

Solutions for Data Structures and Algorithms Spring 2023 — Problem Sets

By Dmitriy Okoneshnikov, B22-DSAI-04

February 18, 2023

Homework 1. Problem set

Asymptotics (32 points)

1. Prove or disprove the following statements. You must provide a formal proof. You may use the definitions of the asymptotic notations as well as their properties and properties of common functions, **as long as you properly reference** them (e.g. by specifying the exact place in Cormen where this property is introduced)!

(a) $n^3 \log n = \Omega(3n \log n)$

Solution.

By definition [Cormen, Section 3.2] $f(n) = \Omega(g(n))$ means that $\exists c > 0, n_0 > 0 : \forall n > n_0 : f(n) \geq cg(n) \geq 0$.

$$n^3 \log n \geq 3cn \log n$$

$$\text{Let } n > n_0 > 1$$

$$n^3 \geq 3cn$$

$$n^2 \geq 3c$$

$$c \leq \frac{n^2}{3}$$

This inequality holds true, e.g., when $c = 2, n = 3$.

Q.E.D.

(b) $n^{\frac{9}{2}} + n^4 \log n + n^2 = O(n^4 \log n)$

Solution.

By definition [Cormen, Section 3.2] $f(n) = O(g(n))$ means that $\exists c > 0, n_0 > 0 : \forall n > n_0 : cg(n) \geq f(n) \geq 0$.

$$n^{\frac{9}{2}} + n^4 \log n + n^2 \leq cn^4 \log n$$

$$n^{\frac{1}{2}} + \log n + \frac{1}{n^2} \leq c \log n$$

$$\text{Let } n > n_0 > 1$$

$$\frac{n^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\log n} + 1 + \frac{1}{n^2 \log n} \leq c$$

But $n^{\frac{1}{2}}$ grows faster than $\log n$ [Cormen, Section 3.3, Equation 3.24]. Therefore, we can't choose any c and n that will hold the inequality true.

The statement is not true.

(c) $6^{n+1} + 6(n+1)! + 24n^{42} = O(n!)$

Solution.

By definition [Cormen, Section 3.2] $f(n) = O(g(n))$ means that $\exists c > 0, n_0 > 0 : \forall n > n_0 : cg(n) \geq f(n) \geq 0$.

$$6^{n+1} + 6(n+1)! + 24n^{42} \leq cn!$$

$$\frac{6^{n+1}}{n!} + 6(n+1) + \frac{24n^{42}}{n!} \leq c$$

But it is obvious that there are no c, n_0 that will satisfy the inequality. As for any c, n_0 there will be such $n > n_0$ that $6(n+1) > c$.

The statement is not true.

- (d) There exists a constant $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $\frac{n}{\log n} = O(n^{1-\varepsilon})$

By definition [Cormen, Section 3.2] $f(n) = O(g(n))$ means that $\exists c > 0, n_0 > 0 : \forall n > n_0 : cg(n) \geq f(n) \geq 0$.

$$\frac{n}{\log n} \leq cn^{1-\varepsilon}$$

$$\frac{n^\varepsilon}{\log n} \leq c$$

$$n^\varepsilon \leq c \log n$$

The latter means $n^\varepsilon = O(\log n)$, but n^ε grows faster than $\log n$ [Cormen, Section 3.3, Equation 3.24].

The statement is not true.

2. For each of the following recurrences, apply the master theorem yielding a closed form formula. You must specify which case is applied, explicitly check the necessary conditions, and provide final answer using Θ -notation. If the theorem cannot be applied you must provide justification.

- (a) $T(n) = 2T(\frac{n}{3}) + \log n$

Solution.

Case #1 is used [Cormen, Section 4.5], which states that if $\exists \varepsilon > 0 : f(n) = O(n^{\log_b a - \varepsilon})$, then $T(n) = \Theta(n^{\log_b a})$.

$$\log(n) = O(n^{\log_3 2 - \varepsilon})$$

From [Cormen, Section 3.3, Equation 3.24] we know that $\log^b n = o(n^\alpha)$, where $\alpha > 0$. Therefore, $\log^b n = O(n^\alpha)$. Then we need any ε that will make the logarithm positive. For example, $\varepsilon = 0.5$.

