Opposing effects of pollinators interaction flexibility on patch occupancy

Marília P. Gaiarsa

2020-12-30

Overview

We we examine species interaction patterns using a long-term plant-pollinator network assembly from the central valley of California. We propose three complementary ways of measuring species' flexibility across ecological scales: partner variability (micro-scale), network role variability (meso-scale), and network structural variability (macro-scale). We then test whether interaction flexibility at different scales enables species to have higher occupancy across the landscape. Finally, we examine which traits explain flexibility and thus, contribute indirectly to occupancy.

All analyses are in the analyses folder within the github repo intFlex. The purpose of this document is to go through the main scripts for each analysis individually. We used R (version 4.0.2), nimble (version 0.7.1), and piecewiseSEM (version 2.1.0).

Variability

The code to calculate variability is inside /analysis/variability. We calculated three measures of interaction flexibility for each species, at each site, across years: partner, role, and structural flexibility. We only considered species that were seen at least three different years in a site, sites that were samples at least three separate years, and also networks (combinatiosn of site x year) that had at least five plants and five pollinators.

Partner variability

We modified methods for calculating β -diversity to calculate the flexibility of interaction partners for each species across years at a site (Fig. 1a of the manuscript). We use the multivariate dispersion method considering null communities (calculated in the 1nulls.R script) to control for alpha diversity. For each species at each site, across all years, the null model reshuffles interactions to estimate the extent to which the observed dissimilarity deviated from that which would be expected under a random process. Then, the function calcBetaStatus is executed in the 2partner.R. The function calcBetaStatus first corrects the dissimilarity values for each species at each site, then calculates the multivariate dispersion of interaction, using a dissimilarity estimator that incorporates species abundances (Chao), while also accounting for unobserved species (check the vegdist function of the vegan package).

As our measure of partner flexibility, we then calculated the coefficient of variation of the dissimilarity for each species at each site, across years:

```
## Site GenusSpecies cv.partner
## 1 Barger Agapostemon texanus 0.03769489
## 2 Barger Bombus melanopygus 0.09476596
## 3 Barger Ceratina acantha 0.34105324
## 4 Barger Halictus ligatus 0.21510592
## 5 Barger Halictus tripartitus 0.25064970
## 6 Barger Hylaeus mesillae 0.86133723
```

Role variability

To characterize the roles that a species can play in their networks, we employed a network motifs approach. In the dataPrep/dataPrep.R file we calculated the motif positions of each species at each site and year. We used the node_positions function from the bmotif package. To control for the fact that species that have more interactions tend to appear in more motif positions, we normalized the data using the mean motif weights:

From this data, in the <code>3motifs.R</code> script we first wrangle the data so that we have a dataframe in which the columns are the motif positions, and the rows are the different years. We create one dataframe for each species at a site. We then calculate the dissimilarity in motif positions across years, for each species at each site. Thus, each point represents the role of species in n-dimensional motif space in a particular year, where each dimension is the frequency with which the species occurs in a particular position within a motif. We used the altGower index in the vegdist function to calculate dissimilarity, and then quantified the multivariate dispersion of motif positions. Finally, we calculated motif role variability as the coefficient of variation of the distance from the centroid, for each species at each site.

As our measure of role flexibility, we then calculated the coefficient of variation of the dissimilarity for each species at each site, across years:

```
## Site GenusSpecies pca.cv
## 1 Barger Agapostemon texanus 0.4456127
## 2 Barger Bombus melanopygus 0.4330127
## 3 Barger Ceratina acantha 0.8075050
## 4 Barger Halictus ligatus 0.6076791
## 5 Barger Halictus tripartitus 0.3358022
## 6 Barger Hylaeus mesillae 0.2901273
```

Structural variability

We evaluated how much each species contributes to the maintenance of network structure. For each site and each year, we calculated how much each species contributes to network nestedness (cnodf) using the , nestedcontribution function from the bipartite package (see the dataPrep/dataPrep.R script). We then calculated the mean and the coefficient of variation of cnodf values for each species ate each site, across years in the 4nestedContr.R script.

```
##
                        GenusSpecies
                                          Site
                                                 cnodf.cv
## 1
                 Agapostemon texanus
                                        Barger 0.18177193
## 2
                     Andrena candida Rominger 0.08540196
## 3 Anthidiellum notatum robertsoni Martinez 0.32579315
## 4
                   Anthophora urbana
                                         Fong 0.15747936
## 5
                   Anthophora urbana Martinez 0.16264825
## 6
                   Anthophora urbana MullerB 0.42718219
```

Occupancy

We used a multi-season, multi-species occupancy model to test the effect of each interaction flexibility metric on species' colonization and persistence in the landscape. In analysis/occupancy/src/initialize.R are all the functions and scripts that prepare the data for the occupancy model, and the script analysis/occupancy/1runModels.R runs the model. To run the MCMC in order to estimate the model coefficients, we use the NIMBLE R package. Because Nimble is constantly being updated, the code below installs the version used in our analyses.

```
library(devtools)
install_github("nimble-dev/nimble", ref = "v0.7.1", subdir = "packages/nimble")
library(nimble)
```

We modeled the probability of occupancy for species i at site j as a function of colonization (γ_{ij}) and persistence (ϕ_{ij}) , including a random intercept of species and explanatory variables for the flexibility across scales. We then calculated the average equilibrium occupancy across the landscape as

$$\gamma_{ij}/(1-\phi_{ij}+\gamma_{ij})$$

The code below is an excerpt from the 1runModels.R script.

```
type <- "all"
## define params based on type of model
ms.ms.occ <- setModel(type)</pre>
  model.input <- setup(type, model.input)</pre>
  ms.ms.model <- nimbleModel(</pre>
    code = ms.ms.occ,
    constants = model.input$constants,
    data = model.input$data,
    inits = model.input$inits,
    check = FALSE,
    calculate = FALSE
  C.model <- compileNimble(ms.ms.model)</pre>
  ## configure and build mcmc
    mcmc.spec <- configureMCMC(ms.ms.model,</pre>
                                  print = FALSE,
                                      C.model$getNodeNames(stochOnly = TRUE,
                                                             includeData = FALSE),
                                  enableWAIC = TRUE)
    mcmc <- buildMCMC(mcmc.spec,</pre>
                        enableWAIC = TRUE)
```

We then look into Nimble's output "samples" to get the values for all chains and calculate posteriors' probability in analysis/occupancy/2posteriors.R. In this script we create the Figure 3 from the paper, and also the posterior tables with the confidence intervals.

Structural equation models

We used mixed model structural equation modeling (SEM) to test whether abundance, specialization, diet breath, body size, and phenological breadth affected interaction flexibility across scales. We calculated traits from an independent data set (see supplementary information for more details). We considered all traits had a direct effect on diet breadth, as well as a direct effect on each interaction flexibility measures. We calculated interaction flexibility for each species at each site, and included random effects for site and species in our SEM.

To avoid circularity between traits and the variability measurements, for this part of the study we considered five sites for which we tracked community assembly. Since in these areas the community context is shifting, species are most likely to be variable.

The following code is an excerpt from the analysis/variability/5piecewiseSEM.R script for partner variability. For each of or interaction variability we first scaled the variables before fitting them to the model using the psem function, with random effect of species and site. We then we evaluated path significance using standardized coefficients.

The output of the package is ugly so we refined it in Inkscape to make the Supplementary Figure.