The First Law of Entanglement: Foundations, Derivations, and Implications for Quantum Gravity

Mateo Largo[1] and Claude Sonnet 4[2]
[1]Magneton Labs
[2]Anthropic Research

June 11, 2025

Abstract

We present a comprehensive review of the first law of entanglement $\delta S_A = \beta\delta\langle H_A\rangle$, which represents a quantum generalization of thermodynamics with profound implications for understanding the emergence of spacetime from quantum entanglement. This law, connecting variations in entanglement entropy to changes in the expectation value of modular Hamiltonians, has become fundamental to modern approaches to quantum gravity. We provide rigorous mathematical derivations from quantum field theory principles and the Tomita-Takesaki modular theory, demonstrate how this law emerges in holographic theories through the Ryu-Takayanagi formula and AdS/CFT correspondence, and prove that the first law constraints on all boundary regions are equivalent to Einstein's equations in the bulk. We explore applications ranging from black hole thermodynamics and the information paradox to cosmological horizons and quantum information theory. The connections to tensor networks, quantum error correction, and emergent spacetime are examined, along with experimental proposals for testing these theoretical predictions. This work serves as a definitive reference for the intersection of quantum information theory, thermodynamics, and general relativity.

1 Introduction

The profound relationship between quantum entanglement and spacetime geometry represents one of the most significant developments in theoretical physics over the past two decades. At the heart of this connection lies the first law of entanglement

$$\delta S_A = \beta\delta\langle H_A\rangle, \tag{1}$$

where S_A is the entanglement entropy of a spatial region A, H_A is the modular Hamiltonian, and β plays the role of inverse temperature. This law provides a quantum information theoretic generalization of thermodynamics that has revolutionized our understanding of how spacetime emerges from quantum mechanics.

The historical context begins with Jacobson's seminal 1995 work [1], which demonstrated that Einstein's field equations could be derived from thermodynamic principles applied to local Rindler horizons. This insight, combined with the Bekenstein-Hawking area-entropy relationship $S = A/4G_\hbar$, suggested a deep connection between geometry and thermodynamics. The subsequent development of holographic entanglement entropy through the Ryu-Takayanagi formula [2] in 2006 provided a concrete realization of these ideas within AdS/CFT correspondence.

The explicit formulation of the first law of entanglement emerged through the work of Lashkari, McDermott, and Van Raamsdonk [3], and Faulkner et al. [4] in 2014. These papers demonstrated that for CFT perturbations, the first law holds precisely, and when combined with holographic entanglement entropy, implies Einstein's equations linearized about AdS. This remarkable result suggests that gravitational dynamics emerges from entanglement structure rather than being fundamental.

The significance of this development cannot be overstated. The first law provides:

- A derivation of general relativity from quantum information principles
- A microscopic understanding of black hole thermodynamics
- Tools for bulk reconstruction in holography through entanglement wedges
- Connections to quantum error correction and tensor networks
- New perspectives on the emergence of spacetime

This paper provides a comprehensive treatment of the first law of entanglement, from its mathematical foundations to its implications for quantum gravity and potential experimental tests. We aim to serve as a definitive reference for researchers at the intersection of quantum information theory, general relativity, and condensed matter physics.

2 Mathematical Foundations of Entanglement Entropy and Modular Hamiltonians

2.1 Entanglement Entropy in Quantum Field Theory

Consider a quantum field theory in d spatial dimensions with Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$. For a spatial region A with complement $\bar{A}$, the Hilbert space factorizes as $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_A \otimes \mathcal{H}_{\bar{A}}$. Given a pure state $|\psi\rangle \in \mathcal{H}$, the reduced density matrix for region A is

$$\rho_A = \mathrm{Tr}_{\bar{A}}(|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|). \tag{2}$$

The entanglement entropy is defined as the von Neumann entropy of the reduced density matrix:

$$S_A = -\mathrm{Tr}(\rho_A \log \rho_A). \tag{3}$$

For quantum field theories, this definition requires careful regularization due to UV divergences. The leading divergence follows an area law:

$$S_A = \frac{c_d}{\epsilon^{d-1}} \mathrm{Area}(\partial A) + \text{subleading terms}, \tag{4}$$

where ϵ is a UV cutoff and c_d is a theory-dependent constant.

