

LHC Effective Model for Optics Corrections

PhD Thesis Presentation


Maël Le Garrec
Goethe-Universität Frankfurt
CERN — BE-ABP-LNO



2025-01-30


LHC Effective Model for Optics Corrections

Introduction




- Accelerators are needed to probe high energy physics
- The LHC is the most advanced collider today
 - Challenging to push further the parameters
 - Optimizations require new methods

Particle Trajectory




- All particles oscillate around the ring
- Number of transverse oscillations per turn is the tune: Q_x and Q_y
 - Fractional part is important! In the LHC often 0.28 and 0.31
- Trajectory is created by magnetic fields and can be disturbed


Magnets and Optics



Dipole



Quadrupole





Sextupole

- Linear elements
 - Dipoles bend the particles
 - Quadrupoles focus the beam and set the tune
- Non-Linear elements
 - Sextupoles correct particles with a momentum-offset (δ , chromaticity)
 - Octupoles correct tune change with large amplitudes (amp. detuning)
 - Decapoles correct higher-orders chromaticity and amplitude detuning


Optics: a set of magnet strengths and the related observables

Resonances




- Resonances lead to unstable motion and increasing amplitudes
 - Goal is to avoid, or at least minimize their effect
- Dynamic Aperture: amplitude particles can reach before being lost
 - Can be measured with lifetime studies

Resonance Driving Terms



- RDT f_{jklm} : Coefficient linked to a resonance strength
- Example of f_{1004} , from decapolar fields
 - Excites resonance $1Q_x - 4Q_y$

Field Errors




- Coils were measured during LHC's construction
 - A magnetic model for errors is then used for simulations
 - Time dependent decay was also measured
- Efforts were done in the past to measure various orders
 - Good understanding of linear and some non-linear errors
 - High-orders only via indirect observables

Thesis Work

High-order fields will become problematic once we reach higher performances.
Next accelerators like HL-LHC and FCC-ee will be impacted.

- Magnetic error model of decapolar fields seems incomplete
 - Understanding discrepancy between simulations and measurements
 - Correcting decapolar fields in operation
- Finding ways to measure higher orders and model them
 - Dodecapoles
 - Decatetrapoles


Magnetic Model Discrepancy



$$Q(\delta) = Q_0 + Q'\delta + \frac{1}{2!}Q''\delta^2 + \underbrace{\frac{1}{3!}Q'''\delta^3}_{\text{this one}} + \dots$$

- Corrections of Q'' based on magnetic measurements
 - Model and measurements off by factor 2, but why?
- Possibles sources:
 - Correctors response
 - Magnetic model


Checking the Correctors



- Octupolar and decapolar correctors turned off
- Model and measurements for Q''' are still factor ≈ 2 off
- Discrepancy still there despite various corrector configurations

→ Correctors do not cause the discrepancy


Chromatic Amplitude Detuning



$$\Delta Q(J_x, J_y, \delta) = \frac{\partial^2 Q}{\partial J_x \partial \delta} J_x \delta + \frac{\partial^2 Q}{\partial J_y \partial \delta} J_y \delta + \frac{1}{3!} \frac{\partial^3 Q}{\partial \delta^3} \delta^3$$

- Different expression than Q''
- Factor ≈ 2 compared to simulations again
- First time ever measured in the LHC
 - Points to an error in our decapolar model, in the arcs

Decay in Main Dipoles




Change of decapolar component in dipoles over time,
from Field Model documentation¹

- Decapolar decay in the dipoles was neglected 15 years ago
- Subsequently not integrated in magnetic model
- Is actually quite large!

→ Average decapolar component halved in main dipoles!
Decay is important and needs to be considered


¹<https://lhcb-div-mms.web.cern.ch/tests/MAG/Fidel/>

Implementation of Decay




- Average decapolar decay subtracted in simulations
 - Most of the difference is now explained
 - Both for Q'' and Chromatic Ampdet.
- Discrepancy comes from our error model

Measuring RDTs




- The beam is excited by an AC-Dipole
 - Creates large coherent oscillations
 - Improves signal-to-noise ratio
 - Quantities like RDTs require high amplitudes
 - Can be challenging to attain due to forced dynamic aperture
- Thanks to prior advancements, it is now possible to measure decapolar RDTs!

