PCA AND CLUSTERING ASSIGNMENT

ANALYSIS AND VISUALIZATION

By:-Tushar Sharma 12018293

PROCEDURE IN THE ASSIGNMENT

- 1. Data Understanding.
 - a. Hint: Don't forget to read the data description properly.
- 2. Perform PCA.
 - a. Data Standardization
 - b. Perform PCA and choose the PCs that defines more than 85% variance.
 - c. Run the PCA with the chosen number.
- 3. Perform Clustering.
 - a. Data preparation for clustering.
 - i. Outlier treatment
 - ii. Hopkins check
 - b. Clustering
 - i. K-MEANS
 - 1. Run K-Means and choose K using both Elbow and Silhouette score
 - 2. Run K-Means with the chosen K
 - 3. Visualize the clusters
 - 4. Clustering profiling.

DATA UNDERSTANDING

- ☐ The data for this assignment was based on a comparison between countries on various parameters like child mortality rate, export, import, income, gdpp, health, inflation and life expectancy.
- Structure of dataframe after importing this csv was as follows:

country 167 non-null object ,child_mort 167 non-null float64

exports 167 non-null float64, health 167 non-null float64


imports 167 non-null float64, income 167 non-null int64


inflation 167 non-null float64, life_expec 167 non-null float64

total_fer 167 non-null float64, gdpp 167 non-null int64


DATA UNDERSTANDING

- □ Null value check
- ☐ Set 'country' as index.
- Percentile check
- ☐ Multiple Bivariate analysis using pairplot.
- ☐ Correlation heatmap
- Check for Outliers.





DATA UNDERSTANDING



Correlation heatmap


- 0.4

- 0.0

- -0.4



PRINCIPAL CLUSTERING ANALYSIS (DIMENSIONALITY REDUCTION)




We take 5 cluster at pca(0.94), there is no point of taking 6 clusters at pca(0.96).

And have named these clusters as :PC1, PC2, PC3, PC4AND PC5.

We performed outlier analysis after performing pca and now have 164 countries out of 166(initially).

PRINCIPAL CLUSTERING ANALYSIS (DIMENSIONALITY REDUCTION)



Visualization of principal components

CHECK HOPKINS STATISTICS

Hopkins Statistics: ¶

- ☐ The Hopkins statistic, is a statistic which gives a value which indicates the cluster tendency, in other words: how well the data can be clustered.
- ☐ If the value is between {0.01, ...,0.3}, the data is regularly spaced.
- ☐ If the value is around 0.5, it is random.
- ☐ If the value is between {0.7, ..., 0.99}, it has a high tendency to cluster.

```
print("DF_PCA: ", hopkins(df_pca))
print("DF_scaled: ", hopkins(df_scaled))
```


DF PCA: 0.7147223777638881

DF_scaled: 0.7421177522783486


CLUSTERING

□ Select optimum number of clusters using silhouette score, no of squared errors.






OPTIMUM NUMBER OF CLUSTERS THROUGH HEIRARCHICAL CLUSTERING



```
from scipy.cluster.hierarchy import linkage
from scipy.cluster.hierarchy import dendrogram
from scipy.cluster.hierarchy import cut_tree
```

```
plt.figure(figsize=(20,15))
mergings_s = linkage(df_pca, method = "single", metric='euclidean')
dendrogram(mergings_s, labels=df_pca.index, leaf_rotation=90, leaf_font_size=6)
plt.show()
```

OPTIMUM NUMBER OF CLUSTERS THROUGH HEIRARCHICAL CLUSTERING



```
plt.figure(figsize=(20,15))
mergings_c = linkage(df_pca, method = "complete", metric='euclidean')
dendrogram(mergings_c, labels=df_pca.index, leaf_rotation=90, leaf_font_size=6)
plt.show()
```

We can take the number of clusters to be either 3 or 4


K-MEANS

Combining original data, principal components, K-means cluster IDs & Hierarchical clustering cluster IDs

Effectively, K-means clustering has broken down the cluster '1' of Hierarchical clustering - into 2 sub-clusters

We will use the clusters formed by K-means for further analysis

K-MEANS



Scatter Plot of 4 clusters,

We can clearly see that all 4 clusters a distinctly scattered across the space.

K-MEANS


| | child_mort | exports | health | imports | income | life_expec | total_fer | gdpp | inflation | K_clust_4 |
|---------------------|------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| country | | | | | | | | | | |
| Afghanistan | 1.257285 | -1.566676 | 0.450917 | 0.146381 | -1.413059 | -1.580003 | 1.667924 | -1.460560 | 0.157338 | 1 |
| Albania | -0.206196 | -0.224045 | 0.105222 | 0.262136 | 0.071749 | 0.630275 | -0.962078 | -0.122592 | -0.312347 | 0 |
| Algeria | 0.223939 | 0.187829 | -0.963592 | -0.376408 | 0.285304 | 0.649198 | 0.207329 | -0.064683 | 0.789274 | 3 |
| Angola | 1.496866 | 0.818843 | -1.864462 | 0.079772 | -0.353135 | -1.094994 | 1.786385 | -0.221051 | 1.387054 | 1 |
| Antigua and Barbuda | -0.618844 | 0.409060 | -0.090571 | 0.543123 | 0.605603 | 0.677491 | -0.429314 | 0.608191 | -0.601749 | 2 |

Result we get after clustering.

| | country | K_clust_4 | Feature | Value |
|---|---------------------|-----------|------------|-----------|
| 0 | Afghanistan | 1 | child_mort | 1.257285 |
| 1 | Albania | 0 | child_mort | -0.206196 |
| 2 | Algeria | 3 | child_mort | 0.223939 |
| 3 | Angola | 1 | child_mort | 1.496866 |
| 4 | Antigua and Barbuda | 2 | child_mort | -0.618844 |

We have taken a smaller dataframe with K_clust_4 and Feature with the value

LINEPLOT ANALYSIS



Observation: Cluster #1(in the above plot represented by the red line) contains countries that are in direct need of financial aid, since:

It has disproportionately high child mortality rate, total_fer & inflation.

