From forward propagation, we know that

$$C(0) = L_y(\hat{y}) + \lambda_y \mathcal{L}(0) \quad (\text{Aine, } \lambda = 0)$$

Therefore  $C = L_y(\hat{y})$ .

We need to prove that

$$D_{h(k)} L_y(\hat{y}) = D_y^2 L_y(\hat{y})$$

Again by definition, from forward propagation

$$a^{(k)} = b^{(k)} + w^{(k)}_h(K-1)$$

$$h^{(k)} \leftarrow g(a^k)$$

$$\hat{y} \leftarrow h^{(k)}$$

then,

$$D_{h(k)} C = D_{h(k)} L_y(\hat{y}) = D_y^2 L_y(\hat{y})$$

where the first equality follows from (1),
and the second follows from (2).

Neutal Networks - HWO3

13-9-21

1 Prove that,

ahow. Jan. Only diagnol only diagnol plements will be having values.

Since you a symmetrix matrix, 
$$A = A^{T}$$
,

we can write this as a transpose.

we also know that diag [ 
$$\frac{\partial h_0^{(k)}}{\partial a_0} - \frac{\partial h_0}{\partial a_0}$$
]

we use this result now in O which gives us;

$$\frac{\partial L_{y}(\hat{y})}{\partial a_{i}^{(k)}} = \left[g'(a^{k})\right]^{T} \cdot \frac{\partial L_{y}(\hat{y})}{\partial h_{i}^{(k)}}$$

$$= D_{h}(k) \cdot L_{y}(\hat{y}) \cdot O\left[g'(a^{(k)})\right]^{T}$$

$$= D_{h(k)} \cdot L_{y}(\hat{y}) \cdot O \cdot (\hat{y})$$

$$= D_{h(k)} \cdot C \cdot O \cdot (\hat{y}) \cdot O \cdot (\hat{y})$$

= Phin : C O (g (alm))

· [Dwinc] = 3c This means that the matrix 2C is

matrix . withour

We know, y = h(k) (x) 4 + (1-4) 4 (1) = (4) . (1000) 8M  $c = L_y(\hat{y})$  $\frac{\partial c}{\partial w^{(k)}} = \frac{\partial L_{y}(\hat{y})}{\partial w^{(k)}} = \frac{\partial L_{y}(h^{(k)})}{\partial w^{(k)}} = \frac{\partial c}{\partial w^{(k)}}$  $\frac{\partial L_{y}(\hat{y})}{\partial w_{ij}(k)} = \frac{2}{m_{ij}} \frac{\partial L_{y}(\hat{y})}{\partial a_{m_{int}}} \frac{\partial a_{m}(k)}{\partial w_{ij}(k)} - 0$ ak s bk + & wkh k-1 am - bmk + & Wim hi from O, we can understand that all teems excepte dan ( 2000 ) m 36 2 Ly (ŷ) & & DLy (ŷ) & & h;

d wij & am  $\forall (k)$   $\perp y + \hat{y} = h \cdot \forall (k)$   $\Rightarrow (y + \hat{y})$ . (k) - altivation function. 3 WW ( Auto) were significant of the second of the second

we know,  $a^{(k)} = w^k h^{(k-1)} + b^{(k)}$  $\frac{\partial u}{\partial c} = \frac{\partial w(k)}{\partial r} \left[ -(w_{(k)})^{\mu} (k-1) + p_{(k)} \right]$ = Dŷ Ly(ŷ). D = a'k) Dw(k) [ w(k). h(k-1) + b(k)] Doga Ch. h (k-1) 9 = 00 symmetric matrix, as from previous 5 Dh(h) C. (g'(a)) (h(k-1)) dc dw(1) = Dh(1) C .x .q'(a(k)) T. h (k-1) from & prentiones question again son nos Dan C = Dhu C @ 9 (alm) de Da(w) C. h (k-1) Hence proved for 3, 2 Ly(q) Og (a(k)) L. The above multiplication has to result in a scalar quantity. Minie it is gradient.

 $= D\hat{y} Ly(\hat{y}) D\sigma(a^{(k)}) \cdot D_{h(k-1)} a^{(k)} \cdot$   $= D\hat{y} Ly(\hat{y}) \cdot D\sigma(a^{(k)}) \omega^{(k)}$   $= (k) \cdot (k)$ 

 $D_{h}(k-1)^{C} = \frac{dLy}{dh^{(k-1)}} \cdot (\sigma [w^{k}h^{(k-1)} + b^{(k)}])$ 

 $= D_{n} \omega_{n} c \cdot D - (a^{(k)}) \omega^{(k)}$ 

from the previous results, Do = g'applied element wise. m as activation. therefore, Dnunc O (g'(alk)) .with = [ Date with with (1-414P (cm (1) hpp = 5(1-4)4(1) (4) 4 = [. (1-4) (1-4) (1-4) (1-4) (1-4) (1-4)

· Paris ( ... p. . ) Property of the

(4) - (1-4) (1 (1) = 1