

# Lecture 12: Detection and Segmentation

# Computer Vision Tasks


## Classification



CAT

No spatial extent

## Semantic Segmentation



GRASS, CAT,  
TREE, SKY

No objects, just pixels

## Object Detection



DOG, DOG, CAT

Multiple Object

## Instance Segmentation



DOG, DOG, CAT

This image is CC0 public domain

# Semantic Segmentation

Classification



CAT

No spatial extent

## Semantic Segmentation



GRASS, CAT,  
TREE, SKY

No objects, just pixels

Object  
Detection



Instance  
Segmentation



DOG, DOG, CAT

Multiple Object



# Semantic Segmentation

Label each pixel in the image with a category label


Don't differentiate instances, only care about pixels



[This image is CC0 public domain](#)




# Semantic Segmentation Idea: Sliding Window



Farabet et al, "Learning Hierarchical Features for Scene Labeling," TPAMI 2013

Pinheiro and Collobert, "Recurrent Convolutional Neural Networks for Scene Labeling", ICML 2014

# Semantic Segmentation Idea: Sliding Window




Problem: Very inefficient! Not reusing shared features between overlapping patches

Farabet et al, "Learning Hierarchical Features for Scene Labeling," TPAMI 2013  
Pinheiro and Collobert, "Recurrent Convolutional Neural Networks for Scene Labeling", ICML 2014


# Semantic Segmentation Idea: Fully Convolutional

Design a network as a bunch of convolutional layers  
to make predictions for pixels all at once!



# Semantic Segmentation Idea: Fully Convolutional

Design a network as a bunch of convolutional layers  
to make predictions for pixels all at once!




# Semantic Segmentation Idea: Fully Convolutional

Design network as a bunch of convolutional layers, with  
**downsampling** and **upsampling** inside the network!



Input:  
 $3 \times H \times W$



High-res:  
 $D_1 \times H/2 \times W/2$

High-res:  
 $D_1 \times H/2 \times W/2$



Predictions:  
 $H \times W$

Long, Shelhamer, and Darrell, "Fully Convolutional Networks for Semantic Segmentation", CVPR 2015

Noh et al, "Learning Deconvolution Network for Semantic Segmentation", ICCV 2015


# Semantic Segmentation Idea: Fully Convolutional

**Downsampling:**  
Pooling, strided  
convolution



Input:  
 $3 \times H \times W$

High-res:  
 $D_1 \times H/2 \times W/2$



Design network as a bunch of convolutional layers, with  
**downsampling** and **upsampling** inside the network!

**Upsampling:**  
???



Predictions:  
 $H \times W$

Long, Shelhamer, and Darrell, "Fully Convolutional Networks for Semantic Segmentation", CVPR 2015  
Noh et al, "Learning Deconvolution Network for Semantic Segmentation", ICCV 2015

# In-Network upsampling: “Unpooling”

**Nearest Neighbor**

1	2
3	4



1	1	2	2
1	1	2	2
3	3	4	4
3	3	4	4

Input: 2 x 2

Output: 4 x 4

**“Bed of Nails”**

1	2
3	4



1	0	2	0
0	0	0	0
3	0	4	0
0	0	0	0

Output: 4 x 4

# In-Network upsampling: “Max Unpooling”

## Max Pooling

Remember which element was max!

