DRIVE: Distributional Model-based Reinforcement Learning via Variational Inference

From standard RL to distributional perspective 1

Objective 1.1

$$\max V^{\pi}(s) = \mathbb{E}_{\pi}[Q^{\pi}(s, a)], \forall s \in \mathcal{S}$$
(1)

$$\max_{\pi} \log p^{\pi}(\mathcal{O} = 1|s) = \log \mathbb{E}_{\pi}[p^{\pi}(\mathcal{O} = 1|s, a)], \forall s \in \mathcal{S},$$
(2)

where \mathcal{O} is a binary random variable indicating the optimility when $\mathcal{O}=1$.

Distributional Bellman operator

$$\mathcal{T}^{\pi} \underbrace{U(s,a)}^{p(U|s,a)} \stackrel{\text{D}}{:=} \underbrace{r(s,a) + \gamma U(s',a')}_{q(U|s,a)} \qquad s' \sim P(\cdot|s,a), a' \sim \pi(\cdot|s')$$

$$(\mathcal{T}^{\pi})^{H} U(s_{t},a_{t}) \stackrel{\text{D}}{:=} r_{< H} + \gamma^{H} U(s_{t+H},a_{t+H}) \qquad \tau \sim \pi, \ r_{< H} := \sum_{n=0}^{H-1} \gamma^{n} r(s_{t+n},a_{t+n})$$
(3)

where the equality is held under probability laws.

$\mathbf{2}$ ${f Algorithm}$

Variational Bound 2.1

Considering $p(\mathcal{O} = 1|U, s, a) \propto \exp(U)$,

$$\begin{split} \log p_{\psi}^{\pi_{\theta}}(\mathcal{O} &= 1 | s) \geq \mathcal{L}(\theta, \phi, \psi; s) \\ &= -D_{\mathrm{KL}}(\underbrace{q_{\phi}(a | \mathcal{O} = 1, s)}_{\mathrm{posterior}} || \pi_{\theta}(a | s)) + \mathbb{E}_{q_{\phi}(a | \mathcal{O} = 1, s)}[\log \underbrace{p_{\psi}(\mathcal{O} = 1 | s, a)}_{\mathrm{optimility distribution}}] \\ &= -D_{\mathrm{KL}}(q_{\phi}(a | \mathcal{O} = 1, s) || \pi_{\theta}(a | s)) + \mathbb{E}_{q_{\phi}(a | \mathcal{O} = 1, s)}[\log \underbrace{\int p(\mathcal{O} = 1 | U, s, a)}_{\mathrm{cexp}(U)} p_{\psi}(U | s, a) dU] \\ &\geq -\underbrace{D_{\mathrm{KL}}(q_{\phi}(a | \mathcal{O} = 1, s) || \pi_{\theta}(a | s))}_{\mathrm{complexity}} + \underbrace{\mathbb{E}_{q_{\phi}(a | \mathcal{O} = 1, s), q(U | s, a)}[U | s, a]}_{\mathrm{reparametrized PG}} - \mathbb{E}_{q_{\phi}(a | \mathcal{O} = 1, s)}[\underbrace{D_{\mathrm{KL}}(q(U | s, a) || p_{\psi}(U | s, a))}_{\mathrm{regularizer \& policy evaluation}}] - \mathrm{const} \end{split}$$

Updating rule 2.2

Value:
$$\mathcal{J}(\psi) = \mathbb{E}_{q(U|s,a)}[-\log p_{\psi}(U|s,a)]$$
 (4)

Policy:
$$\mathcal{J}(\theta) \equiv D_{\text{KL}}(\pi_{\theta} || q_{\phi})$$
 (5)

$$\text{Posterior} + \text{Policy: } \overbrace{\mathcal{J}(\theta,\phi)} = -\underbrace{\mathbb{E}_{q_{\phi}(a|\mathcal{O}=1,s),q(U|s,a)}[U|s,a]}_{\mathcal{J}_{U}} + \underbrace{D_{\text{KL}}(q_{\phi}(a|\mathcal{O}=1,s)||\pi_{\theta}(a|s))}_{\mathcal{J}_{\text{KL}}^{(1)}} + \underbrace{\mathbb{E}_{q_{\phi}(a|\mathcal{O}=1,s)}[D_{\text{KL}}(q(U|s,a)||p_{\psi}(U|s,a))]}_{\mathcal{J}_{\text{KL}}^{(2)}}$$

2.3Approximation

Denote the learned transition model as \hat{f} ,

For \mathcal{J}_U

$$\mathcal{J}_{U} = \mathbb{E}_{q_{\phi}, \pi_{\theta}, \hat{f}, p_{\psi}(U | s_{t+H}, a_{t+H})} \left[r_{< H} + \gamma^{H} U(s_{t+H}, a_{t+H}) \right]$$

For $\mathcal{J}_{\mathbf{KL}}^{(2)}$

$$q(U|s,a) = \frac{1}{\gamma} \mathbb{E}_{\pi_{\theta},\hat{f}} \left[p_{\psi} \left(\frac{U - r_{< H}}{\gamma} \right) \right]$$

$$= \mathbb{E}_{\pi_{\theta},\hat{f}} \left[\mathcal{N}(r_{< H} + \gamma^{H} \mu(U_{t+H}), \gamma^{2H} \sigma^{2}(U_{t+H})) \right], \quad \text{if } p_{\psi} \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^{2})$$

$$\approx \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i}^{N} \mathcal{N}(r_{< H}(\tau_{i}) + \gamma^{H} \mu_{i}(U_{t+H}), \gamma^{2H} \sigma_{i}^{2}(U_{t+H}))$$

- Typically N = 1 works well
- When N > 1, it is more likely exploiting model error.

Use reparametrization trick to make above all differentiable w.r.t. both θ, ϕ .

Algorithm 1 DRIVE

```
while not converged do

for each update step j = 1, ..., C do

model learning

posterior + actor learning Eq. 6

value learning Eq. 4

end for

for each environment step j = 1, ..., T do

collect data

add data to replay buffer \mathcal{D}

end for

end while
```