Refining the Schrödinger Equation via Category Theory and Topos Theory: A Functorial Approach to Quantum Mechanics

Matthew Long
Magneton Labs

March 17, 2025

Abstract

This paper provides a category-theoretic and topos-theoretic reformulation of the Schrödinger equation, extending it beyond traditional probability-based interpretations to a more abstract functorial framework. We survey how these ideas integrate with homotopical methods, derived Hamiltonians, quantum gravity, quantum information theory, and topological quantum field theories (TQFTs). By reworking the foundational structures of quantum mechanics, we aim to demonstrate the power of functorial physics—where time evolution becomes a functor, states become presheaves or natural transformations, and observables are morphisms in an internal logic. The result is a refined view of quantum processes that naturally handles contextuality, extended symmetries, and background independence.

Contents

1 Introduction

Quantum mechanics traditionally rests on a probabilistic foundation, anchored by a Hilbert space formalism and the Born rule. While undeniably successful, this framework faces conceptual and technical challenges in contexts such as quantum gravity, quantum cosmology, and advanced quantum information. In these domains, standard probability theory and classical logic cannot always describe the subtleties of contextuality, background independence, or topological phases of matter.

Category theory and topos theory provide a powerful mathematical language to rewrite quantum mechanics—including the Schrödinger equation itself—in a more abstract, functorial manner. By regarding *time evolution* as a functor, *states* as objects or morphisms in higher categories, and *observables* as presheaf-valued maps, we move beyond the constraints of probabilistic logic into a framework that naturally incorporates advanced concepts such as derived Hamiltonians, sheaf-theoretic spectra, homotopical quantum field theory, and motivic structures.

In this paper, we present a **refined Schrödinger equation** that emphasizes functorial physics, deriving or embedding standard Hamiltonian evolution in a setting that accommodates quantum gravity, quantum information science, and TQFTs. We also discuss how noncommutative geometry, extended symmetries, and topological features can be elegantly captured in this approach.

2 A Topos-Theoretic Framework for Quantum Mechanics

2.1 Spectral Presheaves and Neo-Realism

Topos-theoretic quantum mechanics often begins by replacing the Hilbert space with a *spectral presheaf* Σ. For each classical context—a maximal commutative subalgebra of observables—one assigns the spectrum of that subalgebra. These spectra assemble into a presheaf Σ in a category whose objects are contexts and whose morphisms are inclusions of subalgebras. In standard quantum mechanics, Kochen–Specker theorems show there can be no global valuation, whereas Σ has *no global points*. Instead, propositions about observables become *clopen subobjects* of Σ, leading to an *intuitionistic logic* internal to the topos. This approach bypasses many no-go theorems, providing a new ontological view: each context describes a partial classical snapshot, and only a presheaf structure across all such contexts captures the full quantum reality.

2.2 Bohrification and Internal Logic

Within this topos, one can reinterpret the usual Born rule and the assignment of values to observables via *daseinisation* maps, effectively approximating noncommutative projectors in each commutative context. Tools from Grothendieck topologies and sheaf theory ensure local consistency of measurement outcomes, while preserving the global nonclassical features. Observables appear as natural transformations from Σ to a quantity-value object (*e.g.,* the real line object in the topos). States arise as measures, valuations, or sections internal to the topos, yielding an internal probability or truth value, which recovers the usual quantum predictions upon externalization.

3 Functorial Reformulation of the Schrödinger Equation

3.1 State Evolution as a Functor

In the Schrödinger picture, a state $|\psi(t)\rangle$ evolves by $U(t) = \exp(-iHt)$ under Hamiltonian H. Category theory reinterprets this as a *representation* of the time-translation group (or monoid) in the category of Hilbert spaces (**Hilb**). More generally, we regard time as a *1D cobordism category* in the sense of topological quantum field theory, and the system's evolution as a monoidal functor:

$$Z\colon \mathrm{1Cob} \;\to\; \mathrm{Hilb}.$$

Gluing intervals $[0, t_1]$ and $[t_1, t_2]$ corresponds to composing unitaries $U(t_1) \circ U(t_2)$, mirroring the semigroup property of $U(t_1 + t_2)$. The Schrödinger equation emerges as the infinitesimal generator condition $dU(t)/dt = -\frac{i}{\hbar} H\, U(t)$ in the functorial language.