The case's condition is satisfied, therefore, $T(n) = \Theta(n^{\log_3 2})$.

Answer. $\Theta(n^{\log_3 2})$

- (b) $T(n) = 3T(\frac{n}{9}) + \sqrt{n}$

Solution.

Case #2 is used [Cormen, Section 4.5], which states that if $\exists k \geq 0 : f(n) = \Theta(n^{\log_b a} \lg^k n)$, then $T(n) = \Theta(n^{\log_b a} \lg^{k+1} n)$.

$$\sqrt{n} = \Theta(n^{\frac{1}{2}} \lg^k n)$$

Let $k = 0$

$$\sqrt{n} = \Theta(\sqrt{n}). \text{ It is true as } f(n) = \Theta(f(n)) \text{ [Cormen, Section 3.2]}$$

The case's condition is satisfied, therefore, $T(n) = \Theta(\sqrt{n} \lg n)$

Answer. $\Theta(\sqrt{n} \lg n)$

- (c) $T(n) = 4T(\frac{n}{9}) + \sqrt{n} \log n$

Solution.

Case #1 is used [Cormen, Section 4.5], which states that if $\exists \varepsilon > 0 : f(n) = O(n^{\log_b a - \varepsilon})$, then $T(n) = \Theta(n^{\log_b a})$.

$$\sqrt{n} \log n = O(n^{\log_9 4 - \varepsilon})$$

Let $\varepsilon = 0.5$

$$\sqrt{n} \log n = O(n^{\log_9 3.5})$$

Using the definition of O [Cormen, Section 3.2]

$$n^{0.5} \log n \leq cn^{\log_9 3.5}$$

$$n^{0.5 - \log_9 3.5} \log n \leq c$$

$$\frac{1}{n^{\log_9 3.5 - 0.5}} \log n \leq c$$

$$\log n \leq cn^{\log_9 3.5 - 0.5}$$

Due to the definition of O [Cormen, Section 3.2], the latter means $\log n = O(n^{\log_9 3.5 - 0.5})$. But from [Cormen, Section 3.3, Equation 3.24] we know that $\log^b n = o(n^\alpha)$, where $\alpha > 0$. Therefore, $\log^b n = O(n^\alpha)$.

The case's condition is satisfied, therefore, $T(n) = \Theta(n^{\log_9 4})$

Answer. $\Theta(n^{\log_9 4})$

(d) $T(n) = 5T(\frac{n}{5}) + \frac{n}{1000}$

Solution.

Case #2 is used [Cormen, Section 4.5], which states that if $\exists k \geq 0 : f(n) = \Theta(n^{\log_b a} \lg^k n)$, then $T(n) = \Theta(n^{\log_b a} \lg^{k+1} n)$.

$$\frac{n}{1000} = \Theta(n^{\log_5 5} \lg^k n)$$

$$\frac{n}{1000} = \Theta(n \lg^k n)$$

Let $k = 0$

$$\frac{n}{1000} = \Theta(n)$$

The latter is true as we can choose $c_1 = \frac{1}{1000}$, $c_2 = 1$, $n_0 = 1$ such that $0 \leq c_1 n \leq \frac{n}{1000} \leq c_2 n$ for all $n > n_0$ [Cormen, Section 3.2], therefore, $0 \leq \frac{1}{1000} \cdot n \leq \frac{n}{1000} \leq 1 \cdot n$.