1	2	6	3
3	5	2	1
1	2	2	1
7	3	4	8

Input: 4 x 4

5	6
7	8

Output: 2 x 2

## Max Unpooling

Use positions from pooling layer


1	2
3	4

Rest of the network

0	0	2	0
0	1	0	0
0	0	0	0
3	0	0	4


Output: 4 x 4

Corresponding pairs of  
downsampling and  
upsampling layers




# Learnable Upsampling: Transpose Convolution

**Recall:** Normal  $3 \times 3$  convolution, stride 1 pad 1




Input:  $4 \times 4$



Output:  $4 \times 4$


# Learnable Upsampling: Transpose Convolution

**Recall:** Normal  $3 \times 3$  convolution, stride 1 pad 1




# Learnable Upsampling: Transpose Convolution

**Recall:** Normal  $3 \times 3$  convolution, stride 1 pad 1




# Learnable Upsampling: Transpose Convolution

**Recall:** Normal  $3 \times 3$  convolution, stride 2 pad 1




Input:  $4 \times 4$



Output:  $2 \times 2$


# Learnable Upsampling: Transpose Convolution

**Recall:** Normal  $3 \times 3$  convolution, stride 2 pad 1




# Learnable Upsampling: Transpose Convolution

Recall: Normal  $3 \times 3$  convolution, stride 2 pad 1



Input:  $4 \times 4$

Dot product  
between filter  
and input




Output:  $2 \times 2$

Filter moves 2 pixels in  
the input for every one  
pixel in the output


Stride gives ratio between  
movement in input and  
output

# Learnable Upsampling: Transpose Convolution

$3 \times 3$  **transpose** convolution, stride 2 pad 1




Input:  $2 \times 2$



Output:  $4 \times 4$


# Learnable Upsampling: Transpose Convolution

3 x 3 **transpose** convolution, stride 2 pad 1




# Learnable Upsampling: Transpose Convolution

3 x 3 **transpose** convolution, stride 2 pad 1




# Learnable Upsampling: Transpose Convolution



# Learnable Upsampling: Transpose Convolution

Other names:

- Deconvolution (bad)
- Upconvolution
- Fractionally strided convolution
- Backward strided convolution




Input: 2 x 2

3 x 3 transpose convolution, stride 2 pad 1



Input gives weight for filter




Output: 4 x 4

Filter moves 2 pixels in the output for every one pixel in the input

Stride gives ratio between movement in output and input

Sum where output overlaps

# Learnable Upsampling: 1D Example



# Convolution as Matrix Multiplication (1D Example)

We can express convolution in terms of a matrix multiplication

$$\vec{x} * \vec{a} = X\vec{a}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} x & y & x & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & x & y & x & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & x & y & x & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & x & y & x \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ a \\ b \\ c \\ d \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} ay + bz \\ ax + by + cz \\ bx + cy + dz \\ cx + dy \end{bmatrix}$$

Example: 1D conv, kernel  
size=3, stride=1, padding=1

# Convolution as Matrix Multiplication (1D Example)

We can express convolution in terms of a matrix multiplication

$$\vec{x} * \vec{a} = X\vec{a}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} x & y & x & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & x & y & x & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & x & y & x & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & x & y & x \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ a \\ b \\ c \\ d \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} ay + bz \\ ax + by + cz \\ bx + cy + dz \\ cx + dy \end{bmatrix}$$

Example: 1D conv, kernel size=3, stride=1, padding=1

Convolution transpose multiplies by the transpose of the same matrix:

$$\vec{x} *^T \vec{a} = X^T \vec{a}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} x & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ y & x & 0 & 0 \\ z & y & x & 0 \\ 0 & z & y & x \\ 0 & 0 & z & y \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & z \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \\ d \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} ax \\ ay + bx \\ az + by + cx \\ bz + cy + dx \\ cz + dy \\ dz \end{bmatrix}$$

When stride=1, convolution transpose is just a regular convolution (with different padding rules)

# Convolution as Matrix Multiplication (1D Example)

We can express convolution in terms of a matrix multiplication

$$\vec{x} * \vec{a} = X\vec{a}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} x & y & x & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & x & y & x & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ a \\ b \\ c \\ d \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} ay + bz \\ bx + cy + dz \end{bmatrix}$$

Example: 1D conv, kernel size=3, stride=2, padding=1

# Convolution as Matrix Multiplication (1D Example)

We can express convolution in terms of a matrix multiplication

$$\vec{x} * \vec{a} = X\vec{a}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} x & y & x & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & x & y & x & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ a \\ b \\ c \\ d \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} ay + bz \\ bx + cy + dz \end{bmatrix}$$

Example: 1D conv, kernel size=3, stride=2, padding=1

Convolution transpose multiplies by the transpose of the same matrix:

$$\vec{x} *^T \vec{a} = X^T \vec{a}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} x & 0 \\ y & 0 \\ z & x \\ 0 & y \\ 0 & z \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a \\ b \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} ax \\ ay \\ az + bx \\ by \\ bz \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

When stride>1, convolution transpose is no longer a normal convolution!


# Semantic Segmentation Idea: Fully Convolutional

**Downsampling:**  
Pooling, strided convolution



Input:  
 $3 \times H \times W$

Design network as a bunch of convolutional layers, with **downsampling** and **upsampling** inside the network!