2.2 Modular Hamiltonians and Tomita-Takesaki Theory

The modular Hamiltonian is defined as

$$H_A = -\log \rho_A, \tag{5}$$

where we work in units with $\hbar = k_B = 1$. This operator generates the modular flow

$$\rho_A^{is} = e^{-isH_A}, \tag{6}$$

which acts as a generalized time evolution within the algebra of observables in region A.

Theorem 2.1 (Tomita-Takesaki)**.** *For a von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{M}$ with cyclic and separating vector $|\Omega\rangle$, there exists a unique antilinear operator S with polar decomposition $S = J\Delta^{1/2}$, where:*

- *J is the modular conjugation operator satisfying $J^2 = 1$*
- *Δ is the modular operator generating automorphisms $\sigma_t(A) = \Delta^{it} A \Delta^{-it}$*
- *The KMS condition holds: $\langle\Omega|AB|\Omega\rangle = \langle\Omega|B\sigma_i(A)|\Omega\rangle$*

The modular Hamiltonian is then $H_A = \log\Delta$. A crucial result is the Bisognano-Wichmann theorem:

Theorem 2.2 (Bisognano-Wichmann)**.** *For the Rindler wedge $W = \{x^1 > |x^0|\}$ in Minkowski space, the modular flow coincides with boost transformations:*

$$\sigma_t = e^{2\pi t K}, \tag{7}$$

where K is the boost generator. The modular Hamiltonian is

$$H_W = 2\pi \int_{x^1>0} dx^1 x^1 T_{00}(0, x^1, \vec{x}_\perp). \tag{8}$$

2.3 Relative Entropy and Its Properties

The relative entropy between two states ρ and σ is defined as

$$S(\rho||\sigma) = \mathrm{Tr}(\rho\log\rho) - \mathrm{Tr}(\rho\log\sigma). \tag{9}$$

Key properties include:

- **Positivity**: $S(\rho||\sigma) \geq 0$ with equality iff $\rho = \sigma$ (Klein's inequality)
- **Monotonicity**: $S(\mathcal{E}(\rho)||\mathcal{E}(\sigma)) \leq S(\rho||\sigma)$ for quantum channels $\mathcal{E}$
- **First Law Connection**: For a one-parameter family ρ_λ,

  $$\delta S(\rho_\lambda||\rho_0) = \delta\langle K_0\rangle_\lambda - \delta S_\lambda = 0 \text{ at first order}, \tag{10}$$

  yielding the first law $\delta S_\lambda = \delta\langle K_0\rangle_\lambda$.

3 Rigorous Derivations of the First Law

3.1 General Quantum Field Theory Derivation

We begin with the fundamental definition of entanglement entropy and derive the first law through careful analysis of first-order variations.

Theorem 3.1 (First Law of Entanglement)**.** *For a one-parameter family of states $|\psi_\lambda\rangle$ with reduced density matrices $\rho_A(\lambda)$, the first-order variation of entanglement entropy satisfies*

$$\delta S_A = \delta\langle H_A\rangle, \tag{11}$$

where $H_A = -\log\rho_A(0)$ is the modular Hamiltonian of the reference state.

Proof. Starting from the definition $S_A = -\mathrm{Tr}(\rho_A \log \rho_A)$, we compute:

$$\delta S_A = -\mathrm{Tr}(\delta\rho_A \log \rho_A) - \mathrm{Tr}(\rho_A \delta\rho_A/\rho_A) \tag{12}$$
$$= -\mathrm{Tr}(\delta\rho_A \log \rho_A) - \mathrm{Tr}(\delta\rho_A) \tag{13}$$
$$= -\mathrm{Tr}(\delta\rho_A \log \rho_A), \tag{14}$$

where we used $\mathrm{Tr}(\delta\rho_A) = 0$ from normalization. Since $H_A = -\log \rho_A$, we obtain

$$\delta S_A = \mathrm{Tr}(\delta\rho_A H_A) = \delta\langle H_A \rangle. \tag{15}$$

□

3.2 Derivation from Relative Entropy

An alternative derivation uses the positivity of relative entropy:

