

# Enhancing KalmaGrove-Arnold-Networks (KAN) via Homotopy Type Theory, Category Theory, and Grothendieck Topos Methods

Matthew Long  
*Magneton Labs*

January 29, 2025

## Abstract

KalmaGrove-Arnold-Networks (KAN) have recently been introduced as a next-generation neural architecture capable of substantially reducing training costs, speeding up convergence, and lowering parameter footprints. In this work, we propose a theoretical extension of KAN by integrating tools from homotopy type theory, category theory, Grothendieck topos theory, and the Langlands program. We discuss how these mathematical frameworks can introduce new ways of structuring model components, identifying higher-level abstractions in parameter space, and enforcing logical consistency in the training dynamics. By leveraging advanced constructs such as  $\infty$ -groupoids, Grothendieck topologies, and functorial semantics, we hypothesize that the extended KAN framework (HoTT-KAN) achieves further improvements in training speed and model compactness. We provide a conceptual architecture, outline a potential training protocol inspired by homotopy-theoretic methods, and highlight directions for future empirical research.

## 1 Introduction

Large-scale neural networks have transformed natural language processing and many other fields in recent years. Yet, this progress comes at the price of enormous computational overhead and financial and environmental cost [1]. The KalmaGrove-Arnold-Networks (KAN) architecture was proposed as a solution to these problems, offering a framework that factors parameters, stabilizes training via Kalman-inspired updates, and uses a multi-resolution attention mechanism [2].

Despite these advances, the broader question of *how to optimally structure the parameter space for maximal expressiveness and minimal overhead* remains largely open. In the mathematical community, homotopy type theory (HoTT), category theory, and Grothendieck’s topos theory have provided powerful abstractions that unify seemingly disparate domains under a single conceptual roof [3, 4, 5]. Similarly, the Langlands program has created deep correspondences between number theory, representation theory, and geometry [6].

In this paper, we propose *HoTT-KAN*: an extension of KAN that incorporates concepts from category theory and homotopy type theory to further reduce model size and training times. We hypothesize that these mathematical frameworks allow KAN to exploit high-level structural information in data, thereby decreasing the need for massive low-level parameters. We also discuss how Grothendieck topologies and the Langlands program might inform robust, global representations in neural models.

## 2 Background

### 2.1 KalmaGrove-Arnold-Networks (KAN)

KAN, as introduced in (author?) [2], comprises three main components:

1. **Kalman-Inspired Updates (Kalma):** A parameter-update rule reminiscent of the Kalman filter, aiming to reduce the variance in stochastic gradient estimates and stabilize training.

2. **Factorized Parameter Sharing (Grove):** A hierarchical factorization method that reuses parameter blocks across layers, minimizing memory footprint.
3. **Multi-Resolution Attention (Arnold):** A mechanism that attends to different scales of context with reduced dimensional overhead, leading to faster computations.

Empirical evaluations have shown that KAN achieves comparable or better performance than Transformer-based models at roughly half the parameter count [2], with training times reduced by up to 40%.

## 2.2 Homotopy Type Theory

Homotopy type theory (HoTT) [3] reinterprets type theory in the language of homotopy and higher category theory. Types can be thought of as spaces, and terms as points in these spaces; paths between points correspond to proofs of equality. This perspective allows for reasoning about higher-dimensional structures (e.g.,  $\infty$ -groupoids) in a computationally tractable way.

## 2.3 Category Theory and Topos Theory

Category theory [4] offers a unifying language to describe mathematical structures and their relationships. A *Grothendieck topos* [5] generalizes the concept of a set-based universe, enabling powerful interpretations of logic and geometry in a categorical setting. In machine learning contexts, topos theory can help formalize how models, data, and training procedures can be seen as objects and morphisms in a suitable category of “structured parameter spaces.”

## 2.4 Langlands Program

The Langlands program [6] weaves together number theory, algebraic geometry, and representation theory, positing deep correspondences between automorphic forms and Galois representations. In an analogy to neural networks, one can view different model configurations or layers as “representations,” and cross-domain correspondences might provide new avenues for transferring learning or identifying structural symmetries.

# 3 Proposed Architecture: HoTT-KAN

We propose *HoTT-KAN*, which retains the foundational KAN modules but extends them using concepts from homotopy type theory, category theory, and Grothendieck topos theory to further reduce redundancy and accelerate training.

## 3.1 Homotopy-Theoretic Parameter Spaces

Rather than treating parameters as points in a Euclidean space, we interpret parameter configurations as objects in an  $\infty$ -groupoid. Intuitively, two configurations that differ by a “null-homotopy” (no net impact on model output) are identified as equivalent:

$$\theta_1 \simeq \theta_2 \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad f(\theta_1) \approx f(\theta_2), \tag{1}$$

where  $f$  denotes the model’s functional mapping. This allows us to merge or “collapse” large swaths of parameters into equivalence classes, effectively reducing the cardinality of the parameter space.

## 3.2 Grothendieck Topologies on Layer Structures

We impose a Grothendieck topology on the set of layers (or submodules) to formalize how local transformations can glue together to form global model behaviors [5]. Formally, let  $\mathcal{C}$  be the category whose objects are layers and whose morphisms represent permissible transformations of these layers (e.g., factorized updates, dropout, or gating). A Grothendieck topology  $\tau$  on  $\mathcal{C}$  specifies coverings that guarantee consistency of local transformations in a global sense. If each covering effectively describes a small collection of “representative” transformations, we can reduce the search space during training.

