Barometer: Rule of Law 1991	continuous	numeric-5.2	30	10	-
26	c_ruleoflaw_1992	[COUNTRY] Democracy Barometer: Rule of Law 1992	continuous	numeric-5.2	30	10	-
27	c_ruleoflaw_1993	[COUNTRY] Democracy Barometer: Rule of Law 1993	continuous	numeric-5.2	30	10	-
28	c_ruleoflaw_1994	[COUNTRY] Democracy Barometer: Rule of Law 1994	continuous	numeric-5.2	30	10	-
29	c_ruleoflaw_1995	[COUNTRY] Democracy Barometer: Rule of Law 1995	continuous	numeric-5.2	30	10	-
30	c_ruleoflaw_1996	[COUNTRY] Democracy Barometer: Rule of Law 1996	continuous	numeric-5.2	30	10	-
31	c_ruleoflaw_1997	[COUNTRY] Democracy Barometer: Rule of Law 1997	continuous	numeric-5.2	30	10	-
32	c_ruleoflaw_1998	[COUNTRY] Democracy Barometer: Rule of Law 1998	continuous	numeric-5.2	31	9	-
33	c_ruleoflaw_1999	[COUNTRY] Democracy Barometer: Rule of Law 1999	continuous	numeric-5.2	32	8	-
34	c_ruleoflaw_2000	[COUNTRY] Democracy Barometer: Rule of Law 2000	continuous	numeric-5.2	33	7	-
35	c_ruleoflaw_2001	[COUNTRY] Democracy Barometer: Rule of Law 2001	continuous	numeric-5.2	33	7	-
36	c_ruleoflaw_2002	[COUNTRY] Democracy Barometer: Rule of Law 2002	continuous	numeric-5.2	33	7	-
37	c_ruleoflaw_2003	[COUNTRY] Democracy Barometer: Rule of Law 2003	continuous	numeric-5.2	33	7	-
38	c_ruleoflaw_2004	[COUNTRY] Democracy Barometer: Rule of Law 2004	continuous	numeric-5.2	33	7	-
39	c_ruleoflaw_2005	[COUNTRY] Democracy Barometer: Rule of Law 2005	continuous	numeric-5.2	33	7	-
40	c_ruleoflaw_2006	[COUNTRY] Democracy Barometer: Rule of Law 2006	continuous	numeric-5.2	34	6	-
41	c_ruleoflaw_2007	[COUNTRY] Democracy Barometer: Rule of Law 2007	continuous	numeric-5.2	34	6	-
42	c_ruleoflaw_2008	[COUNTRY] Democracy Barometer: Rule of Law 2008	continuous	numeric-5.2	34	6	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
43	c_ruleoflaw_2009	[COUNTRY] Democracy Barometer: Rule of Law 2009	continuous	numeric-5.2	34	6	-
44	c_ruleoflaw_2010	[COUNTRY] Democracy Barometer: Rule of Law 2010	continuous	numeric-5.2	34	6	-
45	c_ruleoflaw_2011	[COUNTRY] Democracy Barometer: Rule of Law 2011	continuous	numeric-5.2	34	6	-
46	c_ruleoflaw_2012	[COUNTRY] Democracy Barometer: Rule of Law 2012	continuous	numeric-5.2	34	6	-
47	c_public_1990	[COUNTRY] Democracy Barometer: Public Sphere 1990	continuous	numeric-5.2	30	10	-
48	c_public_1991	[COUNTRY] Democracy Barometer: Public Sphere 1991	continuous	numeric-5.2	33	7	-
49	c_public_1992	[COUNTRY] Democracy Barometer: Public Sphere 1992	continuous	numeric-5.2	33	7	-
50	c_public_1993	[COUNTRY] Democracy Barometer: Public Sphere 1993	continuous	numeric-5.2	33	7	-
51	c_public_1994	[COUNTRY] Democracy Barometer: Public Sphere 1994	continuous	numeric-5.2	33	7	-
52	c_public_1995	[COUNTRY] Democracy Barometer: Public Sphere 1995	continuous	numeric-5.2	33	7	-
53	c_public_1996	[COUNTRY] Democracy Barometer: Public Sphere 1996	continuous	numeric-5.2	33	7	-
54	c_public_1997	[COUNTRY] Democracy Barometer: Public Sphere 1997	continuous	numeric-5.2	33	7	-
55	c_public_1998	[COUNTRY] Democracy Barometer: Public Sphere 1998	continuous	numeric-5.2	33	7	-
56	c_public_1999	[COUNTRY] Democracy Barometer: Public Sphere 1999	continuous	numeric-5.2	33	7	-
57	c_public_2000	[COUNTRY] Democracy Barometer: Public Sphere 2000	continuous	numeric-5.2	33	7	-
58	c_public_2001	[COUNTRY] Democracy Barometer: Public Sphere 2001	continuous	numeric-5.2	33	7	-
59	c_public_2002	[COUNTRY] Democracy Barometer: Public Sphere 2002	continuous	numeric-5.2	33	7	-
60	c_public_2003	[COUNTRY] Democracy Barometer: Public Sphere 2003	continuous	numeric-5.2	33	7	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
61	c_public_2004	[COUNTRY] Democracy Barometer: Public Sphere 2004	continuous	numeric-5.2	33	7	-
62	c_public_2005	[COUNTRY] Democracy Barometer: Public Sphere 2005	continuous	numeric-5.2	33	7	-
63	c_public_2006	[COUNTRY] Democracy Barometer: Public Sphere 2006	continuous	numeric-5.2	33	7	-
64	c_public_2007	[COUNTRY] Democracy Barometer: Public Sphere 2007	continuous	numeric-5.2	33	7	-
65	c_public_2008	[COUNTRY] Democracy Barometer: Public Sphere 2008	continuous	numeric-5.2	33	7	-
66	c_public_2009	[COUNTRY] Democracy Barometer: Public Sphere 2009	continuous	numeric-5.2	33	7	-
67	c_public_2010	[COUNTRY] Democracy Barometer: Public Sphere 2010	continuous	numeric-5.2	33	7	-
68	c_public_2011	[COUNTRY] Democracy Barometer: Public Sphere 2011	continuous	numeric-5.2	33	7	-
69	c_public_2012	[COUNTRY] Democracy Barometer: Public Sphere 2012	continuous	numeric-5.2	33	7	-
70	c_compet_1990	[COUNTRY] Democracy Barometer: Competition 1990	continuous	numeric-5.2	30	10	-
71	c_compet_1991	[COUNTRY] Democracy Barometer: Competition 1991	continuous	numeric-5.2	31	9	-
72	c_compet_1992	[COUNTRY] Democracy Barometer: Competition 1992	continuous	numeric-5.2	31	9	-
73	c_compet_1993	[COUNTRY] Democracy Barometer: Competition 1993	continuous	numeric-5.2	32	8	-
74	c_compet_1994	[COUNTRY] Democracy Barometer: Competition 1994	continuous	numeric-5.2	32	8	-
75	c_compet_1995	[COUNTRY] Democracy Barometer: Competition 1995	continuous	numeric-5.2	32	8	-
76	c_compet_1996	[COUNTRY] Democracy Barometer: Competition 1996	continuous	numeric-5.2	32	8	-
77	c_compet_1997	[COUNTRY] Democracy Barometer: Competition 1997	continuous	numeric-5.2	32	8	-
78	c_compet_1998	[COUNTRY] Democracy Barometer: Competition 1998	continuous	numeric-5.2	32	8	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
79	c_compet_1999	[COUNTRY] Democracy Barometer: Competition 1999	continuous	numeric-5.2	32	8	-
80	c_compet_2000	[COUNTRY] Democracy Barometer: Competition 2000	continuous	numeric-5.2	32	8	-
81	c_compet_2001	[COUNTRY] Democracy Barometer: Competition 2001	continuous	numeric-5.2	32	8	-
82	c_compet_2002	[COUNTRY] Democracy Barometer: Competition 2002	continuous	numeric-5.2	32	8	-
83	c_compet_2003	[COUNTRY] Democracy Barometer: Competition 2003	continuous	numeric-5.2	32	8	-
84	c_compet_2004	[COUNTRY] Democracy Barometer: Competition 2004	continuous	numeric-5.2	32	8	-
85	c_compet_2005	[COUNTRY] Democracy Barometer: Competition 2005	continuous	numeric-5.2	32	8	-
86	c_compet_2006	[COUNTRY] Democracy Barometer: Competition 2006	continuous	numeric-5.2	32	8	-
87	c_compet_2007	[COUNTRY] Democracy Barometer: Competition 2007	continuous	numeric-5.2	32	8	-
88	c_compet_2008	[COUNTRY] Democracy Barometer: Competition 2008	continuous	numeric-5.2	32	8	-
89	c_compet_2009	[COUNTRY] Democracy Barometer: Competition 2009	continuous	numeric-5.2	32	8	-
90	c_compet_2010	[COUNTRY] Democracy Barometer: Competition 2010	continuous	numeric-5.2	32	8	-
91	c_compet_2011	[COUNTRY] Democracy Barometer: Competition 2011	continuous	numeric-5.2	32	8	-
92	c_compet_2012	[COUNTRY] Democracy Barometer: Competition 2012	continuous	numeric-5.2	32	8	-
93	c_mutucons_1990	[COUNTRY] Democracy Barometer: Mutual Constraints 1990	continuous	numeric-5.2	27	13	-
94	c_mutucons_1991	[COUNTRY] Democracy Barometer: Mutual Constraints 1991	continuous	numeric-5.2	29	11	-
95	c_mutucons_1992	[COUNTRY] Democracy Barometer: Mutual Constraints 1992	continuous	numeric-5.2	31	9	-
96	c_mutucons_1993	[COUNTRY] Democracy Barometer: Mutual Constraints 1993	continuous	numeric-5.2	31	9	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
97	c_mutucons_1994	[COUNTRY] Democracy Barometer: Mutual Constraints 1994	continuous	numeric-5.2	31	9	-
98	c_mutucons_1995	[COUNTRY] Democracy Barometer: Mutual Constraints 1995	continuous	numeric-5.2	31	9	-
99	c_mutucons_1996	[COUNTRY] Democracy Barometer: Mutual Constraints 1996	continuous	numeric-5.2	31	9	-
100	c_mutucons_1997	[COUNTRY] Democracy Barometer: Mutual Constraints 1997	continuous	numeric-5.2	31	9	-
101	c_mutucons_1998	[COUNTRY] Democracy Barometer: Mutual Constraints 1998	continuous	numeric-5.2	31	9	-
102	c_mutucons_1999	[COUNTRY] Democracy Barometer: Mutual Constraints 1999	continuous	numeric-5.2	31	9	-
103	c_mutucons_2000	[COUNTRY] Democracy Barometer: Mutual Constraints 2000	continuous	numeric-5.2	31	9	-
104	c_mutucons_2001	[COUNTRY] Democracy Barometer: Mutual Constraints 2001	continuous	numeric-5.2	31	9	-
105	c_mutucons_2002	[COUNTRY] Democracy Barometer: Mutual Constraints 2002	continuous	numeric-5.2	31	9	-
106	c_mutucons_2003	[COUNTRY] Democracy Barometer: Mutual Constraints 2003	continuous	numeric-5.2	31	9	-
107	c_mutucons_2004	[COUNTRY] Democracy Barometer: Mutual Constraints 2004	continuous	numeric-5.2	31	9	-
108	c_mutucons_2005	[COUNTRY] Democracy Barometer: Mutual Constraints 2005	continuous	numeric-5.2	32	8	-
109	c_mutucons_2006	[COUNTRY] Democracy Barometer: Mutual Constraints 2006	continuous	numeric-5.2	32	8	-
110	c_mutucons_2007	[COUNTRY] Democracy Barometer: Mutual Constraints 2007	continuous	numeric-5.2	32	8	-
111	c_mutucons_2008	[COUNTRY] Democracy Barometer: Mutual Constraints 2008	continuous	numeric-5.2	32	8	-
112	c_mutucons_2009	[COUNTRY] Democracy Barometer: Mutual Constraints 2009	continuous	numeric-5.2	32	8	-
113	c_mutucons_2010	[COUNTRY] Democracy Barometer: Mutual Constraints 2010	continuous	numeric-5.2	32	8	-
114	c_mutucons_2011	[COUNTRY] Democracy Barometer: Mutual Constraints 2011	continuous	numeric-5.2	32	8	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
115	c_mutucons_2012	[COUNTRY] Democracy Barometer: Mutual Constraints 2012	continuous	numeric-5.2	32	8	-
116	c_govcap_1990	[COUNTRY] Democracy Barometer: Governmental Capabilities 1990	continuous	numeric-5.2	30	10	-
117	c_govcap_1991	[COUNTRY] Democracy Barometer: Governmental Capabilities 1991	continuous	numeric-5.2	31	9	-
118	c_govcap_1992	[COUNTRY] Democracy Barometer: Governmental Capabilities 1992	continuous	numeric-5.2	34	6	-
119	c_govcap_1993	[COUNTRY] Democracy Barometer: Governmental Capabilities 1993	continuous	numeric-5.2	34	6	-
120	c_govcap_1994	[COUNTRY] Democracy Barometer: Governmental Capabilities 1994	continuous	numeric-5.2	34	6	-
121	c_govcap_1995	[COUNTRY] Democracy Barometer: Governmental Capabilities 1995	continuous	numeric-5.2	34	6	-
122	c_govcap_1996	[COUNTRY] Democracy Barometer: Governmental Capabilities 1996	continuous	numeric-5.2	34	6	-
123	c_govcap_1997	[COUNTRY] Democracy Barometer: Governmental Capabilities 1997	continuous	numeric-5.2	34	6	-
124	c_govcap_1998	[COUNTRY] Democracy Barometer: Governmental Capabilities 1998	continuous	numeric-5.2	34	6	-
125	c_govcap_1999	[COUNTRY] Democracy Barometer: Governmental Capabilities 1999	continuous	numeric-5.2	34	6	-
126	c_govcap_2000	[COUNTRY] Democracy Barometer: Governmental Capabilities 2000	continuous	numeric-5.2	34	6	-
127	c_govcap_2001	[COUNTRY] Democracy Barometer: Governmental Capabilities 2001	continuous	numeric-5.2	34	6	-
128	c_govcap_2002	[COUNTRY] Democracy Barometer: Governmental Capabilities 2002	continuous	numeric-5.2	34	6	-
129	c_govcap_2003	[COUNTRY] Democracy Barometer: Governmental Capabilities 2003	continuous	numeric-5.2	34	6	-
130	c_govcap_2004	[COUNTRY] Democracy Barometer: Governmental Capabilities 2004	continuous	numeric-5.2	34	6	-
131	c_govcap_2005	[COUNTRY] Democracy Barometer: Governmental Capabilities 2005	continuous	numeric-5.2	34	6	-
132	c_govcap_2006	[COUNTRY] Democracy Barometer: Governmental Capabilities 2006	continuous	numeric-5.2	34	6	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
133	c_govcap_2007	[COUNTRY] Democracy Barometer: Governmental Capabilities 2007	continuous	numeric-5.2	34	6	-
134	c_govcap_2008	[COUNTRY] Democracy Barometer: Governmental Capabilities 2008	continuous	numeric-5.2	33	7	-
135	c_govcap_2009	[COUNTRY] Democracy Barometer: Governmental Capabilities 2009	continuous	numeric-5.2	34	6	-
136	c_govcap_2010	[COUNTRY] Democracy Barometer: Governmental Capabilities 2010	continuous	numeric-5.2	34	6	-
137	c_govcap_2011	[COUNTRY] Democracy Barometer: Governmental Capabilities 2011	continuous	numeric-5.2	34	6	-
138	c_govcap_2012	[COUNTRY] Democracy Barometer: Governmental Capabilities 2012	continuous	numeric-5.2	34	6	-
139	c_transpar_1990	[COUNTRY] Democracy Barometer: Transparency 1990	continuous	numeric-6.2	30	10	-
140	c_transpar_1991	[COUNTRY] Democracy Barometer: Transparency 1991	continuous	numeric-6.2	31	9	-
141	c_transpar_1992	[COUNTRY] Democracy Barometer: Transparency 1992	continuous	numeric-5.2	31	9	-
142	c_transpar_1993	[COUNTRY] Democracy Barometer: Transparency 1993	continuous	numeric-5.2	31	9	-
143	c_transpar_1994	[COUNTRY] Democracy Barometer: Transparency 1994	continuous	numeric-5.2	31	9	-
144	c_transpar_1995	[COUNTRY] Democracy Barometer: Transparency 1995	continuous	numeric-5.2	31	9	-
145	c_transpar_1996	[COUNTRY] Democracy Barometer: Transparency 1996	continuous	numeric-5.2	31	9	-
146	c_transpar_1997	[COUNTRY] Democracy Barometer: Transparency 1997	continuous	numeric-5.2	31	9	-
147	c_transpar_1998	[COUNTRY] Democracy Barometer: Transparency 1998	continuous	numeric-5.2	32	8	-
148	c_transpar_1999	[COUNTRY] Democracy Barometer: Transparency 1999	continuous	numeric-5.2	34	6	-
149	c_transpar_2000	[COUNTRY] Democracy Barometer: Transparency 2000	continuous	numeric-5.2	34	6	-
150	c_transpar_2001	[COUNTRY] Democracy Barometer: Transparency 2001	continuous	numeric-5.2	34	6	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
151	c_transpar_2002	[COUNTRY] Democracy Barometer: Transparency 2002	continuous	numeric-5.2	34	6	-
152	c_transpar_2003	[COUNTRY] Democracy Barometer: Transparency 2003	continuous	numeric-5.2	34	6	-
153	c_transpar_2004	[COUNTRY] Democracy Barometer: Transparency 2004	continuous	numeric-5.2	34	6	-
154	c_transpar_2005	[COUNTRY] Democracy Barometer: Transparency 2005	continuous	numeric-5.2	34	6	-
155	c_transpar_2006	[COUNTRY] Democracy Barometer: Transparency 2006	continuous	numeric-5.2	34	6	-
156	c_transpar_2007	[COUNTRY] Democracy Barometer: Transparency 2007	continuous	numeric-5.2	34	6	-
157	c_transpar_2008	[COUNTRY] Democracy Barometer: Transparency 2008	continuous	numeric-5.2	34	6	-
158	c_transpar_2009	[COUNTRY] Democracy Barometer: Transparency 2009	continuous	numeric-5.2	34	6	-
159	c_transpar_2010	[COUNTRY] Democracy Barometer: Transparency 2010	continuous	numeric-5.2	34	6	-
160	c_transpar_2011	[COUNTRY] Democracy Barometer: Transparency 2011	continuous	numeric-5.2	34	6	-
161	c_transpar_2012	[COUNTRY] Democracy Barometer: Transparency 2012	continuous	numeric-5.2	34	6	-
162	c_particip_1990	[COUNTRY] Democracy Barometer: Participation 1990	continuous	numeric-5.2	29	11	-
163	c_particip_1991	[COUNTRY] Democracy Barometer: Participation 1991	continuous	numeric-5.2	31	9	-
164	c_particip_1992	[COUNTRY] Democracy Barometer: Participation 1992	continuous	numeric-5.2	33	7	-
165	c_particip_1993	[COUNTRY] Democracy Barometer: Participation 1993	continuous	numeric-5.2	33	7	-
166	c_particip_1994	[COUNTRY] Democracy Barometer: Participation 1994	continuous	numeric-5.2	34	6	-
167	c_particip_1995	[COUNTRY] Democracy Barometer: Participation 1995	continuous	numeric-5.2	34	6	-
168	c_particip_1996	[COUNTRY] Democracy Barometer: Participation 1996	continuous	numeric-5.2	34	6	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
169	c_particip_1997	[COUNTRY] Democracy Barometer: Participation 1997	continuous	numeric-5.2	34	6	-
170	c_particip_1998	[COUNTRY] Democracy Barometer: Participation 1998	continuous	numeric-5.2	34	6	-
171	c_particip_1999	[COUNTRY] Democracy Barometer: Participation 1999	continuous	numeric-5.2	34	6	-
172	c_particip_2000	[COUNTRY] Democracy Barometer: Participation 2000	continuous	numeric-5.2	34	6	-
173	c_particip_2001	[COUNTRY] Democracy Barometer: Participation 2001	continuous	numeric-5.2	34	6	-
174	c_particip_2002	[COUNTRY] Democracy Barometer: Participation 2002	continuous	numeric-5.2	34	6	-
175	c_particip_2003	[COUNTRY] Democracy Barometer: Participation 2003	continuous	numeric-5.2	34	6	-
176	c_particip_2004	[COUNTRY] Democracy Barometer: Participation 2004	continuous	numeric-5.2	34	6	-
177	c_particip_2005	[COUNTRY] Democracy Barometer: Participation 2005	continuous	numeric-5.2	34	6	-
178	c_particip_2006	[COUNTRY] Democracy Barometer: Participation 2006	continuous	numeric-5.2	34	6	-
179	c_particip_2007	[COUNTRY] Democracy Barometer: Participation 2007	continuous	numeric-5.2	34	6	-
180	c_particip_2008	[COUNTRY] Democracy Barometer: Participation 2008	continuous	numeric-5.2	34	6	-
181	c_particip_2009	[COUNTRY] Democracy Barometer: Participation 2009	continuous	numeric-5.2	34	6	-
182	c_particip_2010	[COUNTRY] Democracy Barometer: Participation 2010	continuous	numeric-5.2	34	6	-
183	c_particip_2011	[COUNTRY] Democracy Barometer: Participation 2011	continuous	numeric-5.2	34	6	-
184	c_particip_2012	[COUNTRY] Democracy Barometer: Participation 2012	continuous	numeric-5.2	34	6	-
185	c_repres_1990	[COUNTRY] Democracy Barometer: Representation 1990	continuous	numeric-5.2	29	11	-
186	c_repres_1991	[COUNTRY] Democracy Barometer: Representation 1991	continuous	numeric-5.2	30	10	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
187	c_repres_1992	[COUNTRY] Democracy Barometer: Representation 1992	continuous	numeric-5.2	30	10	-
188	c_repres_1993	[COUNTRY] Democracy Barometer: Representation 1993	continuous	numeric-5.2	30	10	-
189	c_repres_1994	[COUNTRY] Democracy Barometer: Representation 1994	continuous	numeric-5.2	30	10	-
190	c_repres_1995	[COUNTRY] Democracy Barometer: Representation 1995	continuous	numeric-5.2	31	9	-
191	c_repres_1996	[COUNTRY] Democracy Barometer: Representation 1996	continuous	numeric-5.2	32	8	-
192	c_repres_1997	[COUNTRY] Democracy Barometer: Representation 1997	continuous	numeric-5.2	33	7	-
193	c_repres_1998	[COUNTRY] Democracy Barometer: Representation 1998	continuous	numeric-5.2	33	7	-
194	c_repres_1999	[COUNTRY] Democracy Barometer: Representation 1999	continuous	numeric-5.2	33	7	-
195	c_repres_2000	[COUNTRY] Democracy Barometer: Representation 2000	continuous	numeric-5.2	34	6	-
196	c_repres_2001	[COUNTRY] Democracy Barometer: Representation 2001	continuous	numeric-5.2	34	6	-
197	c_repres_2002	[COUNTRY] Democracy Barometer: Representation 2002	continuous	numeric-5.2	34	6	-
198	c_repres_2003	[COUNTRY] Democracy Barometer: Representation 2003	continuous	numeric-5.2	34	6	-
199	c_repres_2004	[COUNTRY] Democracy Barometer: Representation 2004	continuous	numeric-5.2	34	6	-
200	c_repres_2005	[COUNTRY] Democracy Barometer: Representation 2005	continuous	numeric-5.2	34	6	-
201	c_repres_2006	[COUNTRY] Democracy Barometer: Representation 2006	continuous	numeric-5.2	34	6	-
202	c_repres_2007	[COUNTRY] Democracy Barometer: Representation 2007	continuous	numeric-5.2	34	6	-
203	c_repres_2008	[COUNTRY] Democracy Barometer: Representation 2008	continuous	numeric-5.2	34	6	-
204	c_repres_2009	[COUNTRY] Democracy Barometer: Representation 2009	continuous	numeric-5.2	34	6	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
205	c_repres_2010	[COUNTRY] Democracy Barometer: Representation 2010	continuous	numeric-5.2	34	6	-
206	c_repres_2011	[COUNTRY] Democracy Barometer: Representation 2011	continuous	numeric-5.2	34	6	-
207	c_repres_2012	[COUNTRY] Democracy Barometer: Representation 2012	continuous	numeric-5.2	34	6	-