The case's condition is satisfied, therefore, $T(n) = \Theta(n \lg n)$

Answer. $\Theta(n \lg n)$

Segmented List (18 points)

Recall the methods of the List ADT:

```
public interface List<E>
{
    int size();
    boolean isEmpty();
    void add(int position, E element);
    E remove (int position);
    E get(int position);
    E set(int position, E element);
}
```

Consider a **SegmentedList** implementation, that consists of a doubly linked list of arrays (segments) of fixed capacity k :

1. all segments, except the last one, must contain at least $\lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor$ elements;
2. when adding at the end of **SegmentedList**, the element is added in the last segment, if it is not full; otherwise, a new segment of capacity k is added at the end of the linked list;
3. when adding x at any position, the doubly linked list is scanned from left to right (or right to left) to find the corresponding segment; if the segment is full, it is split into two segments with $\frac{k}{2}$ elements each, and x is inserted into one of them, depending on the insertion position.
4. when removing an element, only elements in its segment are shifted; if the segment size becomes less than $\lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor$, then we rebalance:
 - (a) if it is the last segment, do nothing;

- (b) if it is a middle segment s_i and the sum $m = \text{size}(s_{i-1}) + \text{size}(s_i) + \text{size}(s_{i+1}) < 2k$, then replace these three segments with two segments of size $\frac{m}{2}$;
- (c) if it is a middle segment s_i and the sum $m = \text{size}(s_{i-1}) + \text{size}(s_i) + \text{size}(s_{i+1}) \geq 2k$, then replace these three segments with three segments of size $\frac{m}{3}$;
- (d) if it is the first segment, and there exist two segments after, then proceed similarly to previous two cases;
- (e) if it is the first segment and only one segment after it exists, move as many elements from the last segment to the first one as possible; if the last segment becomes empty, remove it.

Perform the following analysis for the **SegmentedList**:

1. Argue that the worst case time complexity of **add(i, e)** is $O(\frac{n}{k} + k)$.

Solution.

To add an element to a position, we need to first scan the doubly linked lists to find the segment, it will take in the worst case $O(\frac{n}{k})$, because there are $\frac{n}{k}$ segments. If the segment is full, then it needs to be split into two segments, taking in the worst case $O(k)$, because we need to move the second half of the splitted segment. Therefore, the total worst case time complexity of **add(i, e)** is $O(\frac{n}{k} + k)$.

Q.E.D

2. Argue that the worst case time complexity of **remove(i)** is $O(\frac{n}{k} + k)$.

Solution.

To remove an element at a position, we need to first scan the doubly linked lists to find the segment, it will take in the worst case $O(\frac{n}{k})$, because there are $\frac{n}{k}$ segments. Then, we need to shift the elements in the corresponding segment, which takes in the worst case $O(k)$, because we need to move at most k elements. If the size of the segment becomes less than $\lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor$, we need to rebalance, which takes in the worst case $O(k)$. Therefore, the total worst case time complexity of **remove(i)** is $O(\frac{n}{k} + k)$.

Q.E.D.

3. What value of k should be chosen in practice? Why?

Answer.

A small value of k would make adding and removing elements faster as we need to shift fewer elements, but it would increase the number of segments, which would require more memory.

A larger value of k would reduce the number of segments, but it would make adding and removing elements slower as we would need to shift more elements.

So the optimal value of k can vary depending on the needs and the number of elements.

Segmented Queue (+1% extra credit)

Consider **SegmentedList** used as a Queue:

1. we enqueue (**offer(e)**) elements by adding them to the end of the segmented list;
2. we dequeue (**poll()**) elements by removing the first element of the segmented list;
3. in an attempt to make **remove** more efficient, we do not perform shifts when removing an element in a segment (so there can be a gap on the left of the cells where values are stored); **add** will create a new segment when it reaches the right end of the last segment, regardless of whether there is empty space to the left;

4. rebalancing part of `remove` remains, to keep the invariant that each segment has at least $\lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor$ elements.

Perform amortised analysis for arbitrary sequences of `offer(e)` and `poll()` operations applied to an initially empty queue, implemented using `SegmentedList` in a way described above. Show that amortized cost for any such sequence of length N is $O(N)$ (does not depend on the value of k). You **must** use the accounting method or the potential method.

NO SOLUTION.

References

- [1] T. H. Cormen, C. E. Leiserson, R. L. Rivest and C. Stein. *Introduction to Algorithms, Fourth Edition*. The MIT Press 2022