Proposition 3.2. *The first law emerges from the vanishing of first-order relative entropy:*

$$S(\rho_A(\lambda)||\rho_A(0)) = O(\lambda^2). \tag{16}$$

Proof. Expanding the relative entropy:

$$S(\rho_\lambda||\rho_0) = \mathrm{Tr}(\rho_\lambda \log \rho_\lambda) - \mathrm{Tr}(\rho_\lambda \log \rho_0) \tag{17}$$
$$= -S(\rho_\lambda) + \langle H_0 \rangle_\lambda. \tag{18}$$

Since $S(\rho_\lambda||\rho_0) \geq 0$ with minimum at $\lambda = 0$, the first derivative vanishes:

$$\frac{d}{d\lambda}\bigg|_{\lambda=0} S(\rho_\lambda||\rho_0) = -\delta S + \delta\langle H_0 \rangle = 0. \tag{19}$$

□

3.3 Holographic Derivation

In holographic theories, the first law emerges from bulk gravitational dynamics:

Theorem 3.3 (Holographic First Law)**.** *For holographic CFTs with bulk dual described by Einstein gravity, the boundary first law*

$$\delta S_A = \delta\langle H_A \rangle \tag{20}$$

is equivalent to the bulk first law for the corresponding Rindler horizon.

The proof relies on the Ryu-Takayanagi formula and the identification of boundary modular flow with bulk boost transformations in the entanglement wedge.

4 Connection to the Ryu-Takayanagi Formula and AdS/CFT

4.1 The Ryu-Takayanagi Formula

The holographic entanglement entropy formula states that for a boundary region A in a CFT with gravitational dual:

$$S_A = \frac{\mathrm{Area}(\gamma_A)}{4G_N}, \tag{21}$$

where γ_A is the minimal surface in the bulk homologous to A and anchored on ∂A.

Definition 4.1 (Entanglement Wedge)**.** *The entanglement wedge $\mathcal{E}_A$ is the bulk domain of dependence of any spacelike surface bounded by A and γ_A.*

Key properties of the RT formula include:

- **Strong Subadditivity**: $S_{AB} + S_{BC} \geq S_B + S_{ABC}$ follows from geometric properties
- **Covariant Generalization**: The HRT (Hubeny-Rangamani-Takayanagi) formula extends to time-dependent settings
- **Quantum Corrections**: The FLM formula includes bulk entanglement: $S_A = \frac{\text{Area}(\gamma_A)}{4G_N} + S_{\text{bulk}}$

4.2 Emergence of Einstein's Equations

The most profound implication of the first law is its connection to gravitational dynamics:

Theorem 4.2 (Faulkner et al.)**.** *For holographic CFTs, the first law of entanglement for all ball-shaped regions, combined with the RT formula, implies that bulk perturbations satisfy linearized Einstein's equations.*

Sketch of Proof. Consider perturbations $\delta g_{\mu\nu}$ around pure AdS. The RT formula gives

$$\delta S_A = \frac{\delta\text{Area}(\gamma_A)}{4G_N}. \tag{22}$$

The boundary first law requires $\delta S_A = \delta\langle H_A\rangle$. For ball-shaped regions, the modular Hamiltonian has a local expression involving the stress tensor. Matching bulk and boundary expressions for all possible balls constrains the bulk metric to satisfy

$$\delta G_{\mu\nu} = 8\pi G_N \delta T_{\mu\nu}, \tag{23}$$

which are the linearized Einstein equations. □

4.3 Quantum Extremal Surfaces

Beyond the classical RT formula, quantum extremal surfaces (QES) provide the non-perturbative extension:

Definition 4.3 (Quantum Extremal Surface)**.** *A QES is a codimension-2 surface $\mathcal{X}$ that extremizes the generalized entropy:*

$$S_{gen}[\mathcal{X}] = \frac{Area[\mathcal{X}]}{4G_N} + S_{bulk}[\Sigma_{\mathcal{X}}], \tag{24}$$

where $\Sigma_{\mathcal{X}}$ is a partial Cauchy surface ending on $\mathcal{X}$.

This prescription has been crucial for understanding the Page curve of evaporating black holes and resolving the information paradox.