High-res:  
 $D_1 \times H/2 \times W/2$

Low-res:  
 $D_3 \times H/4 \times W/4$

High-res:  
 $D_1 \times H/2 \times W/2$

**Upsampling:**  
Unpooling or strided transpose convolution



Predictions:  
 $H \times W$

Long, Shelhamer, and Darrell, "Fully Convolutional Networks for Semantic Segmentation", CVPR 2015

Noh et al, "Learning Deconvolution Network for Semantic Segmentation", ICCV 2015

# Object Detection


Classification



CAT

No spatial extent

Semantic Segmentation



GRASS, CAT,  
TREE, SKY

No objects, just pixels

Object  
Detection



DOG, DOG, CAT

Multiple Object

Instance  
Segmentation



DOG, DOG, CAT

# Object Detection: Impact of Deep Learning





Figure copyright Ross Girshick, 2015.  
Reproduced with permission.

# Object Detection: Single Object

(Classification + Localization)



This image is CC0 public domain



Fully  
Connected:  
4096 to 1000

## Class Scores

Cat: 0.9  
Dog: 0.05  
Car: 0.01  
...

Vector: Fully  
Connected:  
4096 to 4


## Box Coordinates (x, y, w, h)

Treat localization as a  
regression problem!

# Object Detection: Single Object (Classification + Localization)



This image is CC0 public domain



Treat localization as a  
regression problem!

**Vector:**  
4096      **Fully Connected:**  
4096 to 4

**Class Scores**  
Cat: 0.9  
Dog: 0.05  
Car: 0.01  
...

**Box Coordinates** → **L2 Loss**  
( $x, y, w, h$ )

**Correct label:**  
Cat


**Softmax Loss**

**Correct box:**  
( $x', y', w', h'$ )

# Object Detection: Single Object (Classification + Localization)



This image is CC0 public domain



Treat localization as a  
regression problem!

Vector:  
Fully Connected:  
4096 to 4

Class Scores  
Cat: 0.9  
Dog: 0.05  
Car: 0.01  
...

Multitask Loss

Box  
Coordinates → L2 Loss  
( $x, y, w, h$ )

Correct label:  
Cat

Softmax  
Loss


+

Correct box:  
( $x', y', w', h'$ )

# Object Detection: Single Object (Classification + Localization)



This image is CC0 public domain



Often pretrained on ImageNet  
(Transfer learning)

Treat localization as a  
regression problem!

Vector: 4096  
Fully Connected:  
4096 to 4

Class Scores  
Cat: 0.9  
Dog: 0.05  
Car: 0.01  
...

Box  
Coordinates → L2 Loss  
( $x, y, w, h$ )

Correct label:  
Cat


Softmax  
Loss

+


Loss

Correct box:  
( $x', y', w', h'$ )

# Object Detection: Multiple Objects




CAT: (x, y, w, h)



DOG: (x, y, w, h)

DOG: (x, y, w, h)

CAT: (x, y, w, h)




DUCK: (x, y, w, h)

DUCK: (x, y, w, h)

...


# Object Detection: Multiple Objects

Each image needs a different number of outputs!



CAT: (x, y, w, h)


4 numbers



DOG: (x, y, w, h)

16 numbers

CAT: (x, y, w, h)



DUCK: (x, y, w, h)


Many numbers!

DUCK: (x, y, w, h)

...

# Object Detection: Multiple Objects


Apply a CNN to many different crops of the image, CNN classifies each crop as object or background



Dog? NO  
Cat? NO  
Background? YES

# Object Detection: Multiple Objects


Apply a CNN to many different crops of the image, CNN classifies each crop as object or background



Dog? YES  
Cat? NO  
Background? NO

# Object Detection: Multiple Objects


Apply a CNN to many different crops of the image, CNN classifies each crop as object or background



Dog? YES  
Cat? NO  
Background? NO

# Object Detection: Multiple Objects



Apply a CNN to many different crops of the image, CNN classifies each crop as object or background



Dog? NO  
Cat? YES  
Background? NO

# Object Detection: Multiple Objects

Apply a CNN to many different crops of the image, CNN classifies each crop as object or background




Dog? NO  
Cat? YES  
Background? NO

Problem: Need to apply CNN to huge number of locations, scales, and aspect ratios, very computationally expensive!