Frequency Spectrum




- Several lines are clearly visible
 - AC-Dipole tunes
 - Example of decapolar resonance at $4Q_y$
- Resonance Driving Terms are linked to the line amplitude
 - Normalized to the main line and then fitted over several measurements

Measurement and Corrections



- Corrections based on a response matrix
 - Retrieves the current needed to replicate measurement
- Simultaneous corrections of f_{1004} , Q'' and chromatic amp.det.
- First correction of high-orders at injection


Lifetime Impact of Corrections



- Clear improvement of lifetime with decapolar correction
- And deterioration with opposite trim

→ Gain of lifetime at injection energy of $\approx 3\%$

Other Sources for RDT?



- Unexpected behaviour of the RDT
 - Amplitude seemed to vary every year, even with same configuration
 - Additional octupolar corrections of Q'' increased it

→ Corrections of Q'' not implemented in 2022

Sextupolar and Octupolar Higher-Order Contributions


Via higher-orders of the transfer map, $e^{:h_1:}e^{:h_2:} = e^{:h:}$

$$\begin{aligned} h &= h_1 + h_2 && \Rightarrow 1^{\text{st}} \text{ order} \\ &+ \frac{1}{2}[h_1, h_2] && \Rightarrow 2^{\text{nd}} \text{ order} \\ &+ \frac{1}{12}[h_1, [h_1, h_2]] \\ &- \frac{1}{12}[h_2, [h_1, h_2]] && \Rightarrow 3^{\text{rd}} \text{ order} \\ &+ \dots \end{aligned}$$

- 1st order → decapoles
- 2nd order → sextupoles and octupoles
- 3rd order → sextupoles together


→ Feed-up from sextupoles and octupoles contribute to decapolar RDTs
Actually never measured before in the LHC!

Decapolar RDT from Landau Octupoles




- Strong octupoles are used to introduce coherent instabilities damping
- But they increase this RDT by one order of magnitude!

Landau Octupoles Impact on Lifetime



- Artificially increased RDT to match expected decapolar impact of sextupoles and octupoles
 - Lifetime is negatively impacted by 10%
- Considering higher-order effects is important


Forced Dynamic Aperture



- We now have a good understanding of interplay of fields
- Allows to implement in operation the new corrections
 - Octupolar (b_4) and decapolar (b_5)
 - Forced Dynamic Aperture clearly improved


→ We can now kick higher with the AC-Dipole!

Dodecapolar RDT f_{0060}



- First measurement made possible this Run
 - Thanks to octupolar (b_4) and decapolar (b_5) corrections improving DA
 - Never been possible before due to kick amplitudes
- Nice repeatability of measurements


RDT f_{0060} Modelling



- Dodecapoles (b_6) dominate
- Small impact of sextupoles through decapoles ($b_3 - b_5$)

→ Our model is accurate for this dodecapolar RDT

Chromaticity




$$Q(\delta) = Q_0 + Q'\delta + \frac{1}{2!}Q''\delta^2 + \frac{1}{3!}Q'''\delta^3 + \underbrace{\frac{1}{4!}Q^{(4)}\delta^4 + \frac{1}{5!}Q^{(5)}\delta^5}_{\text{newly measured!}} + \dots$$


- New measurement technique to increase scan range
- Refined tune cleaning via new processing methods

→ Clear effects of higher-order chromaticity

Decatetrapolar Chromaticity Modelling



- Decatetrapolar (b_7) decay has an impact
- Some missing sources yet to identify



→ Our model agrees relatively well!

Conclusions

Progressed and achieved first measurements of higher-order fields!

- Decapolar
 - Improved our understanding of decapolar fields and our model
 - Forced DA improved by novel corrections
 - First measurements and corrections of Chromatic Detuning and RDTs
- Dodecapolar
 - First measurement of f_{0060} and benchmark of model
- Decatetrapolar
 - Chromaticity measurements allow to probe up to Decatetrapole

→ Good first characterization of high orders in the LHC :)

Conclusions

Thank you for your attention!