

# The odesandpdes package

Anakin  
anakin@ruc.dk

January 20, 2024

## Abstract

This package is the solution no one asked for, to a problem nobody had. Have you ever thought to yourself “wow, I sure do dislike having to remember *multiple* macros for my odes and pdes” and the author of this package has to agree, wholeheartedly. In the modern world of “tik-toking” and “family guy surfing”, our brains have rotted beyond salvage for even basic levels of cognitive recall. This package aims to fix this, through two macros that have been set to each have an identical form and function, with an emphasis on intuitive use. Through setting options, the multiple common notational style are easily swapped between, all by a single option. *You’re welcome.*

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Usage</b>	<b>2</b>
1.1	Options . . . . .	2
1.2	The Meat and Potatoes . . . . .	2
<b>2</b>	<b>Examples of use</b>	<b>5</b>
2.1	Common Use Examples . . . . .	5
2.2	"at x;" Usage Examples . . . . .	6
2.3	Prime Count Limits . . . . .	7

# My funny little ODE/PDE package

Start by first having `odesandpdes.sty` downloaded in an accessible directory, or in the same directory as your overleaf `main.tex`, using it by inserting;

`\usepackage[\langle options \rangle]{odesandpdes}`

into the preamble, Ideally after any font changing packages you use.

## 1 Usage

If the reader does not wish to be gradually introduced to the package and its features, feel free to skip directly to section 2.

### 1.1 Options

`notation`      The options included are based off of the three most common notations (according to Wikipedia), Lagrange, Leibniz, and Newton. They can be accessed through the `[\langle options \rangle]` when importing the package;

`\usepackage[notation=\langle option \rangle]{odesandpdes}`

In the case of Lagrange or Newton notation, there is the `maxprimes` option for determination of how many physical markings are allowed to be made before the notation switches to a symbolic version;

`\usepackage[maxprimes=\langle integer \rangle]{odesandpdes}`

`\setDE`      However, if one might wish to change it on a section to section basis, the command `\setDE{\langle options \rangle}` is able to take any package option as an argument and will apply the new option going forward.

Option list	Default Value	Valid Arguments
<code>notation</code>	Leibniz	default, Lagrange, Leibniz, Newton
<code>maxprimes</code>	3	<code>maxprimes = n, n \in \mathbb{N}_+</code>

### 1.2 The Meat and Potatoes

The command(s) are approached with the philosophy of of an intuitive and modular usage. The full extent of its usage can look like;

$$\backslash ode*[x]^2 X(x) = \backslash ode T_{\{\eta\}} \text{ at } 0; -\alpha \Rightarrow \frac{d^2}{dx^2} X(x) = \left. \frac{dT_\eta}{dt} \right|_{t=0} - \alpha$$

very quickly, and very easily building complex interactions of differentials. The quick functional break down of each element that comprises the macro;

`\ode\langle star \rangle [\langle variable \rangle] ^\langle degree \rangle \{ \langle function \rangle \} at_\langle position \rangle;`

Argument	Usage
<code>[\langle variable \rangle]</code>	The variable being derived
<code>\langle degree \rangle</code>	The order/degree of the derivative
<code>\{ \langle function \rangle \}</code>	The function being derived
<code>at_\langle point \rangle;</code>	Where the function is being derived

All arguments are conditionally optional, only the function is mandatory, but the command can forgo needing a function if a star is placed.

## Notation Style

`\LagrODE` There are 3 distinct notational styles one can choose between. This choice can be made as a package option in the preamble, in the text with `\setDE{<options>}`, or if one only needs to use a notation style once, through its respective macro.

`\LagrPDE` In essence, all the `\ode` or `\pde` commands do are call the respective notational variant aligned with the currently set option. This makes it simple enough to just use one of the notational variants, should one wish to do so:

$$\text{\LagrODE}[x] \ c = \text{\LeibODE}[x] \ c = \text{\NewtODE}[x] \ c \quad \Rightarrow \quad c' = \frac{dc}{dx} = \dot{c}$$

$$\text{\LagrPDE}[x] \ c = \text{\LeibPDE}[x] \ c = \text{\NewtPDE}[x] \ c \quad \Rightarrow \quad c'_x = \frac{\partial c}{\partial x} = \dot{c}$$

This also means that all these functions are identical in what arguments they take.