# Region Proposals: Selective Search

- Find “blobby” image regions that are likely to contain objects
- Relatively fast to run; e.g. Selective Search gives 2000 region proposals in a few seconds on CPU




Alexe et al, "Measuring the objectness of image windows", TPAMI 2012

Uijlings et al, "Selective Search for Object Recognition", IJCV 2013

Cheng et al, "BING: Binarized normed gradients for objectness estimation at 300fps", CVPR 2014

Zitnick and Dollar, "Edge boxes: Locating object proposals from edges", ECCV 2014

# R-CNN



Input image

Girshick et al, “Rich feature hierarchies for accurate object detection and semantic segmentation”, CVPR 2014.  
Figure copyright Ross Girshick, 2015; [source](#). Reproduced with permission.

# R-CNN




Input image

Regions of Interest  
(RoI) from a proposal  
method (~2k)

Girshick et al, "Rich feature hierarchies for accurate object detection and semantic segmentation", CVPR 2014.  
Figure copyright Ross Girshick, 2015; [source](#). Reproduced with permission.


# R-CNN



Girshick et al, “Rich feature hierarchies for accurate object detection and semantic segmentation”, CVPR 2014.


Figure copyright Ross Girshick, 2015; [source](#). Reproduced with permission.

# R-CNN



Girshick et al, "Rich feature hierarchies for accurate object detection and semantic segmentation", CVPR 2014.  
Figure copyright Ross Girshick, 2015; [source](#). Reproduced with permission.

# R-CNN




Girshick et al, "Rich feature hierarchies for accurate object detection and semantic segmentation", CVPR 2014.

Figure copyright Ross Girshick, 2015; [source](#). Reproduced with permission.

# R-CNN


Predict “corrections” to the RoI: 4 numbers: (dx, dy, dw, dh)



Girshick et al, “Rich feature hierarchies for accurate object detection and semantic segmentation”, CVPR 2014.  
Figure copyright Ross Girshick, 2015; [source](#). Reproduced with permission.

# R-CNN


Predict “corrections” to the RoI: 4 numbers: (dx, dy, dw, dh)



Girshick et al, “Rich feature hierarchies for accurate object detection and semantic segmentation”, CVPR 2014.  
Figure copyright Ross Girshick, 2015; [source](#). Reproduced with permission.

# “Slow” R-CNN

Predict “corrections” to the RoI: 4 numbers: (dx, dy, dw, dh)



**Problem:** Very slow!  
Need to do ~2k independent forward passes for each image!

**Idea:** Process image before cropping!  
Swap convolution and cropping!

Girshick et al, “Rich feature hierarchies for accurate object detection and semantic segmentation”, CVPR 2014.


Figure copyright Ross Girshick, 2015; [source](#). Reproduced with permission.

# Fast R-CNN



Input image

## “Slow” R-CNN




Input image


Girshick, “Fast R-CNN”, ICCV 2015. Figure copyright Ross Girshick, 2015; [source](#). Reproduced with permission.

# Fast R-CNN

“Backbone” network:  
AlexNet, VGG,  
ResNet, etc





## “Slow” R-CNN



Girshick, “Fast R-CNN”, ICCV 2015. Figure copyright Ross Girshick, 2015; [source](#). Reproduced with permission.

# Fast R-CNN




Girshick, “Fast R-CNN”, ICCV 2015. Figure copyright Ross Girshick, 2015; [source](#). Reproduced with permission.


# Fast R-CNN

Regions of Interest (RoIs)  
from a proposal  
method

“Backbone”  
network:  
AlexNet, VGG,  
ResNet, etc





## “Slow” R-CNN





Girshick, “Fast R-CNN”, ICCV 2015. Figure copyright Ross Girshick, 2015; [source](#). Reproduced with permission.

# Fast R-CNN





Girshick, “Fast R-CNN”, ICCV 2015. Figure copyright Ross Girshick, 2015; [source](#). Reproduced with permission.

# Fast R-CNN




Girshick, “Fast R-CNN”, ICCV 2015. Figure copyright Ross Girshick, 2015; [source](#). Reproduced with permission.

# Fast R-CNN



Girshick, “Fast R-CNN”, ICCV 2015. Figure copyright Ross Girshick, 2015; [source](#). Reproduced with permission.

# Cropping Features: RoI Pool



Input Image  
(e.g.  $3 \times 640 \times 480$ )





Image features  
(e.g.  $512 \times 20 \times 15$ )

Girshick, "Fast R-CNN", ICCV 2015.

Girshick, "Fast R-CNN", ICCV 2015.


# Cropping Features: RoI Pool



Girshick, "Fast R-CNN", ICCV 2015.


Girshick, "Fast R-CNN", ICCV 2015.

