

Static Analysis of C source code

Laboratory for the class “Security Verification and Testing” (01TYASM/01TYAOV)

Politecnico di Torino – AY 2023/24

Prof. Riccardo Sisto

prepared by:

Riccardo Sisto (riccardo.sisto@polito.it)

v. 1.0 (21/11/2023)

Contents

1 Purpose of this laboratory	1
2 Getting started with flawfinder and PVS-Studio	1
3 Static Analysis with Flawfinder and PVS-Studio	2
3.1 Analyzing other simple examples	2
3.2 Analysis and Fix of a real vulnerable code	3

1 Purpose of this laboratory

The purpose of this lab is to make experience with static source code analysis tools for the C/C++ languages. More specifically, two tools will be experimented: a simple lexical scanner (flawfinder) and a more sophisticated commercial static analysis tool (PVS-Studio). As the two tools have not only different features but also different coverage of vulnerabilities, their combined use is recommended. For the installation of the tools, please refer to the 00Lab_GettingStarted_SVT_v104.pdf guide.

All the material necessary for this lab can be found in the course web pages on didattica.polito.it, Materiale Didattico, 04Lab_SC folder.

2 Getting started with flawfinder and PVS-Studio

Before starting with the real exercises, let us make some tests to check the tools are properly set.

Running flawfinder to reproduce some of the results shown in the classroom

Run flawfinder on the CWE121.c file taken from the NIST Juliet Test Suite for C/C++, and check that you get the expected 3 hits that we saw in the classroom (you should get 3 hits if you use version 2.X, 4 hits if you use older versions).

Getting Started with PVS-Studio

Make sure you have run the following command to install the free academic license:

```
pvs-studio-analyzer credentials PVS-Studio Free FREE-FREE-FREE-FREE
```

Note that, when working on the Labinf machines, the PVS-Studio free license should remain stored in your home directory after the execution of the command, so you will not have to run it again in future sessions.

As we use PVS-Studio from the command line, some bash scripts are provided to simplify running PVS-Studio. They are included in the zip file named pvs-script.zip. Extract this archive in your home directory. The scripts will be copied to your bin directory, which will be created if not present yet. In order to complete the setup add the bin directory to the PATH if not yet included. This can be done by adding the following line to the .bashrc file in your home directory:

```
export PATH=$PATH:[home directory]/bin
```

where [home directory] is your home directory.

The pvs-addcomment script can be used to add the necessary comment to all the .c files in the current directory. The pvs-run script can be used to run PVS-Studio. You must run it with the same command-line arguments that you use for the 'make' command when you compile the program. The report is generated in HTML format (in the htmlreport directory). If you want to change the options used to run PVS-Studio you can edit the pvs-run script. The pvs-clean script makes a cleaning by removing the files generated by PVS-Studio, including the result files. It is called automatically by pvs-run before running PVS-Studio.

Running PVS-Studio to reproduce some of the results shown in the classroom

Run PVS-Studio on the CWE121.c file taken from the NIST Juliet Test Suite for C/C++, by entering the following commands from the CWE121 directory (note that the makefile in this case requires no arguments):

```
pvs-addcomment  
pvs-run
```

Check that the analysis proceeds without errors and that you get the html report containing a single entry, as shown in the classroom.

Now, try to run PVS-Studio from the demonstration web site:

<https://pvs-studio.com/en/pvs-studio/godbolt/>

Here, you can edit the C code that is in the left hand side text area. When you change the code, the tool runs automatically and you can see the new results on the right hand side. If you prefer, you can open an alternative view, by clicking on 'Edit on Compiler Explorer'. Try to fix the sample code that is displayed and check that the errors reported disappear. The archive of the lab contains a very simple test file that contains a classical format string vulnerability. It is in the test1 directory. Copy the contents of the file and paste the code into the left-hand size window, by overwriting the previous code. The format string vulnerability should be pointed out by PVS-Studio. Fix the code and check that PVS-Studio does not report the error after the fix.