Group Worldwide Governance Indicators

Data source

The World Bank

The Worldwide Governance Indicators (WGI) project is based on a research program of the World Bank. The indicators are a compilation of the perceptions of a very diverse group of respondents, collected in large number of surveys and other cross-country assessments of governance. Some of these instruments capture the views of firms, individuals, and public officials in the countries being assessed. Others reflect the views of NGOs and aid donors with considerable experience in the countries being assessed, while others are based on the assessments of commercial risk-rating agencies. The database contains aggregate and individual governance indicators for 215 countries and territories over the period 1996–2012, for six dimensions of governance.

Variable information

Short description

The Worldwide Governance Indicators comprise the following variables:

- Voice and Accountability: capturing perceptions of the extent to which a country's citizens are able to participate in selecting their government, as well as freedom of expression, freedom of association, and a free media.
- Political Stability and Absence of Violence: capturing perceptions of the likelihood that the government will be destabilized or overthrown by unconstitutional or violent means, including politically-motivated violence and terrorism.
- Government Effectiveness: capturing perceptions of the quality of public services, the quality of the civil service and the degree of its independence from political pressures, the quality of policy formulation and implementation, and the credibility of the government's commitment to such policies.
- Regulatory Quality: capturing perceptions of the ability of the government to formulate and implement sound policies and regulations that permit and promote private sector development.
- Rule of Law: capturing perceptions of the extent to which agents have confidence in and abide by the rules of society, and in particular the quality of contract enforcement, property rights, the police, and the courts, as well as the likelihood of crime and violence.
- Control of Corruption: capturing perceptions of the extent to which public power is exercised for private gain, including both petty and grand forms of corruption, as well as "capture" of the state by elites and private interests.

Data sources

The WGI compile and summarize information from 32 existing data sources that report the views and experiences of citizens, entrepreneurs, and experts in the public, private and NGO sectors from around the world, on the quality of various aspects of governance. The WGI draw on four different types of source data:

- Surveys of households and firms (9 data sources including the Afrobarometer surveys, Gallup World Poll, and Global Competitiveness Report survey)
- Commercial business information providers (4 data sources including the Economist Intelligence Unit, Global Insight, Political Risk Services)
- Non-governmental organizations (11 data sources including Global Integrity, Freedom House, Reporters Without Borders)
- Public sector organizations (8 data sources including the CPIA assessments of World Bank and regional development banks, the EBRD Transition Report, French Ministry of Finance Institutional Profiles Database)

Geographical coverage

All ESS countries covered.

Time coverage
1996-2012.

Last update
25/09/2013.

Date of extraction
14/07/2014.

Missing values

Data is missing for the following observations:

- Kosovo: 1996-2002 (all variables except Voice and accountability)

Variables

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	c_accountability_	[COUNTRY] Voice and accountability 1996	continuous	numeric-5.2	36	4	-
2	c_accountability_	[COUNTRY] Voice and accountability 1998	continuous	numeric-5.2	36	4	-
3	c_accountability_	[COUNTRY] Voice and accountability 2000	continuous	numeric-5.2	36	4	-
4	c_accountability_	[COUNTRY] Voice and accountability 2002	continuous	numeric-5.2	36	4	-
5	c_accountability_	[COUNTRY] Voice and accountability 2003	continuous	numeric-5.2	36	4	-
6	c_accountability_	[COUNTRY] Voice and accountability 2004	continuous	numeric-5.2	36	4	-
7	c_accountability_	[COUNTRY] Voice and accountability 2005	continuous	numeric-5.2	36	4	-
8	c_accountability_	[COUNTRY] Voice and accountability 2006	continuous	numeric-5.2	36	4	-
9	c_accountability_	[COUNTRY] Voice and accountability 2007	continuous	numeric-5.2	36	4	-
10	c_accountability_	[COUNTRY] Voice and accountability 2008	continuous	numeric-5.2	36	4	-
11	c_accountability_	[COUNTRY] Voice and accountability 2009	continuous	numeric-5.2	36	4	-
12	c_accountability_	[COUNTRY] Voice and accountability 2010	continuous	numeric-5.2	36	4	-
13	c_accountability_	[COUNTRY] Voice and accountability 2011	continuous	numeric-5.2	36	4	-
14	c_accountability_	[COUNTRY] Voice and accountability 2012	continuous	numeric-5.2	36	4	-
15	c_corruption_1996	[COUNTRY] Control of corruption 1996	continuous	numeric-5.2	35	5	-
16	c_corruption_1998	[COUNTRY] Control of corruption 1998	continuous	numeric-5.2	35	5	-
17	c_corruption_2000	[COUNTRY] Control of corruption 2000	continuous	numeric-5.2	35	5	-
18	c_corruption_2002	[COUNTRY] Control of corruption 2002	continuous	numeric-5.2	35	5	-
19	c_corruption_2003	[COUNTRY] Control of corruption 2003	continuous	numeric-5.2	36	4	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
20	c_corruption_2004	[COUNTRY] Control of corruption 2004	continuous	numeric-5.2	36	4	-
21	c_corruption_2005	[COUNTRY] Control of corruption 2005	continuous	numeric-5.2	36	4	-
22	c_corruption_2006	[COUNTRY] Control of corruption 2006	continuous	numeric-5.2	36	4	-
23	c_corruption_2007	[COUNTRY] Control of corruption 2007	continuous	numeric-5.2	36	4	-
24	c_corruption_2008	[COUNTRY] Control of corruption 2008	continuous	numeric-5.2	36	4	-
25	c_corruption_2009	[COUNTRY] Control of corruption 2009	continuous	numeric-5.2	36	4	-
26	c_corruption_2010	[COUNTRY] Control of corruption 2010	continuous	numeric-5.2	36	4	-
27	c_corruption_2011	[COUNTRY] Control of corruption 2011	continuous	numeric-5.2	36	4	-
28	c_corruption_2012	[COUNTRY] Control of corruption 2012	continuous	numeric-5.2	36	4	-
29	c_effectiveness_1996	[COUNTRY] Government effectiveness 1996	continuous	numeric-5.2	35	5	-
30	c_effectiveness_1998	[COUNTRY] Government effectiveness 1998	continuous	numeric-5.2	35	5	-
31	c_effectiveness_2000	[COUNTRY] Government effectiveness 2000	continuous	numeric-5.2	35	5	-
32	c_effectiveness_2002	[COUNTRY] Government effectiveness 2002	continuous	numeric-5.2	35	5	-
33	c_effectiveness_2003	[COUNTRY] Government effectiveness 2003	continuous	numeric-5.2	35	5	-
34	c_effectiveness_2004	[COUNTRY] Government effectiveness 2004	continuous	numeric-5.2	35	5	-
35	c_effectiveness_2005	[COUNTRY] Government effectiveness 2005	continuous	numeric-5.2	35	5	-
36	c_effectiveness_2006	[COUNTRY] Government effectiveness 2006	continuous	numeric-5.2	36	4	-
37	c_effectiveness_2007	[COUNTRY] Government effectiveness 2007	continuous	numeric-5.2	36	4	-
38	c_effectiveness_2008	[COUNTRY] Government effectiveness 2008	continuous	numeric-5.2	36	4	-
39	c_effectiveness_2009	[COUNTRY] Government effectiveness 2009	continuous	numeric-5.2	36	4	-
40	c_effectiveness_2010	[COUNTRY] Government effectiveness 2010	continuous	numeric-5.2	36	4	-
41	c_effectiveness_2011	[COUNTRY] Government effectiveness 2011	continuous	numeric-5.2	36	4	-
42	c_effectiveness_2012	[COUNTRY] Government effectiveness 2012	continuous	numeric-5.2	36	4	-
43	c_law_1996	[COUNTRY] Rule of law 1996	continuous	numeric-5.2	35	5	-
44	c_law_1998	[COUNTRY] Rule of law 1998	continuous	numeric-5.2	35	5	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
45	c_law_2000	[COUNTRY] Rule of law 2000	continuous	numeric-5.2	35	5	-
46	c_law_2002	[COUNTRY] Rule of law 2002	continuous	numeric-5.2	35	5	-
47	c_law_2003	[COUNTRY] Rule of law 2003	continuous	numeric-5.2	36	4	-
48	c_law_2004	[COUNTRY] Rule of law 2004	continuous	numeric-5.2	36	4	-
49	c_law_2005	[COUNTRY] Rule of law 2005	continuous	numeric-5.2	36	4	-
50	c_law_2006	[COUNTRY] Rule of law 2006	continuous	numeric-5.2	36	4	-
51	c_law_2007	[COUNTRY] Rule of law 2007	continuous	numeric-5.2	36	4	-
52	c_law_2008	[COUNTRY] Rule of law 2008	continuous	numeric-5.2	36	4	-
53	c_law_2009	[COUNTRY] Rule of law 2009	continuous	numeric-5.2	36	4	-
54	c_law_2010	[COUNTRY] Rule of law 2010	continuous	numeric-5.2	36	4	-
55	c_law_2011	[COUNTRY] Rule of law 2011	continuous	numeric-5.2	36	4	-
56	c_law_2012	[COUNTRY] Rule of law 2012	continuous	numeric-5.2	36	4	-
57	c_quality_1996	[COUNTRY] Regulatory quality 1996	continuous	numeric-5.2	35	5	-
58	c_quality_1998	[COUNTRY] Regulatory quality 1998	continuous	numeric-5.2	35	5	-
59	c_quality_2000	[COUNTRY] Regulatory quality 2000	continuous	numeric-5.2	35	5	-
60	c_quality_2002	[COUNTRY] Regulatory quality 2002	continuous	numeric-5.2	35	5	-
61	c_quality_2003	[COUNTRY] Regulatory quality 2003	continuous	numeric-5.2	35	5	-
62	c_quality_2004	[COUNTRY] Regulatory quality 2004	continuous	numeric-5.2	35	5	-
63	c_quality_2005	[COUNTRY] Regulatory quality 2005	continuous	numeric-5.2	35	5	-
64	c_quality_2006	[COUNTRY] Regulatory quality 2006	continuous	numeric-5.2	35	5	-
65	c_quality_2007	[COUNTRY] Regulatory quality 2007	continuous	numeric-5.2	36	4	-
66	c_quality_2008	[COUNTRY] Regulatory quality 2008	continuous	numeric-5.2	36	4	-
67	c_quality_2009	[COUNTRY] Regulatory quality 2009	continuous	numeric-5.2	36	4	-
68	c_quality_2010	[COUNTRY] Regulatory quality 2010	continuous	numeric-5.2	36	4	-
69	c_quality_2011	[COUNTRY] Regulatory quality 2011	continuous	numeric-5.2	36	4	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
70	c_quality_2012	[COUNTRY] Regulatory quality 2012	continuous	numeric-5.2	36	4	-
71	c_stability_1996	[COUNTRY] Political stability, no violence 1996	continuous	numeric-5.2	35	5	-
72	c_stability_1998	[COUNTRY] Political stability, no violence 1998	continuous	numeric-5.2	35	5	-
73	c_stability_2000	[COUNTRY] Political stability, no violence 2000	continuous	numeric-5.2	35	5	-
74	c_stability_2002	[COUNTRY] Political stability, no violence 2002	continuous	numeric-5.2	35	5	-
75	c_stability_2003	[COUNTRY] Political stability, no violence 2003	continuous	numeric-5.2	35	5	-
76	c_stability_2004	[COUNTRY] Political stability, no violence 2004	continuous	numeric-5.2	35	5	-
77	c_stability_2005	[COUNTRY] Political stability, no violence 2005	continuous	numeric-5.2	35	5	-
78	c_stability_2006	[COUNTRY] Political stability, no violence 2006	continuous	numeric-5.2	35	5	-
79	c_stability_2007	[COUNTRY] Political stability, no violence 2007	continuous	numeric-5.2	35	5	-
80	c_stability_2008	[COUNTRY] Political stability, no violence 2008	continuous	numeric-5.2	36	4	-
81	c_stability_2009	[COUNTRY] Political stability, no violence 2009	continuous	numeric-5.2	36	4	-
82	c_stability_2010	[COUNTRY] Political stability, no violence 2010	continuous	numeric-5.2	36	4	-
83	c_stability_2011	[COUNTRY] Political stability, no violence 2011	continuous	numeric-5.2	36	4	-
84	c_stability_2012	[COUNTRY] Political stability, no violence 2012	continuous	numeric-5.2	36	4	-

Group Comparative Political Dataset

Data source

The Comparative Political Data Sets

The Comparative Political Data Sets are three separate datasets compiled by Armingeon et al. (2014) at the University of Bern. The three datasets contain a collection of political and institutional data, but cover different countries and time periods. Included in the ESS MD is the dataset CPDS III, which consists of political and institutional data for a group of 36 OECD and/or EU-member countries for the period 1990-2012.

Variable information

Short description

Compiled from the CPDS are 17 variables concerning political systems and institutions.

Data interpretation

- Cabinet composition, Schmidt-Index: (1) hegemony of right-wing (and centre) parties, (2) dominance of right-wing (and centre) parties, (3) balance of power between left and right, (4) dominance of social-democratic and other left parties, (5) hegemony of social-democratic and other left parties.
- Type of Government: (1) single party majority government, (2) minimal winning coalition, (3) surplus coalition, (4) single party minority government, (5) multi party minority government, (6) caretaker government (temporarily).
- Number of changes in government per year: Termination of government due to (a) elections, (b) resignation of the Prime Minister, (c) dissension within government, (d) lack of parliamentary support, or (e) intervention by the head of state.

