

Assignment Sheet 9

$$T = \{(0.3, 1), (1.8, 1), (1.5, 1), (4.8, 2), (2.6, 2)\}$$

For the class label 1,

$$\cancel{T^1 = \{(0.3, 1), (1.8, 1), (1.5, 1)\}}.$$

Let us build a training set where the output is $\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$ if the class label is 1 and $\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ when the class label is 2.

$$T' = \left\{ (0.3, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}), (1.8, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}), (1.5, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}), (4.8, \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}), (2.6, \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}) \right\}.$$

Then, for the class label 1, we have the following training data,

$$T^1 = \{(0.3, 1), (1.8, 1), (1.5, 1), (4.8, 0), (2.6, 0)\}.$$

Applying linear regression,

$$X = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0.3 \\ 1 & 1.8 \\ 1 & 1.5 \\ 1 & 4.8 \\ 1 & 2.6 \end{bmatrix} \quad y = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$X^T X \beta = X^T y$, we obtain,

$$\beta = \begin{bmatrix} 1.1903 \\ -0.2683 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\text{Model:- } 1.1903 - 0.2683x.$$

for class label 2, we have the following training data,

$$T^2 = \{(0.3, 0), (1.8, 0), (1.5, 0), (4.8, 1), (2.6, 1)\}.$$

$$\text{Here, } y = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad X = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0.3 \\ 1 & 1.8 \\ 1 & 1.5 \\ 1 & 4.8 \\ 1 & 2.6 \end{bmatrix}$$

$X^T X \beta = X^T y$, we obtain,

$$\beta = \begin{bmatrix} -0.1903 \\ 0.2683 \end{bmatrix}.$$

$$\text{Model:- } -0.1903 + 0.2683x$$

for $x_1 = 2.4$,

$$1.1903 - 0.2683x = 0.54638$$

$$-0.1903 + 0.2683x = 0.45362 \quad (0.54638 > 0.45362)$$

So, it belongs to class '1'.

for $x_2 = 6.2$

$$1.1903 - 0.2683x = -0.47361$$

$$-0.1903 + 0.2683x = 0.47316$$

$$0.47316 > -0.47361$$

Hence, it belongs to class '2'.

for the training error:-

$$f^1(x) = 1.1903 - 0.2683x$$

$$f^2(x) = -1.1903 + 0.2683x$$

for, $x = 0.3$,

$$f^1(x) = 1.10981, \quad f^2(x) = -1.10981$$

$$f(0.3) = 1.$$

for, $x = 1.8$

$$f^1(x) = ~~1.1903 - 0.2683~~ 0.70$$

$$f^2(x) = -0.70736$$

$$f(1.8) = 1.$$

for $x = 1.5$

$$f^1(x) = 0.787$$

$$f^2(x) = -0.787$$

$$f(1.5) = 1$$

for $x = 4.8$

$$f^1(x) = ~~0.6537~~ -0.09754$$

$$f^2(x) = ~~0.6537~~ 0.09754$$

$$~~f(2) =~~ f(4.8) = 2$$

for $x = 2.6$

$$f^1(x) = 0.49272$$

$$f^2(x) = -0.49272 \quad f(2.6) = 1.$$

Here, only 2.6 is classified incorrectly.

Hence training error = $0+0+0+0+1=1$ //

Example 2

0/ = 100%

Exercise 2. (Classification by linear discriminant analysis)

We again start from the training data set

$$\mathcal{T}_{train} = \{(0.3, 1), (1.8, 1), (1.5, 1), (4.8, 2), (2.6, 2)\}$$

for a paper and pencil classification task. In addition, you are given the validation set

$$\mathcal{T}_{val} = \{(1.6, 1), (1.9, 2), (2.5, 2)\}.$$

- Use linear discriminant analysis to build a classifier based on the training data.
- Evaluate the generalization error for the just constructed predictor using the 0-1 loss and the validation set approach.

(4 Points)

$$N_1 = \{(0.3, 1), (1.8, 1), (1.5, 1)\}.$$

$$N_2 = \{(4.8, 2), (2.6, 2)\}.$$

$$\hat{p}_G(1) = \frac{3}{5} \quad \hat{p}_G(2) = \frac{2}{5}$$

$$\hat{\mu}_1 = \frac{0.3 + 1.8 + 1.5}{3} = 1.2.$$

$$\hat{\mu}_2 = \frac{4.8 + 2.6}{2} = 3.7.$$

$$\sigma = \frac{1}{3} \left[(0.3 - 1.2)^2 + (1.8 - 1.2)^2 + (1.5 - 1.2)^2 + (4.8 - 3.7)^2 + (2.6 - 3.7)^2 \right]$$

$$= \frac{1}{3} [0.81 + 0.36 + 0.09 + 1.21 + 1.21]$$

$$= \frac{1}{3} [3.68] = 1.2267.$$

So,

$$\hat{G}(x) = \operatorname{argmax}_{g \in \{0,1\}} \left(\log \hat{p}_G(g) + x^T \bar{\Sigma}^{-1} \hat{\mu}_g - \frac{1}{2} \hat{\mu}_g^T \bar{\Sigma}^{-1} \hat{\mu}_g \right)$$

$$\operatorname{argmax}_{g \in \{0,1\}} \left(\log \hat{p}_G(g) + \frac{x^T \cdot 1}{1.2267} \hat{\mu}_g - 0.40759 \cdot \hat{\mu}_g^T \hat{\mu}_g \right)$$

Now, on the validation set...