3 Static Analysis with Flawfinder and PVS-Studio

3.1 Analyzing other simple examples

Use Flawfinder and PVS-Studio to analyze the other simple examples found in the lab material (test2 and test3). For each one of them, run Flawfinder and PVS-Studio. Then, analyze each reported issue and decide if it is a true positive (TP) or a false positive (FP). Write a report of your findings containing, for each reported issue, the classification as TP or FP and an explanation of each decision. Finally, sort the TP according to their severity.

→

```
test2
potential vulnerabilities:
- TP: test2.c:49: [4] (buffer) strcat
- TP: test2.c:50: [4] (shell) system
- FP: test2.c:20: [2] (buffer) char
- FP: test2.c:45: [2] (buffer) char
- FP: test2.c:46: [2] (buffer) char
- TP: test2.c:48: [2] (buffer) strcpy
- FP: test2.c:29: [1] (buffer) read
- FP: test2.c:51: [1] (buffer) strncpy
- FP: test2.c:53: [1] (buffer) strncpy
- FP: test2.c:54: [1] (buffer) strlen
- FP: test2.c:54: [1] (buffer) strlen
```

TP = 3

FP = 8

```
test3
- FP: test3.c:40: [2] (buffer) char
- TP: test3.c:74: [2] (integer) atoi
```

TP = 1

FP = 1

3.2 Analysis and Fix of a real vulnerable code

An implementation of the UNIX file() command was affected by a buffer overflow vulnerability reported in a CVE. This exercise consists of analyzing the vulnerable code to find this vulnerability. In the material for the lab, you can find the package with the sources of the version of the software affected by the vulnerability. Run flawfinder on the file readelf.c, which is the file containing the vulnerability. Analyse the hits returned by flawfinder and classify them into true positives (TP) and false positives (FP). For each one of them, explain the reason for your classification.

→

```
- FP: readelf.c:81: [2] (buffer) char
- FP: readelf.c:100: [2] (buffer) char
- FP: readelf.c:121: [2] (buffer) char
- FP: readelf.c:333: [2] (buffer) char
- readelf.c:535: [2] (buffer) memcpy
- FP: readelf.c:720: [2] (buffer) char
- readelf.c:723: [2] (buffer) memcpy
- readelf.c:954: [2] (buffer) memcpy
- FP: readelf.c:996: [2] (buffer) char
- readelf.c:1040: [2] (buffer) memcpy
- FP: readelf.c:1214: [2] (buffer) char
- readelf.c:1327: [2] (buffer) char
- readelf.c:1366: [2] (buffer) memcpy
- FP: readelf.c:1477: [2] (buffer) char
- FP: readelf.c:1478: [2] (buffer) char
- FP: readelf.c:1578: [2] (buffer) char
- FP: readelf.c:1331: [1] (buffer) read
- FP: readelf.c:1350: [1] (buffer) strlen
```

TP = 0

FP = 12

Now, try to use PVS-Studio for the analysis of the code. Before being able to compile the code by the 'make' command it is necessary to generate the makefile, by running the following commands (see README.DEVELOPER):

```
autoreconf -f -i
./configure --disable-silent-rules
```

Then, you can check that the code can be compiled by running

```
make -j4
```

another preliminary operation before running PVS-Studio is to insert the two special comment lines at the beginning of each C file. This can be done by means of the pvs-addcomment script, after having moved into the src directory:

```
cd src
pvs-addcomment
```

Finally, PVS-Studio can be run by running

```
make clean
pvs-run -j4
```

Note that whenever you want to repeat the analysis you need to clean the project, because PVS-Studio can only analyze the files that are actually compiled (make will automatically avoid the compilation of files if the result of compilation is up to date). Look at the issues reported by PVS-Studio about the readelf.c file. What can we say about PVS-Studio's ability to find the vulnerability in this file?

→

Find a fix for the vulnerability and write a patched version of the file. Then use the tools to analyze the code again.

→