- Date of most recent election of national parliament: Date of election of national parliament (lower house). If there were two elections in a year, the date of the second is given.
- Voter turnout in most recent election.
- Percentage of women in parliaments. Entries refer to the composition of the parliament at the end of the corresponding year
- Index of electoral fractionalization of the party system: Electoral fractionalization of the party system according to the formula proposed by Rae (1968).
- Index of legislative fractionalization of the party system: Legislative fractionalization of the party system according to the formula proposed by Rae (1968).
- Effective number of parties on the votes level: Effective number of parties on the votes level according to the formula proposed by Laakso and Taagepera (1979). The effective number of parties uses the same information as the Rae-Index.
- Effective number of parties on the seats level: Effective number of parties on the seats level according to the formula proposed by Laakso and Taagepera (1979). The effective number of parties uses the same information as the Rae-Index.
- Index of absolute disproportionality: Index of absolute disproportionality. For example, a score of 1 means that on the seats level there is, on average, one (effective) party less than on the votes level. 'Absolute' refers to the calculation of the differences between the effective number of parties in elections and parliaments without taking the fractionalization of the party system into account. The more fractionalized a party system, the greater the likelihood – ceteris paribus – of high values of absolute disproportionality. The index is calculated as follows: Absolute disproportionality = Effective number of parties on the votes level / Effective number of parties on the seats level
- Index of relative disproportionality: This index is weighted by the total number of parties on the votes level. It is calculated as follows: Relative disproportionality = (Effective number of parties on the votes level - Effective number of parties on the seats level) / Effective number of parties on the votes level
- Executive legislative relations: Coded 0 = parliamentary, 1 = president, 2 = semi-presidential dominated by president, 3 = semi-presidential system dominated by parliament, 4 = hybrid system.
- Electoral system: Coded 0 = plurality formula, 1 = majority-plurality/alternative vote, 2 = semiproportional formulas, 3 = list proportional representation, 4 = mixed member proportional representation, 5 = single transferable vote (pr)
- Index of bicameralism Coded 1 = unicameralism, (1.5), 2 = weak bicameralism, (2.5), 3 = medium strength bicameralism, (3.5), 4 = strong bicameralism
- Judicial review: Coded 0 = no, 1 = yes

Geographical coverage

All ESS countries covered, except for Albania, Israel, Russian Federation, Ukraine and Kosovo.

Time coverage

1990-2012.

Last update

October 2014.

Date of extraction

01/10/2014.

Literature

Klaus Armingeon, Laura Knöpfel, David Weisstanner and Sarah Engler. 2014. Comparative Political Data Set III 1990-2012. Bern: Institute of Political Science, University of Berne.

Rae, Douglas W. 1968. A note on the Fractionalization of Some European Party Systems. *Comparative Political Studies* 1: 413-418.

Laakso, Markku and Rein Taagepera. 1979. Effective number of Parties: A measure with Application to West Europe. *Comparative Political Studies* 12(1): 3-27.

Missing values

Data is missing for the following observations:

- Estonia: 1990-1991
- Lithuania: 1990-1991
- Poland: 1990

Variables

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	c_govparty_1990	[COUNTRY] Cabinet composition 1990	discrete	numeric-1.0	24	16	-
2	c_govparty_1991	[COUNTRY] Cabinet composition 1991	discrete	numeric-1.0	25	15	-
3	c_govparty_1992	[COUNTRY] Cabinet composition 1992	discrete	numeric-1.0	27	13	-
4	c_govparty_1993	[COUNTRY] Cabinet composition 1993	discrete	numeric-1.0	28	12	-
5	c_govparty_1994	[COUNTRY] Cabinet composition 1994	discrete	numeric-1.0	28	12	-
6	c_govparty_1995	[COUNTRY] Cabinet composition 1995	discrete	numeric-1.0	29	11	-
7	c_govparty_1996	[COUNTRY] Cabinet composition 1996	discrete	numeric-1.0	29	11	-
8	c_govparty_1997	[COUNTRY] Cabinet composition 1997	discrete	numeric-1.0	29	11	-
9	c_govparty_1998	[COUNTRY] Cabinet composition 1998	discrete	numeric-1.0	29	11	-
10	c_govparty_1999	[COUNTRY] Cabinet composition 1999	discrete	numeric-1.0	29	11	-
11	c_govparty_2000	[COUNTRY] Cabinet composition 2000	discrete	numeric-1.0	30	10	-
12	c_govparty_2001	[COUNTRY] Cabinet composition 2001	discrete	numeric-1.0	30	10	-
13	c_govparty_2002	[COUNTRY] Cabinet composition 2002	discrete	numeric-1.0	30	10	-
14	c_govparty_2003	[COUNTRY] Cabinet composition 2003	discrete	numeric-1.0	30	10	-
15	c_govparty_2004	[COUNTRY] Cabinet composition 2004	discrete	numeric-1.0	30	10	-
16	c_govparty_2005	[COUNTRY] Cabinet composition 2005	discrete	numeric-1.0	30	10	-
17	c_govparty_2006	[COUNTRY] Cabinet composition 2006	discrete	numeric-1.0	30	10	-
18	c_govparty_2007	[COUNTRY] Cabinet composition 2007	discrete	numeric-1.0	30	10	-
19	c_govparty_2008	[COUNTRY] Cabinet composition 2008	discrete	numeric-1.0	30	10	-
20	c_govparty_2009	[COUNTRY] Cabinet composition 2009	discrete	numeric-1.0	30	10	-
21	c_govparty_2010	[COUNTRY] Cabinet composition 2010	discrete	numeric-1.0	30	10	-
22	c_govparty_2011	[COUNTRY] Cabinet composition 2011	discrete	numeric-1.0	30	10	-
23	c_govparty_2012	[COUNTRY] Cabinet composition 2012	discrete	numeric-1.0	29	11	-
24	c_gov_type_1990	[COUNTRY] Type of Government 1990	discrete	numeric-1.0	24	16	-
25	c_gov_type_1991	[COUNTRY] Type of Government 1991	discrete	numeric-1.0	25	15	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
26	c_gov_type_1992	[COUNTRY] Type of Government 1992	discrete	numeric-1.0	27	13	-
27	c_gov_type_1993	[COUNTRY] Type of Government 1993	discrete	numeric-1.0	29	11	-
28	c_gov_type_1994	[COUNTRY] Type of Government 1994	discrete	numeric-1.0	29	11	-
29	c_gov_type_1995	[COUNTRY] Type of Government 1995	discrete	numeric-1.0	29	11	-
30	c_gov_type_1996	[COUNTRY] Type of Government 1996	discrete	numeric-1.0	29	11	-
31	c_gov_type_1997	[COUNTRY] Type of Government 1997	discrete	numeric-1.0	29	11	-
32	c_gov_type_1998	[COUNTRY] Type of Government 1998	discrete	numeric-1.0	29	11	-
33	c_gov_type_1999	[COUNTRY] Type of Government 1999	discrete	numeric-1.0	29	11	-
34	c_gov_type_2000	[COUNTRY] Type of Government 2000	discrete	numeric-1.0	30	10	-
35	c_gov_type_2001	[COUNTRY] Type of Government 2001	discrete	numeric-1.0	30	10	-
36	c_gov_type_2002	[COUNTRY] Type of Government 2002	discrete	numeric-1.0	30	10	-
37	c_gov_type_2003	[COUNTRY] Type of Government 2003	discrete	numeric-1.0	30	10	-
38	c_gov_type_2004	[COUNTRY] Type of Government 2004	discrete	numeric-1.0	30	10	-
39	c_gov_type_2005	[COUNTRY] Type of Government 2005	discrete	numeric-1.0	30	10	-
40	c_gov_type_2006	[COUNTRY] Type of Government 2006	discrete	numeric-1.0	30	10	-
41	c_gov_type_2007	[COUNTRY] Type of Government 2007	discrete	numeric-1.0	30	10	-
42	c_gov_type_2008	[COUNTRY] Type of Government 2008	discrete	numeric-1.0	30	10	-
43	c_gov_type_2009	[COUNTRY] Type of Government 2009	discrete	numeric-1.0	30	10	-
44	c_gov_type_2010	[COUNTRY] Type of Government 2010	discrete	numeric-1.0	30	10	-
45	c_gov_type_2011	[COUNTRY] Type of Government 2011	discrete	numeric-1.0	30	10	-
46	c_gov_type_2012	[COUNTRY] Type of Government 2012	discrete	numeric-1.0	30	10	-
47	c_gov_chan_1990	[COUNTRY] Number of changes in government per year 1990	discrete	numeric-1.0	24	16	-
48	c_gov_chan_1991	[COUNTRY] Number of changes in government per year 1991	discrete	numeric-1.0	25	15	-
49	c_gov_chan_1992	[COUNTRY] Number of changes in government per year 1992	discrete	numeric-1.0	27	13	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
50	c_gov_chan_1993	[COUNTRY] Number of changes in government per year 1993	discrete	numeric-1.0	29	11	-
51	c_gov_chan_1994	[COUNTRY] Number of changes in government per year 1994	discrete	numeric-1.0	29	11	-
52	c_gov_chan_1995	[COUNTRY] Number of changes in government per year 1995	discrete	numeric-1.0	29	11	-
53	c_gov_chan_1996	[COUNTRY] Number of changes in government per year 1996	discrete	numeric-1.0	29	11	-
54	c_gov_chan_1997	[COUNTRY] Number of changes in government per year 1997	discrete	numeric-1.0	29	11	-
55	c_gov_chan_1998	[COUNTRY] Number of changes in government per year 1998	discrete	numeric-1.0	29	11	-
56	c_gov_chan_1999	[COUNTRY] Number of changes in government per year 1999	discrete	numeric-1.0	29	11	-
57	c_gov_chan_2000	[COUNTRY] Number of changes in government per year 2000	discrete	numeric-1.0	30	10	-
58	c_gov_chan_2001	[COUNTRY] Number of changes in government per year 2001	discrete	numeric-1.0	30	10	-
59	c_gov_chan_2002	[COUNTRY] Number of changes in government per year 2002	discrete	numeric-1.0	30	10	-
60	c_gov_chan_2003	[COUNTRY] Number of changes in government per year 2003	discrete	numeric-1.0	30	10	-
61	c_gov_chan_2004	[COUNTRY] Number of changes in government per year 2004	discrete	numeric-1.0	30	10	-
62	c_gov_chan_2005	[COUNTRY] Number of changes in government per year 2005	discrete	numeric-1.0	30	10	-
63	c_gov_chan_2006	[COUNTRY] Number of changes in government per year 2006	discrete	numeric-1.0	30	10	-
64	c_gov_chan_2007	[COUNTRY] Number of changes in government per year 2007	discrete	numeric-1.0	30	10	-
65	c_gov_chan_2008	[COUNTRY] Number of changes in government per year 2008	discrete	numeric-1.0	30	10	-
66	c_gov_chan_2009	[COUNTRY] Number of changes in government per year 2009	discrete	numeric-1.0	30	10	-
67	c_gov_chan_2010	[COUNTRY] Number of changes in government per year 2010	discrete	numeric-1.0	30	10	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
68	c_gov_chan_2011	[COUNTRY] Number of changes in government per year 2011	discrete	numeric-1.0	30	10	-
69	c_gov_chan_2012	[COUNTRY] Number of changes in government per year 2012	discrete	numeric-1.0	30	10	-
70	c_elect_1990	[COUNTRY] Date of most recent election of national parliament 1990	discrete	character-11	10	-	-
71	c_elect_1991	[COUNTRY] Date of most recent election of national parliament 1991	discrete	character-11	18	-	-
72	c_elect_1992	[COUNTRY] Date of most recent election of national parliament 1992	discrete	character-11	23	-	-
73	c_elect_1993	[COUNTRY] Date of most recent election of national parliament 1993	discrete	character-11	27	-	-
74	c_elect_1994	[COUNTRY] Date of most recent election of national parliament 1994	discrete	character-11	29	-	-
75	c_elect_1995	[COUNTRY] Date of most recent election of national parliament 1995	discrete	character-11	29	-	-
76	c_elect_1996	[COUNTRY] Date of most recent election of national parliament 1996	discrete	character-11	29	-	-
77	c_elect_1997	[COUNTRY] Date of most recent election of national parliament 1997	discrete	character-11	29	-	-
78	c_elect_1998	[COUNTRY] Date of most recent election of national parliament 1998	discrete	character-11	29	-	-
79	c_elect_1999	[COUNTRY] Date of most recent election of national parliament 1999	discrete	character-11	29	-	-
80	c_elect_2000	[COUNTRY] Date of most recent election of national parliament 2000	discrete	character-11	30	-	-
81	c_elect_2001	[COUNTRY] Date of most recent election of national parliament 2001	discrete	character-11	30	-	-
82	c_elect_2002	[COUNTRY] Date of most recent election of national parliament 2002	discrete	character-11	30	-	-
83	c_elect_2003	[COUNTRY] Date of most recent election of national parliament 2003	discrete	character-11	30	-	-
84	c_elect_2004	[COUNTRY] Date of most recent election of national parliament 2004	discrete	character-11	30	-	-
85	c_elect_2005	[COUNTRY] Date of most recent election of national parliament 2005	discrete	character-11	30	-	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
86	c_elect_2006	[COUNTRY] Date of most recent election of national parliament 2006	discrete	character-11	30	-	-
87	c_elect_2007	[COUNTRY] Date of most recent election of national parliament 2007	discrete	character-11	30	-	-
88	c_elect_2008	[COUNTRY] Date of most recent election of national parliament 2008	discrete	character-11	30	-	-
89	c_elect_2009	[COUNTRY] Date of most recent election of national parliament 2009	discrete	character-11	30	-	-
90	c_elect_2010	[COUNTRY] Date of most recent election of national parliament 2010	discrete	character-11	30	-	-
91	c_elect_2011	[COUNTRY] Date of most recent election of national parliament 2011	discrete	character-11	30	-	-
92	c_elect_2012	[COUNTRY] Date of most recent election of national parliament 2012	discrete	character-11	29	-	-
93	c_vturn_1990	[COUNTRY] Voter turnout in most recent election 1990	continuous	numeric-5.2	25	15	-
94	c_vturn_1991	[COUNTRY] Voter turnout in most recent election 1991	continuous	numeric-5.2	26	14	-
95	c_vturn_1992	[COUNTRY] Voter turnout in most recent election 1992	continuous	numeric-5.2	28	12	-
96	c_vturn_1993	[COUNTRY] Voter turnout in most recent election 1993	continuous	numeric-5.2	29	11	-
97	c_vturn_1994	[COUNTRY] Voter turnout in most recent election 1994	continuous	numeric-5.2	29	11	-
98	c_vturn_1995	[COUNTRY] Voter turnout in most recent election 1995	continuous	numeric-5.2	29	11	-
99	c_vturn_1996	[COUNTRY] Voter turnout in most recent election 1996	continuous	numeric-5.2	29	11	-
100	c_vturn_1997	[COUNTRY] Voter turnout in most recent election 1997	continuous	numeric-5.2	29	11	-
101	c_vturn_1998	[COUNTRY] Voter turnout in most recent election 1998	continuous	numeric-5.2	29	11	-
102	c_vturn_1999	[COUNTRY] Voter turnout in most recent election 1999	continuous	numeric-5.2	29	11	-
103	c_vturn_2000	[COUNTRY] Voter turnout in most recent election 2000	continuous	numeric-5.2	30	10	-
104	c_vturn_2001	[COUNTRY] Voter turnout in most recent election 2001	continuous	numeric-5.2	30	10	-
105	c_vturn_2002	[COUNTRY] Voter turnout in most recent election 2002	continuous	numeric-5.2	30	10	-
106	c_vturn_2003	[COUNTRY] Voter turnout in most recent election 2003	continuous	numeric-5.2	30	10	-
107	c_vturn_2004	[COUNTRY] Voter turnout in most recent election 2004	continuous	numeric-5.2	30	10	-
108	c_vturn_2005	[COUNTRY] Voter turnout in most recent election 2005	continuous	numeric-5.2	30	10	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
109	c_vturn_2006	[COUNTRY] Voter turnout in most recent election 2006	continuous	numeric-5.2	30	10	-
110	c_vturn_2007	[COUNTRY] Voter turnout in most recent election 2007	continuous	numeric-5.2	30	10	-
111	c_vturn_2008	[COUNTRY] Voter turnout in most recent election 2008	continuous	numeric-5.2	30	10	-
112	c_vturn_2009	[COUNTRY] Voter turnout in most recent election 2009	continuous	numeric-5.2	30	10	-
113	c_vturn_2010	[COUNTRY] Voter turnout in most recent election 2010	continuous	numeric-5.2	30	10	-
114	c_vturn_2011	[COUNTRY] Voter turnout in most recent election 2011	continuous	numeric-5.2	30	10	-
115	c_vturn_2012	[COUNTRY] Voter turnout in most recent election 2012	continuous	numeric-5.2	28	12	-
116	c_womenpar_199	[COUNTRY] Percentage of women in parliaments 1990	continuous	numeric-5.2	22	18	-
117	c_womenpar_199	[COUNTRY] Percentage of women in parliaments 1991	continuous	numeric-5.2	23	17	-
118	c_womenpar_199	[COUNTRY] Percentage of women in parliaments 1992	continuous	numeric-5.2	28	12	-
119	c_womenpar_199	[COUNTRY] Percentage of women in parliaments 1993	continuous	numeric-5.2	29	11	-
120	c_womenpar_199	[COUNTRY] Percentage of women in parliaments 1994	continuous	numeric-5.2	29	11	-
121	c_womenpar_199	[COUNTRY] Percentage of women in parliaments 1995	continuous	numeric-5.2	29	11	-
122	c_womenpar_199	[COUNTRY] Percentage of women in parliaments 1996	continuous	numeric-5.2	29	11	-
123	c_womenpar_199	[COUNTRY] Percentage of women in parliaments 1997	continuous	numeric-5.2	29	11	-
124	c_womenpar_199	[COUNTRY] Percentage of women in parliaments 1998	continuous	numeric-5.2	29	11	-
125	c_womenpar_199	[COUNTRY] Percentage of women in parliaments 1999	continuous	numeric-5.2	29	11	-
126	c_womenpar_200	[COUNTRY] Percentage of women in parliaments 2000	continuous	numeric-5.2	30	10	-
127	c_womenpar_200	[COUNTRY] Percentage of women in parliaments 2001	continuous	numeric-5.2	30	10	-
128	c_womenpar_200	[COUNTRY] Percentage of women in parliaments 2002	continuous	numeric-5.2	30	10	-
129	c_womenpar_200	[COUNTRY] Percentage of women in parliaments 2003	continuous	numeric-5.2	30	10	-
130	c_womenpar_200	[COUNTRY] Percentage of women in parliaments 2004	continuous	numeric-5.2	30	10	-
131	c_womenpar_200	[COUNTRY] Percentage of women in parliaments 2005	continuous	numeric-5.2	30	10	-
132	c_womenpar_200	[COUNTRY] Percentage of women in parliaments 2006	continuous	numeric-5.2	30	10	-
133	c_womenpar_200	[COUNTRY] Percentage of women in parliaments 2007	continuous	numeric-5.2	30	10	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
134	c_womenpar_200	[COUNTRY] Percentage of women in parliaments 2008	continuous	numeric-5.2	30	10	-
135	c_womenpar_200	[COUNTRY] Percentage of women in parliaments 2009	continuous	numeric-5.2	30	10	-
136	c_womenpar_201	[COUNTRY] Percentage of women in parliaments 2010	continuous	numeric-5.2	30	10	-
137	c_womenpar_201	[COUNTRY] Percentage of women in parliaments 2011	continuous	numeric-5.2	30	10	-
138	c_womenpar_201	[COUNTRY] Percentage of women in parliaments 2012	continuous	numeric-5.2	30	10	-
139	c_rae_ele_1990	[COUNTRY] Index of electoral fractionalization of the party system 1990	continuous	numeric-5.2	25	15	-
140	c_rae_ele_1991	[COUNTRY] Index of electoral fractionalization of the party system 1991	continuous	numeric-5.2	26	14	-
141	c_rae_ele_1992	[COUNTRY] Index of electoral fractionalization of the party system 1992	continuous	numeric-5.2	28	12	-
142	c_rae_ele_1993	[COUNTRY] Index of electoral fractionalization of the party system 1993	continuous	numeric-5.2	29	11	-
143	c_rae_ele_1994	[COUNTRY] Index of electoral fractionalization of the party system 1994	continuous	numeric-5.2	29	11	-
144	c_rae_ele_1995	[COUNTRY] Index of electoral fractionalization of the party system 1995	continuous	numeric-5.2	29	11	-
145	c_rae_ele_1996	[COUNTRY] Index of electoral fractionalization of the party system 1996	continuous	numeric-5.2	29	11	-
146	c_rae_ele_1997	[COUNTRY] Index of electoral fractionalization of the party system 1997	continuous	numeric-5.2	29	11	-
147	c_rae_ele_1998	[COUNTRY] Index of electoral fractionalization of the party system 1998	continuous	numeric-5.2	29	11	-
148	c_rae_ele_1999	[COUNTRY] Index of electoral fractionalization of the party system 1999	continuous	numeric-5.2	29	11	-
149	c_rae_ele_2000	[COUNTRY] Index of electoral fractionalization of the party system 2000	continuous	numeric-5.2	30	10	-
150	c_rae_ele_2001	[COUNTRY] Index of electoral fractionalization of the party system 2001	continuous	numeric-5.2	30	10	-
151	c_rae_ele_2002	[COUNTRY] Index of electoral fractionalization of the party system 2002	continuous	numeric-5.2	30	10	-
152	c_rae_ele_2003	[COUNTRY] Index of electoral fractionalization of the party system 2003	continuous	numeric-5.2	30	10	-
153	c_rae_ele_2004	[COUNTRY] Index of electoral fractionalization of the party system 2004	continuous	numeric-5.2	30	10	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
154	c_rae_ele_2005	[COUNTRY] Index of electoral fractionalization of the party system 2005	continuous	numeric-5.2	30	10	-
155	c_rae_ele_2006	[COUNTRY] Index of electoral fractionalization of the party system 2006	continuous	numeric-5.2	30	10	-
156	c_rae_ele_2007	[COUNTRY] Index of electoral fractionalization of the party system 2007	continuous	numeric-5.2	30	10	-
157	c_rae_ele_2008	[COUNTRY] Index of electoral fractionalization of the party system 2008	continuous	numeric-5.2	30	10	-
158	c_rae_ele_2009	[COUNTRY] Index of electoral fractionalization of the party system 2009	continuous	numeric-5.2	30	10	-
159	c_rae_ele_2010	[COUNTRY] Index of electoral fractionalization of the party system 2010	continuous	numeric-5.2	30	10	-
160	c_rae_ele_2011	[COUNTRY] Index of electoral fractionalization of the party system 2011	continuous	numeric-5.2	30	10	-
161	c_rae_ele_2012	[COUNTRY] Index of electoral fractionalization of the party system 2012	continuous	numeric-5.2	30	10	-
162	c_rae_leg_1990	[COUNTRY] Index of legislative fractionalization of the party system 1990	continuous	numeric-5.2	25	15	-
163	c_rae_leg_1991	[COUNTRY] Index of legislative fractionalization of the party system 1991	continuous	numeric-5.2	26	14	-
164	c_rae_leg_1992	[COUNTRY] Index of legislative fractionalization of the party system 1992	continuous	numeric-5.2	28	12	-
165	c_rae_leg_1993	[COUNTRY] Index of legislative fractionalization of the party system 1993	continuous	numeric-5.2	29	11	-
166	c_rae_leg_1994	[COUNTRY] Index of legislative fractionalization of the party system 1994	continuous	numeric-5.2	29	11	-
167	c_rae_leg_1995	[COUNTRY] Index of legislative fractionalization of the party system 1995	continuous	numeric-5.2	29	11	-
168	c_rae_leg_1996	[COUNTRY] Index of legislative fractionalization of the party system 1996	continuous	numeric-5.2	29	11	-
169	c_rae_leg_1997	[COUNTRY] Index of legislative fractionalization of the party system 1997	continuous	numeric-5.2	29	11	-
170	c_rae_leg_1998	[COUNTRY] Index of legislative fractionalization of the party system 1998	continuous	numeric-5.2	29	11	-
171	c_rae_leg_1999	[COUNTRY] Index of legislative fractionalization of the party system 1999	continuous	numeric-5.2	29	11	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
172	c_rae_leg_2000	[COUNTRY] Index of legislative fractionalization of the party system 2000	continuous	numeric-5.2	30	10	-
173	c_rae_leg_2001	[COUNTRY] Index of legislative fractionalization of the party system 2001	continuous	numeric-5.2	30	10	-
174	c_rae_leg_2002	[COUNTRY] Index of legislative fractionalization of the party system 2002	continuous	numeric-5.2	30	10	-
175	c_rae_leg_2003	[COUNTRY] Index of legislative fractionalization of the party system 2003	continuous	numeric-5.2	30	10	-
176	c_rae_leg_2004	[COUNTRY] Index of legislative fractionalization of the party system 2004	continuous	numeric-5.2	30	10	-
177	c_rae_leg_2005	[COUNTRY] Index of legislative fractionalization of the party system 2005	continuous	numeric-5.2	30	10	-
178	c_rae_leg_2006	[COUNTRY] Index of legislative fractionalization of the party system 2006	continuous	numeric-5.2	30	10	-
179	c_rae_leg_2007	[COUNTRY] Index of legislative fractionalization of the party system 2007	continuous	numeric-5.2	30	10	-
180	c_rae_leg_2008	[COUNTRY] Index of legislative fractionalization of the party system 2008	continuous	numeric-5.2	30	10	-
181	c_rae_leg_2009	[COUNTRY] Index of legislative fractionalization of the party system 2009	continuous	numeric-5.2	30	10	-
182	c_rae_leg_2010	[COUNTRY] Index of legislative fractionalization of the party system 2010	continuous	numeric-5.2	30	10	-
183	c_rae_leg_2011	[COUNTRY] Index of legislative fractionalization of the party system 2011	continuous	numeric-5.2	30	10	-
184	c_rae_leg_2012	[COUNTRY] Index of legislative fractionalization of the party system 2012	continuous	numeric-5.2	30	10	-
185	c_effpar_ele_1990	[COUNTRY] Effective number of parties on the votes level 1990	continuous	numeric-4.2	25	15	-
186	c_effpar_ele_1991	[COUNTRY] Effective number of parties on the votes level 1991	continuous	numeric-5.2	26	14	-
187	c_effpar_ele_1992	[COUNTRY] Effective number of parties on the votes level 1992	continuous	numeric-5.2	28	12	-
188	c_effpar_ele_1993	[COUNTRY] Effective number of parties on the votes level 1993	continuous	numeric-4.2	29	11	-
189	c_effpar_ele_1994	[COUNTRY] Effective number of parties on the votes level 1994	continuous	numeric-4.2	29	11	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
190	c_effpar_ele_1995	[COUNTRY] Effective number of parties on the votes level 1995	continuous	numeric-4.2	29	11	-
191	c_effpar_ele_1996	[COUNTRY] Effective number of parties on the votes level 1996	continuous	numeric-4.2	29	11	-
192	c_effpar_ele_1997	[COUNTRY] Effective number of parties on the votes level 1997	continuous	numeric-4.2	29	11	-
193	c_effpar_ele_1998	[COUNTRY] Effective number of parties on the votes level 1998	continuous	numeric-4.2	29	11	-
194	c_effpar_ele_1999	[COUNTRY] Effective number of parties on the votes level 1999	continuous	numeric-5.2	29	11	-
195	c_effpar_ele_2000	[COUNTRY] Effective number of parties on the votes level 2000	continuous	numeric-5.2	30	10	-
196	c_effpar_ele_2001	[COUNTRY] Effective number of parties on the votes level 2001	continuous	numeric-5.2	30	10	-
197	c_effpar_ele_2002	[COUNTRY] Effective number of parties on the votes level 2002	continuous	numeric-5.2	30	10	-
198	c_effpar_ele_2003	[COUNTRY] Effective number of parties on the votes level 2003	continuous	numeric-4.2	30	10	-
199	c_effpar_ele_2004	[COUNTRY] Effective number of parties on the votes level 2004	continuous	numeric-4.2	30	10	-
200	c_effpar_ele_2005	[COUNTRY] Effective number of parties on the votes level 2005	continuous	numeric-4.2	30	10	-
201	c_effpar_ele_2006	[COUNTRY] Effective number of parties on the votes level 2006	continuous	numeric-4.2	30	10	-
202	c_effpar_ele_2007	[COUNTRY] Effective number of parties on the votes level 2007	continuous	numeric-4.2	30	10	-
203	c_effpar_ele_2008	[COUNTRY] Effective number of parties on the votes level 2008	continuous	numeric-4.2	30	10	-
204	c_effpar_ele_2009	[COUNTRY] Effective number of parties on the votes level 2009	continuous	numeric-4.2	30	10	-
205	c_effpar_ele_2010	[COUNTRY] Effective number of parties on the votes level 2010	continuous	numeric-5.2	30	10	-
206	c_effpar_ele_2011	[COUNTRY] Effective number of parties on the votes level 2011	continuous	numeric-5.2	30	10	-
207	c_effpar_ele_2012	[COUNTRY] Effective number of parties on the votes level 2012	continuous	numeric-5.2	30	10	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
208	c_effpar_leg_1990	[COUNTRY] Effective number of parties on the seats level 1990	continuous	numeric-4.2	25	15	-
209	c_effpar_leg_1991	[COUNTRY] Effective number of parties on the seats level 1991	continuous	numeric-5.2	26	14	-
210	c_effpar_leg_1992	[COUNTRY] Effective number of parties on the seats level 1992	continuous	numeric-5.2	28	12	-
211	c_effpar_leg_1993	[COUNTRY] Effective number of parties on the seats level 1993	continuous	numeric-4.2	29	11	-
212	c_effpar_leg_1994	[COUNTRY] Effective number of parties on the seats level 1994	continuous	numeric-4.2	29	11	-
213	c_effpar_leg_1995	[COUNTRY] Effective number of parties on the seats level 1995	continuous	numeric-4.2	29	11	-
214	c_effpar_leg_1996	[COUNTRY] Effective number of parties on the seats level 1996	continuous	numeric-4.2	29	11	-
215	c_effpar_leg_1997	[COUNTRY] Effective number of parties on the seats level 1997	continuous	numeric-4.2	29	11	-
216	c_effpar_leg_1998	[COUNTRY] Effective number of parties on the seats level 1998	continuous	numeric-4.2	29	11	-
217	c_effpar_leg_1999	[COUNTRY] Effective number of parties on the seats level 1999	continuous	numeric-4.2	29	11	-
218	c_effpar_leg_2000	[COUNTRY] Effective number of parties on the seats level 2000	continuous	numeric-4.2	30	10	-
219	c_effpar_leg_2001	[COUNTRY] Effective number of parties on the seats level 2001	continuous	numeric-4.2	30	10	-
220	c_effpar_leg_2002	[COUNTRY] Effective number of parties on the seats level 2002	continuous	numeric-4.2	30	10	-
221	c_effpar_leg_2003	[COUNTRY] Effective number of parties on the seats level 2003	continuous	numeric-4.2	30	10	-
222	c_effpar_leg_2004	[COUNTRY] Effective number of parties on the seats level 2004	continuous	numeric-4.2	30	10	-
223	c_effpar_leg_2005	[COUNTRY] Effective number of parties on the seats level 2005	continuous	numeric-4.2	30	10	-
224	c_effpar_leg_2006	[COUNTRY] Effective number of parties on the seats level 2006	continuous	numeric-4.2	30	10	-
225	c_effpar_leg_2007	[COUNTRY] Effective number of parties on the seats level 2007	continuous	numeric-4.2	30	10	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
226	c_effpar_leg_2008	[COUNTRY] Effective number of parties on the seats level 2008	continuous	numeric-4.2	30	10	-
227	c_effpar_leg_2009	[COUNTRY] Effective number of parties on the seats level 2009	continuous	numeric-4.2	30	10	-
228	c_effpar_leg_2010	[COUNTRY] Effective number of parties on the seats level 2010	continuous	numeric-4.2	30	10	-
229	c_effpar_leg_2011	[COUNTRY] Effective number of parties on the seats level 2011	continuous	numeric-4.2	30	10	-
230	c_effpar_leg_2012	[COUNTRY] Effective number of parties on the seats level 2012	continuous	numeric-4.2	30	10	-
231	c_dis_abso_1990	[COUNTRY] Index of absolute disproportionality 1990	continuous	numeric-4.2	25	15	-
232	c_dis_abso_1991	[COUNTRY] Index of absolute disproportionality 1991	continuous	numeric-4.2	26	14	-
233	c_dis_abso_1992	[COUNTRY] Index of absolute disproportionality 1992	continuous	numeric-4.2	28	12	-
234	c_dis_abso_1993	[COUNTRY] Index of absolute disproportionality 1993	continuous	numeric-4.2	29	11	-
235	c_dis_abso_1994	[COUNTRY] Index of absolute disproportionality 1994	continuous	numeric-5.2	29	11	-
236	c_dis_abso_1995	[COUNTRY] Index of absolute disproportionality 1995	continuous	numeric-5.2	29	11	-
237	c_dis_abso_1996	[COUNTRY] Index of absolute disproportionality 1996	continuous	numeric-4.2	29	11	-
238	c_dis_abso_1997	[COUNTRY] Index of absolute disproportionality 1997	continuous	numeric-4.2	29	11	-
239	c_dis_abso_1998	[COUNTRY] Index of absolute disproportionality 1998	continuous	numeric-4.2	29	11	-
240	c_dis_abso_1999	[COUNTRY] Index of absolute disproportionality 1999	continuous	numeric-4.2	29	11	-
241	c_dis_abso_2000	[COUNTRY] Index of absolute disproportionality 2000	continuous	numeric-4.2	30	10	-
242	c_dis_abso_2001	[COUNTRY] Index of absolute disproportionality 2001	continuous	numeric-4.2	30	10	-
243	c_dis_abso_2002	[COUNTRY] Index of absolute disproportionality 2002	continuous	numeric-4.2	30	10	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
244	c_dis_abso_2003	[COUNTRY] Index of absolute disproportionality 2003	continuous	numeric-4.2	30	10	-
245	c_dis_abso_2004	[COUNTRY] Index of absolute disproportionality 2004	continuous	numeric-4.2	30	10	-
246	c_dis_abso_2005	[COUNTRY] Index of absolute disproportionality 2005	continuous	numeric-4.2	30	10	-
247	c_dis_abso_2006	[COUNTRY] Index of absolute disproportionality 2006	continuous	numeric-4.2	30	10	-
248	c_dis_abso_2007	[COUNTRY] Index of absolute disproportionality 2007	continuous	numeric-4.2	30	10	-
249	c_dis_abso_2008	[COUNTRY] Index of absolute disproportionality 2008	continuous	numeric-4.2	30	10	-
250	c_dis_abso_2009	[COUNTRY] Index of absolute disproportionality 2009	continuous	numeric-4.2	30	10	-
251	c_dis_abso_2010	[COUNTRY] Index of absolute disproportionality 2010	continuous	numeric-4.2	30	10	-
252	c_dis_abso_2011	[COUNTRY] Index of absolute disproportionality 2011	continuous	numeric-4.2	30	10	-
253	c_dis_abso_2012	[COUNTRY] Index of absolute disproportionality 2012	continuous	numeric-4.2	30	10	-
254	c_dis_rel_1990	[COUNTRY] Index of relative disproportionality 1990	continuous	numeric-5.3	25	15	-
255	c_dis_rel_1991	[COUNTRY] Index of relative disproportionality 1991	continuous	numeric-5.3	26	14	-
256	c_dis_rel_1992	[COUNTRY] Index of relative disproportionality 1992	continuous	numeric-5.3	28	12	-
257	c_dis_rel_1993	[COUNTRY] Index of relative disproportionality 1993	continuous	numeric-5.3	29	11	-
258	c_dis_rel_1994	[COUNTRY] Index of relative disproportionality 1994	continuous	numeric-6.3	29	11	-
259	c_dis_rel_1995	[COUNTRY] Index of relative disproportionality 1995	continuous	numeric-6.3	29	11	-
260	c_dis_rel_1996	[COUNTRY] Index of relative disproportionality 1996	continuous	numeric-5.3	29	11	-
261	c_dis_rel_1997	[COUNTRY] Index of relative disproportionality 1997	continuous	numeric-5.3	29	11	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
262	c_dis_rel_1998	[COUNTRY] Index of relative disproportionality 1998	continuous	numeric-5.3	29	11	-
263	c_dis_rel_1999	[COUNTRY] Index of relative disproportionality 1999	continuous	numeric-5.3	29	11	-
264	c_dis_rel_2000	[COUNTRY] Index of relative disproportionality 2000	continuous	numeric-5.3	30	10	-
265	c_dis_rel_2001	[COUNTRY] Index of relative disproportionality 2001	continuous	numeric-5.3	30	10	-
266	c_dis_rel_2002	[COUNTRY] Index of relative disproportionality 2002	continuous	numeric-5.3	30	10	-
267	c_dis_rel_2003	[COUNTRY] Index of relative disproportionality 2003	continuous	numeric-5.3	30	10	-
268	c_dis_rel_2004	[COUNTRY] Index of relative disproportionality 2004	continuous	numeric-5.3	30	10	-
269	c_dis_rel_2005	[COUNTRY] Index of relative disproportionality 2005	continuous	numeric-5.3	30	10	-
270	c_dis_rel_2006	[COUNTRY] Index of relative disproportionality 2006	continuous	numeric-5.3	30	10	-
271	c_dis_rel_2007	[COUNTRY] Index of relative disproportionality 2007	continuous	numeric-5.3	30	10	-
272	c_dis_rel_2008	[COUNTRY] Index of relative disproportionality 2008	continuous	numeric-5.3	30	10	-
273	c_dis_rel_2009	[COUNTRY] Index of relative disproportionality 2009	continuous	numeric-5.3	30	10	-
274	c_dis_rel_2010	[COUNTRY] Index of relative disproportionality 2010	continuous	numeric-5.3	30	10	-
275	c_dis_rel_2011	[COUNTRY] Index of relative disproportionality 2011	continuous	numeric-5.3	30	10	-
276	c_dis_rel_2012	[COUNTRY] Index of relative disproportionality 2012	continuous	numeric-5.3	30	10	-
277	c_lpol_sys_1990	[COUNTRY] Executive legislative relations 1990	discrete	numeric-1.0	20	20	-
278	c_lpol_sys_1991	[COUNTRY] Executive legislative relations 1991	discrete	numeric-1.0	23	17	-
279	c_lpol_sys_1992	[COUNTRY] Executive legislative relations 1992	discrete	numeric-1.0	27	13	-
280	c_lpol_sys_1993	[COUNTRY] Executive legislative relations 1993	discrete	numeric-1.0	29	11	-
281	c_lpol_sys_1994	[COUNTRY] Executive legislative relations 1994	discrete	numeric-1.0	29	11	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
282	c_lpol_sys_1995	[COUNTRY] Executive legislative relations 1995	discrete	numeric-1.0	29	11	-
283	c_lpol_sys_1996	[COUNTRY] Executive legislative relations 1996	discrete	numeric-1.0	29	11	-
284	c_lpol_sys_1997	[COUNTRY] Executive legislative relations 1997	discrete	numeric-1.0	29	11	-
285	c_lpol_sys_1998	[COUNTRY] Executive legislative relations 1998	discrete	numeric-1.0	29	11	-
286	c_lpol_sys_1999	[COUNTRY] Executive legislative relations 1999	discrete	numeric-1.0	29	11	-
287	c_lpol_sys_2000	[COUNTRY] Executive legislative relations 2000	discrete	numeric-1.0	29	11	-
288	c_lpol_sys_2001	[COUNTRY] Executive legislative relations 2001	discrete	numeric-1.0	30	10	-
289	c_lpol_sys_2002	[COUNTRY] Executive legislative relations 2002	discrete	numeric-1.0	30	10	-
290	c_lpol_sys_2003	[COUNTRY] Executive legislative relations 2003	discrete	numeric-1.0	30	10	-
291	c_lpol_sys_2004	[COUNTRY] Executive legislative relations 2004	discrete	numeric-1.0	30	10	-
292	c_lpol_sys_2005	[COUNTRY] Executive legislative relations 2005	discrete	numeric-1.0	30	10	-
293	c_lpol_sys_2006	[COUNTRY] Executive legislative relations 2006	discrete	numeric-1.0	30	10	-
294	c_lpol_sys_2007	[COUNTRY] Executive legislative relations 2007	discrete	numeric-1.0	30	10	-
295	c_lpol_sys_2008	[COUNTRY] Executive legislative relations 2008	discrete	numeric-1.0	30	10	-
296	c_lpol_sys_2009	[COUNTRY] Executive legislative relations 2009	discrete	numeric-1.0	30	10	-
297	c_lpol_sys_2010	[COUNTRY] Executive legislative relations 2010	discrete	numeric-1.0	30	10	-
298	c_lpol_sys_2011	[COUNTRY] Executive legislative relations 2011	discrete	numeric-1.0	30	10	-
299	c_lpol_sys_2012	[COUNTRY] Executive legislative relations 2012	discrete	numeric-1.0	30	10	-
300	c_lesys_1990	[COUNTRY] Electoral system 1990	discrete	numeric-1.0	20	20	-
301	c_lesys_1991	[COUNTRY] Electoral system 1991	discrete	numeric-1.0	23	17	-
302	c_lesys_1992	[COUNTRY] Electoral system 1992	discrete	numeric-1.0	27	13	-
303	c_lesys_1993	[COUNTRY] Electoral system 1993	discrete	numeric-1.0	29	11	-
304	c_lesys_1994	[COUNTRY] Electoral system 1994	discrete	numeric-1.0	29	11	-
305	c_lesys_1995	[COUNTRY] Electoral system 1995	discrete	numeric-1.0	29	11	-
306	c_lesys_1996	[COUNTRY] Electoral system 1996	discrete	numeric-1.0	29	11	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
307	c_lesys_1997	[COUNTRY] Electoral system 1997	discrete	numeric-1.0	29	11	-
308	c_lesys_1998	[COUNTRY] Electoral system 1998	discrete	numeric-1.0	29	11	-
309	c_lesys_1999	[COUNTRY] Electoral system 1999	discrete	numeric-1.0	29	11	-
310	c_lesys_2000	[COUNTRY] Electoral system 2000	discrete	numeric-1.0	30	10	-
311	c_lesys_2001	[COUNTRY] Electoral system 2001	discrete	numeric-1.0	30	10	-
312	c_lesys_2002	[COUNTRY] Electoral system 2002	discrete	numeric-1.0	30	10	-
313	c_lesys_2003	[COUNTRY] Electoral system 2003	discrete	numeric-1.0	30	10	-
314	c_lesys_2004	[COUNTRY] Electoral system 2004	discrete	numeric-1.0	30	10	-
315	c_lesys_2005	[COUNTRY] Electoral system 2005	discrete	numeric-1.0	30	10	-
316	c_lesys_2006	[COUNTRY] Electoral system 2006	discrete	numeric-1.0	30	10	-
317	c_lesys_2007	[COUNTRY] Electoral system 2007	discrete	numeric-1.0	30	10	-
318	c_lesys_2008	[COUNTRY] Electoral system 2008	discrete	numeric-1.0	30	10	-
319	c_lesys_2009	[COUNTRY] Electoral system 2009	discrete	numeric-1.0	30	10	-
320	c_lesys_2010	[COUNTRY] Electoral system 2010	discrete	numeric-1.0	30	10	-
321	c_lesys_2011	[COUNTRY] Electoral system 2011	discrete	numeric-1.0	30	10	-
322	c_lesys_2012	[COUNTRY] Electoral system 2012	discrete	numeric-1.0	30	10	-
323	c_lbic_1990	[COUNTRY] Index of bicameralism 1990	continuous	numeric-4.2	20	20	-
324	c_lbic_1991	[COUNTRY] Index of bicameralism 1991	continuous	numeric-4.2	23	17	-
325	c_lbic_1992	[COUNTRY] Index of bicameralism 1992	continuous	numeric-4.2	27	13	-
326	c_lbic_1993	[COUNTRY] Index of bicameralism 1993	continuous	numeric-4.2	29	11	-
327	c_lbic_1994	[COUNTRY] Index of bicameralism 1994	continuous	numeric-4.2	29	11	-
328	c_lbic_1995	[COUNTRY] Index of bicameralism 1995	continuous	numeric-4.2	29	11	-
329	c_lbic_1996	[COUNTRY] Index of bicameralism 1996	continuous	numeric-4.2	29	11	-
330	c_lbic_1997	[COUNTRY] Index of bicameralism 1997	continuous	numeric-4.2	29	11	-
331	c_lbic_1998	[COUNTRY] Index of bicameralism 1998	continuous	numeric-4.2	29	11	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
332	c_lbic_1999	[COUNTRY] Index of bicameralism 1999	continuous	numeric-4.2	29	11	-
333	c_lbic_2000	[COUNTRY] Index of bicameralism 2000	continuous	numeric-4.2	30	10	-
334	c_lbic_2001	[COUNTRY] Index of bicameralism 2001	continuous	numeric-4.2	30	10	-
335	c_lbic_2002	[COUNTRY] Index of bicameralism 2002	continuous	numeric-4.2	30	10	-
336	c_lbic_2003	[COUNTRY] Index of bicameralism 2003	continuous	numeric-4.2	30	10	-
337	c_lbic_2004	[COUNTRY] Index of bicameralism 2004	continuous	numeric-4.2	30	10	-
338	c_lbic_2005	[COUNTRY] Index of bicameralism 2005	continuous	numeric-4.2	30	10	-
339	c_lbic_2006	[COUNTRY] Index of bicameralism 2006	continuous	numeric-4.2	30	10	-
340	c_lbic_2007	[COUNTRY] Index of bicameralism 2007	continuous	numeric-4.2	30	10	-
341	c_lbic_2008	[COUNTRY] Index of bicameralism 2008	continuous	numeric-4.2	30	10	-
342	c_lbic_2009	[COUNTRY] Index of bicameralism 2009	continuous	numeric-4.2	30	10	-
343	c_lbic_2010	[COUNTRY] Index of bicameralism 2010	continuous	numeric-4.2	30	10	-
344	c_lbic_2011	[COUNTRY] Index of bicameralism 2011	continuous	numeric-4.2	30	10	-
345	c_lbic_2012	[COUNTRY] Index of bicameralism 2012	continuous	numeric-4.2	30	10	-
346	c_judrev_1990	[COUNTRY] Judicial review 1990	discrete	numeric-1.0	20	20	-
347	c_judrev_1991	[COUNTRY] Judicial review 1991	discrete	numeric-1.0	23	17	-
348	c_judrev_1992	[COUNTRY] Judicial review 1992	discrete	numeric-1.0	27	13	-
349	c_judrev_1993	[COUNTRY] Judicial review 1993	discrete	numeric-1.0	29	11	-
350	c_judrev_1994	[COUNTRY] Judicial review 1994	discrete	numeric-1.0	29	11	-
351	c_judrev_1995	[COUNTRY] Judicial review 1995	discrete	numeric-1.0	29	11	-
352	c_judrev_1996	[COUNTRY] Judicial review 1996	discrete	numeric-1.0	29	11	-
353	c_judrev_1997	[COUNTRY] Judicial review 1997	discrete	numeric-1.0	29	11	-
354	c_judrev_1998	[COUNTRY] Judicial review 1998	discrete	numeric-1.0	29	11	-
355	c_judrev_1999	[COUNTRY] Judicial review 1999	discrete	numeric-1.0	29	11	-
356	c_judrev_2000	[COUNTRY] Judicial review 2000	discrete	numeric-1.0	30	10	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
357	c_judrev_2001	[COUNTRY] Judicial review 2001	discrete	numeric-1.0	30	10	-
358	c_judrev_2002	[COUNTRY] Judicial review 2002	discrete	numeric-1.0	30	10	-
359	c_judrev_2003	[COUNTRY] Judicial review 2003	discrete	numeric-1.0	30	10	-
360	c_judrev_2004	[COUNTRY] Judicial review 2004	discrete	numeric-1.0	30	10	-
361	c_judrev_2005	[COUNTRY] Judicial review 2005	discrete	numeric-1.0	30	10	-
362	c_judrev_2006	[COUNTRY] Judicial review 2006	discrete	numeric-1.0	30	10	-
363	c_judrev_2007	[COUNTRY] Judicial review 2007	discrete	numeric-1.0	30	10	-
364	c_judrev_2008	[COUNTRY] Judicial review 2008	discrete	numeric-1.0	30	10	-
365	c_judrev_2009	[COUNTRY] Judicial review 2009	discrete	numeric-1.0	30	10	-
366	c_judrev_2010	[COUNTRY] Judicial review 2010	discrete	numeric-1.0	30	10	-
367	c_judrev_2011	[COUNTRY] Judicial review 2011	discrete	numeric-1.0	30	10	-
368	c_judrev_2012	[COUNTRY] Judicial review 2012	discrete	numeric-1.0	30	10	-