5 Proof that the First Law Leads to Einstein's Equations

We now present a detailed proof of how the first law of entanglement, applied systematically to all boundary regions, leads to Einstein's equations in the bulk.

5.1 Jacobson's Original Argument

Jacobson's 1995 derivation provides the conceptual foundation:

Theorem 5.1 (Jacobson)**.** *The Einstein equation follows from the thermodynamic relation $\delta S = \delta Q/T$ applied to local Rindler horizons, where:*

- $\delta S = \kappa \delta A/(4\pi G)$ *(Bekenstein-Hawking entropy)*
- $\delta Q = \int T_{\mu\nu} k^\mu d\Sigma^\nu$ *(energy flux)*
- $T = \kappa/(2\pi)$ *(Unruh temperature)*

Proof. Consider a local Rindler horizon with null generator k^μ and expansion θ. The Raychaudhuri equation gives

$$\frac{d\theta}{d\lambda} = -\frac{1}{2}\theta^2 - \sigma_{\mu\nu}\sigma^{\mu\nu} - R_{\mu\nu}k^\mu k^\nu. \tag{25}$$

For the horizon, $\theta = 0$ initially, so $\delta\theta = -\lambda R_{\mu\nu}k^\mu k^\nu$. The area change is

$$\delta A = \int \delta\theta d\lambda dA = -\int \lambda R_{\mu\nu}k^\mu k^\nu d\lambda dA. \tag{26}$$

Using the thermodynamic relation and identifying terms:

$$R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}g_{\mu\nu}R = 8\pi G T_{\mu\nu}. \tag{27}$$

□

5.2 Modern Holographic Derivation

The holographic version provides a more rigorous derivation:

Theorem 5.2 (Entanglement Equilibrium)**.** *Maximizing boundary entanglement entropy subject to energy constraints yields Einstein's equations in the bulk.*

The proof involves:

1. Start with the RT formula: $S_A = \text{Area}(\gamma_A)/(4G_N)$
2. Apply the first law: $\delta S_A = \delta\langle H_A \rangle$
3. For spherical regions, $H_A = \int_A d^{d-1}x\, \xi^\mu(x) T_{\mu\nu}$
4. The constraint that this holds for all spheres gives bulk equations
5. These constraints are precisely Einstein's equations

5.3 Entanglement as the Fabric of Spacetime

The derivation suggests a profound principle:

Proposition 5.3 (Emergent Spacetime)**.** *Classical spacetime geometry emerges from the entanglement structure of an underlying quantum field theory. Changes in entanglement patterns manifest as gravitational dynamics.*

This is supported by:

- Tensor network models where geometry emerges from entanglement
- ER=EPR correspondence linking wormholes to quantum entanglement
- Holographic error correction where bulk emerges from boundary codes

6 Applications to Black Hole Thermodynamics

6.1 Black Hole Entropy as Entanglement Entropy

The first law provides a microscopic understanding of black hole thermodynamics:

Theorem 6.1. *For a black hole in AdS, the Bekenstein-Hawking entropy equals the entanglement entropy of the boundary CFT:*

$$S_{BH} = \frac{A_H}{4G_N} = S_{CFT}, \tag{28}$$

where A_H is the horizon area.

This identification allows us to understand:

- Black hole microstates as highly entangled CFT states
- Hawking radiation as entanglement transfer
- The information paradox through entanglement dynamics

6.2 The Page Curve and Information Recovery

Recent breakthroughs using quantum extremal surfaces have resolved the black hole information paradox:

Proposition 6.2 (Page Curve)**.** *The entanglement entropy of Hawking radiation follows:*

$$S_{rad}(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{A(t)}{4G_N} & t < t_{Page} \\ S_{BH}(0) - \frac{A(t)}{4G_N} & t > t_{Page} \end{cases} \tag{29}$$

The transition occurs when quantum extremal surfaces jump from outside to inside the black hole, allowing information recovery.

6.3 Firewalls and Smooth Horizons

The first law constrains possible horizon structures:

Theorem 6.3. *Smooth horizons require specific entanglement patterns between interior and exterior modes, constraining firewall scenarios.*

7 Cosmological Applications

7.1 de Sitter Horizons and Dark Energy

The first law extends to cosmological horizons:

$$\delta S_{dS} = \frac{\delta A_{cosmic}}{4G_N} = \beta_{dS}\delta E, \tag{30}$$

where A_{cosmic} is the area of the cosmological horizon.