## Variable and Function Arguments

`\ode` The most barebone form can be understood as:  
`\ode*`  $\text{\ode}[\langle \textit{variable} \rangle]{\langle \textit{function} \rangle}$   
 $\text{\ode}^*[\langle \textit{variable} \rangle]$

`\pde` and for the sake of parity, the PDE usage is identical:  
`\pde*`  $\text{\pde}[\langle \textit{variable} \rangle]{\langle \textit{function} \rangle}$   
 $\text{\pde}^*[\langle \textit{variable} \rangle]$

Any value you give to the *optional*  $[\langle \textit{variable} \rangle]$  argument will be represented as the variable being derived. While the *mandatory*  $\{\langle \textit{function} \rangle\}$  argument will be the function you are deriving. Say you wish to indicate you are deriving  $X(t)$ , simple as writing `\ode[t]{X}`, however, its worth noting that  $t$  is the default variable so writing `\ode{X}` will produce identical results. Hence `\ode[t]{X} = \ode{X}` will produce;

$$\text{\ode}[t]{X} = \text{\ode}{X} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \frac{dX}{dt} = \frac{dX}{dt}$$

While the  $\{\langle \textit{function} \rangle\}$  argument is mandatory using the non-starred command, using the starred variant omits the need for the  $\{\langle \textit{function} \rangle\}$  argument. Therefor, writing the exact same equation, just starred `\ode*[t]{X} = \ode*{X}` will instead produce;

$$\text{\ode}^*[t]{X} = \text{\ode}^*{X} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \frac{d}{dt}X = \frac{d}{dt}X$$

Effectively one can rewrite the ‘bare-bones’ display as:

$$\text{\ode}^{\langle \textit{star} \rangle}[\langle \textit{variable} \rangle]{\langle \textit{function} \rangle}$$

## Degree of Derivative

The previously shown stated section is something the reader has likely encountered before, made themselves. This is where this package begins to differentiate<sup>1</sup> itself. Consider:

---

<sup>1</sup>Calculus Pun!

$$\backslash\mathrm{ode}\langle star\rangle[\langle variable\rangle]\uparrow\langle degree\rangle\{\langle function\rangle\}$$

A feature of this family of commands, is that it can ‘*easily*’ recognize a following exponent should one be placed. There was rational in choosing to check for the exponent immediately after the macro command opposed to checking for the exponent at the end after the function. As, often you would want add a higher degree very quickly as opposed to *after* defining the function.

$$\backslash\mathrm{ode}^2\{f(x)\} \text{ as opposed to } \backslash\mathrm{ode}\{f(x)\}^2$$

This was one of the main motivations of creating a package to begin with as instead of needing, maybe, two personalized commands, such as “ $\backslash\mathrm{ddt}\{f\}$  and  $\backslash\mathrm{ddxx}\{f\}$ ”, or “ $\backslash\mathrm{dd}\{x\}\{f\}$  and  $\backslash\mathrm{dd}[2]\{x\}\{f\}$ ”. One simply needs to treat the  $\backslash\mathrm{ode}$  macro itself as being raised to a higher degree.

$$\backslash\mathrm{ode}^* \backslash\mathrm{left}(\backslash\mathrm{ode}\{f\} \backslash\mathrm{right})=\backslash\mathrm{ode}^2\{f\} \Rightarrow \frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{df}{dt} \right) = \frac{d^2 f}{dt^2}$$

### Defining Where the Derivative is

Imagine you, as the reader, are trying to quickly and easily write up the boundry conditions of your problem. One could always make another macro, in what is no doubt an impressive display of differential shortcuts. *Or:*

$$\backslash\mathrm{ode}\langle star\rangle[\langle variable\rangle]\uparrow\langle degree\rangle\{\langle function\rangle\}_{\mathrm{at}}\langle position\rangle;$$

See,  $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{E}}\mathrm{X}$  does something very interesting when it uses ‘*glue*’, which is partially replicated by packages such as  $\mathrm{TikZ}$ , where it will happily take ‘soft’ modifiers written directly in plain english. If one wishes to strictly define paragraph spacing in  $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{E}}\mathrm{X}$ , they would use ‘ $\backslash\mathrm{parskip}=1\mathrm{ex}$ ’. If one would rather give it a range of tolerance the following construct ‘ $\backslash\mathrm{parskip}=1\mathrm{ex} \text{ plus } 0.5\mathrm{ex} \text{ minus } 0.5\mathrm{ex}$ ’ then allows a spacing of  $1 \pm 0.5 \mathrm{ex}$ .