# Cropping Features: RoI Pool



Girshick, “Fast R-CNN”, ICCV 2015.

# Cropping Features: RoI Pool



Input Image  
(e.g.  $3 \times 640 \times 480$ )


Project proposal  
onto features



Image features  
(e.g.  $512 \times 20 \times 15$ )


“Snap” to  
grid cells

Divide into  $2 \times 2$   
grid of (roughly)  
equal subregions



Girshick, “Fast R-CNN”, ICCV 2015.

# Cropping Features: RoI Pool



Input Image  
(e.g.  $3 \times 640 \times 480$ )

Project proposal  
onto features





Image features  
(e.g.  $512 \times 20 \times 15$ )

“Snap” to  
grid cells

Divide into  $2 \times 2$   
grid of (roughly)  
equal subregions

Max-pool within  
each subregion




Region features  
(here  $512 \times 2 \times 2$ ;  
In practice e.g  $512 \times 7 \times 7$ )

Region features always the  
same size even if input  
regions have different sizes!

Girshick, “Fast R-CNN”, ICCV 2015.

# Cropping Features: RoI Pool



Input Image  
(e.g.  $3 \times 640 \times 480$ )

Project proposal  
onto features





Image features  
(e.g.  $512 \times 20 \times 15$ )

"Snap" to  
grid cells

Divide into  $2 \times 2$   
grid of (roughly)  
equal subregions

Max-pool within  
each subregion




Region features  
(here  $512 \times 2 \times 2$ ;  
In practice e.g  $512 \times 7 \times 7$ )

Region features always the  
same size even if input  
regions have different sizes!

**Problem:** Region features slightly misaligned


Girshick, "Fast R-CNN", ICCV 2015.

# Cropping Features: RoI Align



He et al, “Mask R-CNN”, ICCV 2017

# Cropping Features: RoI Align




Input Image  
(e.g.  $3 \times 640 \times 480$ )

Project proposal  
onto features




Image features  
(e.g.  $512 \times 20 \times 15$ )

No “snapping”!



Sample at regular points  
in each subregion using  
bilinear interpolation

# Cropping Features: RoI Align



Input Image  
(e.g.  $3 \times 640 \times 480$ )

Project proposal  
onto features



No “snapping”!






Image features  
(e.g.  $512 \times 20 \times 15$ )

Sample at regular points  
in each subregion using  
bilinear interpolation



Feature  $f_{xy}$  for point  $(x, y)$   
is a linear combination of  
features at its four  
neighboring grid cells:

# Cropping Features: RoI Align



Input Image  
(e.g.  $3 \times 640 \times 480$ )

Project proposal  
onto features



No “snapping”!





Image features  
(e.g.  $512 \times 20 \times 15$ )

Sample at regular points  
in each subregion using  
bilinear interpolation




Feature  $f_{xy}$  for point  $(x, y)$   
is a linear combination of  
features at its four  
neighboring grid cells:

$$f_{xy} = \sum_{i,j=1}^2 f_{i,j} \max(0, 1 - |x - x_i|) \max(0, 1 - |y - y_j|)$$

He et al, “Mask R-CNN”, ICCV 2017

# Cropping Features: RoI Align



Input Image  
(e.g.  $3 \times 640 \times 480$ )

Project proposal  
onto features



No “snapping”!





Image features  
(e.g.  $512 \times 20 \times 15$ )

Sample at regular points  
in each subregion using  
bilinear interpolation


Max-pool within  
each subregion




Region features  
(here  $512 \times 2 \times 2$ ;  
In practice e.g  $512 \times 7 \times 7$ )

# R-CNN vs Fast R-CNN

Training time (Hours)



Test time (seconds)




Girshick et al, "Rich feature hierarchies for accurate object detection and semantic segmentation", CVPR 2014.

He et al, "Spatial pyramid pooling in deep convolutional networks for visual recognition", ECCV 2014


Girshick, "Fast R-CNN", ICCV 2015

# R-CNN vs Fast R-CNN

Training time (Hours)



Test time (seconds)



Girshick et al, "Rich feature hierarchies for accurate object detection and semantic segmentation", CVPR 2014.

He et al, "Spatial pyramid pooling in deep convolutional networks for visual recognition", ECCV 2014


Girshick, "Fast R-CNN", ICCV 2015

# Faster R-CNN:

Make CNN do proposals!