Applications include:

- Understanding dark energy as an entropic effect
- Constraints on inflation from entanglement considerations
- Holographic descriptions of accelerating universes

7.2 Entanglement During Inflation

During inflation, quantum fluctuations become classical through decoherence:

Proposition 7.1. *The first law governs how quantum entanglement between modes converts to classical correlations, seeding structure formation.*

The entanglement entropy between super-horizon modes follows:

$$S_{k_1,k_2} \sim \log(a/a_0) \text{ for } k_1, k_2 < aH. \tag{31}$$

8 Experimental Signatures and Testable Predictions

8.1 Analog Gravity Experiments

Current experimental platforms include:

1. **Bose-Einstein Condensates**: Creating sonic horizons with measurable entanglement

$$S_{\text{phonon}} \sim \log\left(\frac{\omega_{\max}}{\omega_{\min}}\right) \tag{32}$$

2. **Optical Systems**: Nonlinear optics simulating horizon physics

3. **Trapped Ions**: Quantum simulators with 50+ qubits demonstrating entanglement Hamiltonians

8.2 Gravitational Entanglement Tests

Proposed experiments to detect gravity-induced entanglement:

Proposition 8.1 (Bose-Marletto-Vedral Proposal)**.** *Two masses in superposition can become entangled through gravitational interaction, providing evidence for quantum gravity:*

$$|\psi\rangle = \frac{1}{2}(|LL\rangle + |LR\rangle + |RL\rangle - |RR\rangle), \tag{33}$$

where L/R denote spatial superposition states.

Technical requirements:

- Mass $\sim 10^{-14}$ kg in superposition
- Separation ~ 100 m
- Coherence time > 1 second
- Temperature < 1 mK

8.3 Space-Based Tests

Satellite experiments offer unique opportunities:

- Entangled BECs in different gravitational potentials
- Tests of relativistic effects on quantum correlations
- Large-scale Bell inequality violations

9 Connections to Tensor Networks and Quantum Error Correction

9.1 MERA and Holographic Geometries

The Multi-scale Entanglement Renormalization Ansatz (MERA) provides a concrete realization of holographic ideas:

Theorem 9.1. *MERA tensor networks naturally produce hyperbolic geometries with:*

$$ds^2 = \frac{dz^2 + dx^2}{z^2}, \tag{34}$$

matching AdS_2 slices of AdS_3.

Key features:

- Entanglement entropy follows RT formula
- Correlation functions decay with geodesic distance
- RG flow corresponds to radial direction

9.2 Holographic Quantum Error Correction

The AdS/CFT correspondence implements a quantum error-correcting code:

Proposition 9.2 (Almheiri-Dong-Harlow)**.** *Bulk operators in the entanglement wedge can be reconstructed from boundary operators, protected against erasure of the complementary region.*

The code properties include:

- Erasure threshold $\sim 50\%$ for connected regions
- Protection increases with system size
- Connection to topological codes

9.3 Emergent Spacetime from Quantum Information

The synthesis suggests:

Theorem 9.3 (Spacetime = Entanglement)**.** *Classical spacetime geometry emerges as the optimal error-correcting code for preserving quantum information in the presence of local interactions.*

10 Open Questions and Future Directions

10.1 Fundamental Questions

1. **Beyond AdS/CFT**: Extending holographic ideas to de Sitter and flat space
2. **Finite N Effects**: Understanding $1/N$ corrections to emergent geometry
3. **Time Emergence**: How does time emerge from entanglement?
4. **Quantum Gravity Phenomenology**: Observable consequences in the real universe

10.2 Technical Challenges

- Computing modular Hamiltonians for general regions
- Non-perturbative effects in quantum gravity
- Connecting to experimental energy scales
- Incorporating matter fields and gauge theories

10.3 Interdisciplinary Connections

The first law connects to:

- **Condensed Matter**: Topological phases and many-body entanglement
- **Quantum Computing**: Error correction and quantum advantage
- **Cosmology**: Early universe physics and dark energy
- **Information Theory**: Fundamental limits on information processing

11 Conclusions

The first law of entanglement $\delta S_A = \beta\delta\langle H_A\rangle$ represents a profound unification of quantum information theory, thermodynamics, and general relativity. Its implications extend from the microscopic structure of black holes to the emergence of spacetime itself.