Group Database of Political Institutions

Data source

The World Bank

The Database of Political Institutions (DPI) was compiled by the Development Research Group of the World Bank for research in comparative political economy and comparative political institutions.

Variable information

Short description

Compiled from the DPI are 10 variables concerning political systems and institutions.

Data interpretation

- Political System: Parliamentary (2), Assembly-elected President (1) or Presidential (0)
- Chief Executive Years in Office: How many years has the chief executive been in office?
- Party of Chief Executive Length of Time in Office: Party of chief executive has been how long in office?
- Chief Executive Party Orientation: Right (1); Left (3); Center (2); No information (0); No executive (sysmis).
- Mean District Magnitude House: The weighted average of the number of representatives elected by each constituency size, if available. If not, the number of seats divided by the number of constituencies (if both are known) are used.
- Electoral Rule House: Which electoral rule (proportional representation or plurality) governs the election of the majority of House seats? This is coded 1 if most seats are Plurality, zero if most seats are Proportional.
- Vote Threshold: The minimum vote share that a party must obtain in order to take at least one seat in PR systems.
- Opposition Fractionalization Index: The probability that two deputies picked at random from among the opposition parties will be of different parties.
- Government Fractionalization Index: The probability that two deputies picked at random from among the government parties will be of different parties.
- System Tenure: How long has the country been autocratic or democratic, respectively?

Data sources

- Europa World Online. London, Routledge.
- Political Handbook of the World 2012. Ed. Arthur S. Banks, Thomas C. Muller, and William R. Overstreet. Washington: CQ Press, 2012.
- Political Handbook of the World Online Edition.
- Parline Database. Inter-Parliamentary Union.
- IFES Election Guide. International Foundation for Electoral Systems.

Geographical coverage

All ESS countries covered, except for Kosovo.

Time coverage

1975-2012 (five-year intervals only prior to 2000).

Last update

January, 2013.

Date of extraction

05/02/2014.

Missing values

Data from Estonia, Lithuania, Russian Federation, Slovakia, Slovenia and Ukraine missing prior to 1990.

Data is missing for the following observations:

- Albania: 1975-1990 (Mean District Magnitude House, Electoral Rule House, Vote Threshold, Opposition Fractionalization Index)
- Belgium: 1975-2012 (all years) (Vote Threshold)
- Bulgaria: 1975-1990 (Mean District Magnitude House, Electoral Rule House, Vote Threshold, Opposition Fractionalization Index)
- Cyprus: 1975, 1990 (Party of Chief Executive Length of Time in Office, Opposition Fractionalization Index)
- Cyprus: 1975-1985 (Mean District Magnitude House, Government Fractionalization Index)
- Czech Republic: 2010-2012 (Party of Chief Executive Length of Time in Office)
- Czech Republic: 1975-1990 (Mean District Magnitude House, Vote Threshold, Opposition Fractionalization Index)
- Finland: 1975 (Chief Executive Years in Office, Opposition Fractionalization Index, Government Fractionalization Index)
- France: 1975-1985 (Vote Threshold)
- France: 1990 (Opposition Fractionalization Index)
- Germany: 1975 (Opposition Fractionalization Index, Government Fractionalization Index)
- Hungary: 1975-1985 (Mean District Magnitude House)
- Hungary: 1975-1990 (Vote Threshold, Opposition Fractionalization Index)
- Iceland: 1975-2012 (all years) (Vote Threshold)
- Italy: 1975, 2000-2001 (Opposition Fractionalization Index)
- Italy: 1975 (Government Fractionalization Index)
- Lithuania: 2000-2003, 2005-2012 (Party of Chief Executive Length of Time in Office)
- Lithuania: 2004 (Opposition Fractionalization Index, Government Fractionalization Index)
- Poland: 1995 (Party of Chief Executive Length of Time in Office)
- Poland: 1975-1990 (Mean District Magnitude House, Opposition Fractionalization Index)
- Poland: 1975-1985 (Vote Threshold)
- Poland: 1975 (Government Fractionalization Index)
- Portugal: 1975-1980 (Party of Chief Executive Length of Time in Office)
- Portugal: 1975 (Mean District Magnitude House, Electoral Rule House, Vote Threshold, Opposition Fractionalization Index, Government Fractionalization Index, System Tenure)
- Spain: 1975 (Party of Chief Executive Length of Time in Office, Electoral Rule House, Vote Threshold, Opposition Fractionalization Index, Government Fractionalization Index)
- Switzerland: 1975-2012 (all years) (Party of Chief Executive Length of Time in Office, Chief Executive Party Orientation)
- United Kingdom: 1975-2012 (all years) (Vote Threshold)

