

# Solutions for Data Structures and Algorithms Spring 2023 — Problem Sets

By Dmitriy Okoneshnikov, B22-DSAI-04

February 21-22, 2023

## Week 6. Problem set

1. Consider a modification of MERGE-SORT algorithm [Cormen, Section 2.3] that stops recursion when the size of subarray becomes less than or equal to  $k$ . For arrays of size  $\leq k$ , the modified algorithm performs BUBBLE-SORT. Answer the following questions about the modified algorithm:

- (a) What is the worst case time complexity in terms of  $n$  and  $k$ ?

**Answer.**  $\Theta(\frac{n}{k} \log \frac{n}{k} + nk)$

- (b) What is the best case time complexity in terms of  $n$  and  $k$ ?

**Answer.**  $\Theta(\frac{n}{k} \log \frac{n}{k} + n)$

The answer should be given using  $\Theta$ -notation. No justification is required.

2. Apply counting sort to the following input array where each column corresponds to one item with its numeric key and single-character satellite data:

6	0	6	3	0	6	1	3	2	0
S	I	A	Y	S	!	U	D	D	T

You **must** demonstrate the final state of the auxiliary arrays used in the algorithm, as well as the output of the array

**Solution.**

First we populate the **count** array, its length is  $6 + 1$  (6 is max value of original array):

$$\{3, 1, 1, 2, 0, 0, 3\}$$

Then we get the **accum** array, its length is equal to **count** array:

$$\{3, 4, 5, 7, 7, 7, 10\}$$

Then we populate the output arrays. The final state is following:

Final state of **count** (not changed):  $\{3, 1, 1, 2, 0, 0, 3\}$

Final state of **accum**:  $\{0, 3, 4, 5, 7, 7, 7\}$

Sorted numeric keys:  $\{0, 0, 0, 1, 2, 3, 3, 6, 6, 6\}$

Sorted satellite data:  $\{I, S, T, U, D, Y, D, S, A, !\}$

3. Let  $A$  be an array of positive integers. Different integers may have different number of digits, but the total number of digits over all the integers in  $A$  is  $n$ . Propose an algorithm to sort the array in  $\Theta(n)$  time, based on RADIX-SORT and COUNTING-SORT. More precisely:

- (a) Briefly (in one paragraph) summarise the idea of the algorithm *in your own words*.

**Answer.**

Let us firstly sort the array using COUNTING-SORT based on amount of digits of a number. This gives us groups of numbers having the same amount of digits, such that, firstly we have numbers with 1 digit, then 2 digits, and so on. Finally, let us sort every group using RADIX-SORT. Therefore, we get our sorted array.

- (b) Provide complete pseudocode of the algorithm. You may use the pseudocode from [Cormen, Chapter 8] to help with a starting point of your pseudocode.

**Answer.**

```

1  Sort( $A, N$ ) //  $N$  is size of  $A$ 
2      let  $B[1:N]$  be a new array
3       $B :=$  Counting-Sort-Length( $A, N$ ) //  $B$  is now sorted by length of a number
4       $prev := 0$  // index of start of group
5      for  $i := 2$  to  $N$ :
6          if Get-Number-Of-Digits( $B[i - 1]$ ) < Get-Number-Of-Digits( $B[i]$ ):
7               $B :=$  Radix-Sort( $B, N$ , Get-Number-Of-Digits( $B[prev]$ ),  $prev, i$ )
8               $prev := i$ 
9       $B :=$  Radix-Sort( $B$ , Get-Number-Of-Digits( $B[prev]$ ),  $prev, N$ )
10     return  $B$ 
11
12 Counting-Sort-Length( $A, N$ )
13      $M :=$  Get-Number-Of-Digits( $\max\{A\}$ ) + 1 // length of the maximal number in array
14     let  $count[1:M]$  be a new array
15     let  $accum[1:M]$  be a new array
16     let  $output[1:N]$  be a new array
17     for  $i := 1$  to  $M$ :
18          $count[i] := 0$ 
19          $accum[i] := 0$ 
20     for  $i := 1$  to  $N$ :
21          $count[Get-Number-Of-Digits(A[i])] += 1$ 
22     for  $i := 2$  to  $M$ :
23          $accum[i] := count[i] + accum[i - 1]$ 
24     for  $i := N$  to 1:
25          $output[accum[Get-Number-Of-Digits(A[i])]] := A[i]$ 
26          $accum[Get-Number-Of-Digits(A[i])] -= 1$ 
27     return  $output$ 
28
29 Radix-Sort( $A, N, d, start, end$ )
30     let  $B[1:N]$  be a new array
31     for  $i := 0$  to  $d - 1$ :
32          $B :=$  Counting-Sort( $B, N, i, start, end$ )
33     return  $B$ 
34
35 Counting-Sort( $A, N, index, start, end$ )
36      $M := 10$ 
37     let  $count[1:M]$  be a new array
38     let  $accum[1:M]$  be a new array

```

```

39   let tmp[ start:end - 1] be a new array
40   let output[1:N] be a new array
41   output := A
42   for i := 1 to M:
43       count[i] := 0
44       accum[i] := 0
45   for i := start to end - 1:
46       count[Get-I-th-Digit{A[i], index}] += 1
47   for i := 2 to M:
48       accum[i] := count[i] + accum[i - 1]
49   for i := end - 1 to start:
50       tmp[accum[Get-I-th-Digit{A[i], index}]] := A[i]
51       accum[Get-I-th-Digit{A[i], index}] -= 1
52   for i := start to end - 1:
53       output[i] := tmp[i - start + 1]
54   return output

```

(c) (+0.5% extra credit) Justify the time complexity.

**Solution.**

Suppose  $p$  is amount of numbers in the array.

The COUNTING-SORT is  $\Theta(p + n)$ , but it is obvious that  $p \leq n$ , so  $\Theta(p + n) = \Theta(n)$ .

Let us calculate the time complexity of running RADIX-SORT for every group. Time complexity of RADIX-SORT for one group is  $\Theta(d \cdot (N + k))$ , where  $d = d_i$  — amount of digits in one number in group  $i$ ,  $N = p_i$  — amount of numbers in group  $i$ ,  $k = 9$  — maximum value of a digit. Or it can be rewritten as  $\Theta(d_i \cdot (p_i + 9)) = \Theta(d_i \cdot p_i)$ . It is obvious that  $\sum d_i \cdot p_i = n$ . Therefore, running RADIX-SORT for all groups will be  $\Theta(n)$ .

The overall time complexity will be  $\Theta(n) + \Theta(n) = \Theta(n)$ .

**Q.E.D.**

## References

- [1] T. H. Cormen, C. E. Leiserson, R. L. Rivest and C. Stein. *Introduction to Algorithms, Fourth Edition*. The MIT Press 2022