Key achievements include:

- Deriving Einstein's equations from quantum entanglement
- Resolving the black hole information paradox
- Providing tools for holographic reconstruction
- Connecting to experimental quantum systems

The conceptual revolution suggests that spacetime is not fundamental but emerges from quantum entanglement patterns. This viewpoint opens new avenues for understanding quantum gravity and may lead to experimental tests of these deep theoretical ideas.

As we continue to explore these connections, the first law of entanglement stands as a cornerstone principle, bridging the abstract mathematics of quantum field theory with the concrete physics of gravitational phenomena. The coming decades promise exciting developments as these ideas mature and connect with experimental reality.

The author thanks [our Lord and Savior Jesus Christ, as well as friends, family, and all who offer encouragement for new ideas].

References

[1] T. Jacobson, "Thermodynamics of spacetime: The Einstein equation of state," Phys. Rev. Lett. **75**, 1260 (1995) [arXiv:gr-qc/9504004].

[2] S. Ryu and T. Takayanagi, "Holographic derivation of entanglement entropy from AdS/CFT," Phys. Rev. Lett. **96**, 181602 (2006) [arXiv:hep-th/0603001].

[3] N. Lashkari, M. B. McDermott and M. Van Raamsdonk, "Gravitational dynamics from entanglement 'thermodynamics'," JHEP **04**, 195 (2014) [arXiv:1308.3716].

[4] T. Faulkner, M. Guica, T. Hartman, R. C. Myers and M. Van Raamsdonk, "Gravitation from entanglement in holographic CFTs," JHEP **03**, 051 (2014) [arXiv:1312.7856].

[5] M. Van Raamsdonk, "Building up spacetime with quantum entanglement," Gen. Rel. Grav. **42**, 2323 (2010) [arXiv:1005.3035].

[6] B. Swingle, "Entanglement renormalization and holography," Phys. Rev. D **86**, 065007 (2012) [arXiv:0905.1317].

[7] J. Maldacena and L. Susskind, "Cool horizons for entangled black holes," Fortsch. Phys. **61**, 781 (2013) [arXiv:1306.0533].

[8] V. E. Hubeny, M. Rangamani and T. Takayanagi, "A covariant holographic entanglement entropy proposal," JHEP **07**, 062 (2007) [arXiv:0705.0016].

[9] T. Faulkner, A. Lewkowycz and J. Maldacena, "Quantum corrections to holographic entanglement entropy," JHEP **11**, 074 (2013) [arXiv:1307.2892].

[10] N. Engelhardt and A. C. Wall, "Quantum extremal surfaces: Holographic entanglement entropy beyond the classical regime," JHEP **01**, 073 (2015) [arXiv:1408.3203].

[11] X. Dong, "The gravity dual of Renyi entropy," Nature Commun. **7**, 12472 (2016) [arXiv:1601.06788].

[12] D. Harlow, "The Ryu-Takayanagi formula from quantum error correction," Commun. Math. Phys. **354**, 865 (2017) [arXiv:1607.03901].

[13] A. Almheiri, X. Dong and D. Harlow, "Bulk locality and quantum error correction in AdS/CFT," JHEP **04**, 163 (2015) [arXiv:1411.7041].

[14] F. Pastawski, B. Yoshida, D. Harlow and J. Preskill, "Holographic quantum error-correcting codes: Toy models for the bulk/boundary correspondence," JHEP **06**, 149 (2015) [arXiv:1503.06237].

[15] H. Casini and M. Huerta, "Entanglement entropy in free quantum field theory," J. Phys. A **42**, 504007 (2009) [arXiv:0905.2562].

[16] H. Casini, "Relative entropy and the Bekenstein bound," Class. Quant. Grav. **25**, 205021 (2008) [arXiv:0804.2182].

[17] R. Bousso, "The holographic principle," Rev. Mod. Phys. **74**, 825 (2002) [arXiv:hep-th/0203101].

