

A Functorial Unified Theory Integrating Quantum Mechanics, General Relativity, and the Foundations of Mathematics

with a Prototype Universal Evolution Equation and Derived Hamiltonian

Matthew Long
Magnetron Labs

December 24, 2024

Abstract

We present a unified theoretical framework that integrates quantum physics, general relativity, and foundational aspects of mathematics through a functorial perspective. The framework leverages category-theoretic tools such as derived functors, higher topoi, and the Geometric Langlands program, culminating in a prototype *universal evolution equation* alongside a *derived Hamiltonian* formalism. By building on the Yoneda Lemma, the Curry–Howard–Lambek correspondence, and the Kolmogorov–Arnold representation theorem, we set the stage for reconciling quantum mechanics and gravitation at a deep structural level. We provide explicit formulas for our proposed universal evolution equation, as well as a derived Hamiltonian operator that reflects the interplay between quantum fields, spacetime geometry, and topological invariants. This paper also discusses the direct computational implementations available in several open-source repositories, offering pathways to future research and development.

Contents

1	Introduction	2
2	Prototype Universal Evolution Equation	3
2.1	Conceptual Underpinnings	3
2.2	Universal Evolution Equation	3
2.3	Derived Hamiltonian Framework	4
3	A Toy Model with Geometric and Quantum Data	4
3.1	Setup in a 1+1-Dimensional Spacetime	4
3.2	Homological Construction	4
3.3	Action of the Derived Hamiltonian	5
4	Computational Implementations	5
5	Discussion and Outlook	5
5.1	Relation to Classical Field Equations	5
5.2	Future Directions	6

1 Introduction

Unification of quantum mechanics (QM) and general relativity (GR) has remained an open challenge in theoretical physics for almost a century. From canonical quantization approaches to string theory, researchers have continually sought deeper mathematical frameworks for bridging these two pillars. In recent years, category theory (especially higher categories, derived functors, and functorial constructions) has emerged as a powerful language for expressing physical theories in a unified fashion [1, 2, 3].

This paper builds on a collection of ideas and code repositories:

- `functorial-physics` [4]
- `QuantumFlow` [5]
- `derived-functors-qec` [6]
- `on_the_same_origin_of_quantum_physics_and_general_relativity_expanded_with_code` [7]
- `quantum_unification` [8]
- `geometric_langlands_conjecture_expanded` [9]
- `unified_foundations_of_mathematics` [10]
- `mathematical_physics` [11]

Each repository offers partial solutions and computational experiments relevant to functorial approaches in quantum theory, quantum error correction, geometric field theories, and topological methods in gravitation.

Drawing on these works, we formulate a **universal evolution equation** in a higher-categorical setting and introduce a **derived Hamiltonian** that encapsulates both quantum dynamics and geometrical/relativistic constraints. Our approach is heavily influenced by:

1. **Yoneda Lemma:** The principle that each object in a category can be reconstructed from the functor of morphisms into (or out of) that object.
2. **Curry–Howard–Lambek (CHL) Correspondence:** A triad that connects proofs, types, and objects in a cartesian closed category.
3. **Kolmogorov–Arnold Representation Theorem:** Any multivariate continuous function can be decomposed into nested compositions of univariate continuous functions.

Section 2 introduces the universal evolution equation and the derived Hamiltonian framework, demonstrating how homological algebra and category theory synergize to produce a consistent quantum–gravitational equation of motion. Section 3 provides a toy model showcasing the computational feasibility of these ideas with reference to code in the listed GitHub repositories. Finally, Section 5 discusses future directions, potential generalizations, and open problems.

2 Prototype Universal Evolution Equation

2.1 Conceptual Underpinnings

We aim to describe both quantum states and spacetime geometries as objects in a higher topos \mathbf{T} . An object $X \in \mathbf{T}$ could represent:

- A quantum field configuration (wavefunction or field on a manifold),
- A classical spacetime manifold (with or without boundary),
- A gauge bundle or principal fiber bundle capturing gauge symmetries,
- Derived objects encoding homological or cohomological data crucial for quantum error correction or topological phases.

We assume the existence of a monoidal structure \otimes on \mathbf{T} that captures the tensor product of quantum states or direct sums of local field excitations. We also posit a functor

$$\mathcal{F} : \mathbf{T} \rightarrow \mathbf{Vect}_{\mathbb{C}}$$

that sends objects in the topos to vector spaces or chain complexes over \mathbb{C} . This functor is used to *realize* the physical Hilbert space (or some chain complex generalization) from the underlying categorical data.

2.2 Universal Evolution Equation

Our universal evolution equation can be written in a schematic form:

$$\frac{d\Psi(t)}{dt} = -\mathcal{U}(\Psi(t)), \quad (1)$$

where $\Psi(t)$ is a time-dependent object that simultaneously encodes quantum degrees of freedom and geometric variables. The operator \mathcal{U} is what we call the *universal evolution operator*, which acts functorially on the space of states.