Glue is of course something special, but that does not mean that the author can not gain inspiration. Say one wishes to define Neumann boundries;

$$\backslash\mathrm{ode}[x]\{c\} \text{ at } 0;=0 \wedge \backslash\mathrm{ode}[x]\{c\} \text{ at } L;=1 \Rightarrow \left. \frac{dc}{dx} \right|_{x=0} = 0 \wedge \left. \frac{dc}{dx} \right|_{x=L} = 1$$

$$\backslash\mathrm{ode}[x]\{c\} \text{ at } 0 = L;=1 \Rightarrow \left. \frac{dc}{dx} \right|_{x=0=L} = 1$$

Literally could not be easier.<sup>2</sup>

Those reading til this point may have recalled that the first example did not contain many braces. This is because with the “proper” spacing, there is little need for the use of the braces, so as to help promote a more fluid, (and readable), workflow without always needing to worry about the f\*\*\*ing brace. Not that one can not use the brace for personal taste. In the following section, many examples of use will be illustrated to show the range and versitility of the functions.

The most important thing to always remember. *Just because* the author of this package has done as much as they can to ‘*idiot user proof*’ its functions does not mean the user does not still need to be cautious. This is  $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{A}}\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{E}}\mathrm{X}$  we are talking about. There are likely many scenarios that the author did not think of, nor accidentally came across.

<sup>2</sup>My source is that I made it up

## 2 Examples of use

To show the generality of use. The following examples all take identical form in the  $\text{\TeX/L\AA\TeX}$  itself. Additionally, in order to illustrate the functional boundries of the command with respect to each of the notational styles. There is a variety of spacing and bracketing to help highlight these features, and will be shown in the following verbatim enviroment;

```
\begin{align*}
\ode A(x)      && \ode[x]{B(x)} && \ode^1 C(x)      && \ode[x]^5 {D(x)} \\
\ode* {E(x)}   && \ode*[x] F(x) && \ode*^2 {G(x)}   && \ode*[x]^6 H(x) \\
\pde[t] I(x)   && \pde[x] {J(x)} && \pde[t]^3 K(x)   && \pde[x]^7 {L(x)} \\
\pde*[t] {M(x)} && \pde*[x] N(x)  && \pde*[t]^4 O(x)  && \pde*[x]^8 P(x)
\end{align*}
\setDE{notation=Lagrange} and/or \usepackage[notation=Lagrange]{odesandpdes}
```

$$\begin{array}{cccc}
A'(x) & B(x)' & C'(x) & D(x)^{(5)} \\
f'(t)E(x) & f'(x)F(x) & f''(t)G(x) & f^{(6)}(x)H(x) \\
I_t'(x) & J(x)'_x & K_t'''(x) & L(x)^{(7)}_x \\
f_t'(t)M(x) & f_x'(x)N(x) & f_t^{(4)}(t)O(x) & f_x^{(8)}(x)P(x)
\end{array}$$

```
\setDE{notation=Leibniz} and/or \usepackage[notation=Leibniz]{odesandpdes}
```

$$\begin{array}{cccc}
\frac{dA(x)}{dt} & \frac{dB(x)}{dx} & \frac{dC(x)}{dt} & \frac{d^5 D(x)}{dx^5} \\
\frac{d}{dt}E(x) & \frac{d}{dx}F(x) & \frac{d^2}{dt^2}G(x) & \frac{d^6}{dx^6}H(x) \\
\frac{\partial I(x)}{\partial t} & \frac{\partial J(x)}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial^3 K(x)}{\partial t^3} & \frac{\partial^7 L(x)}{\partial x^7} \\
\frac{\partial}{\partial t}M(x) & \frac{\partial}{\partial x}N(x) & \frac{\partial^4}{\partial t^4}O(x) & \frac{\partial^8}{\partial x^8}P(x)
\end{array}$$

```
\setDE{notation=Newton} and/or \usepackage[notation=Newton]{odesandpdes}
```

$$\begin{array}{cccc}
\dot{A}(x) & \dot{B}(x) & \dot{C}(x) & \overset{5}{\dot{D}(x)} \\
\dot{i}E(x) & \dot{x}F(x) & \dot{i}G(x) & \overset{6}{\dot{x}H(x)} \\
\dot{I}(x) & \dot{J}(x) & \dot{\dot{K}}(x) & \overset{7}{\dot{L}(x)} \\
\dot{i}M(x) & \dot{x}N(x) & \overset{4}{\dot{i}O(x)} & \overset{8}{\dot{x}P(x)}
\end{array}$$