For $x = 1.6$

$$\hat{G}(1.6) = \operatorname{argmax}_{g \in \{1, 2\}} \left(\log \hat{p}_g(y) + 1.6 \cdot \frac{1}{1.2267} \cdot \hat{\mu}_g - 0.40759 \hat{\mu}_g^7 \hat{\mu}_g \right)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{So, for } g=1 &\Rightarrow 0.46741, \\ \text{for } g=2 &\Rightarrow -1.6702 \end{aligned}$$

$$\therefore \hat{G}(1.6) = 1$$

For $x = 1.9$

$$\hat{G}(1.9) = \operatorname{argmax}_{g \in \{1, 2\}} \left(\log \hat{p}_g(y) + \frac{1.9}{1.2267} \hat{\mu}_g - 0.40759 \hat{\mu}_g^7 \hat{\mu}_g \right)$$

$$\text{for } g=1 \Rightarrow 0.76088$$

$$g=2 \Rightarrow -0.76088$$

$$\therefore \hat{G}(1.9) = 1$$

For $z = 2.5$.

$$\hat{G}(2.5) = \underset{g \in \{1, 2\}}{\operatorname{argmax}} \left(\log \hat{p}_G(g) + \frac{2.5}{1.2267} \hat{\mu}_g - 0.40759 \hat{\mu}_g^2 \hat{\mu}_g \right)$$

$$\text{for } g = 1 \Rightarrow 1.34783.$$

$$g = 2 \Rightarrow 1.04435$$

$$\therefore \hat{G}(2.5) = 1$$

$$\text{So, } G E = \frac{1}{|\mathcal{T}(\text{val})|} \sum_{(x, y) \in \mathcal{T}(\text{val})} L_{0,1}(y, \hat{G}(x))$$

$$= \frac{1}{3} [0 + 1 + 1]$$

$$= 2/3$$

with n e c.

(4 Points)

Exercise 3. (Training of logistic regression)

Prove Lemma 8.2 from the lecture.

(4 Points)

=

Now, the partial derivative of J_{β} with respect to the q^{th} coefficient of the p^{th} class posterior is:

The original $J_{\beta}(\beta)$ is:

$$J_{\beta}(\beta) = - \sum_{i=1}^N \left[\sum_{g=1}^r p(g|x_i) s_g(x_i) - \log \left(\sum_{n=1}^r \exp(s_n(x_i)) \right) \right]$$

Instead of this, we can use:

$$J_{\beta}(\beta) = - \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \left[\sum_{g=1}^r p(g|x_i) s_g(x_i) - \log \left(\sum_{n=1}^r \exp(s_n(x_i)) \right) \right]$$

This function has no effect on the outcome of the gradient descent as it only changes the learning rate, which can be easily recovered by using a higher η .

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{dJ_B}{d\beta_q^p} &= - \frac{dJ_B}{d\beta_q^p} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \left[\sum_{y=1}^r p(y|x_i) \cdot s_y(x_i) - \log \left(\sum_{n=1}^r \exp(s_n(x_i)) \right) \right] \\
&= - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \left[\sum_{y=1}^r \frac{dJ_B}{d\beta_q^p} p(y|x_i) \cdot s_y(x_i) - \frac{1}{\sum_{n=1}^r \exp(s_n(x_i))} \cdot \sum_{n=1}^r \frac{d}{d\beta_q^p} \exp(s_n(x_i)) \right] \\
&= - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \left[p(p|x_i) \cdot x_{iq} - \frac{1}{\sum_{n=1}^r \exp(s_n(x_i))} \cdot \sum_{n=1}^r \exp(s_n(x_i)) \cdot \frac{d s_n(x_i)}{d\beta_q^p} \right] \\
&= - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \left[p(p|x_i) x_{iq} - \frac{1}{\sum_{n=1}^r \exp(s_n(x_i))} \exp(s_p(x_i)) \cdot x_{iq} \right] \\
&= - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n p(p|x_i) \cdot x_{iq} - p_B(p|x_i) \cdot x_{iq} \\
&= - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n p_B(p|x_i) \cdot x_{iq} - p(p|x_i) \cdot x_{iq} \\
&= - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_{iq} (p_B(p|x_i) - p(p|x_i))
\end{aligned}$$

So,

$$\nabla_{\beta} J(\beta) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N x_{i0} (p_{\beta}(g|x_i) - p(g|x_i)) \\ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N x_{i1} (p_{\beta}(g|x_i) - p(g|x_i)) \\ \vdots \\ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N x_{iD} (p_{\beta}(g|x_i) - p(g|x_i)) \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N \left[(p_{\beta}(g|x_i) - p(g|x_i)) \cdot \begin{pmatrix} x_{i0} \\ x_{i1} \\ \vdots \\ x_{iD} \end{pmatrix} \right]$$

\therefore we know $x_{i0} = 1$

$$\nabla_{\beta} J(\beta) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \left[(p_{\beta}(g|x_i) - p(g|x_i)) \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ x_i \end{bmatrix} \right]$$