Insert **Region Proposal Network (RPN)** to predict proposals from features

Otherwise same as Fast R-CNN:  
Crop features for each proposal,  
classify each one



Ren et al, "Faster R-CNN: Towards Real-Time Object Detection with Region Proposal Networks", NIPS 2015  
Figure copyright 2015, Ross Girshick; reproduced with permission

# Region Proposal Network



Input Image  
(e.g.  $3 \times 640 \times 480$ )





Image features  
(e.g.  $512 \times 20 \times 15$ )

# Region Proposal Network



Input Image  
(e.g.  $3 \times 640 \times 480$ )




Imagine an **anchor box**  
of fixed size at each  
point in the feature map

# Region Proposal Network




Input Image  
(e.g.  $3 \times 640 \times 480$ )



# Region Proposal Network



Input Image  
(e.g.  $3 \times 640 \times 480$ )




Imagine an **anchor box** of fixed size at each point in the feature map

# Region Proposal Network

In practice use K different anchor boxes of different size / scale at each point



Input Image  
(e.g.  $3 \times 640 \times 480$ )




# Region Proposal Network

In practice use K different anchor boxes of different size / scale at each point



Input Image  
(e.g.  $3 \times 640 \times 480$ )




# Faster R-CNN:

## Make CNN do proposals!

Jointly train with 4 losses:


1. RPN classify object / not object
2. RPN regress box coordinates
3. Final classification score (object classes)
4. Final box coordinates



Ren et al, "Faster R-CNN: Towards Real-Time Object Detection with Region Proposal Networks", NIPS 2015  
Figure copyright 2015, Ross Girshick; reproduced with permission

# Faster R-CNN:

Make CNN do proposals!




# Faster R-CNN:

## Make CNN do proposals!

Glossing over many details:

- Ignore overlapping proposals with **non-max suppression**
- How to determine whether a proposal is positive or negative?
- How many positives / negatives to send to second stage?
- How to parameterize bounding box regression?



Ren et al, "Faster R-CNN: Towards Real-Time Object Detection with Region Proposal Networks", NIPS 2015  
Figure copyright 2015, Ross Girshick; reproduced with permission

# Faster R-CNN: Make CNN do proposals!


Faster R-CNN is a  
**Two-stage object detector**

First stage: Run once per image

- Backbone network
- Region proposal network

Second stage: Run once per region

- Crop features: RoI pool / align
- Predict object class
- Prediction bbox offset



# Faster R-CNN: Make CNN do proposals!

Faster R-CNN is a  
**Two-stage object detector**


First stage: Run once per image

- Backbone network
- Region proposal network

Second stage: Run once per region

- Crop features: RoI pool / align
- Predict object class
- Prediction bbox offset


Do we really need  
the second stage?



# Single-Stage Object Detectors: YOLO / SSD / RetinaNet



Input image  
 $3 \times H \times W$



Divide image into grid  
 $7 \times 7$

Image a set of **base boxes**  
centered at each grid cell  
Here  $B = 3$

Within each grid cell:

- Regress from each of the  $B$  base boxes to a final box with 5 numbers:  
( $dx$ ,  $dy$ ,  $dh$ ,  $dw$ , confidence)
- Predict scores for each of  $C$  classes (including background as a class)
- Looks a lot like RPN, but category-specific!

Output:

$7 \times 7 \times (5 * B + C)$

Redmon et al, "You Only Look Once: Unified, Real-Time Object Detection", CVPR 2016  
Liu et al, "SSD: Single-Shot MultiBox Detector", ECCV 2016  
Lin et al, "Focal Loss for Dense Object Detection", ICCV 2017

# Object Detection: Lots of variables ...

**Backbone Network**  
VGG16  
ResNet-101  
Inception V2  
Inception V3  
Inception  
ResNet  
MobileNet

**“Meta-Architecture”**  
Two-stage: Faster R-CNN  
Single-stage: YOLO / SSD  
Hybrid: R-FCN

**Image Size**  
**# Region Proposals**  
...

**Takeaways**  
Faster R-CNN is slower but more accurate  
  
SSD is much faster but not as accurate  
  
Bigger / Deeper backbones work better

Huang et al, “Speed/accuracy trade-offs for modern convolutional object detectors”, CVPR 2017