`\setDE{maxprimes=7}` and/or `\usepackage[maxprimes=7]{odesandpdes}`

$f'$	$f''$	$f'''$	$f^{(4)}$	$f^{(5)}$	$f^{(6)}$	$f^{(7)}$	$f^{(8)}$	$f^{(9)}$
$\dot{f}$	$\ddot{f}$	$\dot{\dot{f}}$	$\ddot{\dot{f}}$	$\dot{\ddot{f}}$	$\ddot{\ddot{f}}$	$\dot{\dot{\dot{f}}}$	$\overset{8}{f}$	$\overset{9}{f}$

## 2.2 "at x;" Usage Examples

Now, because the author is not an insane person, and went through the effort of learning how TEX deconstructs text into constitute registries and boxes, the way any sane person might. When using a non-starred version of a command, after the function is defined, you can place an ‘`at_⟨point⟩;`’, and the representation will shown according to notational convention.

```
\begin{align*}
\ode[x] c at 23\pi; & \&= 1 \\\
\ode[x]^3 c at 69; & \&= 2 \\\
\ode[x]^{69} c at L;+t & \&= 3 \\\
\ode[x]^9 c af 420; & \&= 4 \\\
\ode[x]^6 c a t 13; & \&= 5
\end{align*}
```

<code>\setDE{notation=Lagrange}</code>	<code>\setDE{notation=Leibniz}</code>	<code>\setDE{notation=Newton}</code>
$c'(23\pi) = 1$	$\left. \frac{dc}{dx} \right _{x=23\pi} = 1$	$\dot{c}(23\pi) = 1$
$c'''(69) = 2$	$\left. \frac{d^3c}{dx^3} \right _{x=69} = 2$	$\dot{\dot{c}}(69) = 2$
$c^{(69)}(L) + t = 3$	$\left. \frac{d^{69}c}{dx^{69}} \right _{x=L} + t = 3$	$\overset{69}{\dot{c}}(L) + t = 3$
$c^{(9)}af420; = 4$	$\frac{d^9c}{dx^9}af420; = 4$	$\overset{9}{\dot{c}}af420; = 4$
$c^{(6)}at13; = 5$	$\frac{d^6c}{dx^6}at13; = 5$	$\overset{6}{\dot{c}}at13; = 5$

As can be seen in the examples, this ‘*modifier*’ is robust enough that one can write effectively any combination of characters after the function, excluding, *verbatim*, ‘`at_`’ and it will work as intended.

```
\begin{align*}
\pde[y]{f_1} & \quad \quad \quad \&= 1 \quad \backslash\backslash \\
\pde[y]{f_1} & \quad \text{at } L; \quad \&= 2 \quad \backslash\backslash \\
\pde[y]{f} & \quad \text{at } L; \quad \&= 3 \quad \backslash\backslash \\
\pde[y]{\{(f_1)\}} & \quad \quad \quad \&= 4 \quad \backslash\backslash \\
\pde[y]{\{(f_1)\}} & \text{at } L; \quad \&= 5 \\
\end{align*}
```

$\backslash\mathrm{setDE}\{\mathrm{notation}=\mathrm{Lagrange}\}$	$\backslash\mathrm{setDE}\{\mathrm{notation}=\mathrm{Leibniz}\}$	$\backslash\mathrm{setDE}\{\mathrm{notation}=\mathrm{Newton}\}$
$f_{y_1}' = 1$	$\frac{\partial f_1}{\partial y} = 1$	$\dot{f}_1 = 1$
$f_{y_1}'atL; = 2$	$\frac{\partial f_1}{\partial y}\Big _{y=L} = 2$	$\dot{f}_1atL; = 2$
$f_y'(L) = 3$	$\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}\Big _{y=L} = 3$	$\dot{f}(L) = 3$
$(f_1)'_y = 4$	$\frac{\partial (f_1)}{\partial y} = 4$	$(\dot{f}_1) = 4$
$(f_1)'_y(L) = 5$	$\frac{\partial (f_1)}{\partial y}\Big _{y=L} = 5$	$(\dot{f}_1)(L) = 5$

Because the Newton and Lagrange notation is procedural; the only limit is your imagination, and also the fact that T<sub>E</sub>X can only have something like 127 unplaced tokens at a time.

The diagram illustrates the construction of a sequence of functions  $f, f', f'', \dots, f^{(70)}$  and their corresponding values at a point  $x$ . The functions are shown as horizontal lines of increasing length and complexity, with the final function  $f^{(70)}$  being a dense, jagged line. The values at  $x$  are shown as vertical columns of dots, with the final value  $f^{(70)}$  being a single dot. The values are labeled 5, 16, 32, 54, 69, and 70.