3.2 Categorical Wavefunctions and Natural Transformations

States may appear as *natural transformations* between functors representing time evolution and trivial references. That is, the assignment $t \mapsto |\psi(t)\rangle$ can be required to be natural with respect to morphisms in the time category, ensuring consistency of evolution over subintervals. By embedding wavefunction evolution in a 2-category (or higher), we can track equivalences and homotopies of evolutions, bridging to advanced structures in TQFT.

3.3 Enriched Quantum Channels

In a broader sense, evolution can be described by CPTP maps or completely positive channels, forming a *Markov category* in the quantum sense. The Schrödinger equation is then a special (invertible) case of a more general *quantum Markov process*. Viewed functorially, the Hilbert space evolution respects the monoidal structure, concurrency, and composition, unifying circuit models, measurement processes, and continuous-time evolutions.

4 Derived Hamiltonians and Advanced Mathematical Tools

4.1 Homotopical Quantum Mechanics

Recent work uses higher category and homotopy theory to manage gauge symmetries, infinite-dimensional spaces, or topological constraints. By turning observables into objects in a *chain complex* or E_∞-algebra, one can encode equivalences (e.g. gauge transformations) as homotopies. The Schrödinger equation can appear as a *differential condition* in a derived setting, reminiscent of the Batalin–Vilkovisky (BV) or BRST complexes in field theory. Equivalence classes of solutions to the Schrödinger equation can correspond to certain cohomology groups in a homotopical category of states.

4.2 Motivic and Derived Geometry

Beyond linear algebraic structures, *derived functors* from homological algebra can refine standard analyses. For instance, spectral decompositions of H can be recast as *functors on sheaves of eigenspaces*, and path integrals can be interpreted as *pushforwards* in derived algebraic geometry. These tools bridge quantum mechanics with advanced number theory and geometry: Kontsevich, Connes, and Marcolli, among others, observed that renormalization and quantization can have motivic or Galois group interpretations, suggesting deeper structural symmetries.

5 Applications to Quantum Gravity, Quantum Information, and TQFTs

5.1 Quantum Gravity and Background Independence

In quantum gravity, the absence of a fixed background or external observer resonates with a topos-based approach, where truth values and states live *internally* to a presheaf category. Loop quantum gravity, spin foam models, and other background-independent frameworks benefit from a purely category-theoretic foundation, where contexts might correspond to finite graph partitions or algebras of local geometry operators. The spin networks become objects (or morphisms) in a 2-category, with spin foams as higher morphisms. A Schrödinger-like evolution can be replaced by transition amplitudes assigned to these higher morphisms, aligning with the TQFT program.

5.2 Categorical Quantum Information

By functorially reinterpreting the Schrödinger equation, we integrate *continuous time evolution* into the categorical quantum mechanics (CQM) approach. Unitary gates, measurements, and entangled states are all morphisms in a dagger-compact (or related) category. Diagrams for quantum teleportation or error correction unify with time evolution segments, offering a single diagrammatic calculus for circuits, Hamiltonian simulations, and logical qubit manipulations.

5.3 Topological Quantum Field Theories (TQFTs)

The Atiyah–Segal functorial definition of TQFT generalizes the notion of unitary evolution to higher dimensions. By seeing (0+1)-dimensional QFT as ordinary quantum mechanics, we embed the Schrödinger evolution into a 1D TQFT. This viewpoint illuminates topological phases, extended symmetries, and the gluing of spacetimes. *Extended TQFTs* handle corners and lower-dimensional boundaries; analogously, an *extended quantum theory* can assign data to sub-loci of time, bridging circuit-based quantum computing with continuous evolutions.