R-FCN: Dai et al, “R-FCN: Object Detection via Region-based Fully Convolutional Networks”, NIPS 2016  
Inception-V2: Ioffe and Szegedy, “Batch Normalization: Accelerating Deep Network Training by Reducing Internal Covariate Shift”, ICML 2015  
Inception V3: Szegedy et al, “Rethinking the Inception Architecture for Computer Vision”, arXiv 2016  
Inception ResNet: Szegedy et al, “Inception-V4, Inception-ResNet and the Impact of Residual Connections on Learning”, arXiv 2016  
MobileNet: Howard et al, “Efficient Convolutional Neural Networks for Mobile Vision Applications”, arXiv 2017

# Object Detection: Lots of variables ...

**Backbone Network**  
VGG16  
ResNet-101  
Inception V2  
Inception V3  
Inception  
ResNet  
MobileNet

**“Meta-Architecture”**  
Two-stage: Faster R-CNN  
Single-stage: YOLO / SSD  
Hybrid: R-FCN

**Image Size**  
**# Region Proposals**  
...

**Takeaways**  
Faster R-CNN is slower but more accurate  
  
SSD is much faster but not as accurate  
  
Bigger / Deeper backbones work better

Huang et al, “Speed/accuracy trade-offs for modern convolutional object detectors”, CVPR 2017  
Zou et al, “Object Detection in 20 Years: A Survey”, arXiv 2019 (today!)

R-FCN: Dai et al, “R-FCN: Object Detection via Region-based Fully Convolutional Networks”, NIPS 2016

Inception-V2: Ioffe and Szegedy, “Batch Normalization: Accelerating Deep Network Training by Reducing Internal Covariate Shift”, ICML 2015

Inception V3: Szegedy et al, “Rethinking the Inception Architecture for Computer Vision”, arXiv 2016

Inception ResNet: Szegedy et al, “Inception-V4, Inception-ResNet and the Impact of Residual Connections on Learning”, arXiv 2016

MobileNet: Howard et al, “Efficient Convolutional Neural Networks for Mobile Vision Applications”, arXiv 2017

# Instance Segmentation

Classification



CAT

No spatial extent

Semantic  
Segmentation



GRASS, CAT,  
TREE, SKY

No objects, just pixels

Object  
Detection



DOG, DOG, CAT

Multiple Object

Instance  
Segmentation



DOG, DOG, CAT

# Object Detection: Faster R-CNN

## Object Detection



## Instance Segmentation



Classification  
loss

Bounding-box  
regression loss

Classification  
loss

Bounding-box  
regression loss

proposals


Region Proposal Network

feature map

CNN


image

# Instance Segmentation: Mask R-CNN




He et al, "Mask R-CNN", ICCV 2017

# Mask R-CNN




He et al, "Mask R-CNN", arXiv 2017





# Mask R-CNN: Example Mask Training Targets









# Mask R-CNN: Example Mask Training Targets




# Mask R-CNN: Example Mask Training Targets



# Mask R-CNN: Example Mask Training Targets



# Mask R-CNN: Very Good Results!



He et al, "Mask R-CNN", ICCV 2017

# Mask R-CNN

## Also does pose



He et al, "Mask R-CNN", ICCV 2017

# Open Source Frameworks

Lots of good implementations on GitHub!

TensorFlow Detection API:

[https://github.com/tensorflow/models/tree/master/research/object\\_detection](https://github.com/tensorflow/models/tree/master/research/object_detection)

Faster RCNN, SSD, RFCN, Mask R-CNN

Caffe2 Detectron:

<https://github.com/facebookresearch/Detectron>

Mask R-CNN, RetinaNet, Faster R-CNN, RPN, Fast R-CNN, R-FCN

Finetune on your own dataset with pre-trained models

# Computer Vision Tasks


## Classification



CAT

No spatial extent


## Semantic Segmentation



GRASS, CAT,  
TREE, SKY

No objects, just pixels

## Object Detection



DOG, DOG, CAT

Multiple Object

## Instance Segmentation





DOG, DOG, CAT

This image is CC0 public domain


# Beyond 2D Object Detection...

# Object Detection + Captioning = Dense Captioning



Johnson, Karpathy, and Fei-Fei, "DenseCap: Fully Convolutional Localization Networks for Dense Captioning", CVPR 2016  
Figure copyright IEEE, 2016. Reproduced for educational purposes.

# Aside: Object Detection + Captioning = Dense Captioning



Johnson, Karpathy, and Fei-Fei, "DenseCap: Fully Convolutional Localization Networks for Dense Captioning", CVPR 2016  
Figure copyright IEEE, 2016. Reproduced for educational purposes.