It has lowest gdpp, income & life_expectancy.

BARPLOT FOR FEATURES VS CLUSTERS



K_clust_4




We can infer from these barplot that cluster #1 is really suffering.

BARPLOT FOR FEATURES VS CLUSTERS



We can infer from these barplot that cluster #1 is really suffering.


CLUSTER ANALYSIS FOR # OF CLUSTERS = 3



All 3 clusters are scattered distinctly in their own territory

Observation: Cluster #1 of 4-cluster K-means is the same as cluster #1 for 3-cluster K-means; This is the cluster with countries in dire need of financial aid.

CLUSTER ANALYSIS FOR # OF CLUSTERS = 3



Observation: Cluster #1(in the above plot represented by the red line) contains countries that are in direct need of financial aid, since:

It has disproportionately high child mortality rate, total_fer & inflation.

It has lowest gdpp, income & life_expectancy.

CONCLUSION

| Child Mortality | / |
|-----------------|---|
|-----------------|---|

country K_clust_4 Feature Value 66 1 child_mort 1.979683 Haiti 130 Sierra Leone 1 child_mort 1.752837 32 Chad 1 child_mort 1.697036 31 Central African Republic 1 child_mort 1.691253 97 1 child_mort 1.618654 1 child_mort 1.525451 111 Niger 1 child_mort 1.496866 3 Angola 1 child_mort 1.474789 25 Burkina Faso 1 child_mort 1.474789 Congo, Dem. Rep. 37 64 Guinea-Bissau 1 child_mort 1.459752 1 child_mort 1.436694 17 Benin 1 child_mort 1.436694 40 Cote d'Ivoire 63 Guinea 1 child_mort 1.420973 1 child_mort 1.413004 28 Cameroon

Income

| | country | K_clust_4 | Feature | Value |
|-----|--------------------------|-----------|---------|-----------|
| 697 | Congo, Dem. Rep. | 1 | income | -2.206478 |
| 748 | Liberia | 1 | income | -2.092822 |
| 686 | Burundi | 1 | income | -2.021421 |
| 771 | Niger | 1 | income | -1.969684 |
| 691 | Central African Republic | 1 | income | -1.898672 |
| 766 | Mozambique | 1 | income | -1.871555 |
| 754 | Malawi | 1 | income | -1.777605 |
| 723 | Guinea | 1 | income | -1.659760 |
| 808 | Togo | 1 | income | -1.646157 |
| 790 | Sierra Leone | 1 | income | -1.639440 |
| 784 | Rwanda | 1 | income | -1.556804 |
| 724 | Guinea-Bissau | 1 | income | -1.532974 |
| 753 | Madagascar | 1 | income | -1.532974 |
| 696 | Comoros | 1 | income | -1.521314 |
| 710 | Eritrea | 1 | income | -1.515547 |

Gdpp

| | - | | | | | |
|------|--------------------------|-----------|---------|-----------|--|--|
| | country | K_clust_4 | Feature | Value | | |
| 1181 | Burundi | 1 | gdpp | -2.044268 | | |
| 1243 | Liberia | 1 | gdpp | -1.811877 | | |
| 1192 | Congo, Dem. Rep. | 1 | gdpp | -1.797714 | | |
| 1266 | Niger | 1 | gdpp | -1.770258 | | |
| 1285 | Sierra Leone | 1 | gdpp | -1.678811 | | |
| 1248 | Madagascar | 1 | gdpp | -1.655752 | | |
| 1261 | Mozambique | 1 | gdpp | -1.646107 | | |
| 1186 | Central African Republic | 1 | gdpp | -1.604350 | | |
| 1249 | Malawi | 1 | gdpp | -1.585138 | | |
| 1205 | Eritrea | 1 | gdpp | -1.552444 | | |
| 1303 | Togo | 1 | gdpp | -1.544172 | | |
| 1219 | Guinea-Bissau | 1 | gdpp | -1.467858 | | |
| 1155 | Afghanistan | 1 | gdpp | -1.460560 | | |
| 1211 | Gambia | 1 | gdpp | -1.449768 | | |
| 1279 | Rwanda | 1 | gdpp | -1.448576 | | |
| | | | | | | |

We can clearly see that countries are common in each of these dataframe with respect to (child_mort, income and gdpp). Some of those countries are: ¶

Congo, Dem. Rep.

Sierra Leone

Niger

Mozambique

Mozambique

Guinea-Bissau

Central African Republic

1 child_mort 1.355066

Liberia

CONCLUSION

Congo, Dem. Rep., Sierra Leone, Niger, Mozambique, Guinea-Bissau, Central African Republic and Liberia are the countries in dire need of financial aid as these countries are common among all three features.