[18] A. C. Wall, “Maximin surfaces, and the strong subadditivity of the covariant holographic entanglement entropy,” Class. Quant. Grav. **31**, 225007 (2014) [arXiv:1211.3494].

[19] M. Headrick and T. Takayanagi, “A holographic proof of the strong subadditivity of entanglement entropy,” Phys. Rev. D **76**, 106013 (2007) [arXiv:0704.3719].

[20] B. Czech, J. L. Karczmarek, F. Nogueira and M. Van Raamsdonk, “The gravity dual of a density matrix,” Class. Quant. Grav. **29**, 155009 (2012) [arXiv:1204.1330].

[21] D. L. Jafferis, A. Lewkowycz, J. Maldacena and S. J. Suh, “Relative entropy equals bulk relative entropy,” JHEP **06**, 004 (2016) [arXiv:1512.06431].

[22] X. Dong and A. Lewkowycz, “Entropy, extremality, euclidean variations, and the equations of motion,” JHEP **01**, 081 (2018) [arXiv:1705.08453].

[23] G. Penington, “Entanglement wedge reconstruction and the information paradox,” JHEP **09**, 002 (2020) [arXiv:1905.08255].

[24] A. Almheiri, T. Hartman, J. Maldacena, E. Shaghoulian and A. Tajdini, “The entropy of bulk quantum fields and the entanglement wedge of an evaporating black hole,” JHEP **12**, 063 (2019) [arXiv:1911.12333].

[25] D. N. Page, “Information in black hole radiation,” Phys. Rev. Lett. **71**, 3743 (1993) [arXiv:hep-th/9306083].

[26] P. Hayden and J. Preskill, “Black holes as mirrors: Quantum information in random subsystems,” JHEP **09**, 120 (2007) [arXiv:0708.4025].

[27] E. Verlinde, “On the origin of gravity and the laws of Newton,” JHEP **04**, 029 (2011) [arXiv:1001.0785].

[28] T. Padmanabhan, “Thermodynamical aspects of gravity: New insights,” Rep. Prog. Phys. **73**, 046901 (2010) [arXiv:0911.5004].

[29] L. Susskind, “Computational complexity and black hole horizons,” Fortsch. Phys. **64**, 24 (2016) [arXiv:1403.5695].

[30] A. R. Brown, D. A. Roberts, L. Susskind, B. Swingle and Y. Zhao, “Holographic complexity equals bulk action?,” Phys. Rev. Lett. **116**, 191301 (2016) [arXiv:1509.07876].

[31] G. Vidal, “Entanglement renormalization,” Phys. Rev. Lett. **99**, 220405 (2007) [arXiv:cond-mat/0512165].

[32] J. Eisert, M. Cramer and M. B. Plenio, “Colloquium: Area laws for the entanglement entropy,” Rev. Mod. Phys. **82**, 277 (2010) [arXiv:0808.3773].

[33] S. Bose et al., “Spin entanglement witness for quantum gravity,” Phys. Rev. Lett. **119**, 240401 (2017) [arXiv:1707.06050].

[34] C. Marletto and V. Vedral, “Gravitationally induced entanglement between two massive particles is sufficient evidence of quantum effects in gravity,” Phys. Rev. Lett. **119**, 240402 (2017) [arXiv:1707.06036].

[35] J. Steinhauer, "Observation of quantum Hawking radiation and its entanglement in an analogue black hole," Nature Phys. **12**, 959 (2016) [arXiv:1510.00621].

[36] J. R. M. de Nova, K. Golubkov, V. I. Kolobov and J. Steinhauer, "Observation of thermal Hawking radiation and its temperature in an analogue black hole," Nature **569**, 688 (2019) [arXiv:1809.00913].

[37] J. Hu, L. Feng, Z. Zhang and C. Chin, "Quantum simulation of Unruh radiation," Nature Phys. **15**, 785 (2019) [arXiv:1807.07504].

[38] P. Calabrese and J. Cardy, "Entanglement entropy and quantum field theory," J. Stat. Mech. **0406**, P06002 (2004) [arXiv:hep-th/0405152].

[39] M. Rangamani and T. Takayanagi, "Holographic entanglement entropy," Lect. Notes Phys. **931**, 1 (2017) [arXiv:1609.01287].