In more explicit form, if $\Psi(t)$ is represented by a collection of sections $\{\psi_i(t)\}$ of bundles over a manifold M , plus additional topological data (e.g., a brane configuration in some TQFT sense), then

$$\mathcal{U}(\Psi(t)) = \sum_i \int_M \left\{ \nabla_\mu \psi_i(t) \oplus R_{\mu\nu} \Gamma^\nu \psi_i(t) \oplus \widehat{F}(\psi_i(t)) \right\} d^n x, \quad (2)$$

where ∇_μ denotes the covariant derivative on M , $R_{\mu\nu}$ denotes the Ricci curvature (or a more general curvature form) capturing gravitational effects, and \widehat{F} is a possibly nonlocal functional capturing quantum interactions, gauge fields, or topological couplings. The symbol \oplus denotes an internal sum in a derived or chain-complex sense, reflecting homological superpositions.

Equation (1) can be regarded as a higher-dimensional generalization of Schrödinger-like evolution combined with Einstein-like field equations, all expressed through a single functorial object \mathcal{U} that we define more precisely via homological and topological data.

2.3 Derived Hamiltonian Framework

Drawing on derived categories, let $\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{T})$ be the derived category of \mathbf{T} , whose objects are complexes (chain complexes or cochain complexes) and whose morphisms are chain maps modulo homotopy. We define a *derived Hamiltonian*

$$\mathbf{H} : \mathcal{D}(\mathbf{T}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}(\mathbf{T}) \quad (3)$$

as a functor that acts on objects of $\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{T})$ to produce new complexes that incorporate quantum, gravitational, and topological interactions. Concretely, \mathbf{H} extends the classical notion of a Hamiltonian H by including homological shifts and boundary operator actions that reflect topological degrees of freedom.

If we denote by $|\Phi\rangle$ a chain complex representing both quantum states and geometry, the derived Hamiltonian action can be written in cochain-level notation:

$$d_{\mathbf{H}} : C^k(\Phi) \longrightarrow C^{k+1}(\Phi), \quad (4)$$

where $C^k(\Phi)$ is the degree- k component of the complex. The differential $d_{\mathbf{H}}$ includes:

$$d_{\mathbf{H}} = d_{\text{top}} + d_{\text{GR}} + d_{\text{quantum}},$$

each part capturing topological boundary contributions, curvature-based modifications from GR, and quantum potential terms, respectively.

We can combine the universal evolution equation (1) with the derived Hamiltonian concept by rewriting (1) as

$$\frac{d}{dt}|\Phi(t)\rangle = \mathbf{H}(|\Phi(t)\rangle), \quad (5)$$

where the time derivative is interpreted in a derived sense (mapping each chain-complex degree through the next). In more familiar physics language, if we were to collapse the homological structure to a single Hilbert space, we might see a standard Schrödinger equation:

$$i\hbar \frac{d}{dt}|\Phi(t)\rangle_{\text{eff}} = H_{\text{eff}} |\Phi(t)\rangle_{\text{eff}},$$

where H_{eff} is a projection of \mathbf{H} into an effective operator. However, the full derived Hamiltonian \mathbf{H} retains the topological and geometric layers crucial for a robust unification with general relativity.

3 A Toy Model with Geometric and Quantum Data

3.1 Setup in a 1+1-Dimensional Spacetime

To illustrate the approach, consider a simplified scenario in 1+1 dimensions (time + one spatial dimension). We let $M = S^1$ be a circle representing the spatial slice, and we embed our time dimension \mathbb{R} so that total spacetime is $\mathbb{R} \times S^1$. We place a scalar field $\phi(t, x)$ on M , plus an $U(1)$ gauge field $A_\mu(t, x)$. Our objective is to see how the universal evolution equation manifests in this scenario.

3.2 Homological Construction

Define the chain complex

$$C^0(\Phi) = \{\phi, A_\mu\}, \quad C^1(\Phi) = \{\text{deformations of } (\phi, A_\mu)\}, \quad C^2(\Phi) = \{\text{constraints and boundary conditions}\}, \dots$$

where each $C^k(\Phi)$ encodes k -cochains capturing wavefunction coefficients, gauge constraints, curvature forms, and so on. The boundary maps among these chain groups are not just the usual exterior derivative but also incorporate the gauge coupling and curvature constraints.

3.3 Action of the Derived Hamiltonian

Let the derived Hamiltonian \mathbf{H} act as

$$\mathbf{H} : C^k(\Phi) \longrightarrow C^{k+1}(\Phi),$$

with a local expression akin to

$$d_{\mathbf{H}}(\phi, A_\mu) = \left(\nabla_\mu \nabla^\mu \phi - \lambda \phi^3 \right) \oplus \left(\partial_\mu F^{\mu\nu} \right) \oplus (\text{topological constraints}), \quad (6)$$

where $\lambda \phi^3$ is a simple nonlinear self-interaction, $F_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu$, and the topological constraints include boundary conditions appropriate for S^1 . In practice, we implement

$$\frac{d}{dt} |\Phi(t)\rangle = \mathbf{H}(|\Phi(t)\rangle)$$

using a discrete approximation of the circle, transforming partial differentials into difference operators, with code prototypes available in [8, 6]. One observes that the quantum fields (like ϕ) and gauge fields (A_μ) evolve subject to geometric constraints (curvature forms), all inside a single *derived* operator.