Variables

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	c_system_1975	[COUNTRY] Political System 1975	discrete	numeric-1.0	27	13	-
2	c_system_1980	[COUNTRY] Political System 1980	discrete	numeric-1.0	27	13	-
3	c_system_1985	[COUNTRY] Political System 1985	discrete	numeric-1.0	27	13	-
4	c_system_1990	[COUNTRY] Political System 1990	discrete	numeric-1.0	27	13	-
5	c_system_1995	[COUNTRY] Political System 1995	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
6	c_system_2000	[COUNTRY] Political System 2000	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
7	c_system_2001	[COUNTRY] Political System 2001	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
8	c_system_2002	[COUNTRY] Political System 2002	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
9	c_system_2003	[COUNTRY] Political System 2003	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
10	c_system_2004	[COUNTRY] Political System 2004	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
11	c_system_2005	[COUNTRY] Political System 2005	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
12	c_system_2006	[COUNTRY] Political System 2006	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
13	c_system_2007	[COUNTRY] Political System 2007	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
14	c_system_2008	[COUNTRY] Political System 2008	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
15	c_system_2009	[COUNTRY] Political System 2009	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
16	c_system_2010	[COUNTRY] Political System 2010	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
17	c_system_2011	[COUNTRY] Political System 2011	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
18	c_system_2012	[COUNTRY] Political System 2012	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
19	c_yrsoffc_1975	[COUNTRY] Chief Executive Years in Office 1975	discrete	numeric-2.0	26	14	-
20	c_yrsoffc_1980	[COUNTRY] Chief Executive Years in Office 1980	discrete	numeric-2.0	27	13	-
21	c_yrsoffc_1985	[COUNTRY] Chief Executive Years in Office 1985	discrete	numeric-2.0	27	13	-
22	c_yrsoffc_1990	[COUNTRY] Chief Executive Years in Office 1990	discrete	numeric-2.0	27	13	-
23	c_yrsoffc_1995	[COUNTRY] Chief Executive Years in Office 1995	discrete	numeric-2.0	35	5	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
24	c_yrsoffc_2000	[COUNTRY] Chief Executive Years in Office 2000	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
25	c_yrsoffc_2001	[COUNTRY] Chief Executive Years in Office 2001	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
26	c_yrsoffc_2002	[COUNTRY] Chief Executive Years in Office 2002	discrete	numeric-2.0	35	5	-
27	c_yrsoffc_2003	[COUNTRY] Chief Executive Years in Office 2003	discrete	numeric-2.0	35	5	-
28	c_yrsoffc_2004	[COUNTRY] Chief Executive Years in Office 2004	discrete	numeric-2.0	35	5	-
29	c_yrsoffc_2005	[COUNTRY] Chief Executive Years in Office 2005	discrete	numeric-2.0	35	5	-
30	c_yrsoffc_2006	[COUNTRY] Chief Executive Years in Office 2006	discrete	numeric-2.0	35	5	-
31	c_yrsoffc_2007	[COUNTRY] Chief Executive Years in Office 2007	discrete	numeric-2.0	35	5	-
32	c_yrsoffc_2008	[COUNTRY] Chief Executive Years in Office 2008	discrete	numeric-2.0	35	5	-
33	c_yrsoffc_2009	[COUNTRY] Chief Executive Years in Office 2009	discrete	numeric-2.0	35	5	-
34	c_yrsoffc_2010	[COUNTRY] Chief Executive Years in Office 2010	discrete	numeric-2.0	35	5	-
35	c_yrsoffc_2011	[COUNTRY] Chief Executive Years in Office 2011	discrete	numeric-2.0	34	6	-
36	c_yrsoffc_2012	[COUNTRY] Chief Executive Years in Office 2012	discrete	numeric-2.0	35	5	-
37	c_prtyin_1975	[COUNTRY] Party of Chief Executive Length of Time in Office 1975	discrete	numeric-2.0	23	17	-
38	c_prtyin_1980	[COUNTRY] Party of Chief Executive Length of Time in Office 1980	discrete	numeric-2.0	25	15	-
39	c_prtyin_1985	[COUNTRY] Party of Chief Executive Length of Time in Office 1985	discrete	numeric-2.0	26	14	-
40	c_prtyin_1990	[COUNTRY] Party of Chief Executive Length of Time in Office 1990	discrete	numeric-2.0	25	15	-
41	c_prtyin_1995	[COUNTRY] Party of Chief Executive Length of Time in Office 1995	discrete	numeric-2.0	30	10	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
42	c_prtyin_2000	[COUNTRY] Party of Chief Executive Length of Time in Office 2000	discrete	numeric-2.0	32	8	-
43	c_prtyin_2001	[COUNTRY] Party of Chief Executive Length of Time in Office 2001	discrete	numeric-2.0	32	8	-
44	c_prtyin_2002	[COUNTRY] Party of Chief Executive Length of Time in Office 2002	discrete	numeric-2.0	32	8	-
45	c_prtyin_2003	[COUNTRY] Party of Chief Executive Length of Time in Office 2003	discrete	numeric-2.0	32	8	-
46	c_prtyin_2004	[COUNTRY] Party of Chief Executive Length of Time in Office 2004	discrete	numeric-2.0	33	7	-
47	c_prtyin_2005	[COUNTRY] Party of Chief Executive Length of Time in Office 2005	discrete	numeric-2.0	30	10	-
48	c_prtyin_2006	[COUNTRY] Party of Chief Executive Length of Time in Office 2006	discrete	numeric-2.0	30	10	-
49	c_prtyin_2007	[COUNTRY] Party of Chief Executive Length of Time in Office 2007	discrete	numeric-2.0	30	10	-
50	c_prtyin_2008	[COUNTRY] Party of Chief Executive Length of Time in Office 2008	discrete	numeric-2.0	30	10	-
51	c_prtyin_2009	[COUNTRY] Party of Chief Executive Length of Time in Office 2009	discrete	numeric-2.0	31	9	-
52	c_prtyin_2010	[COUNTRY] Party of Chief Executive Length of Time in Office 2010	discrete	numeric-2.0	30	10	-
53	c_prtyin_2011	[COUNTRY] Party of Chief Executive Length of Time in Office 2011	discrete	numeric-2.0	31	9	-
54	c_prtyin_2012	[COUNTRY] Party of Chief Executive Length of Time in Office 2012	discrete	numeric-2.0	31	9	-
55	c_execrlc_1975	[COUNTRY] Chief Executive Party Orientation 1975	discrete	numeric-1.0	25	15	-
56	c_execrlc_1980	[COUNTRY] Chief Executive Party Orientation 1980	discrete	numeric-1.0	25	15	-
57	c_execrlc_1985	[COUNTRY] Chief Executive Party Orientation 1985	discrete	numeric-1.0	26	14	-
58	c_execrlc_1990	[COUNTRY] Chief Executive Party Orientation 1990	discrete	numeric-1.0	26	14	-
59	c_execrlc_1995	[COUNTRY] Chief Executive Party Orientation 1995	discrete	numeric-1.0	34	6	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
60	c_execrlc_2000	[COUNTRY] Chief Executive Party Orientation 2000	discrete	numeric-1.0	34	6	-
61	c_execrlc_2001	[COUNTRY] Chief Executive Party Orientation 2001	discrete	numeric-1.0	34	6	-
62	c_execrlc_2002	[COUNTRY] Chief Executive Party Orientation 2002	discrete	numeric-1.0	34	6	-
63	c_execrlc_2003	[COUNTRY] Chief Executive Party Orientation 2003	discrete	numeric-1.0	34	6	-
64	c_execrlc_2004	[COUNTRY] Chief Executive Party Orientation 2004	discrete	numeric-1.0	34	6	-
65	c_execrlc_2005	[COUNTRY] Chief Executive Party Orientation 2005	discrete	numeric-1.0	34	6	-
66	c_execrlc_2006	[COUNTRY] Chief Executive Party Orientation 2006	discrete	numeric-1.0	34	6	-
67	c_execrlc_2007	[COUNTRY] Chief Executive Party Orientation 2007	discrete	numeric-1.0	34	6	-
68	c_execrlc_2008	[COUNTRY] Chief Executive Party Orientation 2008	discrete	numeric-1.0	34	6	-
69	c_execrlc_2009	[COUNTRY] Chief Executive Party Orientation 2009	discrete	numeric-1.0	34	6	-
70	c_execrlc_2010	[COUNTRY] Chief Executive Party Orientation 2010	discrete	numeric-1.0	34	6	-
71	c_execrlc_2011	[COUNTRY] Chief Executive Party Orientation 2011	discrete	numeric-1.0	34	6	-
72	c_execrlc_2012	[COUNTRY] Chief Executive Party Orientation 2012	discrete	numeric-1.0	34	6	-
73	c_mdmh_1975	[COUNTRY] Mean District Magnitude House 1975	continuous	numeric-6.2	18	22	-
74	c_mdmh_1980	[COUNTRY] Mean District Magnitude House 1980	continuous	numeric-6.2	19	21	-
75	c_mdmh_1985	[COUNTRY] Mean District Magnitude House 1985	continuous	numeric-6.2	19	21	-
76	c_mdmh_1990	[COUNTRY] Mean District Magnitude House 1990	continuous	numeric-6.2	21	19	-
77	c_mdmh_1995	[COUNTRY] Mean District Magnitude House 1995	continuous	numeric-6.2	34	6	-
78	c_mdmh_2000	[COUNTRY] Mean District Magnitude House 2000	continuous	numeric-6.2	35	5	-
79	c_mdmh_2001	[COUNTRY] Mean District Magnitude House 2001	continuous	numeric-6.2	35	5	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
80	c_mdmh_2002	[COUNTRY] Mean District Magnitude House 2002	continuous	numeric-6.2	35	5	-
81	c_mdmh_2003	[COUNTRY] Mean District Magnitude House 2003	continuous	numeric-6.2	35	5	-
82	c_mdmh_2004	[COUNTRY] Mean District Magnitude House 2004	continuous	numeric-6.2	35	5	-
83	c_mdmh_2005	[COUNTRY] Mean District Magnitude House 2005	continuous	numeric-6.2	35	5	-
84	c_mdmh_2006	[COUNTRY] Mean District Magnitude House 2006	continuous	numeric-6.2	35	5	-
85	c_mdmh_2007	[COUNTRY] Mean District Magnitude House 2007	continuous	numeric-6.2	35	5	-
86	c_mdmh_2008	[COUNTRY] Mean District Magnitude House 2008	continuous	numeric-6.2	35	5	-
87	c_mdmh_2009	[COUNTRY] Mean District Magnitude House 2009	continuous	numeric-6.2	35	5	-
88	c_mdmh_2010	[COUNTRY] Mean District Magnitude House 2010	continuous	numeric-6.2	35	5	-
89	c_mdmh_2011	[COUNTRY] Mean District Magnitude House 2011	continuous	numeric-6.2	35	5	-
90	c_mdmh_2012	[COUNTRY] Mean District Magnitude House 2012	continuous	numeric-6.2	35	5	-
91	c_housesys_1975	[COUNTRY] Electoral Rule House 1975	discrete	numeric-1.0	22	18	-
92	c_housesys_1980	[COUNTRY] Electoral Rule House 1980	discrete	numeric-1.0	24	16	-
93	c_housesys_1985	[COUNTRY] Electoral Rule House 1985	discrete	numeric-1.0	25	15	-
94	c_housesys_1990	[COUNTRY] Electoral Rule House 1990	discrete	numeric-1.0	25	15	-
95	c_housesys_1995	[COUNTRY] Electoral Rule House 1995	continuous	numeric-4.2	35	5	-
96	c_housesys_2000	[COUNTRY] Electoral Rule House 2000	continuous	numeric-4.2	35	5	-
97	c_housesys_2001	[COUNTRY] Electoral Rule House 2001	continuous	numeric-4.2	35	5	-
98	c_housesys_2002	[COUNTRY] Electoral Rule House 2002	continuous	numeric-4.2	35	5	-
99	c_housesys_2003	[COUNTRY] Electoral Rule House 2003	continuous	numeric-4.2	35	5	-
100	c_housesys_2004	[COUNTRY] Electoral Rule House 2004	continuous	numeric-4.2	35	5	-
101	c_housesys_2005	[COUNTRY] Electoral Rule House 2005	continuous	numeric-4.2	35	5	-
102	c_housesys_2006	[COUNTRY] Electoral Rule House 2006	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
103	c_housesys_2007	[COUNTRY] Electoral Rule House 2007	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
104	c_housesys_2008	[COUNTRY] Electoral Rule House 2008	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
105	c_housesys_2009	[COUNTRY] Electoral Rule House 2009	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
106	c_housesys_2010	[COUNTRY] Electoral Rule House 2010	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
107	c_housesys_2011	[COUNTRY] Electoral Rule House 2011	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
108	c_housesys_2012	[COUNTRY] Electoral Rule House 2012	discrete	numeric-1.0	35	5	-
109	c_thresh_1975	[COUNTRY] Vote Threshold 1975	discrete	numeric-1.0	14	26	-
110	c_thresh_1980	[COUNTRY] Vote Threshold 1980	discrete	numeric-1.0	16	24	-
111	c_thresh_1985	[COUNTRY] Vote Threshold 1985	discrete	numeric-2.0	17	23	-
112	c_thresh_1990	[COUNTRY] Vote Threshold 1990	discrete	numeric-2.0	19	21	-
113	c_thresh_1995	[COUNTRY] Vote Threshold 1995	continuous	numeric-5.2	32	8	-
114	c_thresh_2000	[COUNTRY] Vote Threshold 2000	continuous	numeric-5.2	32	8	-
115	c_thresh_2001	[COUNTRY] Vote Threshold 2001	continuous	numeric-5.2	32	8	-
116	c_thresh_2002	[COUNTRY] Vote Threshold 2002	continuous	numeric-5.2	32	8	-
117	c_thresh_2003	[COUNTRY] Vote Threshold 2003	continuous	numeric-5.2	32	8	-
118	c_thresh_2004	[COUNTRY] Vote Threshold 2004	continuous	numeric-5.2	32	8	-
119	c_thresh_2005	[COUNTRY] Vote Threshold 2005	continuous	numeric-5.2	32	8	-
120	c_thresh_2006	[COUNTRY] Vote Threshold 2006	continuous	numeric-5.2	32	8	-
121	c_thresh_2007	[COUNTRY] Vote Threshold 2007	continuous	numeric-5.2	32	8	-
122	c_thresh_2008	[COUNTRY] Vote Threshold 2008	continuous	numeric-5.2	32	8	-
123	c_thresh_2009	[COUNTRY] Vote Threshold 2009	continuous	numeric-5.2	32	8	-
124	c_thresh_2010	[COUNTRY] Vote Threshold 2010	continuous	numeric-5.2	32	8	-
125	c_thresh_2011	[COUNTRY] Vote Threshold 2011	continuous	numeric-5.2	32	8	-
126	c_thresh_2012	[COUNTRY] Vote Threshold 2012	continuous	numeric-5.2	32	8	-
127	c_oppfrac_1975	[COUNTRY] Opposition Fractionalization Index 1975	continuous	numeric-5.3	14	26	-
128	c_oppfrac_1980	[COUNTRY] Opposition Fractionalization Index 1980	continuous	numeric-5.3	21	19	-
129	c_oppfrac_1985	[COUNTRY] Opposition Fractionalization Index 1985	continuous	numeric-5.3	21	19	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
130	c_oppfrac_1990	[COUNTRY] Opposition Fractionalization Index 1990	continuous	numeric-5.3	19	21	-
131	c_oppfrac_1995	[COUNTRY] Opposition Fractionalization Index 1995	continuous	numeric-5.3	35	5	-
132	c_oppfrac_2000	[COUNTRY] Opposition Fractionalization Index 2000	continuous	numeric-5.3	34	6	-
133	c_oppfrac_2001	[COUNTRY] Opposition Fractionalization Index 2001	continuous	numeric-5.3	34	6	-
134	c_oppfrac_2002	[COUNTRY] Opposition Fractionalization Index 2002	continuous	numeric-5.3	35	5	-
135	c_oppfrac_2003	[COUNTRY] Opposition Fractionalization Index 2003	continuous	numeric-5.3	35	5	-
136	c_oppfrac_2004	[COUNTRY] Opposition Fractionalization Index 2004	continuous	numeric-5.3	34	6	-
137	c_oppfrac_2005	[COUNTRY] Opposition Fractionalization Index 2005	continuous	numeric-5.3	35	5	-
138	c_oppfrac_2006	[COUNTRY] Opposition Fractionalization Index 2006	continuous	numeric-5.3	35	5	-
139	c_oppfrac_2007	[COUNTRY] Opposition Fractionalization Index 2007	continuous	numeric-5.3	35	5	-
140	c_oppfrac_2008	[COUNTRY] Opposition Fractionalization Index 2008	continuous	numeric-5.3	35	5	-
141	c_oppfrac_2009	[COUNTRY] Opposition Fractionalization Index 2009	continuous	numeric-5.3	35	5	-
142	c_oppfrac_2010	[COUNTRY] Opposition Fractionalization Index 2010	continuous	numeric-5.3	35	5	-
143	c_oppfrac_2011	[COUNTRY] Opposition Fractionalization Index 2011	continuous	numeric-5.3	35	5	-
144	c_oppfrac_2012	[COUNTRY] Opposition Fractionalization Index 2012	continuous	numeric-5.3	35	5	-
145	c_govfrac_1975	[COUNTRY] Government Fractionalization Index 1975	continuous	numeric-5.3	19	21	-
146	c_govfrac_1980	[COUNTRY] Government Fractionalization Index 1980	continuous	numeric-5.3	27	13	-
147	c_govfrac_1985	[COUNTRY] Government Fractionalization Index 1985	continuous	numeric-5.3	27	13	-
148	c_govfrac_1990	[COUNTRY] Government Fractionalization Index 1990	continuous	numeric-5.3	27	13	-
149	c_govfrac_1995	[COUNTRY] Government Fractionalization Index 1995	continuous	numeric-5.3	35	5	-
150	c_govfrac_2000	[COUNTRY] Government Fractionalization Index 2000	continuous	numeric-5.3	35	5	-
151	c_govfrac_2001	[COUNTRY] Government Fractionalization Index 2001	continuous	numeric-5.3	35	5	-
152	c_govfrac_2002	[COUNTRY] Government Fractionalization Index 2002	continuous	numeric-5.3	35	5	-
153	c_govfrac_2003	[COUNTRY] Government Fractionalization Index 2003	continuous	numeric-5.3	35	5	-
154	c_govfrac_2004	[COUNTRY] Government Fractionalization Index 2004	continuous	numeric-5.3	34	6	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
155	c_govfrac_2005	[COUNTRY] Government Fractionalization Index 2005	continuous	numeric-5.3	35	5	-
156	c_govfrac_2006	[COUNTRY] Government Fractionalization Index 2006	continuous	numeric-5.3	35	5	-
157	c_govfrac_2007	[COUNTRY] Government Fractionalization Index 2007	continuous	numeric-5.3	35	5	-
158	c_govfrac_2008	[COUNTRY] Government Fractionalization Index 2008	continuous	numeric-5.3	35	5	-
159	c_govfrac_2009	[COUNTRY] Government Fractionalization Index 2009	continuous	numeric-5.3	35	5	-
160	c_govfrac_2010	[COUNTRY] Government Fractionalization Index 2010	continuous	numeric-5.3	35	5	-
161	c_govfrac_2011	[COUNTRY] Government Fractionalization Index 2011	continuous	numeric-5.3	35	5	-
162	c_govfrac_2012	[COUNTRY] Government Fractionalization Index 2012	continuous	numeric-5.3	35	5	-
163	c_tensys_1975	[COUNTRY] System Tenure 1975	discrete	numeric-2.0	26	14	-
164	c_tensys_1980	[COUNTRY] System Tenure 1980	discrete	numeric-2.0	27	13	-
165	c_tensys_1985	[COUNTRY] System Tenure 1985	discrete	numeric-2.0	27	13	-
166	c_tensys_1990	[COUNTRY] System Tenure 1990	discrete	numeric-2.0	27	13	-
167	c_tensys_1995	[COUNTRY] System Tenure 1995	discrete	numeric-2.0	35	5	-
168	c_tensys_2000	[COUNTRY] System Tenure 2000	discrete	numeric-2.0	35	5	-
169	c_tensys_2001	[COUNTRY] System Tenure 2001	discrete	numeric-2.0	35	5	-
170	c_tensys_2002	[COUNTRY] System Tenure 2002	discrete	numeric-2.0	35	5	-
171	c_tensys_2003	[COUNTRY] System Tenure 2003	discrete	numeric-2.0	35	5	-
172	c_tensys_2004	[COUNTRY] System Tenure 2004	discrete	numeric-2.0	35	5	-
173	c_tensys_2005	[COUNTRY] System Tenure 2005	discrete	numeric-2.0	35	5	-
174	c_tensys_2006	[COUNTRY] System Tenure 2006	continuous	numeric-2.0	35	5	-
175	c_tensys_2007	[COUNTRY] System Tenure 2007	continuous	numeric-2.0	35	5	-
176	c_tensys_2008	[COUNTRY] System Tenure 2008	continuous	numeric-2.0	35	5	-
177	c_tensys_2009	[COUNTRY] System Tenure 2009	continuous	numeric-2.0	35	5	-
178	c_tensys_2010	[COUNTRY] System Tenure 2010	continuous	numeric-2.0	35	5	-
179	c_tensys_2011	[COUNTRY] System Tenure 2011	continuous	numeric-2.0	35	5	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
180	c_tensys_2012	[COUNTRY] System Tenure 2012	continuous	numeric-2.0	35	5	-

Group Infant mortality

Data source

Eurostat

Eurostat is the statistical office of the European Union situated in Luxembourg. Its task is to provide the European Union with statistics at European level that enable comparisons between countries and regions. The data presented here have been compiled by the ESS MDR from the Eurostat dissemination database (<http://epp.eurostat.ec.europa.eu/portal/page/portal/eurostat/home>). For data documentation see Reference Metadata in Euro SDMX Metadata Structure (ESMS) (http://epp.eurostat.ec.europa.eu/cache/ITY_SDDS/EN/demoreg_esms.htm).

Variable information

Short description

- Infant mortality means the death of a live-born infant who has not yet completed 1 year of life.
- Infant mortality rate represents the ratio of the number of deaths of children under one year of age during the year to the number of live births in that year. The value is expressed per 1000 live births.

Geographical coverage

All ESS countries covered, except for Israel.

Time coverage

1990-2012.

Last update

24/01/2014.

Date of extraction

07/03/2014.