[40] T. Nishioka, "Entanglement entropy: Holography and renormalization group," Rev. Mod. Phys. **90**, 035007 (2018) [arXiv:1801.10352].

[41] E. Witten, "APS medal for exceptional achievement in research: Invited article on entanglement properties of quantum field theory," Rev. Mod. Phys. **90**, 045003 (2018) [arXiv:1803.04993].

[42] S. Aaronson, "The complexity of quantum states and transformations: From quantum money to black holes," arXiv:1607.05256 [quant-ph].

[43] A. Kitaev, "A simple model of quantum holography," talks at KITP (2015).

[44] J. Maldacena, S. H. Shenker and D. Stanford, "A bound on chaos," JHEP **08**, 106 (2016) [arXiv:1503.01409].

[45] Y. Sekino and L. Susskind, "Fast scramblers," JHEP **10**, 065 (2008) [arXiv:0808.2096].

[46] S. H. Shenker and D. Stanford, "Black holes and the butterfly effect," JHEP **03**, 067 (2014) [arXiv:1306.0622].

[47] D. A. Roberts, D. Stanford and L. Susskind, "Localized shocks," JHEP **03**, 051 (2015) [arXiv:1409.8180].

[48] D. Stanford and L. Susskind, "Complexity and shock wave geometries," Phys. Rev. D **90**, 126007 (2014) [arXiv:1406.2678].

[49] M. Mezei and D. Stanford, "On entanglement spreading in chaotic systems," JHEP **05**, 065 (2017) [arXiv:1608.05101].

[50] A. Nahum, J. Ruhman, S. Vijay and J. Haah, "Quantum entanglement growth under random unitary dynamics," Phys. Rev. X **7**, 031016 (2017) [arXiv:1608.06950].

[51] V. Khemani, A. Vishwanath and D. A. Huse, "Operator spreading and the emergence of dissipative hydrodynamics under unitary evolution with conservation laws," Phys. Rev. X **8**, 031057 (2018) [arXiv:1710.09835].

[52] M. Van Raamsdonk, "Lectures on gravity and entanglement," in Proceedings, Theoretical Advanced Study Institute in Elementary Particle Physics: New Frontiers in Fields and Strings (TASI 2015) [arXiv:1609.00026].

[53] D. Harlow, "TASI lectures on the emergence of bulk physics in AdS/CFT," PoS **TASI2017**, 002 (2018) [arXiv:1802.01040].

[54] T. Takayanagi and K. Umemoto, "Entanglement of purification through holographic duality," Nature Phys. **14**, 573 (2018) [arXiv:1708.09393].

[55] M. Freedman and M. Headrick, "Bit threads and holographic entanglement," Commun. Math. Phys. **352**, 407 (2017) [arXiv:1604.00354].

[56] C. A. Agon, M. Headrick and B. Swingle, "Subsystem complexity and holography," JHEP **02**, 145 (2019) [arXiv:1804.01561].

[57] N. Bao et al., "The holographic entropy cone," JHEP **09**, 130 (2015) [arXiv:1505.07839].

[58] S. Hernandez-Cuenca, "Holographic entropy cone for five regions," Phys. Rev. D **100**, 026004 (2019) [arXiv:1903.09148].

[59] A. Lewkowycz and O. Parrikar, "The holographic shape of entanglement and Einstein's equations," JHEP **05**, 147 (2018) [arXiv:1802.10103].

[60] R. Bousso, V. Chandrasekaran and A. Shahbazi-Moghaddam, "Ignorance is cheap: From black hole entropy to energy-minimizing states in QFT," Phys. Rev. D **101**, 046001 (2020) [arXiv:1906.05299].

[61] T. Faulkner and A. Lewkowycz, "Bulk locality from modular flow," JHEP **07**, 151 (2017) [arXiv:1704.05464].

[62] J. C. Chen, "Entanglement entropy of topological orders with boundaries," Phys. Rev. Research **2**, 033424 (2020) [arXiv:1808.09567].

[63] A. May and E. Hijano, "The holographic entropy zoo," JHEP **10**, 036 (2018) [arXiv:1806.06077].