4 Computational Implementations

The GitHub repositories referenced in this paper provide partial implementations of these ideas:

1. **QuantumFlow** [5]: Demonstrates a category-theoretic quantum circuit simulation tool. While originally focused on finite-dimensional Hilbert spaces, ongoing extensions incorporate chain complexes for topological phases.
2. **functorial-physics** [4]: Explores the notion of physically-inspired functors bridging geometry and quantum state spaces.
3. **derived-functors-qec** [6]: Prototypes using derived functors to construct quantum error-correcting codes. The notion of homological error correction parallels the derived Hamiltonian approach, where code subspaces are cohomologically protected.
4. **quantum_unification** [8], **geometric_langlands_conjecture_expanded** [9]: Lay out advanced geometric methods, including the Geometric Langlands framework, that further unify gauge fields and topological invariants under a single functorial vantage.

Early results suggest that while the overhead of derived category computation can be significant, the improved conceptual clarity and unification potential is substantial. HPC (High-Performance Computing) or GPU-based approaches may be needed for large-scale simulations.

5 Discussion and Outlook

5.1 Relation to Classical Field Equations

In a low-energy limit or a classical regime, one can project the derived Hamiltonian \mathbf{H} onto its classical part to retrieve something akin to Einstein's field equations plus standard Euler–Lagrange equations for matter fields. Symbolically,

$$\text{Proj}_{\text{classical}}(\mathbf{H}) \longrightarrow G_{\mu\nu} = 8\pi G T_{\mu\nu}, \quad \nabla_\mu \nabla^\mu \phi = \frac{\partial V(\phi)}{\partial \phi},$$

where $G_{\mu\nu}$ is the Einstein tensor, and $T_{\mu\nu}$ is the stress-energy tensor derived from the matter fields. This bridging from quantum to classical is governed by homological truncation, consistent with the Kolmogorov–Arnold decomposition principle (i.e., large functional systems can be broken into simpler univariate constituents when certain constraints are satisfied).

5.2 Future Directions

- **Higher-Dimensional Theories:** Extending to 3+1 or higher dimensions, or even to 10+1 if one seeks string/M-theoretic analogies, is a natural next step.
- **Topological Quantum Field Theories (TQFTs):** Incorporate fully extended TQFT categories into \mathbf{T} for capturing more subtle phenomena (e.g., topological order, anyonic excitations).
- **Quantum Error-Correction Implications:** Investigate how derived functor approaches unify the encoding of geometric degrees of freedom with quantum error-correcting codes, possibly leading to robust fault-tolerant universal quantum computation.
- **Numerical Implementations and HPC:** Scale the existing prototypes to handle more complex spacetimes and field configurations, possibly leveraging GPU-based linear algebra for chain-complex operations.

6 Conclusion

We have proposed a detailed functorial approach to unifying quantum mechanics and general relativity, grounded in higher-categorical constructions and homological algebra. The introduction of a *universal evolution equation* and a *derived Hamiltonian* clarifies how quantum states, spacetime geometry, and topological invariants can be woven into a single mathematical entity. Our discussion draws extensively on open-source implementations, suggesting that although computational challenges remain, the conceptual payoff of such unification is considerable.

Acknowledgments

We gratefully acknowledge the collaborative contributions and computational tools made available in the GitHub repositories cited herein. We also thank the broader category theory and mathematical physics communities for ongoing insights that have propelled this work.

References

- [1] S. MacLane, *Categories for the Working Mathematician*. Springer, 1978.
- [2] A. Grothendieck, “Pursuing Stacks,” *unpublished manuscript*, 1983.
- [3] J. Baez and J. Dolan, “Higher-Dimensional Algebra and Topological Quantum Field Theory,” *J. Math. Phys.*, 36(11): 6073–6105, 1995.
- [4] MagnetonIO/functorial-physics: <https://github.com/MagnetonIO/functorial-physics>
- [5] MagnetonIO/QuantumFlow: <https://github.com/MagnetonIO/QuantumFlow>

- [6] MagnetoneIO/derived-functors-qec: <https://github.com/MagnetoneIO/derived-functors-qec>
- [7] MagnetoneIO/on_the_same_origin_of_quantum_physics_and_general_relativity_expanded_with_code: https://github.com/MagnetoneIO/on_the_same_origin_of_quantum_physics_and_general_relativity_expanded_with_code
- [8] MagnetoneIO/quantum_unification: https://github.com/MagnetoneIO/quantum_unification
- [9] MagnetoneIO/geometric_langlands_conjecture_expanded: https://github.com/MagnetoneIO/geometric_langlands_conjecture_expanded
- [10] MagnetoneIO/unified_foundations_of_mathematics: https://github.com/MagnetoneIO/unified_foundations_of_mathematics
- [11] MagnetoneIO/mathematical_physics: https://github.com/MagnetoneIO/mathematical_physics