Missing values

Data is missing for the following observations:

- Albania: 1992-1996, 2009-2012
- Cyprus: 2012 (Infant mortality rate)
- Iceland: 2012 (Infant mortality rate)
- Ireland: 2012 (Infant mortality rate)
- Italy: 2012 (Infant mortality rate)
- Kosovo: 1998-2001
- Netherlands: 2012 (Infant mortality rate)
- Russian Federation: 1990-1995, 2005, 2012
- Ukraine: 1990-1995
- United Kingdom: 2012 (Infant mortality rate)

Variables

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	c_infantm_1990	[COUNTRY] Infant mortality 1990	continuous	numeric-5.0	32	8	-
2	c_infantm_1991	[COUNTRY] Infant mortality 1991	continuous	numeric-4.0	32	8	-
3	c_infantm_1992	[COUNTRY] Infant mortality 1992	continuous	numeric-4.0	31	9	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
4	c_infantm_1993	[COUNTRY] Infant mortality 1993	continuous	numeric-4.0	31	9	-
5	c_infantm_1994	[COUNTRY] Infant mortality 1994	continuous	numeric-4.0	31	9	-
6	c_infantm_1995	[COUNTRY] Infant mortality 1995	continuous	numeric-4.0	31	9	-
7	c_infantm_1996	[COUNTRY] Infant mortality 1996	continuous	numeric-5.0	34	6	-
8	c_infantm_1997	[COUNTRY] Infant mortality 1997	continuous	numeric-5.0	35	5	-
9	c_infantm_1998	[COUNTRY] Infant mortality 1998	continuous	numeric-5.0	34	6	-
10	c_infantm_1999	[COUNTRY] Infant mortality 1999	continuous	numeric-5.0	34	6	-
11	c_infantm_2000	[COUNTRY] Infant mortality 2000	continuous	numeric-5.0	34	6	-
12	c_infantm_2001	[COUNTRY] Infant mortality 2001	continuous	numeric-5.0	34	6	-
13	c_infantm_2002	[COUNTRY] Infant mortality 2002	continuous	numeric-5.0	35	5	-
14	c_infantm_2003	[COUNTRY] Infant mortality 2003	continuous	numeric-5.0	35	5	-
15	c_infantm_2004	[COUNTRY] Infant mortality 2004	continuous	numeric-5.0	35	5	-
16	c_infantm_2005	[COUNTRY] Infant mortality 2005	continuous	numeric-5.0	34	6	-
17	c_infantm_2006	[COUNTRY] Infant mortality 2006	continuous	numeric-5.0	35	5	-
18	c_infantm_2007	[COUNTRY] Infant mortality 2007	continuous	numeric-5.0	35	5	-
19	c_infantm_2008	[COUNTRY] Infant mortality 2008	continuous	numeric-5.0	35	5	-
20	c_infantm_2009	[COUNTRY] Infant mortality 2009	continuous	numeric-5.0	34	6	-
21	c_infantm_2010	[COUNTRY] Infant mortality 2010	continuous	numeric-5.0	34	6	-
22	c_infantm_2011	[COUNTRY] Infant mortality 2011	continuous	numeric-5.0	34	6	-
23	c_infantm_2012	[COUNTRY] Infant mortality 2012	continuous	numeric-5.0	33	7	-
24	c_infantmrt_1990	[COUNTRY] Infant mortality rate 1990	continuous	numeric-5.2	32	8	-
25	c_infantmrt_1991	[COUNTRY] Infant mortality rate 1991	continuous	numeric-5.2	32	8	-
26	c_infantmrt_1992	[COUNTRY] Infant mortality rate 1992	continuous	numeric-5.2	31	9	-
27	c_infantmrt_1993	[COUNTRY] Infant mortality rate 1993	continuous	numeric-5.2	31	9	-
28	c_infantmrt_1994	[COUNTRY] Infant mortality rate 1994	continuous	numeric-5.2	31	9	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
29	c_infantmrt_1995	[COUNTRY] Infant mortality rate 1995	continuous	numeric-5.2	31	9	-
30	c_infantmrt_1996	[COUNTRY] Infant mortality rate 1996	continuous	numeric-5.2	34	6	-
31	c_infantmrt_1997	[COUNTRY] Infant mortality rate 1997	continuous	numeric-5.2	35	5	-
32	c_infantmrt_1998	[COUNTRY] Infant mortality rate 1998	continuous	numeric-5.2	34	6	-
33	c_infantmrt_1999	[COUNTRY] Infant mortality rate 1999	continuous	numeric-5.2	34	6	-
34	c_infantmrt_2000	[COUNTRY] Infant mortality rate 2000	continuous	numeric-5.2	34	6	-
35	c_infantmrt_2001	[COUNTRY] Infant mortality rate 2001	continuous	numeric-5.2	34	6	-
36	c_infantmrt_2002	[COUNTRY] Infant mortality rate 2002	continuous	numeric-5.2	35	5	-
37	c_infantmrt_2003	[COUNTRY] Infant mortality rate 2003	continuous	numeric-5.2	35	5	-
38	c_infantmrt_2004	[COUNTRY] Infant mortality rate 2004	continuous	numeric-5.2	35	5	-
39	c_infantmrt_2005	[COUNTRY] Infant mortality rate 2005	continuous	numeric-5.2	34	6	-
40	c_infantmrt_2006	[COUNTRY] Infant mortality rate 2006	continuous	numeric-5.2	35	5	-
41	c_infantmrt_2007	[COUNTRY] Infant mortality rate 2007	continuous	numeric-5.2	35	5	-
42	c_infantmrt_2008	[COUNTRY] Infant mortality rate 2008	continuous	numeric-5.2	35	5	-
43	c_infantmrt_2009	[COUNTRY] Infant mortality rate 2009	continuous	numeric-5.2	34	6	-
44	c_infantmrt_2010	[COUNTRY] Infant mortality rate 2010	continuous	numeric-5.2	34	6	-
45	c_infantmrt_2011	[COUNTRY] Infant mortality rate 2011	continuous	numeric-5.2	34	6	-
46	c_infantmrt_2012	[COUNTRY] Infant mortality rate 2012	continuous	numeric-5.2	25	15	-

Group Crime recorded by police

Data source

Eurostat

Eurostat is the statistical office of the European Union situated in Luxembourg. Its task is to provide the European Union with statistics at European level that enable comparisons between countries and regions. The data presented here have been compiled by the ESS MDR from the Eurostat dissemination database (<http://epp.eurostat.ec.europa.eu/portal/page/portal/eurostat/home>). For data documentation see Reference Metadata in Euro SDMX Metadata Structure (ESMS) (http://epp.eurostat.ec.europa.eu/cache/ITY_SDDS/EN/crim_esms.htm).

Variable information

Short description

- Total crime: These figures include offences against the penal code or criminal code. Less serious crimes (misdemeanours) are generally excluded.

- **Homicide:** This is defined as intentional killing of a person, including murder, manslaughter, euthanasia and infanticide. Causing death by dangerous driving is excluded, as are abortion and help with suicide. Attempted (uncompleted) homicide is also excluded. The counting unit for homicide is normally the victim (rather than the case).
- **Violent crime:** This includes violence against the person (such as physical assault), robbery (stealing by force or by threat of force), and sexual offences (including rape and sexual assault).
- **Robbery:** Robbery is a sub-set of violent crime (see above). It is defined as stealing from a person with force or threat of force, including muggings (bag-snatching) and theft with violence. Pick-pocketing, extortion and blackmailing are generally not included.
- **Domestic burglary:** Domestic burglary is defined as gaining access to a dwelling by the use of force to steal goods.
- **Theft of a motor vehicle:** Motor vehicles include all land vehicles with an engine that run on the road which are used to carry people (including cars, motor cycles, buses, lorries, construction and agricultural vehicles, etc.).
- **Drug trafficking:** Drug trafficking includes illegal possession, cultivation, production, supplying, transportation, importing, exporting, financing etc. of drug operations which are not solely in connection with personal use.

Geographical coverage

All ESS countries covered, except for Israel and Ukraine.

Time coverage

1993-2012.

Last update

22/01/2014.

Date of extraction

14/03/2014.

Missing values

Data for Kosovo missing prior to 2007.

Data is missing for the following observations:

- Albania: 1993-2007, 2009-2012
- Belgium: 1993-1999
- Bulgaria: 1993-1994 (Total crimes, Homicides, Robberies, Domestic burglaries, Motor vehicle thefts)
- Bulgaria: 1993-1998 (Violent crimes, Drug trafficking)
- Czech Republic: 1993, 1995 (Homicides)
- Czech Republic: 1993-1999 (Drug trafficking)
- Denmark: 1993-1999 (Drug trafficking)
- Estonia: 1993 (Homicides)
- Estonia: 2002 (Violent crimes)
- Estonia: 1993-1996, 2002 (Robberies)
- Finland: 1993-1996 (Robberies)
- Finland: 1993 (Drug trafficking)
- France: 2010-2012 (Total crimes)
- France: 1993 (Homicides, Motor vehicle thefts, Drug trafficking)
- France: 1993-1996 (Robberies)
- Germany: 1993-1995 (Robberies)
- Germany: 1993 (Drug trafficking)
- Iceland: 1993-1999 (Total crimes, Robberies, Domestic burglaries, Drug trafficking)
- Iceland: 1993 (Homicides)
- Iceland: 1993-2012 (all years) (Violent crimes, Motor vehicle thefts)
- Ireland: 2007-2012 (Total crimes)
- Ireland: 1993 (Homicides)
- Ireland: 1999 (Violent crimes)
- Ireland: 1993-1999 (Robberies, Drug trafficking)
- Netherlands: 1993 (Homicides)
- Poland: 1993 (Domestic burglaries)
- Portugal: 1993 (Homicides, Violent crimes, Drug trafficking)

- Russian Federation: 2006-2012 (Total crimes)
- Russian Federation: 1993, 2006-2012 (Homicides, Robberies)
- Russian Federation: 1993, 2002-2012 (Violent crimes)
- Russian Federation: 1993-1995, 2006-2012 (Domestic burglaries)
- Russian Federation: 1993-1996, 2006-2012 (Motor vehicle thefts)
- Russian Federation: 1993-1994, 2006-2012 (Drug trafficking)
- Slovakia: 1993 (Homicides, Drug trafficking)
- Slovakia: 1993-1996 (Robberies)
- Slovenia: 1993-1994 (Homicides)
- Slovenia: 1993, 1996-1998 (Domestic burglaries)
- Slovenia: 1993 (Motor vehicle thefts)
- Spain: 1993 (Homicides, Violent crimes, Motor vehicle thefts, Drug trafficking)
- Spain: 1993-1994 (Robberies, Domestic burglaries)
- Sweden: 1993-1994 (Drug trafficking)
- Switzerland: 1993 (Homicides)
- Switzerland: 1993-2008 (Motor vehicle thefts)
- United Kingdom: 1993 (Homicides, Drug trafficking)
- United Kingdom: 1993-1994 (Violent crimes, Domestic burglaries)

Variables

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	c_crmtot_1993	[COUNTRY] Total crimes recorded by the police 1993	continuous	numeric-7.0	28	12	-
2	c_crmtot_1994	[COUNTRY] Total crimes recorded by the police 1994	continuous	numeric-7.0	28	12	-
3	c_crmtot_1995	[COUNTRY] Total crimes recorded by the police 1995	continuous	numeric-7.0	30	10	-
4	c_crmtot_1996	[COUNTRY] Total crimes recorded by the police 1996	continuous	numeric-7.0	30	10	-
5	c_crmtot_1997	[COUNTRY] Total crimes recorded by the police 1997	continuous	numeric-7.0	30	10	-
6	c_crmtot_1998	[COUNTRY] Total crimes recorded by the police 1998	continuous	numeric-7.0	30	10	-
7	c_crmtot_1999	[COUNTRY] Total crimes recorded by the police 1999	continuous	numeric-7.0	30	10	-
8	c_crmtot_2000	[COUNTRY] Total crimes recorded by the police 2000	continuous	numeric-7.0	32	8	-
9	c_crmtot_2001	[COUNTRY] Total crimes recorded by the police 2001	continuous	numeric-7.0	32	8	-
10	c_crmtot_2002	[COUNTRY] Total crimes recorded by the police 2002	continuous	numeric-7.0	32	8	-
11	c_crmtot_2003	[COUNTRY] Total crimes recorded by the police 2003	continuous	numeric-7.0	32	8	-
12	c_crmtot_2004	[COUNTRY] Total crimes recorded by the police 2004	continuous	numeric-7.0	32	8	-
13	c_crmtot_2005	[COUNTRY] Total crimes recorded by the police 2005	continuous	numeric-7.0	32	8	-
14	c_crmtot_2006	[COUNTRY] Total crimes recorded by the police 2006	continuous	numeric-7.0	31	9	-
15	c_crmtot_2007	[COUNTRY] Total crimes recorded by the police 2007	continuous	numeric-7.0	30	10	-
16	c_crmtot_2008	[COUNTRY] Total crimes recorded by the police 2008	continuous	numeric-7.0	32	8	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
17	c_crmtot_2009	[COUNTRY] Total crimes recorded by the police 2009	continuous	numeric-7.0	31	9	-
18	c_crmtot_2010	[COUNTRY] Total crimes recorded by the police 2010	continuous	numeric-7.0	30	10	-
19	c_crmtot_2011	[COUNTRY] Total crimes recorded by the police 2011	continuous	numeric-7.0	30	10	-
20	c_crmtot_2012	[COUNTRY] Total crimes recorded by the police 2012	continuous	numeric-7.0	30	10	-
21	c_hcide_1993	[COUNTRY] Homicides recorded by the police 1993	discrete	numeric-4.0	14	26	-
22	c_hcide_1994	[COUNTRY] Homicides recorded by the police 1994	continuous	numeric-5.0	28	12	-
23	c_hcide_1995	[COUNTRY] Homicides recorded by the police 1995	continuous	numeric-5.0	30	10	-
24	c_hcide_1996	[COUNTRY] Homicides recorded by the police 1996	continuous	numeric-5.0	31	9	-
25	c_hcide_1997	[COUNTRY] Homicides recorded by the police 1997	continuous	numeric-5.0	31	9	-
26	c_hcide_1998	[COUNTRY] Homicides recorded by the police 1998	continuous	numeric-5.0	31	9	-
27	c_hcide_1999	[COUNTRY] Homicides recorded by the police 1999	continuous	numeric-5.0	31	9	-
28	c_hcide_2000	[COUNTRY] Homicides recorded by the police 2000	continuous	numeric-5.0	32	8	-
29	c_hcide_2001	[COUNTRY] Homicides recorded by the police 2001	continuous	numeric-5.0	32	8	-
30	c_hcide_2002	[COUNTRY] Homicides recorded by the police 2002	continuous	numeric-5.0	32	8	-
31	c_hcide_2003	[COUNTRY] Homicides recorded by the police 2003	continuous	numeric-5.0	32	8	-
32	c_hcide_2004	[COUNTRY] Homicides recorded by the police 2004	continuous	numeric-5.0	32	8	-
33	c_hcide_2005	[COUNTRY] Homicides recorded by the police 2005	continuous	numeric-5.0	32	8	-
34	c_hcide_2006	[COUNTRY] Homicides recorded by the police 2006	discrete	numeric-4.0	31	9	-
35	c_hcide_2007	[COUNTRY] Homicides recorded by the police 2007	continuous	numeric-4.0	31	9	-
36	c_hcide_2008	[COUNTRY] Homicides recorded by the police 2008	continuous	numeric-4.0	33	7	-
37	c_hcide_2009	[COUNTRY] Homicides recorded by the police 2009	continuous	numeric-4.0	32	8	-
38	c_hcide_2010	[COUNTRY] Homicides recorded by the police 2010	continuous	numeric-4.0	32	8	-
39	c_hcide_2011	[COUNTRY] Homicides recorded by the police 2011	continuous	numeric-4.0	32	8	-
40	c_hcide_2012	[COUNTRY] Homicides recorded by the police 2012	continuous	numeric-4.0	32	8	-
41	c_viol_1993	[COUNTRY] Violent crimes recorded by the police 1993	continuous	numeric-6.0	21	19	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
42	c_viol_1994	[COUNTRY] Violent crimes recorded by the police 1994	continuous	numeric-6.0	24	16	-
43	c_viol_1995	[COUNTRY] Violent crimes recorded by the police 1995	continuous	numeric-6.0	25	15	-
44	c_viol_1996	[COUNTRY] Violent crimes recorded by the police 1996	continuous	numeric-6.0	25	15	-
45	c_viol_1997	[COUNTRY] Violent crimes recorded by the police 1997	continuous	numeric-6.0	25	15	-
46	c_viol_1998	[COUNTRY] Violent crimes recorded by the police 1998	continuous	numeric-6.0	26	14	-
47	c_viol_1999	[COUNTRY] Violent crimes recorded by the police 1999	continuous	numeric-6.0	28	12	-
48	c_viol_2000	[COUNTRY] Violent crimes recorded by the police 2000	continuous	numeric-6.0	31	9	-
49	c_viol_2001	[COUNTRY] Violent crimes recorded by the police 2001	continuous	numeric-6.0	31	9	-
50	c_viol_2002	[COUNTRY] Violent crimes recorded by the police 2002	continuous	numeric-6.0	29	11	-
51	c_viol_2003	[COUNTRY] Violent crimes recorded by the police 2003	continuous	numeric-7.0	30	10	-
52	c_viol_2004	[COUNTRY] Violent crimes recorded by the police 2004	continuous	numeric-7.0	30	10	-
53	c_viol_2005	[COUNTRY] Violent crimes recorded by the police 2005	continuous	numeric-7.0	30	10	-
54	c_viol_2006	[COUNTRY] Violent crimes recorded by the police 2006	continuous	numeric-7.0	30	10	-
55	c_viol_2007	[COUNTRY] Violent crimes recorded by the police 2007	continuous	numeric-6.0	30	10	-
56	c_viol_2008	[COUNTRY] Violent crimes recorded by the police 2008	continuous	numeric-6.0	32	8	-
57	c_viol_2009	[COUNTRY] Violent crimes recorded by the police 2009	continuous	numeric-6.0	31	9	-
58	c_viol_2010	[COUNTRY] Violent crimes recorded by the police 2010	continuous	numeric-6.0	31	9	-
59	c_viol_2011	[COUNTRY] Violent crimes recorded by the police 2011	continuous	numeric-6.0	30	10	-
60	c_viol_2012	[COUNTRY] Violent crimes recorded by the police 2012	continuous	numeric-6.0	30	10	-
61	c_robbr_1993	[COUNTRY] Robberies recorded by the police 1993	continuous	numeric-5.0	19	21	-
62	c_robbr_1994	[COUNTRY] Robberies recorded by the police 1994	continuous	numeric-6.0	20	20	-
63	c_robbr_1995	[COUNTRY] Robberies recorded by the police 1995	continuous	numeric-6.0	22	18	-
64	c_robbr_1996	[COUNTRY] Robberies recorded by the police 1996	continuous	numeric-6.0	23	17	-
65	c_robbr_1997	[COUNTRY] Robberies recorded by the police 1997	continuous	numeric-6.0	28	12	-
66	c_robbr_1998	[COUNTRY] Robberies recorded by the police 1998	continuous	numeric-6.0	28	12	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
67	c_robbr_1999	[COUNTRY] Robberies recorded by the police 1999	continuous	numeric-6.0	29	11	-
68	c_robbr_2000	[COUNTRY] Robberies recorded by the police 2000	continuous	numeric-6.0	32	8	-
69	c_robbr_2001	[COUNTRY] Robberies recorded by the police 2001	continuous	numeric-6.0	32	8	-
70	c_robbr_2002	[COUNTRY] Robberies recorded by the police 2002	continuous	numeric-6.0	31	9	-
71	c_robbr_2003	[COUNTRY] Robberies recorded by the police 2003	continuous	numeric-6.0	32	8	-
72	c_robbr_2004	[COUNTRY] Robberies recorded by the police 2004	continuous	numeric-6.0	32	8	-
73	c_robbr_2005	[COUNTRY] Robberies recorded by the police 2005	continuous	numeric-6.0	32	8	-
74	c_robbr_2006	[COUNTRY] Robberies recorded by the police 2006	continuous	numeric-6.0	31	9	-
75	c_robbr_2007	[COUNTRY] Robberies recorded by the police 2007	continuous	numeric-6.0	31	9	-
76	c_robbr_2008	[COUNTRY] Robberies recorded by the police 2008	continuous	numeric-6.0	33	7	-
77	c_robbr_2009	[COUNTRY] Robberies recorded by the police 2009	continuous	numeric-6.0	32	8	-
78	c_robbr_2010	[COUNTRY] Robberies recorded by the police 2010	continuous	numeric-6.0	32	8	-
79	c_robbr_2011	[COUNTRY] Robberies recorded by the police 2011	continuous	numeric-6.0	32	8	-
80	c_robbr_2012	[COUNTRY] Robberies recorded by the police 2012	continuous	numeric-6.0	32	8	-
81	c_dburg_1993	[COUNTRY] Domestic burglaries recorded by the police 1993	continuous	numeric-6.0	20	20	-
82	c_dburg_1994	[COUNTRY] Domestic burglaries recorded by the police 1994	continuous	numeric-6.0	23	17	-
83	c_dburg_1995	[COUNTRY] Domestic burglaries recorded by the police 1995	continuous	numeric-6.0	26	14	-
84	c_dburg_1996	[COUNTRY] Domestic burglaries recorded by the police 1996	continuous	numeric-6.0	26	14	-
85	c_dburg_1997	[COUNTRY] Domestic burglaries recorded by the police 1997	continuous	numeric-6.0	26	14	-
86	c_dburg_1998	[COUNTRY] Domestic burglaries recorded by the police 1998	continuous	numeric-6.0	26	14	-
87	c_dburg_1999	[COUNTRY] Domestic burglaries recorded by the police 1999	continuous	numeric-6.0	28	12	-
88	c_dburg_2000	[COUNTRY] Domestic burglaries recorded by the police 2000	continuous	numeric-6.0	32	8	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
89	c_dburg_2001	[COUNTRY] Domestic burglaries recorded by the police 2001	continuous	numeric-6.0	32	8	-
90	c_dburg_2002	[COUNTRY] Domestic burglaries recorded by the police 2002	continuous	numeric-6.0	32	8	-
91	c_dburg_2003	[COUNTRY] Domestic burglaries recorded by the police 2003	continuous	numeric-6.0	32	8	-
92	c_dburg_2004	[COUNTRY] Domestic burglaries recorded by the police 2004	continuous	numeric-6.0	32	8	-
93	c_dburg_2005	[COUNTRY] Domestic burglaries recorded by the police 2005	continuous	numeric-6.0	32	8	-
94	c_dburg_2006	[COUNTRY] Domestic burglaries recorded by the police 2006	continuous	numeric-6.0	31	9	-
95	c_dburg_2007	[COUNTRY] Domestic burglaries recorded by the police 2007	continuous	numeric-6.0	31	9	-
96	c_dburg_2008	[COUNTRY] Domestic burglaries recorded by the police 2008	continuous	numeric-6.0	33	7	-
97	c_dburg_2009	[COUNTRY] Domestic burglaries recorded by the police 2009	continuous	numeric-6.0	32	8	-
98	c_dburg_2010	[COUNTRY] Domestic burglaries recorded by the police 2010	continuous	numeric-6.0	32	8	-
99	c_dburg_2011	[COUNTRY] Domestic burglaries recorded by the police 2011	continuous	numeric-6.0	31	9	-
100	c_dburg_2012	[COUNTRY] Domestic burglaries recorded by the police 2012	continuous	numeric-6.0	31	9	-
101	c_vthft_1993	[COUNTRY] Motor vehicle thefts recorded by the police 1993	continuous	numeric-6.0	20	20	-
102	c_vthft_1994	[COUNTRY] Motor vehicle thefts recorded by the police 1994	continuous	numeric-6.0	23	17	-
103	c_vthft_1995	[COUNTRY] Motor vehicle thefts recorded by the police 1995	continuous	numeric-6.0	26	14	-
104	c_vthft_1996	[COUNTRY] Motor vehicle thefts recorded by the police 1996	continuous	numeric-6.0	27	13	-
105	c_vthft_1997	[COUNTRY] Motor vehicle thefts recorded by the police 1997	continuous	numeric-6.0	28	12	-
106	c_vthft_1998	[COUNTRY] Motor vehicle thefts recorded by the police 1998	continuous	numeric-6.0	28	12	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
107	c_vthft_1999	[COUNTRY] Motor vehicle thefts recorded by the police 1999	continuous	numeric-6.0	28	12	-
108	c_vthft_2000	[COUNTRY] Motor vehicle thefts recorded by the police 2000	continuous	numeric-6.0	30	10	-
109	c_vthft_2001	[COUNTRY] Motor vehicle thefts recorded by the police 2001	continuous	numeric-6.0	30	10	-
110	c_vthft_2002	[COUNTRY] Motor vehicle thefts recorded by the police 2002	continuous	numeric-6.0	30	10	-
111	c_vthft_2003	[COUNTRY] Motor vehicle thefts recorded by the police 2003	continuous	numeric-6.0	30	10	-
112	c_vthft_2004	[COUNTRY] Motor vehicle thefts recorded by the police 2004	continuous	numeric-6.0	30	10	-
113	c_vthft_2005	[COUNTRY] Motor vehicle thefts recorded by the police 2005	continuous	numeric-6.0	30	10	-
114	c_vthft_2006	[COUNTRY] Motor vehicle thefts recorded by the police 2006	continuous	numeric-6.0	29	11	-
115	c_vthft_2007	[COUNTRY] Motor vehicle thefts recorded by the police 2007	continuous	numeric-6.0	29	11	-
116	c_vthft_2008	[COUNTRY] Motor vehicle thefts recorded by the police 2008	continuous	numeric-6.0	31	9	-
117	c_vthft_2009	[COUNTRY] Motor vehicle thefts recorded by the police 2009	continuous	numeric-6.0	31	9	-
118	c_vthft_2010	[COUNTRY] Motor vehicle thefts recorded by the police 2010	continuous	numeric-6.0	31	9	-
119	c_vthft_2011	[COUNTRY] Motor vehicle thefts recorded by the police 2011	continuous	numeric-6.0	30	10	-
120	c_vthft_2012	[COUNTRY] Motor vehicle thefts recorded by the police 2012	continuous	numeric-6.0	30	10	-
121	c_drugt_1993	[COUNTRY] Drug trafficking recorded by the police 1993	continuous	numeric-5.0	13	27	-
122	c_drugt_1994	[COUNTRY] Drug trafficking recorded by the police 1994	continuous	numeric-5.0	20	20	-
123	c_drugt_1995	[COUNTRY] Drug trafficking recorded by the police 1995	continuous	numeric-5.0	25	15	-
124	c_drugt_1996	[COUNTRY] Drug trafficking recorded by the police 1996	continuous	numeric-5.0	25	15	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
125	c_drugt_1997	[COUNTRY] Drug trafficking recorded by the police 1997	continuous	numeric-6.0	25	15	-
126	c_drugt_1998	[COUNTRY] Drug trafficking recorded by the police 1998	continuous	numeric-6.0	25	15	-
127	c_drugt_1999	[COUNTRY] Drug trafficking recorded by the police 1999	continuous	numeric-6.0	26	14	-
128	c_drugt_2000	[COUNTRY] Drug trafficking recorded by the police 2000	continuous	numeric-6.0	32	8	-
129	c_drugt_2001	[COUNTRY] Drug trafficking recorded by the police 2001	continuous	numeric-6.0	32	8	-
130	c_drugt_2002	[COUNTRY] Drug trafficking recorded by the police 2002	continuous	numeric-6.0	32	8	-
131	c_drugt_2003	[COUNTRY] Drug trafficking recorded by the police 2003	continuous	numeric-6.0	32	8	-
132	c_drugt_2004	[COUNTRY] Drug trafficking recorded by the police 2004	continuous	numeric-6.0	32	8	-
133	c_drugt_2005	[COUNTRY] Drug trafficking recorded by the police 2005	continuous	numeric-6.0	32	8	-
134	c_drugt_2006	[COUNTRY] Drug trafficking recorded by the police 2006	continuous	numeric-5.0	31	9	-
135	c_drugt_2007	[COUNTRY] Drug trafficking recorded by the police 2007	continuous	numeric-5.0	31	9	-
136	c_drugt_2008	[COUNTRY] Drug trafficking recorded by the police 2008	continuous	numeric-5.0	33	7	-
137	c_drugt_2009	[COUNTRY] Drug trafficking recorded by the police 2009	continuous	numeric-5.0	32	8	-
138	c_drugt_2010	[COUNTRY] Drug trafficking recorded by the police 2010	continuous	numeric-5.0	32	8	-
139	c_drugt_2011	[COUNTRY] Drug trafficking recorded by the police 2011	continuous	numeric-5.0	32	8	-
140	c_drugt_2012	[COUNTRY] Drug trafficking recorded by the police 2012	continuous	numeric-5.0	32	8	-

