# Project Report: Agriculture Crop Yield

STA 2101: Statistics & Probability

Student Name: Mahinur Rahman Mahin Student ID: 242014165 University of Liberal Arts Bangladesh (ULAB)

October 20, 2025

#### Abstract

This document is the course project report for STA 2101. This project analyzes by the link of "Agriculture crope Yield". This link applies the statistical and probability concepts of SAT 2101. Updated throughout the "Agriculture crope Yield" this semester as each milestone is completed.

## Contents

1	Milestone 1: Dataset Selection	2
2	Milestone 2: Descriptive Statistics	2
3	Part 0 : Probability Sampling Methods	3
4	Milestone 3: Data Visualization	6



## 1 Milestone 1: Dataset Selection

• Dataset Name: Agriculture Crop Yield

#### • Dataset URL:

https://www.kaggle.com/datasets/samuelotiattakorah/agriculture-crop-yield

• Description: Rice is the primary food for half of the people in the world. It is also known as staple food in Bangladesh. According to geographically, most of the regions in bangladesh are suitable for rice cultivation. For rice cultivation, clay loam or silty clay loam soils are the most preferabale type of soil in Bangladeah. The average temperature of rice crop production is 21 degree celsius to 27 degree celsius. Nearly 150cm to 250cm rainfall is needed for the cultivation of rice crops. Fertilizer and irrigation are used in rice production.

I chosse this crop as a topic because it is our main staple food and it has its own significant role in our national income.

# 2 Milestone 2: Descriptive Statistics

Describe the summary statistics of my dataset. This data set contains agriculture crop yield information for each country and year with numeric, categorical, and time-series variables. The agriculture crop yield averages around 3.6 tons/ha, rainfall 820 mm, and temperature 25–26 degree celsius. It is diverse and suitable for machine learning tasks such as regression, classification, and trend analysis.

Example of a table:

Table 1: Sample Crop Dataset

Region	Soil Type	Crop	Rainfall (mm)	Temp (°C)	Fertilizer Used	Irrigation Used	Weather	Days to Harvest	Yield (t/ha)
North	Sandy	Cotton	897.07	27.67	False	True	Cloudy	122	6.55
South	Clay	Rice	992.67	18.02	True	True	Rainy	140	8.52
North	Loam	Barley	147.99	29.79	False	False	Sunny	106	1.12
North	Sandy	Soybean	986.86	16.64	False	True	Rainy	146	6.51
South	Silt	Wheat	730.38	31.62	True	True	Cloudy	110	7.25
South	Silt	Soybean	797.47	37.70	False	True	Rainy	74	5.89
West	Clay	Wheat	357.90	31.59	False	False	Rainy	90	2.65
South	Sandy	Rice	441.13	30.89	True	True	Sunny	61	5.83



# 3 Part 0: Probability Sampling Methods




Figure 1: Overview of Probability Sampling Methods

## Part A — Setup

Figure 2: Setup

# Part B — Simple Random Sampling

```
Part B — Simple Random Sampling

↑ ↓ ⊅ □ :

sumple_size = 50
sprint(rs_head())
print(rs_head())
print(rs_he
```

Figure 3: Simple Random Sampling



## Part C — Systematic Sampling



Figure 4: Systematic Sampling

## Part D — Stratified Sampling




Figure 5: Stratified Sampling

# Part E — Cluster Sampling




Figure 6: Cluster Sampling



# Part F — Comparison & Reflection

Figure 7: Comparison and Reflection

In this milestone, I applied four probability sampling methods to the Agriculture Crop Yield dataset from Kaggle, which includes crop production data across multiple countries. The goal was to compare Simple Random Sampling, Systematic Sampling, Stratified Sampling, and Cluster Sampling in estimating the population mean of crop yield, which was 32.337344 t/ha.

Stratified sampling produced the most accurate result with a mean of 32.3276 t/ha, as proportional allocation preserved the distribution of crop types and regions. Simple Random Sampling yielded 32.25 t/ha, slightly lower, while systematic sampling gave 32.3872 t/ha, slightly higher. Cluster sampling showed the largest deviation at 32.5075 t/ha due to potential homogeneity within clusters.

In terms of implementation, Simple Random Sampling was easiest, requiring minimal code. Systematic sampling was straightforward with a defined step size, while stratified sampling needed careful grouping. Cluster sampling was simple but required thoughtful cluster selection.

Overall, stratified sampling ensured maximum accuracy, and Simple Random Sampling was the simplest to implement.

## 4 Milestone 3: Data Visualization

Add graphs and figures using LaTeX.

Implementing Probability Sampling Methods in Python

## Part A — Instructions

In this part, the goal is to set up the environment and load the dataset correctly before applying different probability sampling techniques. The following steps were followed:

- 1. Import necessary Python libraries such as pandas, numpy, and IPython.display.
- 2. Load the crop yield dataset using the read\_csv() function.
- 3. Display the first few rows of the dataset to verify successful loading.
- 4. Calculate the population mean of the Yield column, which serves as the baseline for comparing sampling results.

The dataset was successfully loaded, and preliminary statistics were verified before performing sampling.

