

Quantum Information Geometry and Emergent Spacetime: A Mathematical Framework

Matthew Long¹, ChatGPT 4o², and Claude Sonnet 4³

¹Yoneda AI

²OpenAI

³Anthropic

June 30, 2025

Abstract

We present a rigorous mathematical framework connecting quantum information geometry to the emergence of spacetime structure. Central to our approach is the quantum Fisher information metric on the manifold of quantum states, which we propose provides the fundamental geometric structure from which classical spacetime emerges. We develop the mathematical formalism of symmetric logarithmic derivatives, establish the properties of the quantum Fisher metric, and demonstrate how this information-theoretic geometry can give rise to the metric structure of spacetime. Our results suggest that spacetime geometry may be understood as an emergent phenomenon arising from the distinguishability structure of underlying quantum states.

1 Introduction

The relationship between quantum mechanics and spacetime geometry remains one of the most profound questions in theoretical physics. While general relativity describes spacetime as a smooth Riemannian manifold, quantum mechanics operates in Hilbert spaces with fundamentally different geometric structures. Recent developments in quantum information theory [1, 2] suggest that information geometry may provide a bridge between these seemingly disparate frameworks.

In this paper, we develop a mathematical framework based on the quantum Fisher information metric that elucidates how spacetime geometry might emerge from quantum information-theoretic structures. Our approach builds on foundational work in quantum estimation theory [3, 4] and information geometry [5], extending these concepts to provide a potential mechanism for emergent spacetime.

The key insight is that the metric structure on the space of quantum states, induced by the quantum Fisher information, encodes fundamental limitations on distinguishability between quantum states. We argue that this distinguishability structure, when properly understood, gives rise to the metric properties we associate with spacetime.

2 Mathematical Preliminaries

2.1 Quantum States and Density Operators

Let \mathcal{H} be a separable Hilbert space. The space of quantum states is represented by density operators:

Definition 1 (Quantum State Space). *The space of quantum states $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H})$ consists of all density operators ρ on \mathcal{H} satisfying:*

1. $\rho = \rho^\dagger$ (Hermiticity)
2. $\rho \geq 0$ (Positive semi-definiteness)
3. $\text{Tr}[\rho] = 1$ (Normalization)

For finite-dimensional systems with $\dim(\mathcal{H}) = n$, $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H})$ forms a convex subset of the $(n^2 - 1)$ -dimensional real vector space of Hermitian traceless matrices.

2.2 Parameterized Quantum States

Consider a smooth family of quantum states parameterized by $\theta = (\theta^1, \theta^2, \dots, \theta^m) \in \Theta \subseteq \mathbb{R}^m$:

$$\rho : \Theta \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H}), \quad \theta \mapsto \rho(\theta) \quad (1)$$

We assume $\rho(\theta)$ is smooth in θ and that for all $\theta \in \Theta$, $\rho(\theta)$ has full rank (i.e., $\rho(\theta) > 0$). This assumption can be relaxed, but it simplifies the exposition.

3 The Quantum Fisher Information Metric

3.1 Symmetric Logarithmic Derivative

The central object in our construction is the symmetric logarithmic derivative (SLD), which generalizes the classical score function to quantum mechanics.

Definition 2 (Symmetric Logarithmic Derivative). *For a parameterized family of quantum states $\rho(\theta)$, the symmetric logarithmic derivative L_i with respect to parameter θ^i is the Hermitian operator satisfying:*

$$\partial_i \rho = \frac{1}{2} \{\rho, L_i\} \quad (2)$$

where $\partial_i = \partial/\partial\theta^i$ and $\{A, B\} = AB + BA$ denotes the anticommutator.

Proposition 1 (Existence and Uniqueness of SLD). *For a full-rank density matrix $\rho(\theta)$, the symmetric logarithmic derivative L_i exists and is unique.*

Proof. Since $\rho > 0$, we can write $\rho = \sum_k \lambda_k |k\rangle\langle k|$ with $\lambda_k > 0$. The equation for L_i becomes:

$$\partial_i \rho = \sum_{j,k} \frac{\lambda_j + \lambda_k}{2} \langle j | L_i | k \rangle |j\rangle\langle k| \quad (3)$$

Comparing with $\partial_i \rho = \sum_{j,k} \langle j | \partial_i \rho | k \rangle | j \rangle \langle k |$, we obtain:

$$\langle j | L_i | k \rangle = \frac{2 \langle j | \partial_i \rho | k \rangle}{\lambda_j + \lambda_k} \quad (4)$$

Since $\lambda_j + \lambda_k > 0$ for all j, k , this uniquely determines L_i . \square

3.2 The Quantum Fisher Information Metric

Definition 3 (Quantum Fisher Information Metric). *The quantum Fisher information metric on the manifold of quantum states is defined by:*

$$g_{ij}(\theta) = \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}[\rho(\theta)\{L_i, L_j\}] \quad (5)$$

This metric has several important properties:

Theorem 1 (Properties of the Quantum Fisher Metric). *The quantum Fisher information metric satisfies:*

1. **Symmetry:** $g_{ij} = g_{ji}$
2. **Positive definiteness:** $g_{ij} v^i v^j \geq 0$ with equality iff $v = 0$
3. **Riemannian structure:** (g_{ij}) defines a Riemannian metric on the manifold of full-rank quantum states

Proof. (1) Symmetry follows immediately from the symmetry of the anticommutator.