Group Balance and crude rates

Data source

Eurostat

Eurostat is the statistical office of the European Union situated in Luxembourg. Its task is to provide the European Union with statistics at European level that enable comparisons between countries and regions. The data presented here have

been compiled by the ESS MDR from the Eurostat dissemination database (<http://epp.eurostat.ec.europa.eu/portal/page/portal/eurostat/home>). For data documentation see Reference Metadata in Euro SDMX Metadata Structure (ESMS) (http://epp.eurostat.ec.europa.eu/cache/ITY_SDDS/EN/demo_gind_esms.htm).

Variable information

Short description

- Live births (total): Total number of live births in that year.
- Deaths (total): Total number of deaths in that year.
- Natural change of population: The difference between the number of live births and the number of deaths during the year. A positive natural change, also known as natural increase, occurs when live births outnumber deaths. A negative natural change, also named as natural decrease, occurs when live births are less numerous than deaths.
- Net migration plus statistical adjustment: Net migration is the difference between the number of immigrants and the number of emigrants. In the context of the annual demographic balance however, Eurostat produces net migration figures by taking the difference between total population change and natural change; this concept is referred to as net migration plus statistical adjustment. The statistics on 'net migration plus statistical adjustment' are therefore affected by all the statistical inaccuracies in the two components of this equation, especially population change. From one country to another 'net migration plus statistical adjustment' may cover, besides the difference between inward and outward migration, other changes observed in the population figures between 1 January in two consecutive years which cannot be attributed to births, deaths, immigration and emigration.
- Total population change: The difference between the size of the population at the end and the beginning of the period. Specifically, it is the difference in population size on 1 January of two consecutive years. A positive population change is also referred to as population growth. A negative population change is also referred to as population decline. The population change consists of two components: natural change and net migration.
- Crude birth rate: The ratio of the total number of live births during the year to the average population in that year. The value is expressed per 1000 inhabitants.
- Crude death rate: The ratio of the total number of deaths during the year to the average population in that year. The value is expressed per 1000 inhabitants.
- Crude rate of natural change: The ratio of natural change over a period to the average population of the area in question during that period. The value is expressed per 1000 inhabitants.
- Crude rate of net migration including statistical adjustment: The ratio of the net migration including statistical adjustment during the year to the average population in that year. The value is expressed per 1000 inhabitants. The crude rate of net migration is equal to the difference between the crude rate of population change and the crude rate of natural change (that is, net migration is considered as the part of population change not attributable to births and deaths). It is calculated in this way because immigration or emigration flows are either not available or the figures are not reliable.
- Crude rate of population change: The ratio of the total population change during the year to the average population of the area in question in that year. The value is expressed per 1000 inhabitants.

Geographical coverage

All ESS countries covered, except for Israel.

Time coverage

2000-2012.

Last update

03/03/2014.

Date of extraction
07/03/2014.

Missing values

Data is missing for the following observations:

- Albania: 2009-2010, 2012
- Kosovo: 2000-2001 (Live births (total), Deaths (total), Natural change of population)
- Kosovo: 2000-2002, 2010 (Net migration plus statistical adjustment, Total population change, Crude birth rate, Crude death rate, Crude rate of natural change of population, Crude rate of net migration plus statistical adjustment, Crude rate of total population change)
- Russian Federation: 2012

Variables

Subgroup(s)	Births , Deaths , Migration , Change of population
-------------	--

Group Gini coefficient

Data source

OECD

The Statistics Directorate collects economic statistics from across the OECD. These are standardised to make them internationally comparable and are published in both printed and electronic form. The data presented here have been compiled by the ESS MDR from Income distribution - Inequality under Social Protection, under Social and Welfare Statistics, OECD.Stat Extracts (<http://stats.oecd.org>). All metadata and information on the OECD data either comes from the OECD.Stat websites (<http://stats.oecd.org>) or the publication "Growing Unequal? Income distribution and poverty in OECD countries" (<http://www.oecd.org/social/soc/growingunequalincomedistributionandpovertyinoecdcountries.htm>).

Variable information

Short description

Gini coefficient based on equivalised household disposable income, after or before taxes and transfers. The Gini coefficient is defined as the area between the Lorenz curve (which plots cumulative shares of the population, from the poorest to the richest, against the cumulative share of income that they receive) and the 45° line, taken as a ratio of the whole triangle. The values of the Gini coefficient range between 0, in the case of "perfect equality" (i.e. each share of the population gets the same share of income), and 1, in the case of "perfect inequality" (i.e. all income goes to the individual with the highest income).

To take into account the impact of differences in household size and composition, the total disposable household income is "equivalised". The equivalised income attributed to each member of the household is calculated by dividing the total disposable income of the household by the equivalisation factor. Equivalisation factors can be determined in various ways. Eurostat applies an equivalisation factor calculated according to the OECD-modified scale first proposed in 1994 - which gives a weight of 1.0 to the first person aged 14 or more, a weight of 0.5 to other persons aged 14 or more and a weight of 0.3 to persons aged 0-13.

Geographical coverage

All ESS countries covered, except for Albania, Israel, Russian Federation, Ukraine and Kosovo.

Time coverage

1995-2012.

Data sources and compilation practices

Data for most countries are drawn from household surveys, but in the case of several Nordic countries they come from comprehensive population registers integrated with survey data. The use of household surveys implies that data are affected by various sampling and non-sampling errors, whose importance can vary from country to country. It also implies that the data exclude some people at the bottom of the income distribution, such as those without a regular address (e.g. homeless), irregular residents and people living in institutions. Survey results may also be affected by under-reporting, which may be especially significant at the top and bottom of the distribution.

Last update
14/01/2014.

Date of extraction
23/01/2014.

Missing values

Data is missing for the following observations:

- Belgium: 2002
- Bulgaria: 1995-1999
- Cyprus: 1995-1996, 1998-2002, 2004
- Czech Republic: 1995-2000, 2002-2004
- Denmark: 1996, 1998, 2000, 2002
- Estonia: 1995-1999
- Finland: 1995
- Germany: 2002-2004
- Hungary: 1995-1999, 2004
- Iceland: 1995-2003
- Ireland: 2002, 2012
- Italy: 2002-2003
- Lithuania: 1995-1999, 2002-2004
- Netherlands: 2004
- Norway: 1995-2002
- Poland: 1995-1999, 2002-2004
- Portugal: 2002-2003
- Slovakia: 1995-2004
- Slovenia: 1995-1999, 2004
- Sweden: 1995-1996, 1998, 2000, 2003
- Switzerland: 1995-2006
- United Kingdom: 2004

Variables

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	c_gini_1995	[COUNTRY] Gini coefficient of equivalised disposable income 1995	continuous	numeric-2.0	13	27	-
2	c_gini_1996	[COUNTRY] Gini coefficient of equivalised disposable income 1996	continuous	numeric-2.0	13	27	-
3	c_gini_1997	[COUNTRY] Gini coefficient of equivalised disposable income 1997	continuous	numeric-2.0	16	24	-
4	c_gini_1998	[COUNTRY] Gini coefficient of equivalised disposable income 1998	continuous	numeric-2.0	13	27	-
5	c_gini_1999	[COUNTRY] Gini coefficient of equivalised disposable income 1999	continuous	numeric-2.0	15	25	-
6	c_gini_2000	[COUNTRY] Gini coefficient of equivalised disposable income 2000	continuous	numeric-2.0	21	19	-
7	c_gini_2001	[COUNTRY] Gini coefficient of equivalised disposable income 2001	continuous	numeric-2.0	23	17	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
8	c_gini_2002	[COUNTRY] Gini coefficient of equivalised disposable income 2002	continuous	numeric-2.0	12	28	-
9	c_gini_2003	[COUNTRY] Gini coefficient of equivalised disposable income 2003	continuous	numeric-5.2	20	20	-
10	c_gini_2004	[COUNTRY] Gini coefficient of equivalised disposable income 2004	continuous	numeric-5.2	19	21	-
11	c_gini_2005	[COUNTRY] Gini coefficient of equivalised disposable income 2005	continuous	numeric-5.2	29	11	-
12	c_gini_2006	[COUNTRY] Gini coefficient of equivalised disposable income 2006	continuous	numeric-5.2	30	10	-
13	c_gini_2007	[COUNTRY] Gini coefficient of equivalised disposable income 2007	continuous	numeric-5.2	30	10	-
14	c_gini_2008	[COUNTRY] Gini coefficient of equivalised disposable income 2008	continuous	numeric-5.2	30	10	-
15	c_gini_2009	[COUNTRY] Gini coefficient of equivalised disposable income 2009	continuous	numeric-5.2	30	10	-
16	c_gini_2010	[COUNTRY] Gini coefficient of equivalised disposable income 2010	continuous	numeric-5.2	30	10	-
17	c_gini_2011	[COUNTRY] Gini coefficient of equivalised disposable income 2011	continuous	numeric-5.2	30	10	-
18	c_gini_2012	[COUNTRY] Gini coefficient of equivalised disposable income 2012	continuous	numeric-5.2	29	11	-

Group Prison population

Eurostat

Eurostat is the statistical office of the European Union situated in Luxembourg. Its task is to provide the European Union with statistics at European level that enable comparisons between countries and regions. The data presented here have been compiled by the ESS MDR from the Eurostat dissemination database (<http://epp.eurostat.ec.europa.eu/portal/page/portal/eurostat/home>). For data documentation see Reference Metadata in Euro SDMX Metadata Structure (ESMS) (http://epp.eurostat.ec.europa.eu/cache/ITY_SDDS/EN/crim_ htm).

Variable information

Short description

Total number of adult and juvenile prisoners (including pre-trial detainees) at 1 September (or nearest available date). Including offenders held in Prison Administration facilities, other facilities, juvenile offenders' institutions, drug addicts' institutions and psychiatric or other hospitals. Excluding non-criminal prisoners held for administrative purposes (for example, people held pending investigation into their immigration status).

Geographical coverage

All ESS countries covered, except for Albania, Israel and Ukraine.

Time coverage

1993-2012.

Last update
22/01/2014.

Date of extraction
14/03/2014.

Missing values

Data is missing for the following observations:

- Iceland: 1993-1999
- Kosovo: 1993-2010
- Norway: 2012
- Russian Federation: 2006-2012
- Slovakia: 1993-1994
- United Kingdom: 2012

Variables

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	c_pris_1993	[COUNTRY] Prison population 1993	continuous	numeric-6.0	28	12	-
2	c_pris_1994	[COUNTRY] Prison population 1994	continuous	numeric-6.0	28	12	-
3	c_pris_1995	[COUNTRY] Prison population 1995	continuous	numeric-7.0	30	10	-
4	c_pris_1996	[COUNTRY] Prison population 1996	continuous	numeric-7.0	30	10	-
5	c_pris_1997	[COUNTRY] Prison population 1997	continuous	numeric-7.0	30	10	-
6	c_pris_1998	[COUNTRY] Prison population 1998	continuous	numeric-6.0	30	10	-
7	c_pris_1999	[COUNTRY] Prison population 1999	continuous	numeric-7.0	30	10	-
8	c_pris_2000	[COUNTRY] Prison population 2000	continuous	numeric-6.0	32	8	-
9	c_pris_2001	[COUNTRY] Prison population 2001	continuous	numeric-6.0	32	8	-
10	c_pris_2002	[COUNTRY] Prison population 2002	continuous	numeric-6.0	32	8	-
11	c_pris_2003	[COUNTRY] Prison population 2003	continuous	numeric-6.0	32	8	-
12	c_pris_2004	[COUNTRY] Prison population 2004	continuous	numeric-6.0	32	8	-
13	c_pris_2005	[COUNTRY] Prison population 2005	continuous	numeric-6.0	32	8	-
14	c_pris_2006	[COUNTRY] Prison population 2006	continuous	numeric-5.0	31	9	-
15	c_pris_2007	[COUNTRY] Prison population 2007	continuous	numeric-5.0	31	9	-
16	c_pris_2008	[COUNTRY] Prison population 2008	continuous	numeric-6.0	31	9	-
17	c_pris_2009	[COUNTRY] Prison population 2009	continuous	numeric-6.0	31	9	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
18	c_pris_2010	[COUNTRY] Prison population 2010	continuous	numeric-6.0	31	9	-
19	c_pris_2011	[COUNTRY] Prison population 2011	continuous	numeric-6.0	32	8	-
20	c_pris_2012	[COUNTRY] Prison population 2012	continuous	numeric-5.0	29	11	-