## Part B - Data Set

#### Sampling Assignment

Implementing Probability Sampling Methods in LaTeX

Column Name	Description
Region	Geographical region where the crop is grown (North, East, South)
Soil_Type	Type of soil (Clay, Sandy, Loam, Silt, Peaty, Chalky)
Crop	Type of crop grown (Wheat, Rice, Maize, Barley, Soybean, Cotton)
Rainfall_mm	Amount of rainfall (in millimeters) during crop growth
Temperature_Celsius	Average temperature during crop growth (°C)
Fertilizer_Used	<pre>Indicates fertilizer use (True = Yes, False = No)</pre>
Irrigation_Used	<pre>Indicates irrigation use (True = Yes, False = No)</pre>
Weather_Condition	Predominant weather condition (Sunny, Rainy, Cloudy)
Days_to_Harvest	Number of days required for the crop to be harvested

## **Summary Statistics**

Total records: 1,000,000

Regions:

North - 25%

West - 25%

Other - 50%

Soil Types:

Sandy - 17%

Loam - 17%

Other - 66%

Crops:

Maize - 17%

Rice - 17%

Other - 66%

Fertilizer Used: 50% True, 50% False Irrigation Used: 50% True, 50% False

Weather Condition: 33% Sunny, 33% Rainy, 33% Cloudy

#### **Data Records**

:	.14	L.	
WΒ	(1)	, П	_

Region	Soil Type	Crop	Rainfall (mm)	Temp (°C)	Fert.	Irrig.	Weather	Days	Yield (t/ha)
West	Sandy	Cotton	897.08	27.68	False	True	Cloudy	122	6.56
South	Clay	Rice	992.67	18.03	True	True	Rainy	140	8.53
North	Loam	Barley	148.00	29.79	False	False	Sunny	106	1.13
North	Sandy	Soybean	986.87	16.64	False	True	Rainy	146	6.52
South	Silt	Wheat	730.38	31.62	True	True	Cloudy	110	7.25

# C. Task 1: Frequency Distribution Table

In this task, a frequency distribution table was created to summarize the crop yield dataset. The table shows how data values are distributed across different classes or intervals, helping to visualize the overall pattern of the dataset.

Class Interval (Yield)	Frequency (f)	Relative Frequency (%)
1.0 - 2.9	3	6.0
3.0 - 4.9	7	14.0
5.0 - 6.9	20	40.0
7.0 - 8.9	15	30.0
9.0 - 10.9	5	10.0
Total	50	100%

The above table provides an overview of how crop yields are distributed across the given ranges. Most yields fall within the 5.0–6.9 and 7.0–8.9 ranges, indicating a concentration of moderate to high productivity.

[a4paper,12pt]article graphicx float caption

## Part D. Task 2: Graphical Representation

## Ogive Chart (Less Than & More Than)

The following Python code was used to generate the Ogive (Cumulative Frequency) charts. The charts below show both the "Less Than" and "More Than" ogives for the yield data.




Figure 8: Ogive Chart (Less Than and More Than)

# Part D. Task 3: Graphical Representation

## 3. Line Chart:

The following Python code was used to generate the Line Chart showing changes in yield over time or across different regions.




Figure 9: Line Chart showing Yield Trends

# Part D. Task 3: Graphical Representation

## 3. Line Chart:

The following Python code was used to generate the Line Chart showing changes in yield over time or across different regions.




Figure 10: Line Chart showing Yield Trends

# E. Task 3: Analysis and Conclusion

## Frequency Table Insights

- The frequency table shows which yield range or category occurs most frequently.
- For the column Yield\_tons\_per\_hectare, the most frequent values are around the mid-range of crop yields.
- From the relative frequency and cumulative frequency, it is evident that roughly half of the data falls below the median value.

#### Bar Chart (Regional Analysis)

- The Bar chart highlights significant differences in crop yields across regions.
- West and South regions tend to have higher yields.
- North region shows comparatively lower productivity.

#### Ogive Charts (Cumulative Frequency Analysis)

- The "Less than" Ogive chart is roughly S-shaped, indicating that about half of the data falls below the median.
- The "More than" Ogive chart shows a slower rise at higher yield values, suggesting that a few farms achieve exceptionally high yields.
- Ogive charts help in understanding cumulative distribution and make skewness of the data visible.

# Distribution Shape & Variability

- Histogram indicates the distribution is approximately symmetric with a slight right skew.
- Some high-yield and low-yield observations may be outliers.
- Standard deviation indicates moderate to high variability in the data.

#### Conclusion

• Crop yield data roughly follows a normal distribution, with some right skew and a few outliers.

- Regional variations are evident, with certain regions consistently achieving higher yields.
- Frequency table, Bar chart, and Ogive analysis together provide a clear understanding of distribution patterns, cumulative trends, and regional disparities.
- This analysis is useful for agricultural planning and decision-making for targeted interventions.

# F. Task 4: Challenges

# Challenges Faced

During this milestone, several challenges were encountered while analyzing the Agriculture Crop Yield dataset:

#### 1. Selecting the Right Column:

Challenge: The dataset contains multiple variables, making it difficult to choose which column to analyze.

Solution: Yield\_tons\_per\_hectare was chosen because it directly represents crop productivity and is highly relevant for understanding distribution patterns.

#### 2. Deciding on Class Intervals:

Challenge: Determining appropriate class intervals for frequency distribution was tricky due to the wide range of yield values.

**Solution:** The Square Root Method was used to determine the number of classes and calculate suitable interval widths based on the data range.

#### 3. Generating Visualizations:

Challenge: Selecting the most effective visualization for the data.

**Solution:** Multiple visualizations were created:

- Histogram to see the distribution of yield values.
- Bar Chart to compare average yields across regions.
- Frequency Polygon to show smooth distribution patterns.
- Ogive Chart to analyze cumulative frequency and percentiles.

#### 4. Data Cleaning and Processing:

Challenge: The dataset contained missing values and potential outliers that could

affect analysis.

**Solution:** Missing values were filled or handled, and outliers were identified/removed to ensure accurate results.

#### Conclusion:

Overcoming these challenges allowed a thorough statistical analysis and creation of clear, informative visualizations. It helped in understanding dataset distribution patterns, regional disparities, and overall crop yield characteristics.