6 Beyond Probability: Structural Extensions

6.1 Generalized Probability and Markov Categories

One motivation for a topos approach is surpassing the need for classical measure-theoretic probability. *Quantum measure theory* (e.g. Sorkin's approach) or effect algebras in categorical form can unify classical and quantum probabilities within a broader landscape of *generalized probabilistic theories* (GPTs). In this framework, the Schrödinger equation emerges as a special case in which transformations preserve a complex norm in a noncommutative amplitude space.

6.2 Effectus Theory and Axiomatic Extensions

Effectus theory categorically encodes physical systems via partial true–false tests (effects), with quantum systems featuring noncommuting effect algebras. By rephrasing quantum evolution as an *endomorphism* preserving these effects, we place the Schrödinger equation in a context that does not rely on a classical measure from the start. This perspective fosters explorations of post-quantum or sub-quantum theories in a single, unified axiomatic environment.

7 Conclusion and Outlook

Recasting the Schrödinger equation in the language of category theory, topos theory, and homotopical algebra profoundly widens the conceptual and technical horizon of quantum mechanics. Key advantages include:

- **Contextual, Logic-Enriched Foundations:** Topos theory provides internal logics and spectral presheaves that reconcile quantum contextuality with an intuitionistic "neo-realist" vantage.

- **Functorial Evolution:** Treating time evolution as a monoidal functor unifies continuous dynamics, quantum circuits, and TQFT structures under a single, compositional framework.

- **Homotopy and Derived Methods:** By embedding Hamiltonians and states into chain complexes or derived categories, we handle gauge symmetries, path integrals, and potential motivic structure in a coherent manner.

- **Background-Independence and Quantum Gravity:** Categorical frameworks allow quantum geometry to be built up from local contexts, facilitating the spin foam approach, loop quantum gravity, and the general boundary formulation.

- **Generalized Probability:** Topos approaches and Markov categories expand standard probability to accommodate classical, quantum, and hypothetical theories in a single axiomatic environment.

By refining the Schrödinger equation in this way, we glimpse a broader tapestry of quantum theories, where advanced mathematical concepts (motives, homotopies, ∞-categories) become natural ingredients. Ongoing challenges include developing fully rigorous derived quantization frameworks, uniting the topos-based approach with operational quantum protocols, and identifying experimental signatures of these abstract constructions. Yet the progress already made underscores the promise of *functorial physics*: that focusing on compositional, categorical structures can illuminate long-standing puzzles in quantum gravity, unify disparate quantum techniques, and guide the next steps in quantum technology.

Acknowledgments. I am grateful to collaborators at Magneton Labs and the broader community for discussions bridging category theory, topos approaches, and quantum foundations.

References

[1] C. Isham and A. Döring, "A Topos Foundation for Theories of Physics," *J. Math. Phys.*, 49(5): 053515, 2008.

[2] M. A. Nielsen and I. L. Chuang, *Quantum Computation and Quantum Information*, Cambridge University Press, 2000.

[3] S. Abramsky and B. Coecke, "A Categorical Semantics of Quantum Protocols," *19th Annual IEEE Symposium on Logic in Computer Science (LICS'04)*, IEEE, 2004.

[4] C. Heunen, N. Landsman, and B. Spitters, "A topos for algebraic quantum theory," *Commun. Math. Phys.*, 291(1):63–110, 2009.

[5] J. C. Baez and M. Stay, "Physics, topology, logic and computation: A Rosetta Stone," *New Structures for Physics*, Springer, 2010, pp. 95–172.

[6] B. Coecke and A. Kissinger, *Picturing Quantum Processes: A First Course in Quantum Theory and Diagrammatic Reasoning*, Cambridge University Press, 2017.

[7] D. S. Freed and M. J. Hopkins, "Reflection positivity and invertible topological phases," *Geom. Topol.*, 25(1):1–66, 2021.

[8] A. Connes and M. Marcolli, *Noncommutative Geometry, Quantum Fields and Motives*, AMS Colloquium Publications, 2007.

[9] F. Markopoulou, "Quantum causal histories," *Class. Quant. Grav.*, 17(10): 2059–2072, 2000.

[10] R. D. Sorkin, "Quantum mechanics as quantum measure theory," *Mod. Phys. Lett. A*, 9(33): 3119–3127, 1994.