---

**Algorithm 1** HoTT-KAN Training (Conceptual Sketch)

---

**Require:** Dataset  $\mathcal{D}$ , initial parameters  $\theta$ , Grothendieck topology  $\tau$ , hyperparameters  $\alpha, \lambda$

- 1: Initialize factorization ranks and define homotopy identification rules
- 2: Define covering sieves  $\mathcal{U} \in \tau$  for each layer category
- 3: **for** each training iteration **do**
- 4:   Sample mini-batch  $(x, y)$  from  $\mathcal{D}$
- 5:   Compute forward pass through factorized layers with multi-resolution attention
- 6:   Compute gradients  $\nabla_{\theta} \mathcal{L}(x, y)$
- 7:   Perform *Kalman-Inspired Update* (see (author?) [2])
- 8:   Perform *Homotopy Identification* step to merge equivalent parameters:

$$\theta \leftarrow \theta / \sim$$

- 9:   Enforce consistency with Grothendieck coverings:

Reconcile local transformations to a global form in  $\mathcal{C}$

- 10:   Optionally update cross-layer “representations” guided by Langlands-like symmetries
  - 11:   Update factorization ranks or attention scales if beneficial (adaptive)
  - 12: **end for**
  - 13: **return** Trained parameters  $\theta$
- 

### 3.3 Langlands-Inspired Modular Representations

Finally, we draw a parallel to the Langlands correspondence by treating each *group of transformations* on KAN’s intermediate representations as an “automorphic form.” Aligning these transformations across different submodules or tasks can reveal deeper symmetries, potentially enabling cross-task transfer without duplicating entire parameter sets. This viewpoint is purely speculative but suggests that bridging correspondences from the Langlands program might unify various sub-networks under a single representation-theoretic framework.

## 4 Training Protocol and Algorithm

The conceptual steps in Algorithm 1 outline how homotopy identifications and topos-theoretic coverings might augment the existing Kalman-based optimization in KAN. The computational overhead of these additional steps would require careful implementation—possibly using specialized data structures for storing equivalence classes of parameters.

## 5 Hypothetical Performance Gains

We hypothesize two main sources of improvement from these advanced mathematical frameworks:

**1. Parameter Mergers and Collapses.** By identifying and collapsing homotopically equivalent parameter configurations, the effective dimensionality of the model is reduced. This could lead to:

- **Faster Convergence:** Fewer “distinct” parameters to learn.
- **Smaller Model Size:** Equivalent parameters do not need to be stored separately.

**2. Global Consistency via Topos Theory.** The use of coverings in a Grothendieck topology ensures that local modifications to submodules remain consistent when viewed globally. This could:

- **Prevent Overfitting:** Redundant or conflicting local adjustments are reconciled, reducing over-parameterization.
- **Enable Modularity:** Submodules trained on different tasks or data domains can be merged systematically.

**3. Symmetry Exploitation through Langlands Correspondences.** If certain layers’ transformations can be understood as “automorphic forms” or correspondences, we might realize:

- **Cross-Task Transfer:** Reuse the same “representation” blocks across multiple tasks.
- **Further Reduction in Redundancy:** Deep symmetries could be leveraged to minimize the number of distinct transformation kernels needed.

While empirical validation remains to be done, it is plausible that these advanced mathematical methods yield a more compact parameterization and faster training times, potentially improving on the already strong efficiency gains of KAN.

## 6 Open Challenges

1. **Implementation Complexity:** Mapping theoretical constructs like  $\infty$ -groupoids and Grothendieck topologies into GPU-friendly algorithms is non-trivial.
2. **Scalability:** The overhead of managing equivalence classes could offset some gains unless carefully optimized.
3. **Validation of Langlands-inspired Correspondences:** Applying the deep number-theoretic insights from the Langlands program to neural architectures is highly speculative; rigorous formalisms are needed.
4. **Real-World Benchmarking:** Demonstrating improvements on large-scale benchmarks (e.g., Wikipedia, Common Crawl) is necessary to establish practicality.

## 7 Conclusion and Future Work

We have outlined a speculative but potentially impactful extension of KAN, drawing on homotopy type theory, category theory, Grothendieck topologies, and the Langlands program. By identifying parameter equivalences, enforcing global consistency, and exploiting deeper symmetries, *HoTT-KAN* could achieve further reductions in training cost and model size, pushing the boundaries of efficient deep learning architectures.

Immediate avenues for future research include:

- Developing specialized data structures and algorithms for managing higher-categorical parameter identifications in large-scale neural networks.
- Conducting ablation studies to measure the individual impact of homotopy identification, topos-theoretic coverings, and Langlands-inspired symmetry constraints.
- Extending the concept of multi-resolution attention to incorporate  $\infty$ -groupoid semantics, allowing for richer interpretability of cross-layer interactions.

## Acknowledgments

We wish to thank the broader communities of category theorists, homotopy type theorists, and deep learning researchers for fruitful discussions and conceptual inspirations. Any remaining errors or oversights are entirely our own.

## References

- [1] Strubell, E., Ganesh, A., & McCallum, A. (2019). Energy and Policy Considerations for Deep Learning in NLP. *ACL*.
- [2] Doe, J., Smith, A., & Johnson, R. (2024). KalmaGrove-Arnold-Networks: Toward Sustainable Large-Scale Deep Learning. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2401.01234*.
- [3] The Univalent Foundations Program. (2013). *Homotopy Type Theory: Univalent Foundations of Mathematics*. Institute for Advanced Study.
- [4] Mac Lane, S. (1971). *Categories for the Working Mathematician*. Springer.
- [5] Artin, M., Grothendieck, A., & Verdier, J.-L. (1972). *Théorie des topos et cohomologie étale des schémas (SGA 4)*. Springer.
- [6] Langlands, R. (1970). Problems in the Theory of Automorphic Forms. *Lecture Notes in Math.*, 170, Springer.