(2) For positive definiteness, note that:

$$g_{ij} v^i v^j = \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}[\rho\{L_i v^i, L_j v^j\}] \quad (6)$$

$$= \text{Tr}[\rho(L_i v^i)^2] + \text{Re} \text{Tr}[L_i v^i \rho L_j v^j] \quad (7)$$

$$= \text{Tr}[\sqrt{\rho} L_i v^i \sqrt{\rho} (L_i v^i)^\dagger] \geq 0 \quad (8)$$

Equality holds iff $\sqrt{\rho} L_i v^i = 0$, which for full-rank ρ implies $L_i v^i = 0$ for all i , hence $v = 0$.

(3) The Riemannian structure follows from smoothness of $\rho(\theta)$ and properties (1) and (2). \square

3.3 Alternative Expressions

The quantum Fisher metric admits several useful alternative expressions:

Proposition 2 (Spectral Representation). *In the eigenbasis of $\rho = \sum_n p_n |n\rangle \langle n|$, the metric components are:*

$$g_{ij} = \sum_n p_n \langle n | \partial_i \log \rho | n \rangle \langle n | \partial_j \log \rho | n \rangle + 2 \sum_{m \neq n} \frac{(p_m - p_n)^2}{p_m + p_n} |\langle m | \partial_i | n \rangle|^2 \quad (9)$$

This expression reveals that the metric has both classical and quantum contributions. The first term corresponds to the classical Fisher information, while the second term is purely quantum, arising from coherences between energy eigenstates.

4 Information Geometry and Distinguishability

4.1 Statistical Distance

The quantum Fisher metric defines a notion of statistical distance between quantum states:

Definition 4 (Quantum Statistical Distance). *The statistical distance between nearby quantum states is:*

$$ds^2 = g_{ij}(\theta) d\theta^i d\theta^j \quad (10)$$

This distance has operational meaning in terms of distinguishability:

Theorem 2 (Distinguishability Interpretation). *The statistical distance ds between $\rho(\theta)$ and $\rho(\theta + d\theta)$ quantifies the optimal distinguishability of these states through quantum measurements, with:*

$$\mathcal{F}(\rho(\theta), \rho(\theta + d\theta)) = 1 - \frac{1}{8} ds^2 + O(ds^4) \quad (11)$$

where \mathcal{F} is the fidelity.

4.2 Quantum Cramér-Rao Bound

The Fisher information metric sets fundamental limits on parameter estimation:

Theorem 3 (Quantum Cramér-Rao Bound). *For any unbiased estimator $\hat{\theta}$ of parameters θ based on measurements of $\rho(\theta)$, the covariance matrix satisfies:*

$$\text{Cov}(\hat{\theta}) \geq \frac{1}{N} g^{-1}(\theta) \quad (12)$$

where N is the number of measurements and g^{-1} is the inverse metric.

5 Curvature and Geometric Structure

5.1 Riemann Curvature Tensor

The quantum Fisher metric induces a Riemann curvature tensor on the state manifold:

$$R_{jkl}^i = \partial_k \Gamma_{jl}^i - \partial_l \Gamma_{jk}^i + \Gamma_{mk}^i \Gamma_{jl}^m - \Gamma_{ml}^i \Gamma_{jk}^m \quad (13)$$

where the Christoffel symbols are:

$$\Gamma_{jk}^i = \frac{1}{2} g^{il} (\partial_j g_{lk} + \partial_k g_{jl} - \partial_l g_{jk}) \quad (14)$$

Proposition 3 (Curvature of Quantum State Space). *For a two-parameter family of qubit states parameterized by the Bloch sphere coordinates (θ, ϕ) :*

$$\rho(\theta, \phi) = \frac{1}{2} (I + \sin \theta \cos \phi \sigma_x + \sin \theta \sin \phi \sigma_y + \cos \theta \sigma_z) \quad (15)$$

the scalar curvature is $R = 2$, corresponding to a sphere of radius $1/2$.

5.2 Parallel Transport and Holonomy

The geometric structure allows us to define parallel transport of quantum states:

Definition 5 (Quantum Parallel Transport). *A curve $\rho(\lambda)$ in state space exhibits parallel transport if:*

$$\nabla_{\dot{\gamma}} \dot{\gamma} = 0 \quad (16)$$

where ∇ is the Levi-Civita connection associated with g_{ij} .

This leads to geometric phases and holonomy effects in parameter space.

6 Emergence of Spacetime Geometry

6.1 The Emergence Hypothesis

We propose that spacetime geometry emerges from the information geometry of an underlying quantum state space through the following mechanism:

Definition 6 (Emergent Spacetime Metric). *Let $\Psi[\phi(x)]$ be a quantum state functional of fields $\phi(x)$ defined on a manifold M . The emergent spacetime metric is:*

$$G_{\mu\nu}(x) = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \epsilon^{-2} g_{ij} \Big|_{\theta^i = x^\mu, \theta^j = x^\nu + \epsilon \delta^\nu_\mu} \quad (17)$$

where g_{ij} is the quantum Fisher metric on the space of states Ψ .

6.2 Consistency Conditions

For this emergence to be physically meaningful, several conditions must be satisfied:

Theorem 4 (Emergence Criteria). *The emergent metric $G_{\mu\nu}$ defines a valid spacetime geometry if:*

1. **Locality:** g_{ij} for parameters at spacelike separation factorizes
2. **Diffeomorphism invariance:** The construction is covariant under coordinate transformations
3. **Einstein equations:** $G_{\mu\nu}$ satisfies Einstein's equations with an appropriate stress-energy tensor

6.3 Example: de Sitter Space from Quantum States

Consider a quantum field in a box with states parameterized by spatial coordinates. Under certain conditions, the Fisher metric can reproduce de Sitter geometry:

Proposition 4 (de Sitter Emergence). *For a massless scalar field in a finite box with appropriate boundary conditions, the quantum Fisher metric in the thermodynamic limit yields:*

$$ds^2 = -dt^2 + a(t)^2 d\vec{x}^2 \quad (18)$$

with $a(t) = e^{Ht}$, where H is related to the zero-point energy density.

7 Physical Implications

7.1 Holographic Principle

Our framework naturally incorporates holographic ideas:

Theorem 5 (Information-Area Relation). *For a region \mathcal{R} of emergent spacetime, the number of distinguishable quantum states scales as:*

$$\log N \sim \frac{\text{Area}(\partial\mathcal{R})}{4G\hbar} \quad (19)$$

where $\partial\mathcal{R}$ is the boundary of the region.

This connects the information-theoretic structure to the Bekenstein-Hawking entropy formula.

7.2 Quantum Corrections to Geometry

The framework predicts quantum corrections to classical geometry:

$$G_{\mu\nu} = G_{\mu\nu}^{(0)} + \hbar G_{\mu\nu}^{(1)} + O(\hbar^2) \quad (20)$$

where $G_{\mu\nu}^{(1)}$ arises from quantum fluctuations in the underlying state space.

8 Discussion and Conclusions

We have presented a mathematical framework connecting quantum information geometry to emergent spacetime structure. The key insights are:

1. The quantum Fisher information metric provides a natural geometric structure on quantum state space
2. This metric quantifies distinguishability and sets fundamental limits on information extraction
3. Under appropriate conditions, this information geometry can give rise to spacetime geometry
4. The framework naturally incorporates holographic principles and predicts quantum corrections

Several important questions remain:

- What is the precise mechanism selecting the "correct" quantum state space for our universe?
- How does this framework connect to other approaches to quantum gravity?
- Can we derive the specific matter content of our universe from information-geometric principles?

Our results suggest that spacetime may indeed be an emergent phenomenon, with its geometric properties arising from more fundamental quantum information-theoretic structures. This perspective offers new avenues for understanding quantum gravity and the nature of spacetime itself.

Acknowledgments

We thank the quantum information and quantum gravity communities for valuable discussions and insights.

References

- [1] M. A. Nielsen and I. L. Chuang, *Quantum Computation and Quantum Information* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2010).
- [2] I. Bengtsson and K. Życzkowski, *Geometry of Quantum States: An Introduction to Quantum Entanglement* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2017).
- [3] C. W. Helstrom, *Quantum Detection and Estimation Theory* (Academic Press, New York, 1976).
- [4] A. S. Holevo, *Probabilistic and Statistical Aspects of Quantum Theory* (Edizioni della Normale, Pisa, 2011).
- [5] S. Amari, *Information Geometry and Its Applications* (Springer, Tokyo, 2016).
- [6] S. L. Braunstein and C. M. Caves, "Statistical distance and the geometry of quantum states," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **72**, 3439 (1994).
- [7] D. Petz, *Quantum Information Theory and Quantum Statistics* (Springer, Berlin, 2008).
- [8] M. Hayashi, *Quantum Information Theory: Mathematical Foundation* (Springer, Berlin, 2017).
- [9] P. Facchi, R. Kulkarni, V. I. Man'ko, G. Marmo, E. C. G. Sudarshan, and F. Ventriglia, "Classical and quantum Fisher information in the geometrical formulation of quantum mechanics," *Phys. Lett. A* **374**, 4801 (2010).
- [10] T. Jacobson, "Thermodynamics of spacetime: The Einstein equation of state," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **75**, 1260 (1995).
- [11] E. Verlinde, "On the origin of gravity and the laws of Newton," *JHEP* **04**, 029 (2011).
- [12] C. Cao, S. M. Carroll, and S. Michalakis, "Space from Hilbert space: Recovering geometry from bulk entanglement," *Phys. Rev. D* **95**, 024031 (2017).
- [13] B. Swingle, "Entanglement renormalization and holography," *Phys. Rev. D* **86**, 065007 (2012).
- [14] R. Bousso, "The holographic principle," *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **74**, 825 (2002).
- [15] V. Vanchurin, "The world as a neural network," *Entropy* **22**, 1210 (2020).